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## THE THESSALONIAN EPISTLES 6

## ABBREVIATIONS, ETC.

A. V. Authorised Version of 1611.

Apoc. Apocalypse.
Cit. Cited.
Citn. Citation,
Class. Greek and Roman classical authors.
Comm. Commentary.
Comp. Compare.
Const. Construe.
$=$ Equivalent to.
f. and ff. Following.
LXX. Septuagint Version of the Old Testament. For the sake of brevity the Apocryphal books are included under this sign. The numbers of the Psalms when cited from the LXX are given according to the enumeration of the LXX, and not of the English Bible.
Lit. Literally.
O. T. : N. T. Old Testament: New Testament.

Rend. Render.
Rev. Revised Version of the New Testament, 1881.
Rev. T. Revisers' Text of the New Testament.
T. R. Textus Receptus.

Vulg. Vulgate or Latin Translation of the New Testament.
Po. The word or phrase occurs only in Paul's writings.
${ }^{\circ}$ P. The word or phrase does not occur in Paul's writings.
${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The word or phrase does not occur in the Septuagint.
${ }^{\circ}$ Class. The word or phrase does not occur in classical writers.
N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. The word or phrase occurs in the New Testament only here.

Pasto. The word or phrase occurs only in the Pastoral Epistles,
Pasts. Pastoral Epistles.
PPast. The word or phrase does not occur in the Pastoral Epistles.

# THE THESSALONIAN EPISTLES. 

## INTRODUCTION.

## THE FIRST EPISTLE.

Thessalonica was situated on the Thermaic Gulf, a fine harbour, affording anchorage for large ships directly in front of the city.* The situation commanded the trade of the Macedonian waters, and was connected inland with the plain of the Axius, one of the great levels of Macedonia, and with the plain of the Strymon, by a pass across the peninsula of Chalcidice. It was the chief station on the Via Egnatia, the great Roman road which ran from Dyrrhachium through Epirus, Macedonia, and Thrace to Byzantium.

In Paul's day it was a free city, the capital of the whole province and the most populous of its towns. Its extensive trade with all parts of the world accounts in part for the rapid spread of the news of the success of the gospel ( 1 Th . i. 8). The population consisted of the original GraecoMacedonian inhabitants, mixed with many Romans and some Jews. The same heathen deities were worshipped as in other Graeco-Roman communities, and the worship of the Cabeiri had been introduced from Samothrace. $\dagger$

Paul's first visit to Thessalonica is related in Acts xvii.; and the account must be filled out, as far as possible, by means

[^0]of the references in the two letters. From the Acts it appears that he remained only three weeks; but the first Epistle indicates that a large and flourishing church had been formed, chiefly of Gentiles (i. 8, 9); and from this, and from the facts that the Philippians, twice during his stay, sent him pecuniary aid (Philip. iv. 16), and that he labored for his own support, his visit would seem to have been longer.

According to the narrative in Acts, he secured some converts from among the Jews, but more from the pious Greeks or Proselytes, and many prominent women. Nothing is said of his labours among the heathen. The author of the Acts has, apparently, recorded the least important part of his work, which was evidently begun, according to his usual practice, in the synagogue. The principal part of it, however, was not done in the synagogue.

The cause of Paul's departure from Thessalonica was a persecution instigated by the Jews, who used the vulgar pagan rabble as their instruments. Most of the Christian converts were from the better classes, and the Politarchs were not disposed to interfere actively. But the riot was a serious matter. A powerful, dangerous, lasting sentiment was aroused in the class which fostered it (see ch. ii. 14). The charge against Paul was that of treason against the Emperor, and the Politarchs were forced to take active measures lest they should incur the charge of condoning treason. Their course was the mildest for which they could find precedent. The accused were bound over to keep the peace, and as security was exacted from Jason and the leading Christians of Thessalonica, it implied that they were under obligation to prevent Paul from coming to the city again.

Paul, after his departure, was distressed, lest his converts, who had been only partially instructed, might fall from their faith. He had twice made the attempt to revisit them, but in vain. He had sent Timothy to inquire into their condition and to establish and comfort them (iii. 2). Timothy had now rejoined him at Corinth, and the information which he brought called forth the first letter.

The letter, though official, is not stiff nor condescending.

It reveals a quick, intelligent sympathy with the burdens and sufferings of the church, and a full appreciation of their patience and fidelity. They are the subject of the Apostle's thoughts, wishes, and prayers; they are his joy and his crown. The tone of the Epistle, while peculiarly affectionate, is nevertheless decided, and exacting in moral demand. It has nothing of the legal or ecclesiastical character. It is pervaded, in parts, with the tension and anxiety of the interval between Paul's departure from Thessalonica and the reception of Timothy's report. Timothy's news had been substantially good. The church had remained true to the faith against all assaults. But a degree of mistrust had arisen concerning the sincerity of Paul's interest for the church, which must have come from the outside. Accordingly in the second chapter he takes on an apologetic tone. Some lack of religions steadfastness among the members has made itself evident, and some signs of not fully appreciating the relations of their faith to Christian morality. There has arisen a tendency to assume that the second coming of Christ is close at hand, and that all old relations and duties are therefore done away. On the other hand, in opposite tendency has shown itself, a reaction against the enthusiasin evoked by the expectation of the parousia, which calls for the admonitions, "Quench not the spirit: despise not prophesyings : prove all things: hold fast that which is good." Mistakes have become current respecting the lot of such Christians as may die before the Lord's coming. There is a possible hint of strained relations with the church-superintendents (v. 12-15) and of occasions given to the enemies of Christianity for malicious criticism (iv. 12). But the main objects of the letter are, to strengthen the bond between the writer and the church, to detach the church from the errors and abominations of heathen life, and to correct misunderstaudings and give comfort as regards the dead in Christ.

The language of the letter is simple, taking on a rhetorical character only in certain isolated passages (ii. 19 f .; iii. 8 f .). It is not without picturesqueness (i. 8,9 ; ii. $1,6,16,17,19$, iii. $3,8,11$; iv. $1,6,12$; v. $2,3,5,8,19$ ). There is an
occasional tendency to amplification (i. 2 f., 8; ii. 11, 13: iii. $2,7,9,10 ; \mathrm{v} .1,3,5,23$, etc.), and to round off the ends of sentences with adverbial phrases (i. 5, 6 ;- ii. 2, 16, 17 ; iii. 3,9 , etc.). There is to be noted the frequent introduction of expressions which recognise the knowledge and remembrance of the writer's correspondents, as $\kappa a \theta \dot{\omega}$ s oidare even as ye know: also the forms of adjuration and comparison (ii. 5, 10 ; iii. 6). A certain ruggedness and lack of synmetry in the structure of sentences appears at times (i. 2 ff ., 8 ; ii. 10 ff., $17 \mathrm{f} ., 19 \mathrm{f} . ;$ iv. $1 \mathrm{f} ., 3 \mathrm{ff}$.). The vocabulary is relatively small. Repetitions and similarities of expression occur.

There are no citations from the Old Testament, and no use of apocryphal writings can be shown. The mode of expression is thoroughly Pauline. The character of the Epistle does not lead us to expect many of the technical terms of the Pauline dogmatic; but such as we do find are Pauline, as є́клоүŋ́
 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єíoó $\epsilon \epsilon s$ тò̀ $\theta$ єóv not knowing God. There are also to be noted the characteristic play of words (ii. 4); paradox (i. 6); mixed metaphor (v. 5), and antithesis of prepositions (i. 5; iv. 7; ii. 3, etc.). There are relatively few hapaxlegomena, some peculiar uses of words common in the New Testament; possibly a dozen words and modes of expression which appear only in the deutero-Pauline writings, and a few which are almost exclusively confined to the writings of Luke and the Epistle to the Hebrews.

The authenticity of the Epistle is generally conceded. It has been assailed by Baur, Steck, Holsten, and Loman.

## THE SECOND EPISTLE.

The authenticity and genuineness of this Epistle have been challenged since the beginning of the present century.* Its integrity has also been questioned on the assumed ground of a combination of a genuine Pauline epistle with interpolated matter (P. W. Schmidt). It has been ascribed to

[^1]Timothy. Attempts have also been made to prove that it was earlier in date than the first Epistle (Ewald, Baur, Davidson); but there seems to be, on the whole, no sufficient reason for refusing it a place among the genuine Pauline Epistles. The external testimony in its favor is ancient and good, while the resemblances in manner and phraseology to the other Pauline writings cannot be evaded. The vocabulary is Pauline. The list of non-Pauline words is small and not important. As distinguished from all other Pauline letters, the two Thessalonian epistles exhibit a striking relationship, extending to sequences of thought, articulation of sentences, and peculiar expressions and usages. In not a few cases, the same subjects are treated with almost the same words.* Both letters have an eschatological drift ; both exhibit, without specially emphasising it, the writer's apostolic consciousness ; both treat moral questions from the religious point of view. $\dagger$

The second Epistle appears to have been written some months after the first, because of some later information received by Paul, who was probably still in Corinth. The circumstances of the church were substantially the same, although there appears to have been a growth in faith and charity (i. 3, 4); but the idea of the imminent second coming of the Lord had assumed such proportions as to cause restlessness and impatience, and a measure of social disorganisation and fanaticism. A spurious epistle in Paul's name, announcing the immediate advent of the Lord, appears to have been circulated (ii. 2). The main design of this second letter is to correct false views concerning the second advent, and to rebuke the idleness and disorder into which some of the Thessalonian Christians had fallen.

[^2]
## COMMENTARIES, ETC., ON THE THESSALONIAN LETTERS.*

## GERMAN.

W. Bornemann. In the Meyer Series. 6th ed. Die Thessalonicherbriefe, 1894. P. W. Schmiedel, in the Hand-Commentar zum Neuen Testament, by Holtzmann, Lipsins, Schmiedel, and Von Soden, 1893. P. Schmid, Der erste Thessalonicherbrief neu erklärt, with Excursus on 2d Epistle, 1885. F. Zimmer, Der Text der Thessalonicherbriefe, etc. Textual Apparatus and Commentary, 1893.

## ENGLISH.

J. B. Lightfoot, both Epistles, in Notes on Epistles of St. Paul from Unpublished Commentaries, 1895. C. J. Ellicott, A Critical and Grammatical Commentary on St. Paul's Epistles to the Thessalonians. B. Jowett, The Epistles of St. Paul to the Thessalonians, Galatians and Romans. Translation and Commentary, 3d ed. 1894. J. Eadie, A Commentary on the Greek Text of the Epistles of Paul to the Thessalonians, 1877. J. Hutchison, Lectures, chiefly Expository, on Paul's 1st and 2d Epistles to the Thessalonians, 1883. J. B. Lightfoot, Biblical Essays, chs. VI., VII. G. G. Findlay, in Cambridge Bible for Schools. J. Denney, in Expositor's Bible.

On Macedonia, L. Heuzey and H. Daumet, Mission Archéologique de Macédoine, 1876. Account of the expedition under the auspices of Napoleon III. for the exploration of Macedonia. M. R. Vincent, Philippians and Philemon, Introduction. International Commentary, 1897.

[^3]
# THE FIRST EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS. 

## CHAPTER I.

1. The address of the first Epistle is shorter than that of any of the Pauline letters. In the other Epistles Paul either indicates the contents of the letter, or adds details concerning the writer or his correspondents, or amplifies the apostolic greeting. The names of Silvanus and Timothy are added to that of Paul as the senders of the letter. They were with him at Corinth when it was written (Acts xviii. 5; 2 Cor. i. 19). They had assisted him in the foundation of the Thessalonian Church (Acts xvi. 1-3; xvii. 4, 10, 14). Paul's official title "Apostle" is omitted in the addresses of both Epistles, although in 1 Th . ii. 6 he uses á árócroخou apostles, including Silvanus and Timothy under that title. The title appears in all the other Epistles except Philippians and Philemon. The reason for its omission in every case appears to have been the intimate and affectionate character of his relations with the parties addressed, which rendered an appeal to his apostolic authority unnecessary. Paul does not confine the name of apostle to the twelve.*

Silvanus. The Silas of the Acts, where alone the form $\Sigma i \lambda a s$ occurs. By Paul always $\Sigma \iota \lambda o v a v o s$, of which $\Sigma i \lambda a s$ is a contraction, as $\Lambda о ч \kappa a ̂ s ~ f r o m ~ \Lambda o v к а \nu o ́ s . ~ S i m i l a r ~ c o n t r a c t-~$ ions occur in Class., as 'A $\lambda \epsilon \xi \hat{a} s$ for 'A $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\xi a \nu \delta \rho o s$; 'A $\rho \tau \hat{a}$ s for

[^4]'A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$, and that for 'A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu / \delta \omega \rho o s$. Silas first appears in Acts xv. 22, as one of the bearers of the letter to the Gentile Christians at Antioch. He accompanied Paul on his second missionary tour, and was left behind with Timothy when Paul departed from Macedonia after his first visit. He was probably a Jewish Christian (see Acts xvi. 20), and was, like Paul, a Roman citizen (Acts xvi. 37, 38). Hence his Roman name. He cannot with any certainty be identified with the Silvanus of 1 Pet. v. 12.

Timothy. Appears in all the Panline Epistles except Galatians and Ephesians. He was associated with Paul longer than any one of whom we have notice. First mentioned Acts xvi. 1, 2: comp. 2 Tim. iii. 10, 11. He accompanied Paul on his second missionary tour (Acts xvi. 3), and was one of the founders of the churches in Thessalonica and Philippi. He is often styled by Paul "the brother" (2 Cor. i. 1; Col. i. 1; 1 Th. iii. 2; Philem. 1) ; with Paul himself "a bondservant of Jesus Christ" (Philip. i. 1) ; comp. 1 Tim. ii. 18; 2 Tim. i. 2. Paul's confidence in him appears in Philip. ii. 19-22, and is implied in his sending him from Athens to the Thessalonian church to establish and comfort its members (1 Th. iii. 2). Paul sent him again to Macedonia in company with Erastus (Acts xix. 22), and also to Corinth (1 Cor. iv. 17). To the Corinthians he writes of Timothy as "his beloved and faithful child in the Lord" who shall remind them of his ways in Christ (1 Cor. iv. 17), and as one who worketh the work of the Lord as he himself (1 Cor. xvi. 10). He joined Paul at Rome, and his name is associated with Paul's in the addresses of the letters to the Colossians and Philemon. In every case where he is mentioned by name with Silvanus, the name of Silvanus precedes.

To the church of the Thessalonians. This form of address appears in 1st and 2d Corinthians, Galatians, 2d Thessalonians. The other letters are addressed to "the saints," "the brethren," "the saints and faithful brethren." The use of the genitive of the national name is peculiar.

Comp. 1 Cor. i. 22; 2 Cor. i. 1; Gal. i. 2; Philip. i. 1 ; Col. i. 2.

The church ( $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma(a)$ ). From $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ out, and $\kappa a \lambda \in i ̂ \nu$ to call or summon. Originally with a secular meaning, an assembly of citizens regularly summoned. So Acts xix. 39. LXX uses it for the congregation of Israel, either as convened for a definite purpose (1 Kings viii. 65; Deut. iv. 10 ; xviii. 16), or as a community (2 Chr. i. 3,5 ; xxiii. 3 ; Neh.
 mon formally, which do not occur in N. T., are found in LXX with $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ gathering, $\lambda a o ́ \nu$ people, and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v$ тépous elders. $\Sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta}$ is constantly used in LXX of the children of Israel as a body (Ex. xii. 6, 19, 47 ; Lev. iv. 13, etc.), and is the more common word in N. T. for a Jewish as distinguished from a Christian assembly; sometimes with the addition of the Jews (Acts xiii. 5 ; xiv. 1; xvii. 1). It is once used of a Christian assembly (Jas. ii. 2). 'E $\pi \iota \sigma v \nu-$ ay由yウ̀ gathering together, occurs 2 Th. ii. 1; Heb. x. 25. The Ebionites retained $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta}$ in preference to $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ a$. The LXX translators found two Hebrew words for "assem-
 former by $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ in the great majority of instances. 'Еккл $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ía does not appear as the rendering of יצדה . They were not as consistent in rendering both $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta}$ and è écд $\eta \sigma$ ía, though the latter was the more frequent: see Lev. iv. 13 ; Deut. v. 22, etc. The A. V. renders both words by "congregation" and "assembly" indiscriminately. 'Eкк $\overline{\eta \sigma i}$ ía is only once used in N. T. of a Jewish congregation, Acts vii. 38; yet there are cases where there is an apparent attempt to guard its distinctively Christian sense against being confounded with the unconverted Jewish communities. Hence the addition $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 in God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ, 1 Th. i. 1; comp. 2 Th. i. 1. In both Hebrew and N. T. usage, èккл $\eta$ oía implies a community based on a special religious idea, and established in a special way. In N. T. it is also used in
a narrower sense, of a single church, or of a church confined to a single place. So Rom. xvi. 5, etc.

In God the Father, etc. Const. with the church, and comp. 2 Th. i. 1. The phrase "the church in God" is peculiar to the Thessalonian Epistles. Elsewhere "of God" (1 Cor. x. 32; xi. 16, 22 ; xv. 9, etc.); "of the saints" (1 Cor. xiv. 33). Lightfoot suggests that the word $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta-$ ola can scarcely have been stamped with so definite a Christian meaning in the minds of these recent and early converts as to render the addition "in God the Father," etc., superfluous.

Grace to you and peace ( $\chi$ á $\rho \stackrel{\text { s }}{i \mu \mu i \nu \nu ~ \kappa a i ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta ́ \nu \eta) . ~ I n ~}$ Romans, 1st and 2d Corinthians, Galatians, Ephesians, Philippians, the salutation is, Grace to you and peace from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ: Colossians omits the last five words of this: 2 Thessalonians omits our before Father. On the union of the Greek and Jewish forms of salutation, see on 1 Cor. i. 3.
2. We give thanks ( $\epsilon{ }^{3} \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ ). According to Paal's habit, a thanksgiving follows the salntation, commonly with the verb é̉ $\chi$ apı $\tau \tau e i v$, as here; but in 2d Corinthians and Ephes-
 omitted only in Galatians. The verb ev̉ $\quad a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ occurs only in later Greek, and there but rarely. In LXX only in Apocr. See Judith viii. 25; 2 Macc. i. 11; x. 7; 3 Mace. vii. 16. In the N. T. Epistles, Po. Originally to do a good turn; hence, to return a favour. The meaning to give thanks is late. The kindred noun củzapıotia giving of thanks, is found often in Paul. As a designation of the Lord's Supper (Eucharist) it is not found in the N. T. Perhaps the earliest instance of its use in that sense is in Ignatius. See Philad. iv.; Smyrn. vi., viii.; Eph. xiii. Comp. Just. Mart. Apol. i., 64, 65.

In we give thanks, it is not easy to decide whether Paul uses $w e$ as plural, or in the sense of $I$. Rom. iii. 9 seems to
be a clear case of the latter usage. In 1 Th. iii. 1, 2, $\eta \dot{\delta} \delta o \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mu \epsilon$ we thought it good, and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi a \mu \epsilon \nu$ we sent, can, apparently, refer only to Paul; and similarly, in 1 Th. iii. 6, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ u n t o ~ u s, ~ c a n ~ h a r d l y ~ i n c l u d e ~ S i l v a n u s ~ w h o ~ c a m e ~ w i t h ~$ Timothy (comp. iii. 5). But it is significant that, in the Epistles which are written in Paul's name alone (Romans, Galatians, Ephesians), only $I$ is used, unless we except Gal. i. 8, which is doubtful. Paul and Timothy appear jointly as correspondents in Philippians, but the first person predominates throughout the letter. The same is true of 1 st Corinthians, where Paul and Sosthenes are associated in the address, but the singular pronoun is used almost throughout. (See iv. 10-13; ix. 4, 5, 25, 26). In Colossians Paul and Timothy appear in the address. The plural prevails to i. 23, and alternates with the singular throughout the remainder. The alternations in 2 d Corinthians are very bewildering.

On the whole, I think that occasional instances of the epistolary plural must be granted. It is not, however, Paul's habitual usage. We is often employed as in ordinary correspondence or argument, where the writer or speaker associates himself with his readers or hearers. Abundant illustrations of this may be seen in Rom. vi. and viii.; but in other cases, when Paul speaks in the plural, he usually associates his fellow-ministers, mentally, with himself.*
 Rom. i. 9 ; Eph. i. 16 ; Philem. 4. Always in connection with prayer. In the sense of remember it appears in LXX, Job xiv. 13. In Ps. cxi. 4, to make a memorial. See further, on without ceasing, ver. 3.

In my prayers ( $\dot{e} \pi i)$. When engaged in offering my prayers. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi i$ here blends the local with the temporal sense.

Prayers ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). The more general term, and limited to prayer to God; while $\delta$ ধ́ $\eta \sigma \iota s$ petitionary prayer, supplica-

[^5]tion, may be addressed to man. Paul alone associates the two words. See Philip. iv. 6; Eph. vi. 18. In classical Greek the word does not occur in the sense of prayer. It is. found in later Greek, meaning a place for prayer, in which sense it appears in Acts xvi. 13, 16. It signified either a. synagogue, or an open praying-place outside of a city.*
3. Without ceasing (ád $\delta a \lambda \epsilon l \pi \tau \omega \varsigma)$. $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$. In LXX see: 1 Macc. xii. 11; 2 Macc. iii. 26; ix. 4; xiii. 12; xv. 7; 3 Macc. vi. 33. Should be construed with making mention, not with remembering, as A. V. and Rev. The salutations of Paul reproduce ordinary conventional forms of greeting. Thus the familiar Greek greeting xalpes be joyful, hail, welcome, appears in $\chi^{\text {ápıs }}$ grace. This was perceived by Theodore of Mopsuestia ( $350-428$ A.D.), who, in his commentary on Ephesians, says that in the preface to that letter Paul does very much as we do when we say "So and'so to So and so, greeting" ( $\delta \delta \epsilon i v a ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \iota ~ \chi a i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu)$. Deissmann gives some interesting parallels from ancient papyri. For instance, a letter dated 172 b.c., from an Egyptian lady to her brother or husband: "Isias to her brother Hephaestion, greeting ( $\chi a i \rho \epsilon \ell \nu$ ). If you are well, and other things happen as you would wish, it would be in accordance with my constant. prayer to the gods. I myself am well, and the boy; and all. at home make constant remembrance of you." Comp. Rom. i. 9 ; Eph. i. 16; Philem. 4. Again: "Ammonios to his sister Tachnumi, abundant greeting ( $\tau a ̀$ à $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̈ \sigma \tau a ~ \chi a i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu) . ~ . ~$ Before all things, I pray that you may be in health; and each day I make the act of worship for you." In these

[^6]specimens the conventional salutations in correspondence include the general greeting ( $\chi a i \rho \epsilon \tau \nu$ ) and the statement that prayer is made for the correspondent's welfare; and the words constant and daily are attached to the act of prayer. It is further to be noticed that many passages of Paul's Epistles give evidence of having been shaped by expressions in letters received by him from the parties he is addressing. In his answer he gives them back their own words, as is common in correspondence. Thus, making mention of you and remembering your work, etc., together with the statement that Timothy reports that you have a good remembrance of $u s$ (ch. iii. 6), all together suggest that Paul had before him, when writing to the Thessalonians, a letter which Timothy had brought from them. Other instances will be noted as they occur.*
 "E $\rho$ yov work, may mean either the act, the simple transaction, or the process of dealing with anything, or the result of the dealing, - as a book or a picture is called a work. Kómos labour, from кóттєєц to strike or hew; hence, laborious, painful exertion. ' $\Upsilon \pi o \mu o v \grave{\eta}$ patience, patient endurance and faithful persistence in toil and suffering. See on 2 Pet. i. 6; Jas. v. 7. The genitives, of faith, love, hope, mark the generating principles of the work and labour and patience, which set their stamp upon each; thus, work which springs. from faith, and is characteristic of faith. The phrase patience of hope is found only here; but see Rom. v. 4; viii. 25 ;
 LXX, see 1 Chron. xxix. 15 ; Job xiv. 19; Ps. ix. 18 ; xxxviii. 7; Jer. xiv. 8. We have here the great triad of Christian graces, corresponding to 1 Cor. xiii. Hope is prominent throughout the two Epistles. The triad appears, 1 Th. v. 8 ; Gal. v. 5, 6 ; 1 Cor. xiii. 13 ; Eph. iv. 2-5;

[^7]Col. i. 4, 5 ; Heb. x. 22-24; 1 Pet. i. 21-22. Comp. 1 Th. ii. 9 ; v. 8 ; 2 Th. iii. 5,8 ; 1 Cor. xv. 10,58 ; 2 Cor. xi. 27 ; Apoc. ii. 2.

In our Lord, etc. ( $\quad \boldsymbol{v} \hat{v} \kappa v \rho i ́ o v$ ). Lit. of our Lord. For a similar use of the genitive, see J. v. 42 ; 1 J. ii. 5,15 ; Acts ix. 31; Rom. i. 5; iii. 18, 22, 26, etc. Connect with hope only.

Before our God and Father. Const. with remembering, and comp. ch. ii. 19; iii. 9.
4. Election of God. Incorrect. Const. of or by (ívì) God with beloved. 'Er $\begin{gathered}\text { arỳ election, in N. T., mostly by Paul. }\end{gathered}$ Elsewhere only Acts ix. 15, and 2 Pet. i. 10. This, and
 elect, are used of God's selection of men or agencies for special missions or attainments ; but neither here nor elsewhere in the N. T. is there any warrant for the revolting doctrine that God has predestined a definite number of mankind to eternal life, and the rest to eternal destruction.* The sense in this passage appears to be defined by the succeeding context. The Thessalonians had been chosen to be members of the Christian church, and their oonduct had justified the choice. See vv. 5-10.
5. For ( ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ ). Incorrect. Rend. how that. It is explanatory of your election. For similar usage see 1 Cor. i. 26.

Our gospel. The gospel as preached by Paul and his colleagues. Comp. Rom. ii. 16; xvi. 25; Gal. i. 11; ii. 2 ; 1 Th. ii. 4. My gospel is sometimes used in connection with an emphasis upon some particular feature of the gospel, as in Rom. ii. 16, where Paul is speaking of the judgment of the world by Christ; or in Rom. xvi. 25, where he is referring to the extension of the messianic kingdom to the Gentiles.

[^8]In word ( $\bar{\nu} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega)$. The gospel did not appeal to them as mere eloquent and learned discourse.
 and conviction : not power as displayed in miracles, at least not principally, although miraculous demonstrations may be included. Paul rarely alludes to his power of working miracles.

Assurance ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi \circ \rho i a)$. Assured persuasion of the preacher that the message was divine. The word not in preChristian Greek writers, nor in LXX. Only in one other passage in Paul, Col. ii. 2. See Heb. vi. 11; x. 22.

We were ( $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu\right)$. More correctly, we shewed or proved ourselves.
6. Followers ( $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau a i$ ). More literally and better, imitators. Only once outside of Paul's writings, Heb. vi. 12. Comp. 1 Th. iii. 9 ; 2 Th. iii. 7 ; 1 Cor. iv. 16 ; xi. 1 ; Gal. iv. 12 ; Philip. iii. 17 ; iv. 9.

And of the Lord. Guarding against any possible imputation of self-assertion or conceit. Comp. 1 Cor. xi. 1.

Tribulation ( $\theta \lambda /\langle\nmid \epsilon t$ ). See on Matt. xiii. 21. Referring especially to persecutions at the hands of the Jews (Acts xvii. 5 ff .), which probably continued after Paul's departure from Thessalonica.
7. An ensample ( $т$ úmov). See on 1 Pet. v. 3.

Macedonia and Achaia. Shortly after 146 b.c., all Greece south of Macedonia and Epirus was formed into a Roman province under the name of Achaia, and Macedonia with Epirus into another province called Macedonia.
8. Hath sounded forth ( $\epsilon \xi \eta \chi^{\prime} \chi \eta \tau a \iota$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. LXX Joel iii. 14 ; Sir. xl. 13, of thunder; 3 Macc. iii. 2, of a report. It means a loud, unmistakable proclamation.

$$
\text { voL. Iv. }-2
$$

The word of the Lord ( $\dot{o}$ 入óros $\tau o \hat{v}$ кupiov). The phrase in Paul only in these Epistles. Comp. 2 Th. iii. 1; iv. 15. Comparatively frequent in Acts. Paul has $\lambda$ óvos $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ or тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ word of God, eight times, and $\lambda$ dóyos tov̂ रpıatov̂ word of the Christ, once, Col. iii. 16. The meaning here is the gospel, regarded either as the message proceeding from the Lord, or concerning him. It is the cuaryé $\lambda \iota o \nu \theta \in o \hat{v}$ the gospel of God: see ch. ii. 2, 8, 9 ; Rom. i. 1; xv. 16; 2 Cor. xi. 7. As Professor Sanday remarks on Rom. i. 1, "it is probably a mistake in these cases to restrict the force of the genitive to one particular aspect: all aspects are included in which the gospel is in any way related to God and Christ."

In every place. A rhetorical exaggeration, signifying the whole known world. It is explained by the extensive commercial relations of Thessalonica. Comp. Rom. i. 8; Col. i. 6, 23 ; 2 Cor. ii. 14.

Is spread abroad ( $\epsilon \xi \in \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu)$. Lit. and better, has gone forth.*
9. They themselves shew (aỉroì $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu)$. They themselves in contrast with we, ver. 8. We need not speak of anything : they themselves volunteer testimony to your faith. Shew, more correctly announce or report. $\dagger$

[^9]Entering in ( $\epsilon$ l $\sigma 0 \delta o \nu$ ). Comp. ch. ii. 1. The thought of ver. 5 is resumed. The repetition of the word in ch. ii. 1, and of in vain in ch. iii. 5, may point to expressions in a letter of the Thessalonians.

Unto you ( $\pi \rho \grave{o} \varsigma$ ). The preposition combines with the sense of direction that of relation and intercourse. Comp. Matt. xiii. 56 ; Mk. ix. 16; J. i. 1 ; Acts iii. 25 ; Col. iv. 5 ; Heb. ix. 20.

Ye turned unto God (è $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \neq\{a \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu) . ~ C o m p . ~$ Acts xiv. 15. The exact phrase only here. The verb is common in LXX, with both $\kappa$ úplov Lord and $\theta \epsilon$ cì God.

Idols. See on 1 Cor. viii. 3. The word would indicate that the majority of the couverts were heathen and not Jews.

Living and true ( $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \iota \kappa a i ̀ a ̀ \eta \eta \theta \iota \nu \hat{\omega})$. The only instance in N. T. of this collocation. It does not occur in O. T. For $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta_{\nu}$ òs genuine, see on J. i. 9 ; iv. 37 ; vii. 28. Mostly in the Johannine writings.
10. To wait for (ả $\nu a \mu e ́ v e \iota \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Several times in LXX, as Job ii. 9; vii. 2; Isa. lix. 11. Paul's usual word
 Philip. iii. 20.

From heaven ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad o \dot{u} \rho a \nu \omega \hat{\nu})$. Lit. from the heavens. Comp. 1 Cor. xv. 47 ; 1 Th. iv. 16 ; 2 Th. i. 7. Paul uses the unclassical plural much oftener than the singular. Although the Hebrew equivalent has no singular, the singular is almost universal in LXX, the plural occurring mostly in the Psalms. Oúpanós is from a Sanscrit word meaning to cover or encompass. The Hebrew shamayim signifies height, high district, the upper regions. Similarly we have in N.T. $\dot{e} \nu \dot{\nu} \psi\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ícous in the highest (places), Matt. xxi. 9; }\end{array}\right.$ L. ii. 14 : év $\dot{v} \psi \eta \eta$ oís in the high (places), Heb. i. 3. Paul's usage is evidently coloured by the Rabbinical conception of
a series of heavens: see 2 Cor. xii. 2; Eph. iv. 10. Some Jewish teachers held that there were seven heavens,* others three. The idea of a series of heavens appears in patristic writings, in Thomas Aquinas's doctrine of the celestial hierarchies, and in Dionysius the Areopagite. Through the scholastic theologians it passed into Dante's Paradiso with its nine heavens. $\dagger$ The words to await his Son from heaven strike the keynote of this Epistle.
 rectly, delivereth. See on Matt. i. 21. 'P $\operatorname{v} \in \sigma$ Aaı to deliver, mostly in Paul. Lit. to draw to one's self. Almost invariably with the specification of some evil or danger or enemy. $\Sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \in \iota \nu$ to save is often used in a similar sense, of deliverance from disease, from sin, or from divine wrath: see Matt. i. 21; Mk. vi. 56 ; L. viii. 36 ; Acts ii. 40 ; Rom. v. 9 : but $\sigma \omega \zeta_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ is a larger and more comprehensive term, including not only deliverance from sin and death, but investment with all the privileges and rewards of the new life in Christ.
 wrath which is coming. The wrath, absolutely, of the wrath of God, as Rom. v. 9 ; xii. $19 ; 1$ Th. ii. 16. Sometimes for the punishment which wrath inflicts, as Rom. xii. 4; Eph. v. 6; Col. iii. 6. See on J. iii. 36. The phrase wráth to come is found in Matt. iin. 7 ; L. iii. 7. Coming does not necessarily imply the thought of speedy or imminent approach, but the general tone of the Epistle points in that direction.

## CHAPTER II.

1. Was not in vain (oủ кєv̀̀ خé $\begin{array}{r}\text { ovev }) . ~ M o r e ~ a c c u r a t e l y, ~\end{array}$ hath not proved vain. Kєv̀̀ is empty. Maraia, also rendered vain, is fruitless.

[^10]2. Having suffered before ( $\pi \rho о \pi a \theta o \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Although we had suffered.

Having been shamefully entreated ( $\dot{\imath} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma)$. Comp. Matt. xxii. 6; L. xviii. 32; Acts xiv. 5. This may have been added because $\pi \rho о \pi a \theta$ óvtes alone might denote the experience of something good; but it is more probably intended as an expansion and illustration of that word. Paul's sensitiveness to personal indignity appears in the narrative in Acts xvi., which gives the historical explanation of the two words. It appears frequently in 2d Corinthians.

As ye know ( $\kappa a \theta \omega \bar{\omega}$ oí $\delta a \tau \epsilon$ ). One of the many characteristic expressions of these Epistles which indicate community of experience and sentiment on the part of Paul and his readers. See 1 Th. i. 5,8 ; ii. $1,5,10,11$; iii. $3,4,12$; iv. $1,2,6,11$; v. 1,11 ; 2 Th. ii. 16 ; iii. $1,2$. * $^{*}$

Philippi. See Acts xvi. 19-40; Philip. 1, 30.
We waxed bold (ėтapp $\quad \sigma \iota a \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). Only once elsewhere in Paul, Eph. vi. 20. Frequent in Acts. Always in N. T. in connection with speaking. Derived from $\pi a \hat{\nu}$ every, and $\dot{\rho} \eta{ }^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \iota \varsigma$ speaking. Hence $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma$ ia boldness, bold speaking out of every ward. The noun is very often used adverbially, as тaן塎ía boldly or openly, Mk. viii. 32 ; see also J. xviii. 20. In Acts always $\mu \in \tau$ à mapp $\quad \sigma i a s$ with boldness, comp. Heb. iv. 16. 'Ev mappクбla in boldness, J. vii. 4; xvi. 29; Eph. vi. 19 ; Philip. i. 20. Both the verb and the noun are found in LXX. See Lev. xxvi. 13; Prov. x. 10; Wisd. v. 1; 1 Macc. iv. 18 ; Sir. vi. 11.

In our God ( $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{Q} \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Const. with we waxed bold. Their boldness was not mere natural courage, but was inspired by God. There is a slight emphasis on our God, as

[^11]contrasted with the idols from which they had turned (ch. i. 9). The phrase only here in N. T.

Gospel of God ( $\epsilon \dot{u} a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \iota o \nu \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ). For the phrase see Mk. i. 14 ; Rom. i. 1 ; xv. 16 ; 2 Cor. xi. 7; 1 Th. ii. 8, 9 ; 1 Pet. iv. 17. It points to the monotheistic character of the gospel.

In much contention ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \iota$ ). Better conflict. Comp. Col. ii. 1; Philip. i. 27 ; 1 Tim. vi. 12 ; Heb. xii. 1. 'A $\boldsymbol{y} \dot{\omega} \nu$ originally of a contest in the arena; but it is used of any struggle, outward or inward.
3. Exhortation ( $\pi a \rho \alpha^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ). See on L. vi. 24 and 1 Cor. xiv. 3. Exhortation or counsel is Paul's usual sense.

Of deceit (éк $\pi \lambda a \dot{a} \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Better, of error. It may imply deceit as accompanying or causing error, but it does not occur in the sense of deceit. Our exhortation did not proceed from any false teaching which we had ourselves received. We were guided by "the spirit of truth"; see 1 J. iv. 6, and comp. 2 Pet. i. 16.
 xxiii. 27 of the corruption of the sepulchre. Elsewhere in N. T. of sensual impurity. See Rom. i. 24 ; 2 Cor. xii. 21 ; Eph. iv. 19. Here in the sense of impurity on the side of sordidness.* In Eph. iv. 19, Paul speaks of working uncleanness (ảкаӨapoiav) in a spirit of selfish desire ( $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \in \xi(a)$, which is the spirit of covetousness. In Eph. v. 3, uncleanness and covetousness are closely associated. Paul means that his exhortation did not proceed from greed for gain or lust for power.

In guile ( $\left.{ }^{\boldsymbol{e} \nu} \delta_{o} \lambda \omega\right)$ ). While uncleanness expresses impure purpose or motive, guile has reference to improper means;

[^12]plausible but insincere methods of winning converts; suppression of the truth; "huckstering the word of God" (see on 2 Cor. ii. 17) ; adulterating it for purposes of gain or popularity.
4. We were allowed ( $\delta \in \delta о \kappa \iota \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). More correctly, approved. See on 1 Pet. i. 7. We came and spoke to you as tested men.

Pleasing (ả á́⿱㇒木кодтє؟). As being those who seek to please. Comp. Gal. i. 10, and à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi т \dot{\rho} \rho є \sigma \kappa о \iota$ man-pleasers, Eph. vi. 6; Col. iii. 22. Comp. LXX, Ps. lii. 5: "God hath scattered the bones of men-pleasers." The fourth Psalm of Solomon is entitled: Against the men-pleasers (ávӨ $\rho \omega \pi$ арє́бкоьs).

Who proveth ( $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \iota) . ~ W o r d-p l a y ~ w i t h ~ \delta є \delta о к \iota \mu a ́ \sigma-~$ $\mu \in \theta a$ we were approved.
 $\theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu)$. Better, were we found using flattering discourse. Very literally and baldly it is, we came to pass in discourse of flattery. It means more than the mere fact that they were not flatterers: rather, they did not prove to be such in the course of their work. Similar periphrases with $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ are found, L. xxii. 44 ; Acts xxii. 17 ; 2 Cor. iii. 7 ; Philip. ii. 7; with cis, Matt. xxi. 42; Mk. xii. 10; L. xx. 17; Acts iv. 11; 1 Th. iii. 5. Koдакía flattery, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Rare in Class. $\Lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ is explained by some as report or rumour. Common report did not charge us with being flatterers. This meaning is admissible, but the other is simpler. Paul says that they had not descended to flattery in order to make the gospel acceptable. They had not flattered men's self-complacency so as to blind them to their need of the radical work which the gospel demands.

Cloke of covetousness ( $\left.\pi \rho \circ \phi a^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon o v \epsilon \xi i a s\right)$. For $\pi \rho o ́-$ фaбıs see on J. xv. 22. Properly pretext: $\pi \rho o ́$ before, $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ a word or saying. Others, less probably, from mpoфaivelv to
cause to shine forth or before. Paul means that he had not used his apostolic office to disguise or conceal avaricious designs.

God is witness ( $\theta$ єòs $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \nu \varsigma$ ). Comp. Rom. i. $9 ; 2$ Cor. i. 23 ; Philip. i. 8 ; 1 Th. ii. 10. God or the Lord is witness is a common O. T. formula: see Gen. xxxi. 44, $50 ; 1 \mathrm{Sam}$. xii. 5,6 ; xx. 23,42 ; Wisd. i. 6 . For testimony to his conduct, he appeals to the Thessalonians (as ye know) : for testimony to his motives, he appeals to God. Comp. ver. 10, where there is the double appeal.
6. Of men ( $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \mathfrak{a} \nu \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu)$. To extract glory from men.

When we might have been burdensome ( $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu$ Bápet eivat). Lit. being able to be in weight. The phrase év Bápet in weight is unique in N. T., and does not occur in LXX. The better rendering here is to be in authority. Paul means that his position as an apostle would have warranted him in asserting authority or standing on his dignity, which he did not do. Bápos weight, in the sense of influence, is found in late Greek. Paul's Epistles were called weighty ( $\beta a \rho \in \hat{\epsilon} \alpha \iota$ ), 2 Cor. x. 10 : others explain as referring to the apostolic right to exact pecuniary support.*
7. Gentle ( $\eta \pi \iota o \iota$ ). This reading is adopted by Tischendorf, Weiss, and the Rev. T. Westcott and Hort read $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota o \iota$ babes. This gives a stronger and bolder image, and one which falls in better with the course of thought, in which Paul is asserting his innocence of guile and flattery, and not of harshness.

[^13]Among you ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Better, and more literally, in the midst of you, which implies more intimate intercourse than among you. Comp. L. xxii. 27.

Nurse ( $\left.\tau \rho \circ \phi \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In Class. sometimes of a mother, and so probably here. See Gal. iv. 19.

Cherisheth ( $\theta a^{\prime} \lambda \pi \eta$ ). $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. Here and Eph. v. 29. The verb originally means to warm. See LXX, Deut. xxii. 6.

Her own children. Note the inversion of metaphor. Paul is first the babe, then the nurse or mother. For similar instances see ch. v. 2, 4 ; 2 Cor. iii. 13-16; Rom. vii. 1 ff. See Introduction to 2 Cor., Vol. III., p. xix.
8. Being affectionately desirous ( ${ }^{\delta} \mu \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Once in LXX, Job iii. 21. The figure of the nursing mother is continued. She is not satisfied with nursing the child, but interests herself affectionately in all that concerns it.

We were willing ( $\eta \dot{\delta} \delta o \kappa o \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Better, we were pleased. Imperfect tense : we continued to entertain and manifest our affectionate solicitude. The verb occasionally in later Greek, and often in LXX. In N. T. it is used of God's decrees, as L. xii. 32 ; 1 Cor. i. 21 ; Gal. i. 15; Col. i. 19; and of the free determination and plans of men, as Rom. xv. 26; 2 Cor. v. 8; 1 Th. iii. 1.

Souls ( $\psi \sim \chi$ ás). Better lives. See on Rom. xi. 3; 1 Cor. xv. 45 ; Mk. xii. 30.
9. Labour-travail (ко́тov- $\mu^{\prime} \chi \theta o \nu$ ). The two words are associated in 2 Cor. xi. 27 ; 2 Th. iii. 8. Mó $\theta^{\theta o s}$ travail, $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$. Frequent in LXX. Kótos emphasises fatigue, нó $\chi$ Oos hardship.
 $\beta a \rho \eta(\sigma a t)$. Incorrect. Rend. that we might not burden. Put you to expense for our support. Comp. 2 Th. iii. 8.
10. Holily - justly - unblameably (ó $\sigma i \omega s$ - $\delta \iota \kappa a i ́ \omega s$ $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \omega \mathrm{~s})$. For $\dot{\delta} \sigma i \omega s$ holily, see on L. i. 75; for $\delta \iota \kappa a l \omega \mathrm{~s}$ justly or righteously, on Rom. i. 17; v. 7. 'А $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ unblameably, only in these Epistles. See ch. iii. 13; v. 23. For the distinction between ö $\sigma \iota o$ and dícaıos, see Plato, Gorg. 507.
11. Comforted ( $\pi a \rho a \mu \nu \theta 0 \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \nu o l$ ). The A. V. renders the three participles in this verse as finite verbs, we exhorted, etc. Rev. retains the participial construction. Better than comforted, persuading. Persuasion is the form which the exhortation assumed. Парáк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ exhortation, and mapa $\mu \dot{\prime} \theta \iota o \nu$ persuasion, are associated in Philip. ii. 1. The verb mapa$\mu \nu$ ध́́o aat to persuade occurs only four times in N. T. See on Philip. ii. 1. Neither verb nor noun in LXX.

Charged ( $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ ). Rev. testifying; but the A.V. is more correct. Rend. charging. The verb means to conjure, or appeal to by something sacred. So Eph. iv. 17. Comp.
 v. 21; 2 Tim. ii. 14 ; iv. 1. Comp. Thucyd. vi. 80.
12. Walk ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu)$. By Paul exclusively in the metaphorical sense of behaving or conducting one's self. Similarly in Hebrews. In the Synoptic Gospels, with one exception (Mk. vii. 5), of the physical act. Both senses in the Fourth Gospel, but only the metaphorical sense in John's Epistles. Once in the metaphorical sense in Acts, xxi. 21. In LXX almost exclusively literal; but see 2 K. xx. 23; Prov. viii. 20 ; Eccl. xi. 9. The phrase $\dot{a} \xi i \omega s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i v ~ t o ~ w a l k ~ w o r t h i l y, ~ i n ~ E p h . ~$ iv. 1; Col. i. 10.
 comp. LXX, Wisd. vii. 15 ; xvi. 1; Sir. xiv. 11. The formula á $\xi i \omega s \theta_{\epsilon o \hat{v}}$ is found among the Pergamum papyri. A priest of Dionysus is described as having performed his sacred duties $\mathfrak{a} \xi i \omega s \theta_{\epsilon 0 \hat{v}}$. A priestess of Athene as having served $\dot{a} \xi i \omega s \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{v} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тatpíios worthily of the goddess and of
her fatherland. A chief herdsman as having conducted the
 chief, Dionysus. The dates of these papyri are from 141 b.c. to the beginning of the first century A.D.*

Kingdom and glory. The only instance of this collocation. God's kingdom is here conceived as present - the economy of divine grace to which the readers are called as Christians. Glory is the future consummation of that kingdom. For $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda$ cía kingdom, see on L. vi. 20. $\Delta{ }^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}$ a glory is not used in N. T. in its primary, classical sense of opinion or notion. It signifies reputation, J. xii. 43 ; Rom. ii. 7, 10 : brightness or splendour, Acts xxii. 11; Rom. ix. 4; 1 Cor. xv. 40. Glory of God expresses the sum total of the divine perfections. The idea is prominent in redemptive revelation : see Isa. lx. 1; Rom. v. 2; vi.4. It expresses the form in which God reveals himself in the economy of salvation : see Rom. ix. 23 ; Eph. i. 12; 1 Tim. i. 11. It is the means by which the redemptive work is carried on: see 2 Pet. i. 3; Rom. vi. 4 ; Eph. iii. 16; Col. i. 11. It is the goal of Christian hope : see Rom. v. 2; viii. 18, 21; Tit. ii. 13.
13. Also - we. Also may point to an expression of thanksgiving in a letter from the Thessalonians to Paul. You say "we give thanks to God." We also give thanks. Comp. ch. i. 2.

When ye received the word of God which ye heard of us ( $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ a ̉ \kappa o \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi a \rho ' ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ). Rend. when ye received the word of the message (which came) from us, even the word of God. The words the word of the message from us form one conception, governed by mapa入aßóvтes having received or when ye received; therefore from $u s$ is not to be taken as depending on having received, as Rev. when ye received from us the word, etc. Of God (supply the word) is added in order to correct any possible false impression made

[^14]by from us. 'Акои' in N. T. means the sense of hearing, as Matt. xiii. 14; 1 Cor. xii. 17; 2 Pet. ii. 8: or the organ of hearing $=$ ear, as Mk. vii. 35; L. vii. 1: or a thing heard, a report, rumour, as J. xii. 38; Rom. x. 16. The phrase גóros $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{\jmath}$ ог $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{\jmath}$ áкойs the word of hearing, or word of the message, signifies the word which is heard. Comp. Heb. iv. 2. See on the fame, L. iv. 37.

Effectually worketh (èvepreital). Referring to the word, not to God. Comp. Philip. ii. 13. In the middle voice as here, used only by Paul and James, and only of things. See Eph. iii. 20; Col. i. 29; Jas. v. 16, and footnote on Col. i. 29. The noun évéprela, $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$. It means power in exercise, and is. used only of superhuman power.
14. In Christ Jesus. Seems to be added to distinguish the Christian churches in Judaea from the synagogues of theJews, which would claim to be churches of God. Comp. Gal. i. 22, and see on ch. i. 1. In Christ Jesus, in Christ, in Jesus, in the Lord, in him, are common Pauline formulas to denote the most intimate communion with the living Christ. Thesephrases are not found in the Synoptic Gospels. 'E $\boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o c^{\prime}$ in. $m e$ (Christ) is frequent in the Fourth Gospel. The conception is that of a sphere or environment in which a Christian or a church lives, as a bird in the air, or the roots of a tree in the soil.*

Countrymen ( $\sigma v \mu \phi \nu \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Not in preChristian Greek writers. Lit. belonging to the same tribe or clan. The reference is to the Gentile persecutors who were instigated by the Jews.
15. Persecuted ( $\left.\epsilon \kappa \delta \omega \omega \xi^{a} \nu \tau \tau \nu\right)$. Rev. more literally and correctly, drave out. The word only here, though it occurs as an alternative reading, L. xi. 49. Probably with special reference to his own expulsion from Thessalonica. Acts xvii. 5-10.

[^15]Contrary to all men. Tacitus (Hist. v. 5) describes the Jews as stubborn in their faith, prompt in kindly offices to each other, but bitterly hostile toward everybody else. Juvenal (Sat. xiv. 102 f.) says that they observe and respect whatever Moses has taught in his mystical volume; not to show the way except to one who practises the same rites, and to show the well only to the circumcised.
16. To speak - that they might be saved ( $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ìva $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma t \nu)$. Not, to speak to the Gentiles in order that they might be saved, but to tell the Gentiles that they might be saved. Comp. 1 Cor. x. 33.

To fill up ( $\dot{a} \nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a l$ ). The verb means the making up of what is lacking to perfect fulness; the filling of a partial void. Comp. Philip. ii. 30. Once in LXX of filling up of sins, Gen. xv. 16. Always blind and stubborn, the Jews filled up the measure of their sins by their treatment of Christ and his apostles.

Alway ( $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau o \tau \epsilon$ ). Emphatically placed at the end of the sentence. At all times - before Christ, in Christ's time, now - the Jews by their resistance to the divine word fill up their sins.

Is come (eै $\phi \theta a \sigma \epsilon \nu)$. The verb not frequent in N. T. and used mostly by Paul. See on 2 Cor. x. 14, and comp. Rom. ix. 31; Philip. iii. 16.

To the uttermost ( $\epsilon i \stackrel{\tau}{ } \tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \mathrm{\lambda os}$ ). This is not the meaning of the phrase in N. T. It is to the end: see Matt. x. 22; xxiv. 13; L. xviii. 5; J. xiii. 1. The wrath of God had not come upon them to the uttermost. The meaning is that the divine wrath had reached the point where it passed into judgment.*

[^16] ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Rev. better, being bereaved of you. From ỏ $\rho \phi$ avós bereft. See Mk. xii. 40 ; J. xiv. 18; Jas. i. 27. The word suggests the intimate personal fellowship of the writer with his readers. The separation was like that between parents and children. Comp. vv. 7, 8.

 season of an hour. Comp. Lat. horae momentum. Stronger than the usual phrase $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ © $\begin{gathered}\rho a \nu \\ \text { for an hour: see } 2 \\ 2\end{gathered}$ Cor. vii. 8; Gal. ii. 5; Philem. 15. Comp. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \kappa a \iota \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ f o r ~ a ~ s e a s o n, ~ L . ~$ viii. 13 ; 1 Cor. vii. 5.

The more abundantly ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau e ́ \rho \omega s$ ). Rev. the more exceedingly. Paul uses this adverb very freely, and outside of his letters it appears only Heb. ii. 1; xiii. 19. He is much given to the use of comparatives, and sometimes heaps them together: see Rom. viii. 37; 2 Cor. vii. 13; iv. 17; Eph. iii. 20; Philip. i. 23.
18. We would ( $\dot{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon \lambda} \lambda_{\dot{\eta}} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Implying more than a mere inclination or desire. It was our will to come. See on Matt. i. 19.

I Paul. Not implying any less desire on the part of his associates, but emphasising his own. See on the use of the epistolary plural, ch. i. 2.

Satan ( $\Sigma a \pi a \nu a ̂ ৎ$ ). From the Aramaic Sātanā, adversary. In the canonical LXX the name appears only three times, 1 K. xi. $14,23,25$, and in each case is applied to a man.
 the translation of the Heb. Satan. Of 22 instances of

[^17]$\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \beta$ o $\lambda$ os only 9 are outside of the book of Job. From the more general conception of an adversary, there is, in the O. T., a gradual development toward that of an evil personality. For instance, in 2 Sam . xxiv. 1, the numbering of the people is ascribed to the anger of the Lord. The later historian, in 1 Chron. xxi. 1, ascribes the act to Satan. See also Job, Wisd. ii. 24 ; Zech. iii. 1. The specialising of the conception was due, in part, to the contact of the Jews with the religions of Babylon and Persia. In N. T. Satan appears as the personal spirit of evil-the same who is called the devil, the wicked one, the prince of the power of the air, the prince of this world, the serpent, the god of this world, the tempter. He tempts to evil, opposes God's work, inspires evil dispositions, torments God's people. The word Satan occurs only once in the Fourth Gospel, not in the Epistles, but often in the Apocalypse. Mark never uses $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \beta_{o} \lambda o s$, Matthew never Satan. Paul seldom סó́ßoخos, often Satan. Satan alone in Pastorals. Luke uses both. It is clear that Paul here as elsewhere employs the word in a personal sense; but any attempt to base the doctrine of a personal devil on this and similar passages is unsafe.*

Hindered (év̀écờєע). See on 1 Pet. iii. 7.
19. Hope. Used of the object of hope, as Col. i. 5; 1 Tim. i. 1; Heb. vi. 18.

Joy - crown ( $\chi$ apà - $\sigma \tau$ é $\phi a \nu o s$ ). Comp. Philip. iv. 1. The phrase crown of rejoicing or boasting, in Prov. xvi. 31; Ezek. xvi. 12; xxiii. 42. Comp. Isa. Ixxii. 3, $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a v o s ~ \kappa d ́ \lambda \lambda o u s ~$ crown of beauty, and Soph. Aj. 465, $\sigma \tau \dot{\phi} \phi$. єن̉火 $\lambda$ єías crown of renown. The Thessalonians were "a chaplet of victory of which Paul might justly make his boast in the day of the Lord" (Ellicott). For $\sigma \tau$ t́́

Coming (rapovala). See on Matt. xxiv. 3, and on émıфávéa appearing, 1 Tim. vi. 14 and 2 Th. ii. 8.

[^18]
## CHAPTER III.

1. Forbear ( $\sigma \tau$ évovtes). Lit. no longer forbearing. See on 1 Cor. ix. 12: LXX, Sir. viii. 17. For Class. parall. Soph. O. C. 15 ; Elec. 1118 ; Eurip. Hippol. 844 ; Ion 1412. He means that his longing for some personal communication from the Thessalonians became intolerable.

To be left - alone ( $\kappa a \tau a \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ — $\mu \dot{\delta} \nu 0 \iota$ ). Implying, as we sent (ver. 2) and $I$ sent (ver. 5), the previous presence of Timothy with him at Athens.
2. Our brother. Comp. 2 Cor. i. 1; Col. i. 1; Philem. 1; Rom. xvi. 23 ; 1 Cor. i. 1 ; xvi. 12.
 Not in the official sense of deacon which occurs only in the
 are common expressions of service to Christ or to men. Paul habitually uses them in this way. See Acts i. 25 ; vi. 4.
 סıáкovos of the civil magistrate, Rom. xiii. 4. See Introduction to the Pastoral Epistles.*

Fellow labourer. Omit from text. $\dagger$
To establish ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \xi a l$ ). See on L. xxii. 32; Introd. to Catholic Epistles, Vol. I., p. 625; 1 Pet. v. 10 ; 2 Pet. i. 12.
3. Moved ( $\sigma a i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ ). N.T. ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. In Class., as early as Homer, of dogs ; to wag the tail, fawn (Hom. Od. x. 217; xvi. 6). Hence of persons, to fawn or cringe. The word is apparently used here in the original sense, to be shaken. $\ddagger$

[^19]We are appointed ( $\kappa \epsilon / \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ). As L. ii. 34 (see note); Philip. i. 17. Comp. Acts xiv. 22, in which occur four of the words used here. For the thought, see Matt. v. 10; x. 17 ; xvi. 24 ; 1 Pet. ii. 21 ff.; iv. 12 ; 2 Tim. iii. 12.
5. The tempter ( $\left.\left.\dot{\delta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \rho^{\prime}\right\} \omega \nu\right)$. Only here and Matt. iv. 3. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. See on Matt. vi. 13.

In vain ( $\epsilon$ is $\kappa \epsilon \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ). The phrase only in Paul. See 2 Cor. vi. 1; Gal. ii. 2; Philip. ii. 16. The force of the preposition is fairly represented by to in the phrase to no purpose.

6. Now (ä $\rho \tau \iota$ ). See on J. xiii. 33. Const. with we were comforted (ver. 7), not with came.

Good remembrance ( $\mu \nu$ cía $\dot{a}^{\prime} \gamma a \forall \eta ̀ \nu$ ). Better kindly remembrance. Comp. Rom. v. 7 (see note); vii. 12; Tit. ii. 5; 1 Pet. ii. 18. See on ch. i. 3.
7. Affliction (ávárкı). Rev. distress. The derivation from ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \chi \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ 诸 to press tightly, to choke (Lightfoot, Ellicott) is doubtful. In the sense of urgency, distress, seldom in Class. See 1 Cor. vii. 26 ; 2 Cor. vi. 4 ; xii. 10 ; L. xxi. 23.
8. Stand fast ( $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). The sense of firm standing is derived from the context, and does not inhere in the word. In Mk. iii. 31 ; xi. 25, it means simply to stand. Comp. Philip. iv. 1. It does not occur earlier than N. T.
10. Exceedingly ( $\dot{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v)$ ). Comp. Eph. iii. 20. Paul is fond of compounds with int̀p above. Of the 28 N.T. words compounded with $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho, 22$ are found in Paul, and 20 of them only there.

Perfect (кaтaptíal). Primarily, to adjust, fit together; so mend, Matt. iv. 21. Of the creation of the world, Heb. xi. 3. See on Matt. xxi. 16 ; L. vi. 40 ; 1 Pet. v. 10 ; Rom. ix. 22. vol. iv. - 3
11. Direct (катєúӨuvaı). Lit. make straight. Only in Paul and Luke. See on L. i. 79, and comp. 2 Th. iii. 5. Frequent in LXX.
13. With all his saints ( $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma i ́ \omega \nu$ aúrov̂). Saints is often explained as angels; but the meaning is the holy and glorified people of God. Oi ávıo is uniformly used of these in N. T. and never of angels unless joined with ${ }_{a}^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \iota$. See L. ix. 26 ; Mk. viii. 38 ; Acts x. 22 . It is doubtful if oi äyıo is used of angels in LXX. Zech. xiv. 5, which is confidently cited as an instance, is quoted at the conclusion of the Didache (xvi. 7), clearly with the sense of glorified believers. "Ayıo äryє ${ }^{\prime}$. xii. 15 ; Job v. 1. Angels has no connection with anything in this Epistle, but glorified believers is closely connected with the matter which was troubling the Thessalonians. See ch. iv. 13. This does not exclude the attendance of angels on the Lord's coming (see Mk. viii. 38; L. ix. 26), but when Paul speaks of such attendance, as 2 Th. i. 7, he says, with the angels (áryé $\lambda \omega \nu$ ) of his power.

## CHAPTER IV.

1. Furthermore ( $\lambda o \iota \pi o ̀ \nu$ ). Rev. not so well, finally, although the word is sometimes rightly so rendered. The formula is often used by Paul where he attaches, in a somewhat loose way, even in the midst of an Epistle, a new subject to that which he has been discussing.
2. Commandments ( $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a s)$. Better, charges. Only four times in N. T. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. The verb тaparزé $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ to command or charge is frequent, and is often used in Class. of military orders. See Xen. Cyr. ii., 4, 2; Hdt. iii., 25.
3. Fornication. Paul wrote from Corinth, where sensuality in the guise of religion was rife. In Thessalonica, besides the ordinary licentious customs of the Gentiles,
immorality was fostered by the Cabeiric worship (see Introduction). About the time of Paul, a political sanction was given to this worship by deifying the Emperor as Cabeirus.
4. That every one of you should know how to pos-
 $\kappa \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota)$. The interpretation of vv. 3-6 usually varies between two explanations: 1. making the whole passage refer to fornication and adultery: 2. limiting this reference to vv. 3-5, and making ver. 6 refer to honesty in business. Both are wrong. The entire passage exhibits two groups of parallel clauses; the one concerning sexual, and the other business relations. Thus: 1. Abstain from fornication: deal honourably with your wives. 2. Pursue your business as holy men, not with covetous greed as the heathen: do not overreach or defraud. A comma should be placed after $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{v o s} v e s s e l$, and $\kappa \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ procure or acquire, instead of being made dependent on eídéval know, should begin a new clause. Render, that every one of you treat his own wife honorably. Eidéval is used Hebraistically in the sense of have a care for, regard, as ch. v. 12, "Know them that labour," etc.: recognise their claim to respect, and hold them in due regard.
 "gave himself no concern about anything that he had." 1 Sam. ii. 12 : the sons of Eli oúк cỉסóтes тò̀ кúpoò "paying no respect to the Lord." Ex. i. 8: Another King arose ôs oủc $\eta$ " $\delta \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma{ }^{\eta} \phi$ " who did not recognise or regard Joseph": did not remember his services and the respect in which he had been held. $\Sigma \kappa \in v \hat{o}$ s is sometimes explained as body, for which there is no evidence in N. T. In 2 Cor. iv. 7 the sense is metaphorical. Neither in LXX nor Class. does it mean body. In LXX very often of the sacred vessels of worship: sometimes, as in Class., of the accoutrements of war. In N. T. occasionally, both in singular and plural, in the general sense of appliances, furniture, tackling. See Matt. xii. 29; L. xvii. 31 ; Acts xxvii. 17 ; Heb. ix. 21. For the meaning vessel, see L. viii. 16 ; J. xix. 20; 2 Cor. iv. 7 ; Apoc. ii. 27. Here, metaphorically, for wife; comp. 1 Pet.
iii. 7. It was used for wife in the coarse and literal sense by Rabbinical writers. The admonition aptly follows the charge to abstain from fornication. On the contrary, let each one treat honorably his own wife. The common interpretation is, "as a safeguard against fornication let every one know how to procure his own wife." It is quite safe to say that such a sentence could never have proceeded from Paul. He never would have offset a charge to abstain from fornication with a counsel to be well informed in the way of obtaining a wife. When he does touch this subject, as he does in 1 Cor. vii. 2 , he says, very simply, "to avoid fornication let every man have (é $\chi \in ́ \tau \omega$ ) his own wife"; not, know how to get one. Eidéval know, as usually interpreted, is both superfluous and absurd. Besides, the question was not of procuring a wife, but of living honorably and decently with her, paying her the respect which was her right, and therefore avoiding illicit connections.

That he pursue his gain-getting in sanctification and honour ( $\kappa \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi} \kappa a \grave{i} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ ). As a holy and honorable man. The exhortation now turns to business relations. Kтâa $\theta a \iota$ cannot mean possess, as A. V. That would require the perfect tense. It means procure, acquire. Often buy, as Acts xvii. 28; LXX, Gen. xxxiii. 19; xxxix. 1; xlvii. 19 ; xlix. 30 ; Josh. xxiv. 33 ; absolutely, Ezek. vii. 12, 13.
5. Not in the lust of concupiscence ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi a \dot{a} \theta \epsilon t \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v$ $\mu i a s)$. Lit. in passion of desire. Not with avaricious greed. For $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota \theta v \mu i ́ a$ see on Mk. iv. 19. Its meaniug is by no means limited to sensual lust; see, for instance, L. xxii. 15. It is used as including all kinds of worldly desires, as Gal. v. 16, 24 ; 1 J. ii. 17. . In Rom. vii. 7, especially of covetousness.
6. That no man go beyond (тò $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a i \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ). Lit. the not going beyond. Dependent on this is the will of God, ver. 3. The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Often in LXX, mostly in the literal sense of overpassing limits. Also of overtaking, passing
by, surpassing, as in wickedness or cruelty. It is an expansion of the preceding thought. Pursue your business as holy men: do not overreach or defraud.

It is the overstepping of the line between mine and thine. It is used absolutely, being defined by the succeeding clause. The A. V. is literal, go beyond. Rev. renders transgress. Weizsäcker and Bornemann "ubergreife overreach." So Rev. margin. This last is the best.

Defraud ( $\pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu$ ). Po. See on 2 Cor. ii. 11, and covetousness, Rom. i. 29. It emphasises gain as the motive of fraud. Three times in LXX, Judg. iv. 11; Hab. ii. 9 ; Ezek. xxii. 27. Often in Class.

In any matter ( $\bar{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho a^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \tau \imath$ ). Rev. correctly, in the matter. Comp. 2 Cor. vii. 11. The sense is the business in hand, whatever it be. The $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ does not stand for tiv any. For $\pi \rho a^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$ matter, see on Matt. xviii. 19. Those who connect this clause with the preceding, explain $\tau \hat{\omega}$ as the matter just mentioned - adultery.

Avenger ( $\epsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \circ \varsigma) . \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$. Here and Rom. xiii. 4. In LXX rarely, and in the same sense as here. In this sense it occurs only in late Greek. For the warning comp. Eph. v. 6; Col. iii. 6 ; Rom. xiii. 4 ; Gal. v. 21.
 uncleanness; $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota}$ denoting aim or intention. The intention is viewed as the basis of the act ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ upon). Comp. Gal. v. 13 ; Eph. ii. 10.

In sanctification ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ ). Note the change of preposition. Sanctification is the characteristic life-element of the Christian, in which he is to live. Comp. in peace, 1 Cor. vii. 15 ; in hope, Eph. iv. 4.
8. Despiseth $(\dot{a} \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Better, rejecteth. Setteth aside. Comp. Gal. ii. 21 ; iii. 15 ; 1 Cor. i. 19. Used in N. T. both of persons and things.

His Holy Spirit ( $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ aủzov̂ $\tau \grave{o}$ ä $\gamma \iota o \nu$ ). Solemn and emphatic: His Spirit, the holy. Similarly, Acts xv. 8, 28; xix. 6 ; xx. 23 ; Eph. i. 13 ; iv. 30.
9. Taught of God ( $\theta$ єo $\delta \delta \delta a \kappa \tau o \iota)$. N.T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Not in Class.
11. Study ( $\phi i \lambda o \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a l$ ). $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. Make it your aim. Comp. Rom. xv. 20 (see note); 2 Cor. v. 9. Often in Class. Lit. to be fond of honour: hence to strive for honour, to be ambitious.

To be quiet ( $\left.\dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon t \nu\right)$. Note the paradox, strive to be quiet. For similar instances see Rom. i. 20, unseen things clearly seen: Rom. i. 22, wise, be fooled (comp. Horace, Od. $1,34,2$, insaniens sapientia) : 2 Cor. viii. 2 , poverty abounded unto riches: 2 Cor. vii. 10, repentance, not to be repented of. The disturbances rebuked in the second Epistle may have begun to show themselves, so that there is a possible allusion to the idle busybodies of 2 Th . iii. 11.
12. Honestly ( $\left.\epsilon \dot{j} \sigma \chi \eta \mu{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \varsigma\right)$. $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. Better, seemly. From ev well and $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ figure or fashion. The literal sense is suggested by the familiar phrase in good form. The contrast appears in áтáктшs disorderly, 2 Th. iii. 6. Paul has in view the impression to be made by his readers on those outside of the church. See on Rom. xiii. 13, and comp. 1 Cor. xiv. 40.

Of nothing ( $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s$ ). Either neuter, of nothing, or masculine, of no man. In the latter case it would refer to depending upon others for their support, which some, in view of the immediately expected parousia, were disposed to do, neglecting their own business.
13. I would not have you to be ignorant (ov̉ $\theta$ '́̉ouev $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s \dot{a} \gamma \nu o \in i ̂ \nu)$. The Greek is, we would not, etc. A formula often used by Paul to call special attention to what he is about to say. See Rom. i. 13 ; xi. 25; 1 Cor. x. 1, etc. He
employs several similar expressions for the same purpose, as
 ii. 1) : $\gamma \nu \omega \rho / \zeta \omega \dot{v} \mu i \nu I$ I declare unto you ( 1 Cor. xv. 1; 2 Cor. viii. 1; Gal. i. 11) : $\gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ i $\mu \hat{a s}$ ßov́донaı I would have you know (Philip. i. 12).

Them which are asleep ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa o \iota \mu \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ ). Or, who are sleeping. See on Acts vii. 60; 2 Pet. iii. 4, and comp. 1 Cor. vii. 39 ; xi. 30 ; xv. $6,18,20,51$; J. xi. 11, etc. The dead members of the Thessalonian church.

Ye sorrow ( $\lambda \nu \pi \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). Opinions differ as to the possible ground of this sorrow. According to some, the Thessalonians supposed that eternal life belonged only to such as should be found alive at the parousia, and therefore that those already dead would not share the blessings of the second advent. Others, assuming an interval between the advent and the general resurrection, think that the Thessalonians were anxious lest their brethren who died before the advent would be raised only at the general resurrection, and therefore would not share the blessings of communion with the Lord during the millennial reign. It is impossible to decide the question from Paul's words, since he does not argue, but orily consoles. The value of his consolation does not depend upon the answer to the question whether the departed saints shall first be raised up at the general resurrection, or at a previous resurrection of believers only. The Thessalonians were plainly distressed at the thought of separation from their departed brethren, and had partially lost sight of the elements of the Christian hope - reunion with them and fellowship with the Lord. These elements Paul emphasises in his answer. The resurrection of Jesus involves the resurrection of believers. The living and the dead Christians shall alike be with the Lord.

Others (oi 入oıroi). More correctly, the rest. Paul makes a sharp distinction between Christians and all others.

Who have no hope. Only believers have hope of life after death. The speculations and surmisings of pagan philosophy do not amount to a hope.
14. Them also which sleep in Jesus will God bring
 à̉ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ).
(1) Which sleep should be, which have been laid asleep or have fallen asleep, giving the force of the passive.
(2) $\Delta \iota a ̀$ tồ ${ }^{\prime}$ I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ can by no possibility be rendered in Jesus, which would be é̀ $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂: ~ s e e ~ 1 ~ C o r . ~ x v . ~ 18 ; ~ 1 ~ T h . ~ . ~$ iv. 16. It must mean through or by means of Jesus.
(3) The attempt to construe $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ' I ~ \eta \sigma o v ̂ ~ w i t h ~ r o v ̀ s ~ c o l-~$ $\mu \eta \theta$ évtas those who have fallen asleep by means of Jesus, gives an awkward and forced interpretation. It has been explained by supposing a reference to martyrs who have died by Jesus; because of their faith in him. In that case we should expect the accusative, סıà còv 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu$ on account of or for the sake of Jesus. Moreover Paul is not accentuating that idea. Kot$\mu \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau a s$ would be universally understood by the church as referring to the death of Christians, so that by Jesus would be superfluous.
(4) $\Delta \iota a ̀ \tau o v ̂ ' I \eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ should be construed with $\mathfrak{a} \xi \in \iota$ will bring. Rend. the whole: them also that are fallen asleep will God through Jesus bring with him. Jesus is thus represented as the agent of the resurrection. See 1 Cor. xv. 21 ; J. v. 28; vi. 39, 44, 54. Bring (ă̧ $\xi \iota$ ) is used instead of é $\gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath ̂}$ shall raise up, because the thought of separation was prominent in the minds of the Thessalonians.
15. By the word of the Lord ( $\left.\epsilon \nu \lambda o{ }^{2} \varphi \boldsymbol{\varphi} \kappa \nu \rho i o v\right)$. Or in the word. $\Lambda$ óyos of a concrete saying, Rom. ix. 9; xiii. 9. We do not say this on our own authority. Comp. 1 Cor. vii. 10, 12, 25. No recorded saying of the Lord answers to this reference. It may refer to a saying transmitted orally, or to a direct revelation to Paul. Comp. Gal. i. 12; ii. 2; Eph. iii. 3; 2 Cor. xii. 1, 9.

Remain ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \sigma{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ ). $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. and only in this Epistle. The plural we indicates that Paul himself expected to be alive at the parousia.*

Shall not prevent (oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi \theta \dot{a} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). The A. V. misses the force of the double negative - shall in no wise prevent. Prevent in the older sense of anticipate, be beforehand with. See on Matt. xvii. 25, and 1 Th. ii. 16. The living shall not share the blessings of the advent sooner than the dead in Christ.
16. The word of the Lord, ver. 15 , is apparently not intended to include the specific details which follow. In that word the revelation was to the effect that all believers simultaneously should share the blessings of the advent. The following description of the Lord's descent from heaven is intended to emphasise the fact that the reunion of dead and living believers will be accomplished by the Lord in person (aùtòs). "O $\mathrm{O} \iota$ does not indicate the contents of the word of the Lord (that, as A. V.), but means for or because; and the details are meant to strengthen the more general declaration of ver. 15. In the details themselves there are traces of certain O. T. theophanies, as Exod. xix. 11-18; Mic. i. 3. $\dagger$

Shall descend from heaven. Used nowhere else of Christ's second coming. Frequently in the Fourth Gospel, of Christ's descent to earth as man. See iii. 13 ; vi. $33,38,41$, etc. In Eph. iv. 9, of his descent by the Spirit in order to endow the church.

[^20]With a shout ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \mu a t \iota)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Once in LXX, Prov. xxiv. 62 (English Bib. xxx. 27). From $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \in u ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ to summon. Often in Class. Lit. a shout of command, as of a general to his army, an admiral to his oarsmen, or a charioteer to his horses.

Archangel ( $\dot{a} \rho \chi a \gamma \gamma \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \lambda o v$ ). Only here and Jude 9. Not in O. T. The Pauline angelology shows traces of Rabbinical teachings in the idea of orders of angels. See Eph. i. 21; Col. i. 16; Rom. viii. 38. The archangels appear in the apocryphal literature. In the Book of Enoch (see on Jude 14) four are named, Michael, Uriel, Raphael, and Gabriel. Michael is set over the tree which, at the time of the great judgment, will be given over to the righteous and humble, and from the fruit of which life will be given to the elect. In Tob. xii. 15, Raphael appears as one of the seven. holy angels. Comp. Apoc. viii. 2. See also on Jude 9, and comp. Dan. xii. 1.*

With the trump of God (e่ $\nu \sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \iota \theta \in o \hat{v}$ ). For the trumpet heralding great manifestations of God, see Ex. xix. 13, 16 ; Ps. xlvii. 5 ; Isa. xxvii. 13 ; Zech. ix. 14 ; Zeph. i. 16; Joel ii. 1; Matt. xxiv. 31 ; 1 Cor. xv. 52 ; Apoc. i. 10; iv. 1. Of God does not indicate the size or loudness of the trumpet, but merely that it is used in God's service. Comp. harps of God, Apoc. xv. 2 ; musical instruments of God, 1 Chron. xvi. 42. The later Jews believed that God would use a trumpet to raise the dead.
17. Together with them (ä $\mu a \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ à̇rois). " $\mathrm{A} \mu a$ at the same time, referring to the living. We that are alive shall simultaneously or one and all (comp. Rom. iii. 12) be caught up. Sìv aưroîs along with them, i.e., the dead. Thus áa $\mu$ is to be const. with shall be caught up. The A. V. and Rev. are inaccurate. $\dagger$ These are the important words as related to the disquietude of the Thessalonians.

[^21]Shall be caught up ( $\dot{a} \rho \pi a \gamma \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). By a swift, resistless, divine energy. Comp. 2 Cor. xii. 2, 4 ; Acts viii. 39 .

In the air (eis áépa). Rend. into the air, and const. with shall be caught up. 'À̀p the atmosphere with the clouds, as distinguished from aitìp the pure ether, which does not occur in N. T.

And so. After having met the Lord.

## CHAPTER V.

1. Times - seasons ( $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \omega \nu$ - $\kappa a \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$. See on Acts i. 7. With special reference to the Lord's coming. The plural is used because Paul is thinking of a number of incidents attending the preparation and accomplishment of the second advent, and occurring at different times. The collocation times and seasons only here and Acts i. 7. Katpós is the suitable time, xpóvos the time measured by duration. Hence кaıpós a juncture, an occasion, as Matt. xvi. 3. The distinction is so well marked that we have the phrases $\chi$ póvou кaı $\rho o ́ s$ the right moment of the time, and єüкаl $\rho o s$ $\chi \rho o v_{0}$ the opportune moment. See Soph. Elec. 1292.

## 2. Perfectly (ảк $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \iota \beta \hat{\omega} \varsigma) . \quad$ See on L. i. 3.

The day of the Lord ( $\dot{\eta} \mu$ é $\rho a \kappa$ кирiov). The day of Christ's second coming. In Paul's Epistles this is expressed by $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} p a$ the day, absolutely, 1 Th. v. 4 ; 1 Cor. iii. 13 ; Rom. xiii. 12 : $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \grave{~ e ́ к \epsilon i \nu \eta ~ t h a t ~ d a y, ~} 2$ Th. i. $10: \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{v}$ the day of Christ, Philip. i. 10; ii. 16: $\dot{\eta} \mu \notin \rho a ~ \kappa v \rho i ́ o v ~ o r ~ \tau o u ̂ . ~$. кvplov day of the Lord, 1 Cor. v. 5 ; 1 Th. v. 2 ; 2 Th. ii. 2 :
to allow the separation of the two words. This is not the case. Liddell and Scott give only one instance, Eurip. Ion, 717. They give one other with $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$, Plato, Critias, 110 A, but here the words are separated. "Mythology and antiquarian research come together ( $a \mu a$ ) into the cities, along with ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ) leisure."
 14. These expressions refer to a definite time when the Lord is expected to appear, and Paul expects this appearance soon. Attempts to evade this by referring such expressions. to the day of death, or to the advance toward perfection after death until the final judgment, are forced, and are shaped by dogmatic conceptions of the nature of Biblical inspiration.* In the O. T. the phrase day of the Lord denotes a time in which God will conspicuonsly manifest his. power and goodness or his penal justice. See Isa. ii. 12; Ezek. xiii. 5; Joel i. 15; ii. 11; and comp. Rom. ii. 5. The whole class of phrases is rare in N. T. outside of Paul's. Epistles.

As a thief ( $\dot{\omega} \leqslant \kappa \bar{\epsilon} \pi \tau \tau \eta$ ). Comp. Matt. xxiv. 43 ; L. xii. 39 ; 2 Pet. iii. 10; Apoc. xvi. 15, and see on Apoc. iii. 3.

In the night (e่v $\nu v \kappa \tau \tau$ ). The ancient church held that the advent was to be expected at night, on an Easter eve. This gave rise to the custom of vigils. Jerome, on Matt. xxv. 6, says: "It is a tradition of the Jews that Messiah will come at midnight, after the likeuess of that season in Egypt when the Passover was celebrated, and the Destroyer came, and the Lord passed over the dwellings. I think that. this idea was perpetuated in the apostolic custom, that, on the day of vigils, at the Pascha, it was not allowed to dismiss the people before midnight, since they expected the advent of Christ."

It is noteworthy how many of the gospel lessons on watchfulness are associated with the night and a visit by night. See Matt. xxiv. 43 ; xxv. 1-13 ; Mk. xiii. 35 ; L. xii. 35,38 ; xvii. 34 ; xii. 20.
3. When they shall say. The prediction is thrown into dramatic form.

[^22]Cometh upon (èmíqचataı). See L. xxi. 34, 36. Often in N. 'T. of a person coming suddenly upon another; as L. ii. 9 ; xxiv. 4; Acts iv. 1; xii. 7.

Travail ( $\omega \delta i \nu$ ). Birth-throe. Only here in its literal sense. Elsewhere as a strong figure of sorrow or pain. See Matt. xxiv. 8; Mk. xiii. 8; Acts ii. 24. For the figure in O. T. see Isa. xiii. 6-8 ; xxxvii. 3; Mic. iv. 9; Hos. xiii. 3; Jer. xiii. 21.

Shall not escape (oủ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ é $\kappa \phi \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). A. V. misses the force of the double negative. They shall in no wise escape.
4. Overtake ( $\kappa a \tau a \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta \eta$ ). See on comprehended, J. i. 5.

A thief ( $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \pi \tau \eta$ ). Tischendorf, Weiss, and Rev. T. retain this reading. Westcott and Hort read $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \tau a s$ thieves, but with $\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\pi \tau \eta$ s in margin. The weight of textual evidence is in favour of the singular.
5. Ye are all. In the text yà $\rho$ for should be inserted after $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau e s$ all. Ye are not in darkness for ye are sons of light.

Children of light (vioi фwtós). More correctly, sons of light. See on Mk. iii. 17, and comp. L. xvi. 8; J. xii. 36 ; Eph. v. 8; Col. i. 12. The Christian condition is habitually associated in N. T. with light : see Matt. v. 14, 16; J. iii. 21 ; viii. 12 ; Acts xxvi. 18; 1 Pet. ii. 9; 1 J. i. 7. The contrary condition with darkness : see J. iii. 19, 20 ; Eph. v. 8 ; 1 Pet. ii. 9 ; Matt. iv. 16 ; vi. 23 , etc.

Of the night - of darkness ( $\nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s-\sigma \kappa o ́ \tau o u s)$. The genitive marks an advance of thought from év $\sigma \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon 1$ in darkness, ver. 4. 'E $\nu$ indicates the element in which one is. The genitive, of darkness, points to nature and origin. To belong to darkness is more than to be in darkness.
6. Others (oi $\lambda o \iota \pi o i$ ). The rest, as ch. iv. 13.

Let us watch ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). See on Mk. xiii. 35, and comp. Eph. v. 14.

Be sober ( $\nu \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Primarily in a physical sense, as opposed to excess in drink, but passing into the ethical sense of calm, collected, circumspect. Alert wakefulness and calm assurance will prevent their being surprised and confused by the Lord's coming, as by a thief in the night.
7. Be drunken ( $\mu \epsilon \theta v \sigma \kappa \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ ). Lit. who are made $d r u n k$ or get drunk. See on J. ii. 10. In N. T. always of intoxication. In LXX, the Heb. shekar strong drink is several times rendered by $\mu \epsilon \in \theta \sigma \mu a$; Judg. xiii. 4, 7; 1 Sam. i. 11, 15.
8. Putting on (è $\bar{\delta} \delta \sigma \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \partial \iota$ ). The son of day clothes himself for the day's work or battle. The same association of ideas as in vv. 6, 8, is found in Rom. xiii. 12-14; Apoc. xvi. 15 ; 1 Pet. i. 13. Comp. LXX, Bar. v. 2.

Breastplate - helmet. Comp. Eph. vi. 14. The figures. are not original with Paul. See Isa. lix. 17; Wisd. v. 18, 19. Notice that only defensive armour is mentioned, in accordance with the darkness and uncertainty of the last time; and that the fundamental elements of Christian character, faith, hope, and love, are brought forward again as in ch.i. 3; 1 Cor. xiii. 13. For the figure of the armed soldier, comp. also Rom. xiii. 12 ; 2 Cor. x. 4.
9. For (of $\frac{f}{c}$ ). Special emphasis is laid on the hope of salvation. The exhortation to put it on is enforced by the fact that God's appointment is to salvation and not to wrath.

To obtain (eis $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o i n \sigma \iota \nu$ ). More literally, unto the obtaining. See on Eph. i. 14. In three out of five instances in N. T. the word clearly means acquiring or obtaining. In Eph. i. 14 and 1 Pet. ii. 9, it is sometimes rendered possession (so Rev.). But in Ephesians the meaning is redemption or acquisition, or redemption which will give possession; and in

1st Peter a people for acquisition. The meaning here is that we might obtain. Comp. LXX, Mal. iii. 17.
10. Who died. Frequently the resurrection is coupled with the death of Christ by Paul, as ch. iv. 14; Philip. iii. 10 ; Col. ii. 12; iii. 1-4. Not so here; but the thought of resurrection is supplied in live together with him.

Wake or sleep. Whether we are alive or dead at Christ's appearing. Comp. Rom. xiv. 9. Ka $\theta \in \dot{v} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ in N. T. always literally of sleep, except here, and possibly Eph. v. 14. In Mk. v. 39 ; L. viii. 52, it is contrasted with death. In LXX in the sense of death, Ps. lxxxvii. 5; Dan. xii. 2; 2 Sam. vii. 12.
11. Comfort (таракалєі̂тє). Rev. renders exhort; but comfort suits better the general drift of the passage, and corresponds with ch. iv. 18. There is some force in Bornemann's suggestion that the two meanings may be combined. Exhort each other to be of good heart.

Edify (oiкoסoueitc). Lit. build up. See on Acts xx. 32. The metaphorical sense habitually in Paul. See 1 Cor. viii. 1,10 ; x. 23 ; xiv. 4 ; Eph. ii. 20 . In O. T. mostly in the literal sense. See however LXX, Ruth iv. 11; Ps. xxvii. 5; lxxxviii. 2; Jer. xxxi. 4.
12. Know (eí̇́́val). See on ch. iv. 4. Recognise them for what they are, and as entitled to respect because of their office. Comp. é $\pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ acknowledge, 1 Cor. xvi. 18 ; and ধ่ $\gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \eta \mathrm{s}$ takest knowledgé, LXX, Ps. cxliii. 3. Ignatius,
 ciate and honor him.
 See on Rom. xii. 8. Used of superintendents of households, 1 Tim. iii. $4,5,12$ : of the ruling of elders of the church, 1 Tim. v. 17. It does not indicate a particular ecclesiastical
office, but is used functionally. The ecclesiastical nomenclature of the Pauline Epistles is unsettled, corresponding with the fact that the primitive church was not a homogeneous body throughout christendom. The primitive Pauline church consisted of a number of separate fraternities which were self-governing. The recognition of those who ministered to the congregations depended on the free choice of their members. See for instance 1 Cor. xvi. 15, 16. The congregation exercised discipline and gave judgment : 1 Cor. v. 3-5; 2 Cor. ii. 6, 7 ; vii. 11, 12; Gal. vi. 1.
 on Acts xx. 31, and comp. ver. 14 ; Rom. xv. 14; 1 Cor. iv. 14 ; Col. i. 28.
13. Esteem ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ ). Primarily to lead, which is the only sense in the Gospels and Acts, except Acts xxvi. 2, in a speech of Paul. To lead the mind through a reasoning process to a conclusion, and so to think, to estimate. Only in this sense by Paul, Peter, and James. See 2 Cor. ix. 5 ; Philip. ii. 3; Jas. i. 2; 2 Pet. iii. 9. In both senses in Hebrews. See x. 29 ; xiii. 7.

Very highly in love. Const. very highly with esteem. In love qualifies both words.*
 for their superintendents is not to rest only on personal attachment or respect for their position, but on intelligent and sympathetic appreciation of their work. It is a good and much-needed lesson for the modern congregation no less than for the Thessalonian church.
 A.V. is more vigorous and less stilted than Rev. disorderly. From á not and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ draw up or arrange. Those who are

[^23]out of line. Comp. the adverb à ááктшs disorderly, 2 Th . iii. 6,11 . Probably referring to the idlers and busybodies described there.

Feeble-minded (ỏ $\left.\lambda \iota y o \psi v \chi^{\prime} o v s\right)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Better fainthearted. 'O $\lambda$ íyos little and $\psi \nu \chi \grave{\eta}$ ' soul. Those of little heart. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. In LXX see Prov. xiv. 29; Isa. xxv. 5; liv. 6; lvii. 15. 'Oגıyoчuxla faint-heartedness, ${ }^{\circ}$ N. T. LXX, Ex. vi. 9 ; Ps. liv. 8. Comp. Ps. of Sol., xvi. 11.

Support (ả $\left.\nu \tau \in ́ \chi \neq \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}\right) . \quad$ Comp. Matt. vi. 24; Tit. i. 9.
 sense is, keeping one's self directly opposite to another so as to sustain him.
15. That which is good (тò ázatòv). Not to be limited to profitable, beneficent (as Lightfoot, Lünemann), although $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta$ ós commonly includes a corresponding beneficent relation of its subject to another subject, which is emphasised here by to all men. See on Rom. v. 7. It may also include what is absolutely, morally good, as Rom. ii. 10. So Heb. xiii. 21 ; 1 Pet. iii. 11 ; Rom. vii. 18.
17. Without ceasing (á $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon / \pi \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Comp. Rom. i. 9 ; xii. 12; Eph. vi. 18; Col. iv. 2.
18. Will ( $\left.\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda_{\eta} \eta a\right)$. In the sense of requirement. Comp. ch. iv. 3.
19. Quench not the Spirit. . Since he is the inspirer of prayer, and the bestower of all gifts of grace on the Church. Comp. Eph. iv. 30. The operation of the Spirit is set forth under the image of fire in Matt. iii. 11; L. xii. 49 ; Acts ii. 3,4 . The reference here is to the work of the Spirit generally, and not specially to his inspiration of prayer or prophecy.
20. Prophesyings ( $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a s)$. The emphasis on prophesyings corresponds with that in 1 Cor. xiv. 1-5, 22 ff . Prophecy in the apostolic church was directly inspired invoL. rv. -4
struction, exhortation, or warning. The prophet received the truth into his own spirit which was withdrawn from earthly things and concentrated upon the spiritual world. His higher, spiritual part ( $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ ), and his moral intelligence ( $\nu 0 \hat{s_{s}}$ ), and his speech ( $\lambda$ d́yos) worked in harmony. His spirit received a spiritual truth in symbol: his understanding interpreted it in its application to actual events, and his speech uttered the interpretation. He was not ecstatically rapt out of the sphere of human intelligence, although his understanding was intensified and clarified by the phenomenal action of the Spirit upon it. This double action imparted a peculiarly elevated character to his speech. The prophetic influence was thus distinguished from the mystical ecstasy, the ecstasy of Paul when rapt into the third heaven, which affected the subject alone and was incommunicable ( 2 Cor. xii. 1-4). The gift of tongues carried the subject out of the prophetic condition in which spirit, understanding, and speech operated in concert, and into a condition in which the understanding was overpowered by the communication to the spirit, so that the spirit could not find its natural expression in rational speech, or speech begotten of the understanding, and found supernatural expression in a tongue created by the Spirit. Paul attached great value to prophecy. He places prophets next after apostles in the list of those whom God has set in the Church (1 Cor. xii. 28). He associates apostles and prophets as the foundation of the Church (Eph. ii. 20). He assigns to prophecy the precedence among spiritual gifts (1 Cor. xiv. $1-5$ ), and urges his readers to desire the gift ( 1 Cor. xiv. 1, 39). Hence his exhortation here.
 exhortation, not coufined to prophesyings; but Paul elsewhere insists that a test be applied to phenomena which claim to be supernatural. See on discerning of spirits, 1 Cor. xii. 10 ; xiv. 29 , and comp. 2 Th . ii. 2 , and 1 J . iv. 1-3. For ঠокıца́ $\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ prove, see on 1 Pet. i. 7. In LXX, Prov. xxvii. 21 ; Ps. xi. 6, סoкí $\mu$ ov is a crucible or furnace.

Hold fast that which is good (tò $\kappa a \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \tau \notin \chi \in \tau \varepsilon$ ). These words are associated in early Christian writers with an apocryphal saying ascribed to Jesus, and very frequently quoted,
 changers. By some ancient writers the two are cited together as Paul's; by others they are distinguished, as by Origen, who cites the saying as an injunction ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu)$ of Jesus, and adds, "and also (observing) the teaching of Paul, who says, 'prove all things, hold fast the good, abstain from every form of evil.'" The saying about the money-changers is probably a genuine logion of the Lord. Some have thought that the words added by Clement of Alexandria, "rejecting some things but holding fast the good," formed part of the Lord's saying, and that, accordingly, Paul's words here depend on an original utterance of Jesus. If this could be proved, ciסos form, ver. 22, might be explained as a figure of exchangers distiuguishing between genuine and false coins.*
22. Appearance ( $\epsilon$ ' $\delta o v s$ ). As commonly explaincd, abstain from everything that even looks like evil. But the word signifies form or kind. Comp. L. iii. 22 ; J. v. 37, and see nearly the same phrase in Joseph. Ant. x. 3, 1. It never has the sense of semblance. Moreover, it is impossible to abstain from everything that looks like evil.

Of evil ( $\pi ⿰ \nu \nu \eta \rho o \hat{v})$. To be taken as a nown; not as an adjective agreeing with elóous form (from every evil form). The meaning of movnoós in N. T. cannot be limited to active evil, mischief, though it often has that sense. The same is true in LXX, where it sometimes means grudging or niggardly. See Sir. xiv. 4, 5; xxxiv. 23.
23. The very God of peace (aủuòs ó $\theta$ còs $\left.\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\nu} \eta \varsigma\right)$. Better, the God of peace himself. God's work is contrasted

[^24]with human efforts to carry out the preceding injunctions. The phrase God of peace only in Paul and Hebrews. See Rom. xv. 33; xvi. 20; Philip. iv. 9 ; Heb. xiii. 20. The meaning is, God who is the source and giver of peace. Peace, in the Pauline sense, is not mere calm or tranquillity. It is always conceived as based upon reconciliation with God. God is the God of peace only to those who have ceased to be at war with him, and are at one with him. God's peace is not sentimental but moral. Hence the God of peace is the sanctifier. "Peace" is habitually used, both in the Old and New Testaments, in connection with the messianic salvation. The Messiah himself will be Peace (Mic. v. 5). Peace is associated with righteousness as a messianic blessing (Ps. lxxii. 7; lxxxv. 10). Peace, founded in reconciliation with God, is the theme of the gospel (Acts x. 36). The gospel is the gospel of peace (Eph. ii. 17; vi. 15; Rom. x. 15). Christ is the giver of peace (J. xiv. 27 ; xvi. 33).

Sanctify (áyıáбal). See on J. x. 36 ; xvii. 17. The primary idea of the word is separation. Hence äyos, the stand-
 is 1. to separate from things profane and to consecrate to God; 2. to cleanse or purify as one set apart to holy uses.

Wholly ( $\dot{\delta} \lambda_{o \tau \epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon i \hat{s})}$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. So that nothing shall escape the sanctifying power. ${ }^{*}$ Oגos complete, and té $\lambda o s$ end or consummation.

Spirit, soul, body ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\hat{\jmath}} \mu a, \psi^{v} \chi \grave{\eta}, \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ). It is useless to attempt to draw from these words a technical, psychological statement of a threefold division of the human personality. If Paul recognised any such technical division, it was more probably twofold; the body or material part, and the immaterial part with its higher and lower sides - $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ and $\psi \nu \chi \grave{\eta}$. See on Rom. vi. 6; vii. 5, 23; viii. 4; xi. 3 and footnote.

Be preserved entire ( $\dot{\left.\boldsymbol{\lambda} \delta_{\kappa}^{\prime} \lambda \eta \rho a \nu-\tau \eta \rho \eta \theta \epsilon i \eta\right) \text {. This is the }}$ rendering of Rev. and is correct. A. V. joins $\delta \lambda \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu$ with
$\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, and renders your whole spirit. 'O $\lambda_{o ́ r} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \rho o \nu$ is predicative, not attributive. It does not mean whole, but is derived from ö $\lambda$ os whole and $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ allotment, and signifies having the entire allotment; complete in all parts. It occurs only here and Jas. i. 4, where it is associated with $\tau$ é $\lambda \epsilon$ coc perfect. It appears in LXX, as Lev. xxiii. 15; Deut. xvi. 9 ; xxvii. 6. Joseph. Ant. iii. 12, 2, uses it of an unblemished victim for 'sacrifice. As distinguished from ò $\lambda о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{s}$ s wholly, ver. 23, it is qualitative, while $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ дотe入eis is quantitative. The kindred б $\lambda о \kappa \lambda \eta \rho$ ía perfect soundness, only in Acts iii. 16. For preserved see on 1 Pet. i. 4.
24. Faithful ( $\pi / \sigma \tau o ̀ s$ ). Comp. 2 Tim. ii. 13, and see on 1 J. i. 9 ; Apoc. i. 5 ; iii. 14.

That calleth ( $\delta \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ ). = the caller. The emphasis is on the person rather than on the act. Comp. Rom. ix. 11; Gal. i. 6,15 ; v. 8 ; 1 Th. ii. 12 ; 1 Pet. v. 10 ; Jas. i. 5.
26. Kiss. See on 2 Cor. xiii. 12. Comp. Rom. xvi. 16 ; 1 Cor. xvi. 20; 1 Pet. v. 14.
27. I charge (ė $\bar{\nu} \rho \kappa / \zeta \omega)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Rev. stronger and more literal, $I$ adjure. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. This strong appeal may perhaps be explained by a suspicion on Paul's part that a wrong use might be made of his name and authority (see 2 Th . ii. 2), so that it was important that his views should be made known to all. Lightfoot refers to 2 Th . iii. 17, as showing a similar feeling in his anxiety to authenticate his letter.

# THE SECOND EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS. 

## CHAPTER I.

On vo. 1, 2 , see on 1 Th . i. 1.
3. We are bound - as it is meet. The accumulation of cognate expressions indicates the apostle's earnestness.

Groweth exceedingly (ijtcpavgávet). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. See on 1 Th. iii. 10 .
4. Glory (èvacuvãöau). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. The simple verb $\kappa a v-$ $\chi^{\text {ä } \sigma \theta a t ~ t o ~ b o a s t, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ k i n d r e d ~ n o u n s ~} \kappa a v ̃ \chi \eta \mu a$ ground of boasting, and kaúरŋous act of boasting, are favorites with Paul.
5. A manifest token (eैv $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \mu a)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. eै ${ }^{2} \delta \delta \epsilon \xi \xi \zeta$, Philip. i. 28. The token is the patience and faith with which they endure persecution and tribulation. It is a token of the righteous judgment of God, in that it points to the future glory which God will confer at the final judgment, and the righteous award which will be dispensed to the persecutors. Similarly Philip. i. 28.

That ye may be counted worthy. The structure of the sentence is loose. These words should be directly connected with righteous judgment, and denote the purport of that judg-ment-their assigument to an inheritance in the kingdom of God.

Of the kingdom of God ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ e_{\epsilon 0 \hat{u}}$ ). The phrase is not frequent in Paul. Baбi入єía $\theta \epsilon \sigma \hat{\nu}$ four times; Baбı入єía тồ रpıбтой каì $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ kingdom of Christ and of God, once. Here in the eschatological sense - the future, consummated kingdom, the goal of their striving and the recompense of their suffering. See on L. vi. 20.
6. Seeing it is (ei $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ). More literally, if so be that. Confirming, in a hypothetical form, the assertion of God's judgment upon persecutors, ver. 5. It implies no doubt, but rhetorically puts a recognised fact as a supposition. So Rom. iii. 30 ; viii. 9,17 ; 1 Cor. viii. 5.
 this exception only in Paul.

With us. According to Paul's habit of identifying his experience with that of his Christian readers. See 1 Cor. iv. 8; Rom. viii. 23; Philip. i. 29, 30; ii. 18; iii. 20, 21 ; 2 Cor. i. 7 .

When the Lord Jesus shall be revealed ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{a} \pi$ ока $\lambda \dot{\sim} \psi \in \epsilon$ тov̂ $\kappa u \rho i o v '$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̃$ ). Lit. in the revelation of the Lord Jesus. For à $\pi о \kappa a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \cup \psi \iota s$ revelation, see on Apoc. i. 1.
 Lit. with the angels of his power.
8. In flaming fire ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi v \rho i$ ф $\lambda$ o oós). Lit. in a fire of flame. Comp. 1 Cor. i. 13; 2 Pet. iii. 7.

Taking vengeance ( $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau o s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \delta i ́ \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Lit. giving or rendering. Vengeance is an unfortunate rendering, as implying, in popular usage, personal vindictiveness. See on 2 Cor. vii. 11. It is the full awarding of justice to all parties.

On them that know not God - obey not the gospel (rois
 God is to know him as the one, true God as distinguished
from false gods ; to know his will, his holiness, his hatred of sin, and his saving intent toward mankind. Two words are used of such knowledge, eiס́̇́vai and $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$. Both are applied to the heathen and to Christians, and both are used of the Jews' knowledge of God. 'Eıס́́val, of heathen, Gal. iv. 8; 1 Th. iv. 5; 2 Th. i. 8. Гıขผ́бкє $\nu$, of heathen, Rom. i. 21; 1 Cor. i. 21. 'Eıסéval, of Christ and Christians, J. vii. 29 ; viii. 19,55 ; xiv. 7. Г८vต́кєєข, of Christ and Christians, Gal. iv. 9 ; 1 J. ii. 13, 14 ; iv. 6, 7, 8 ; J. x. 15 ; xvii. 3. In John, $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ of Jews who do not know the Father, J. xvi. 3 ; viii. 55 : eì̂́éval, J. vii. 28 ; viii. 19 ; xv. 21. The two ạre combined, J. i. 26 ; vii. 27 ; viii. 55 ; 2 Cor. v. 16. A distinction is asserted between $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ as knowledge grounded in personal experience, apprehension of external impressions -and ciठ́évaı purely mental perception in contrast with conjecture or knowledge derived from others. There are doubtless passages which bear out this distinction (see on J. ii. 24), but it is impossible to carry it rigidly through the N. T. In the two classes, - those who know not God and those who obey not the gospel, - it is not probable that Paul has in mind a distinction between Jews and Gentiles. The Jews were not ignorant of God, yet they are described by John as not knowing him. The Gentiles are described by Paul as knowing God, but as refusing to glorify him as God (Rom. i. 21). Paul rather describes here the subjects of God's judgment as one class, but under different aspects.
> 9. Shall be punished ( $\delta i \kappa \kappa \nu \quad$ тícovaıv). The verb (N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$ ) means to pay or render. Lit. shall pay penalty.

Everlasting destruction (ö $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$ aíćvlo $)$. The phrase nowhere else in N. T. In LXX, 4 Macc. x. 15. Rev. properly, eternal destruction. It is to be carefully noted that eternal and everlasting are not synonymous. See additional note at the end of this chapter.

From the presence (à $\pi \grave{o} \pi \rho o \sigma \omega ́ \pi o v$ ). Or face. 'A $\pi$ ò from has simply the sense of separation. Not from the time of the

Lord's appearing, nor by reason of the glory of his presence. $\Pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ is variously translated in A. V. Mostly face: also presence, Acts iii. 13, 19; v. 41 : person, Matt. xxii. 16; L. xx. 21; Gal. ii. 6 : appearance, 2 Cor. v. 12 ; x. 1 : fashion, Jas. i. 11. The formula á $\pi$ ò $\pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi o v$ or $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi$ ov occurs Acts iii. 19 ; v. 41 ; vii. 45 ; Apoc. vị. 16 ; xii. 14 ; xx. 11. In LXX, Gen. iii. 8; iv. 14, 16 ; Ex. xiv. 25, and frequently.

Glory of his power ( $\delta o ́ \xi \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ i \sigma \chi u ́ o \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂) . ~ F o r ~ g l o r y ~$ see on 1 Th. ii. 12. 'I $\sigma \chi \dot{\nu}$ s power, not often in Paul. It is indwelling power put forth or embodied, either aggressively or as an obstacle to resistance : physical power organised or working under individual direction. An army and a fortress are both $i \sigma \chi u p o ̀ s$. The power inhering in the magistrate, which is put forth in laws or judicial decisions, is io $\chi \dot{\text { is }}$, and
 the indwelling power which comes to manifestation in i $\sigma \chi \dot{\nu}$ s. The precise phrase used here does not appear elsewhere in N. T. In LXX, Isa. ii. 10, 19, 21 . The power ( $\delta$ úva $\mu$ cs) and glory of God are associated in Matt. xxiv. 30; Mk. xiii. 26 ; L. xxi. 27 ; Apoc. iv. 11; xix. 1. Comp. крátos т $\hat{\varsigma}$ סóg $\eta \mathrm{s}$ aùtov̂ strength of his glory, Col. i. 11.
 12 in N. T. Repeatedly in LXX. See Ex. xiv. 4, 17; Isa. xlv. 26. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class.
11. Wherefore ( $\epsilon$ is $\hat{\delta}$ ). Better, to which end. Comp. Col. i. 29. The end is, "that ye may be counted worthy of the kingdom of God," ver. 5. The same thought is coutinued in ver. 11.

Count-worthy ( $\dot{a} \xi t(\omega \sigma \eta)$. Comp. 1 Tim. v. 17 ; Heb. iii. 3; x. 29 .

Your calling ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Including both the act and the end of the Christian calling. Comp. Philip. iii. 14; 1 Th. ii. 12 ; Eph. iv. 1.

All the good pleasure of his goodness ( $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ evidoclay $\dot{a} y a \theta \omega \sigma \dot{\nu} \eta \mathrm{~s})$. Wrong. Paul does not mean all the goodness which God is pleased to bestow, but the delight of the Thessalonians in goodness. He prays that God may perfect their pleasure in goodness. So Weizsäcker, die Freude an allem Guten. The Rev. desire for $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta o \kappa i ́ a \nu$ is infelicitous, and lacks support. 'Ara0 $\omega \sigma$ v́v goodness ( $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. see on Rom. iii. 12) is never predicated of God in N. T. In LXX, see Neh. ix. 25, 35. 'Evסoкía good pleasure, delight, is a purely Biblical word. As related to one's self, it means contentment, satisfaction: see Sir. xxix. 23 ; Ps. of Sol. iii. 4 ; xvi. 12. As related to others, good will, benevolence. L. x. 21; Eph. i. 5, 9; Philip. i. 15 ; ii. 13 ; Ps. of Sol. viii. 39.
12. The name ( $\mathrm{to}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{\nu} 0 \mu a$ ). In no case where it is joined with Jesus, or Christ, or Lord Jesus, does it mean the title or dignity.* Paul follows O. T. usage, according to which the name of the Lord is often used for all that the name covers; so that the name of the Lord $=$ the Lord himself.

## Addimonal Note on ö $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$ aímuov eternal destruction, 2 Тн. І. 9.

'A $\omega \omega$, transliterated aeon, is a period of time of longer or shorter duration, having a beginning and an end, and complete in itself. Aristotle ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ oủ $\rho a v o \hat{v}$, i. 9,15 ) says: "The period which includes the whole time of each one's life is called the aeon of each oue." Hence it often means the life of a man, as in Homer, where one's life (aićl) is said to leave him or to consume away (Il.v. 685; Od.v. 160). It is not, however, limited to human life; it signifies any period in the course of events, as the period or age before Christ; the period of the millennium; the mythological period before the beginnings of history. The word has not "a stationary and mechanical value" (De Quincey). It does not mean a period of a fixed length for all cases. There are as many aeons as entities, the respective durations of which are fixed by the normal conditions of the several entities. There is one aeon of a human life, another of the life of a nation, another of a crow's life, another of an oak's life. The length of the aeon depends on the subject to which it is attached.

[^25]It is sometimes translated world; world representing a period or a series of periods of time. See Matt. xii. 32 ; xiii. 40,49 ; L. i. 70 ; 1 Cor. i. 20 ; ii. 6 ; Eph. i. 21. Similarly oi aîves the worlds, the universe, the aggregate of the ages or periods, and their contents which are included in the duration of the world. 1 Cor. ii. 7 ; x. 11; Heb. i. 2; ix. 26 ; xi. 3.

The word always carries the notion of time, and not of eternity. It always means a period of time. Otherwise it would be impossible to account for the plural, or for such qualifying expressions as this age, or the age to come. It does not mean something endless or everlasting. 'To deduce that meaning from its relation to $\dot{\alpha} \in i$ is absurd; for, apart from the fact that the meaning of a word is not definitely fixed by its derivation, $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon$ ' does not signify endless duration. When the writer of the Pastoral Epistles quotes the saying that the Cretans are always ( $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i$ ) liars (Tit. i. 12), he surely does not mean that the Cretans will go on lying to all eternity. See also Acts vii. $51 ; 2$ Cor. iv. 11 ; vi. 10 ; Heb. iii. 10 ; 1. Pet. iii. 15. 'A $\epsilon$ ' means habitually or continually within the limit of the subject's life. In our colloquial dialect everlastingly is used in the same way. "The boy is everlastingly tormenting me to buy him a drum."

In the New Testament the history of the world is conceived as developed through a succession of aeons. A series of such aeons precedes the introduction of a new series inaugurated by the Christian dispensation, and the end of the world and the second coming of Christ are to mark the beginning of another series. See Eph. iii. 11. Paul contemplates aeons before and after the Christian era. Eph. i. 21; ii. 7; iii. 9, 21; 1 Cor. x. 11; comp. Heb. ix. 26. He includes the series of aeons in one great aeon, $\dot{\delta}$ aìv $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ ai $\omega v \omega \nu$ the aeon of the aeons (Eph. iii. 21); and the author of the Epistle to the Hebrews describes the throne of God as enduring unto the aeon of the aeons (Heb. i. 8). The plural is also used, aeons of the aeons, signifying all the successive periods which make up the sum total of the ages collectively. Rom. xvi. 27; Gal. i. 5 ; Philip. iv. 20, etc. This plural phrase is applied by Paul to God only.

The adjective aicivios in like manner carries the idea of time. Neither the noun nor the adjective, in themselves, carry the sense of enclless or everlasting. They may acquire that sense by their connotation, as, on the other hand, âtoos, which means everlasting, has its meaning limited to a given point of time in Jude 6. 'Aı́́vıos means enduring through or pertaining to a period of time. Both the noun and the adjective are applied to limited periods. Thus the phrase cis tòv aīvva, habitually rendered forever, is often used of duration which is limited in the very nature of the case. See, for a few out of many instances, LXX, Ex. xxi. 6; xxix. 9; xxxii. 13 ; Josh. xiv. 9 ; 1 Sam. viii. 13 ; Lev. xxv. 46 ; Deut. xv. 17 ; 1 Chron. xxviii. 4. See also Matt. xxi. 19; J. xiii. 8; 1 Cor. viii. 13. The same is true of aíwlos. Out of 150 instances in LXX, four-fifths imply limited duration. For a few instances see Gen. xlviii. 4; Num. x. 8; xv. 15; Prov. xxii. 28; Jonah ii. 6; Hab. iii. 6; Isa. lxi. 17.

Words which are habitually applied to things temporal or material can-
not carry in themselves the sense of endlessness. Even when applied to God, we are not forced to render aićvos everlasting. Of course the life of God is endless; but the question is whether, in describing God as aiẃvos; it was intended to describe the duration of his being, or whether some different and larger idea was not contemplated. That God lives longer than men, and lives on everlastingly, and has lived everlastingly, are, no doubt, great and significant facts; yet they are not the dominant or the most impressive facts in God's relations to time. God's eternity does not stand merely or chiefly for a scale of length. It is not primarily a mathematical but a moral fact. The relations of God to time include and imply far more than the bare fact of endless continuance. They carry with them: the fact that God transcends time ; works on different principles and on a vaster scale than the wisdom of time provides; oversteps the conditions and the motives of time; marshals the successive aeons from a point outside of time, on lines which run out into his own measureless cycles, and for sublime moral ends which the creature of threescore and ten years cannot grasp and does not even suspect.

There is a word for everlasting if that idea is demanded. That $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{o s}$ occurs rarely in the New Testament and in LXX does not prove that its place was taken by aívivos. It rather goes to show that less importance was attached to the bare idea of everlastingness than later theological thought has given it. Paul uses the word once, in Rom. i. 20, where he speaks of "the everlasting power and divinity of God." In Rom. xvi. 26 he speaks of the eternal God ( $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ aiwviov $\theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}$ ); but that he does not mean the everlasting God is perfectly clear from the context. He has said that "the mystery" has been kept in silence in times eternal (xpóvots aicuvors), by which he does not mean everlasting times, but the successive aeons which elapsed before Christ was proclaimed. God therefore is described as the God of the aeons, the God who pervaded and controlled those periods
 aicivov the King of the aeons, applied to God in 1 Tim. i. 17; Apoc. xv. 3; comp. Tob. xiii. 6, 10. The phrase $\pi \rho \grave{̀}$ र $\rho$ óv $\omega \nu$ aì $\omega{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ before eternal times (2 Tim. i. 9 ; Tit. i. 2), cannot mean before everlasting times. To say that God bestowed grace on men, or promised them eternal life before endless times, would be absurd. The meaning is of old, as L. i. 70. The grace and the promise were given in time, but far back in the ages, before the times of reckoning the aeons.

Zum̀ aívios eternal life, which occurs 42 times in N. T., but not in LXX, is not endless life, but life pertaining to a certain age or aeon, or continuing during that aeon. I repeat, life may be endless. The life in union with Christ is endless, but the fact is not expressed by aiwvos. Kó$\lambda a \sigma \iota s$ al $\omega$ vos, rendered everlasting punishment (Matt. xxv. 46), is the punishment peculiar to an aeon other than that in which Christ is speaking. In some cases $\zeta \omega \grave{\eta}$ aíwios does not refer specifically to the life beyond time, but rather to the aeon or dispensation of Messiah which succeeds the legal dispensation. See Matt. xix. 16 ; J. v. 39. John says that לんŋ̀ aióvtos is
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the present possession of those who believe on the Son of God, J. iii. 36; v. $24 ;$ vi. 47,54. The Father's commandment is $\zeta \omega \bar{\eta}$ aíwnos, J. xii. 50 ; to know the only true God and Jesus Christ is לoŋ̀ aíonoos, J. xvii. 3.

Bishop Westcott very justly says, commenting upon the terms used by John to describe life under different aspects: "In considering these phrases it is necessary to premise that in spiritual things we must guard against all conclusions which rest upon the notions of succession and duration. 'Eterval life' is that which St. Paul speaks of as $\dot{\eta}$ ovive $\omega \mathrm{S} \zeta \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ the life which is life indeed, and $\dot{\eta} \zeta \omega \bar{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{v} \theta \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ the life of God. It is not an endless duration of being in time, but being of which time is not a measure. We have indeed no powers to grasp the idea except through forms and images of sense. These must be used, but we must not transfer them as realities to another order."*

Thus, while aíntos carries the idea of time, though not of endlessness, there belongs to it also, more or less, a sense of quality. Its character is ethical rather than mathematical. The deepest significance of the life beyond time lies, not in endlessness, but in the moral quality of the aeon into which the life passes. It is comparatively unimportant whether or not the rich fool, when his soul was required of him (L. xii. 20), entered upon a state that was endless. The principal, the tremendous fact, as Christ unmistakably puts it, was that, in the new aeon, the motives, the aims, the conditions, the successes and awards of time counted for nothing. In time, his barns and their contents were everything; the soul was nothing. In the new life the soul was first and everything, and the barns and storehouses nothing. The bliss of the sanctified does not consist primarily in its endlessness, but in the nobler moral conditions of the new aeon, - the years of the holy and eternal God. Duration is a secondary idea. When it enters it enters as an accompaniment and outgrowth of moral conditions.

In the present passage it is urged that ${ }_{\delta}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$ destruction points to an unchangeable, irremediable, and endless condition. If this be true, if ${ }^{\boldsymbol{O}} \lambda \in \theta \rho \mathrm{os}$ is extinction, then the passage teaches the annihilation of the wicked, in which case the adjective aióntos is superfluous, since extinction is final, and excludes the idea of duration. But ö $\lambda \in \theta \rho o s$ does not always mean destruction or extinction. Take the kindred verb $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\sigma}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{l}$ to destroy, put an end to, or in the middle voice, to be lost, to perish. Peter says, "the world being deluged with water, perished" ( $\mathbf{\alpha} \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \tau \mathrm{c}, 2$ Pet. iii. 6); but the world did not become extinct, it was renewed. In Heb. i. 11, 12, quoted from Ps. cii., we read concerning the heavens and the earth as
 But the perishing is only preparatory to change and renewal. "They
 2 Pet. iii. 13 ; Apoc. xxi. 1. Similarly, " the Son of man came to save that which was lost" (dं $\pi 0 \lambda \omega \lambda$ ós), L. xix. 10. Jesus charged his apostles to go

[^26]to the lost ( $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \omega \lambda o{ }^{\prime} \tau \alpha\right)$ sheep of the house of Israel, Matt. x. 6, comp. xv. 24. "He that shall lose ( $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \eta$ ) his life for my sake shall find it," Matt. xvi. 25. Comp. L. xv. 6, 9, 32 .

In this passage the word destruction is qualified. It is "destruction from the presence of the Lord and from the glory of his power," at his second coming, in the new aeon. In other words, it is the severance, at a given point of time, of those who ohey not the gospel from the presence and the glory of Christ. 'Aıćvtos may therefore describe this severance as continuing during the millennial aeon between Christ's coming and the final judgment; as being for the wicked prolonged throughout that aeon and characteristic of it, - or it may describe the severance as characterising or enduring through a period or aeon succeeding the final judgment, the extent of which period is not defived. In neither case is aíwios to be interpreted as everlasting or endless.

## CHAPTER II.

1. By the coming (ímè $\rho$ ). More correctly touching. Comp. Rom. ix. 27; 2 Cor. i. 8. ' $\Upsilon \pi \notin \rho ̀ \rho$ never in N. T. in a formula of swearing.

Gathering together ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma)$. Only here and Heb. x. 25. The verb émıбvעár $\epsilon \nu$ is used, as the noun here, of the Lord's gathering together his elèct at his coming. See Matt. xxiv. 31 ; Mk. xiii. 27; comp. 2 Macc. ii. 7.
2. Shaken ( $\sigma a \lambda_{\epsilon} \theta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ). From $\sigma \dot{d} \lambda$ os the tossing or swell of the sea. See L. xxi. 25. Comp. Matt. xi. 7; xxiv. 29; Acts iv. 31; Heb. xii. 26.
 Noûs signifies the judgment, sober sense. Comp. 1 Cor. xiv. 15, and see on Rom. vii. 23. They are to "keep their heads" under the temptation to fanatical extravagances concerning the Lord's appearing.

Be troubled ( $\theta \rho o \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$ ). From $\theta \rho o o^{\prime} s l a m o r$, tumuilt. The meaning is be unsettled or thrown into confusion.
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By spirit ( $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi \nu \in u ́ \mu a \tau o s$ ). By prophetic utterances of individuals in Christian assemblies, claiming the authority of divine revelations.

By word ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v) . ~ O r a l ~ e x p r e s s i o n s ~ f a l s e l y ~ i m p u t e d ~$ to Paul.

By letter as from us ( $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} s \dot{\omega} s \delta i^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Const. as from us with word and letter. The reference is to a letter or letters forged in Paul's name; not to the first Thessalonian Epistle, as misunderstood by the readers.

As that ( $\dot{\omega}$ s ö $\tau \iota$ ). Indicating the contents of such communications.

Is at hand (e่ $\nu \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu)$. Better than Rev. is now present. Lightfoot, happily, is imminent.
3. Deceive ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi a \pi a \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Better beguile; since the word means not only making a false impression, but actually leading astray.

Except there come a falling away. Before except insert in translation the day shall not come. Such ellipses are common in Paul.

Falling away (àтобтaб(a). Only here and Acts xxi. 21. Comp. LXX, Josh. xxii. 22; 2 Chron. xxix. 19.

The man of sin - the son of perdition ( $\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\nu 0-$
 The phrase man of $\sin$ (lawlessness) does not occur elsewhere, either in N. T. or LXX. Son of perdition is found J. xvii.
 gression), Isa. lvii. 4. The man of $\sin$ has been thought to refer to Caligula, Titus, Simon Magus, Nero, the Pope of Rome, Luther, Mahomet, etc.
 God and the false gods. The opposer claims divine honors for himself.

That is worshipped ( $\sigma \in \beta a \sigma \mu a$ ). An object of adoration, including things as well as persons. Only here and Acts xvii. 23 on which see note under devotions.

Temple of God. According to some, a figure of the Christian Church. Others, the temple of Jerusalem.

Shewing (ảтобєєкvúvтa). Publicly asserting divine dignity. Rev. setting himself forth as God.
6. What withholdeth (тò кaтé $\chi o \nu$ ). Better restraineth. The verb means to hold fast, as L. viii. 15: to hold back, as L. iv. 42. See on Rom. i. 18. He refers to some power which hinders the revelation of the man of sin or Antichrist.

In his time ( $\mathfrak{e} \nu \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varphi}$ aùvov̂ $\kappa a \iota \rho \hat{̣})$ ). Better, in his own season. Not before his appointed season.
7. Mystery of iniquity ( $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ảvouias). Better, of lawlessness. The phrase is upnique in N. T. and ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Mystery is found in various combinations, as mystery of the kingdom of heaven, Matt. xiii. 11: of God, 1 Cor. ii. 1: of his will, Eph. i. 9: of Christ, Eph. iii. 4: of the gospel, Eph. vi. 19: of faith, 1 Tim. iii. 9: of godliness, 1 Tim. iii. 16: of the seven stars, Apoc. i. 20: of the woman, Apoc. xvii. 7. A mystery does not lie in the obscurity of a thing, but in its secrecy. It is not in the thing, but envelops it. Applied to a truth, it signifies a truth once hidden but now revealed or to be revealed; a truth which without special revelation would be unknown. It is almost universally found in connection with words signifying publication or revelation. See on Matt. xiii. 11. The mystery of lawlessness is the mass of lawlessness yet hidden, but which is to reveal itself in the person and power of Antichrist. The position of the word
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is emphatic, emphasising the concealed character of the evil power.

Only ( $\mu \dot{o} \nu o \nu$ ). The sentence is elliptical: "only we must wait," or "only it must work in secret, until he that letteth," etc. For a similar instance see Gal. ii. 10. The collocation of A. V. is wrong.

Letteth ( $\kappa a \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu)$. The same word as restraineth, ver. 6. Let is old English for hinder, prevent. Often in Chaucer.
"May I him lette of that $?$ " (prevent him from it).
Troil. and Cress. ii. 732.
"And bothe in love $y$-like sore they brente (burned) That noon of alle hir (their) frendes might hit lette."

Legend of Good Women, 731.
So Shakespeare:
"What lets but one may enter?"
Two Gent. of Verona, iii. 1.
" I'll make a ghost of him that lets me."
Ham. i. 4.
" The flesh resisteth the work of the Holy Ghost in our hearts, and lets it."-Latimer, Serm.
8. Consume (ảvє $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i}$ ). Better, slay, as Matt. ii. 16; L. xxii. 2; Acts v. 33.

Spirit ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu a \tau \iota$ ). Better, breath. $\Pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$, almost always translated spirit, is from $\pi \nu \epsilon i \nu$ to breathe or blow. Frequent in class. in this sense. Comp. J. iii. 8; Heb. i. 7. LXX, Ps. cxlvii. 7; Ep. of Jer. 61. Philo says: "The spirit of God signifies, in one sense, the air, the third element; and it is used in this sense in the beginning of Genesis . . . for air, being light, is borne up, and uses water as its basis. In the other sense it is the pure wisdom in which every wise man participates " (De Gigantibus, 5). See on Rom. viii. 4.

Shall destroy (катapyń⿱㇒日ध). See on cumbereth, L. xiii. 7; and make without effect, Rom. iii. 3.
vol. iv.-5

With the brightness ( $\tau \hat{y} \dot{\jmath} \pi \pi \iota \phi a \nu \epsilon \epsilon_{i}$ ). See on 1 Tim. vi. 14. Rev. correctly, manifestation. See LXX, Esth. v. 1; Amos v. 22; 2 Macc. ii. 21; 3 Macc. ii. 9. In class. (but late) of deities appearing to a worshipper (Plut. Themistocles, 30): of the sudden appearance of an enemy (Polyb. i. 54, 2): of a manifestation of Providence (Diod. Sic. i. 15): of the leathen gods assuming shape and appearing in order to work mischief (Just. Mart. Apol. i. 5). In N. T. of the parousia. See 1 Tim. vi. 14; 2 Tim. i. 10; iv. 1, 8; Tit. ii. 13. In 2 Tim. i. 10, of Christ's historical manifestation. So émıфаìш, Tit. ii. 11; iii. 4. Only here in Paul.

Coming (mapovaias). Or presence, which is the original meaning. In N. T. with a few exceptions, of the second coming of Christ. The combination manifestation of his presence (only here) appears to emphasise the resistless power of the Son of man, not (as Lightfoot) his splendor and glory. The mere appearing of his presence suffices to destroy his adversary.
9. After the working of Satan. The sense is that the coming of Antichrist proclaims itself to be according to the working of Satan by means of power, signs, etc. 'Evé $\rho \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \boldsymbol{a}$ $\mathbf{P}^{0}$. power in exercise, used only of superhuman power. See Col. i. 29; ii. 12.

Signs and lying wonders ( $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon$ íoss кaì тє́ $\rho a \sigma \iota \nu \psi \in u ́ \delta o u s$ ). Lit. signs and wonders of a lie. Of a lie characterises the three words, power, signs, wonders. All bear the stamp of fraud. For signs and wonders see on Matt. xxiv. 24, and mighty works, Matt. xi. 20.
10. Deceivableness of unrighteousness ( $\dot{a} \pi a \dot{a} \tau \eta \dot{a} \delta \iota \kappa l a s)$. Better deceit of unrighteousness; which is characteristic of unrighteousness and is employed by it.
 and correctly, a working of error. See on working ver. 9.

The phrase is unique in N. T. It means an active power of misleading. For $\pi \lambda a \dot{\nu} \eta$ error which shows itself in action, see on 1 Th. ii. 3.

A lie ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \psi \epsilon \in \delta \delta \epsilon \iota$ ). Properly, the lie. The article gives the generic sense, falsehood in all its forms. Comp. J. viii. 44; Rom. i. 25 ; Eph. iv. 25. Comp. the contrast of truth and unrighteousness in ver. 12. All wrongdoing has an element of falsity.
12. Might be damned ( $\kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ). More correctly, judged. See on damnation, 1 Tim. v. 12.*

[^27]13. Hath chosen ( $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda a \pi 0$ ). The only case in N. T. in which this word is used of God's election. LXX, Deut. xxvi. 18, of God's choosing Israel to be his peculiar people. Comp. Philip. i. 22 ; Heb. xi. 25.

From the beginning ( $\dot{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ). Not elsewhere in Paul. His usual expressions are $\pi \rho \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aíchev before the ages (1 Cor. ii. 7): тро̀ катаßо入ท̂s кóб
 iii. 9). Before eternal times ( $\pi \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ a i \omega \nu i(\omega \nu)$ is found 2 Tim. i. 9 ; Tit. i. 2.
14. Our gospel. See on 1 Th. i. 5.
15. Traditions (mapaס́́oध!s). See on 1 Cor. xi. 2. Not emphasising a distinction between written and oral tradition. Tradition, in the scriptural sense, may be either written or oral. It implies on the part of a teacher that he is not expressing his own ideas, but is delivering or handing over ( $\pi a \rho a \delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ ) a message received from some one else. See 1 Cor. xi. 23. The prominent idea of $\pi a p a \dot{\delta} \sigma \sigma \iota s$ is therefore that of an authority external to the teacher. Comp. by word nor by letter, ver. 2.
16. Through grace ( $\dot{e} \nu \chi^{\dot{a} \rho} \rho \tau \iota$ ). Better, in grace, as the element of God's gift. Const. with hath given, not with hath loved and hath given.

## CHAPTER III.

1. Finally ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} 0 \iota \pi \grave{\partial} \nu$ ). See on $1 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{iv} .1$.

May have free course ( $\tau \rho e ́ \chi \eta$ ). More literally, simply, and better, may run. Have swift progress through the world. An O. T. idea. See Ps. cxlvii. 15, and comp. Isa. lv. 11 and Acts xii. 24.

Be glorified ( $\delta 0 \xi \xi^{\prime} \zeta \eta \tau a \iota$ ). Acknowledged in its true power and glory. Comp. J. xii. 28. The phrase the word of the Lord - be glorified, only here.
2. Unreasonable ( $\dot{\text { ácót }} \omega \boldsymbol{1}$ ). See on L. xxiii. 41, and comp. Acts xxv. 5; xxviii. 6. In LXX in a moral sense, iniquitous, Tob. iv. 8; xi. 11; xxxiv. 12. The word originally means out of place.

All men have not faith. See on Acts vi. 7; Gal. i. 23.
3. From evil (ámò $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ тovppov̂). Possibly, from the evil one. Tò movnpóv evil is found Roin. xii. 9; Matt. v. 39 ; but general N. T. usage favors the masculine, personal sense. See Matt. xiii. 19, 38 ; Eph. vi. 16 ; 1 J. ii. 13, 14 ; iii. 12 ; v. 18. In LXX, тò $\pi$ rovqpóv evil is very common : ó $\pi 0 \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ a ~$ few times, but always of men. See Deut. xxiv. 7; Esth.
 the wicked demon. The masculine is favored by the Jewish formularies, of which traces appear in the Lord's prayer; by the unanimous tradition of Greek interpreters; by the interpretations of Tertullian and Cyprian, and by the evidence of the Syriac and Sahidic Versions.*
5. Hearts (кapoías). See on Rom. i. 21 ; x. 10 ; Eph. i. 18.

Patient waiting for Christ ( $\dot{\sim} \pi o \mu o \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$ tov̂ $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \pi o v ̂)$. Rather patience of Christ. The prayer is that their hearts may be directed to love God and to exhibit the patience of Christ. $\dagger$

[^28]6. Withdraw yourselves from ( $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \grave{a} \pi \grave{o}$ ). $\Sigma_{\tau \epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$. In the active voice, to place, arrange, equip: in the middle voice, to provide for, take care. See 2 Cor. viii. 20. Here with ámò from, to place one's self away from.

Disorderly (à $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \kappa \tau \tau \omega$ ). This adverb, the verb à $\tau a \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \omega$, and the adjective äтактos are found only in Paul, and only in the Thessalonian Epistles. See on 1 Th. v. 14.
7. Follow ( $\mu \iota \mu \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ). Better, imitate. Comp. 1 Cor. iv. 16; xi. 1; Philip. iii. 17 ; 1 Th. i. 6.
 any one, or at any man's hand.

For nought ( $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon a ̀ \nu$ ). The word is a noun, meaning a gift. See J. iv. 10 ; Acts ii. 38 ; Rom. v. 15. The accusative often adverbially as here; as a gift, gratis. Comp. Matt. x. 8; Rom. iii. 24; Apoc. xxi. 6.

Labour and travail. See on 1 Th. i. 3.
Be chargeable ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ). Po. Better, burden. By depending upon them for pecuniary support. Comp. 1 Cor. ix. $3-18$, and see on 1 Th. ii. 6.
9. Power (é $\xi$ ovaià). Better, right. See on Mk. ii. 10; J. i. 12.
10. If any would not work, etc. A Jewish proverb.
 ous - $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma a \zeta_{o \mu}{ }^{\prime}$ yous). One of Paul's frequent wordplays. See on reprobate mind, Rom. i. 28. Not busy, but
 thing: here, to be officious in others' affairs. See on $\tau \grave{a} \pi \epsilon \rho i-$ epya curious arts, Acts xix. 19, and 1 Tim. v. 13.
12. With quietness - work. See on study to be quiet, 1 Th. iv. 11.
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13. Be not weary ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ध่ $\nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta \prime \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ ). With one exception, L. xviii. 1, only in Paul. To faint or lose heart.

Well doing (ка入отоьov̀дтєs). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. According to the Greek idiom, doing well, be not weary. Not limited to works of charity, but including Christian conduct generally, as, for instance, steadily attending to their own business, ver. 12.
14. By this epistle. Connect with our word. The message we send in this letter. Not, as some, with the following words, note that man in your epistle.

Note ( $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$ Lit. set a mark on. The nature of the mark is indicated in the next clause.

Have no company with ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \nu \nu a \nu a \mu \prime \prime \gamma \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota$ ). $\mathrm{P}^{o}$. See on 1 Cor. v. 9.

Be ashamed (é $\nu \tau \rho a \pi \hat{p}$ ). See on Matt. xxi. 37, and 1 Cor. iv. 14.
15. Admonish ( $\nu 0 v \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ̄ \epsilon$ ). See on Acts xx. 31, and Eph. vi. 4.
16. The Lord of peace (ó кv́plos $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta s$ ). The only instance of the formula.

By all means (é $\bar{\nu} \pi a \nu \tau i \tau \rho o ́ \pi \varphi)$, or in every way. The alternative reading тó $\pi \varphi$ place is rejected by the principal texts.
17. The salutation of Paul with mine own hand (á $\sigma \pi a \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \grave{\iota}$ חav́خov). Rev. properly, "the salutation of me Paul." The genitive of $m e$ is contained, according to a familiar Greek idiom, in the possessive pronoun $m y$. Paul had apparently been employing an amanuensis.

In every epistle. Comp. 1 Cor. xvi. 21; Col. iv. 18.

THE EPISTLE TO THE GALATIANS.

# THE EPISTLE T0 THE GALATIANS. 

## INTRODUCTION.

By the churches of Galatia which Paul addresses (ch.i. 2) are most probably meant the churches in the Roman province of Galatia; those namely in Iconium, Pisidian Antioch, Lystra, and Derbe; and not the Christians living in the Galatian district lying to the north and east of Lycaonia and Phrygia, which formed only a part of the Roman province, and the chief cities of which were Ancyra, Tavium, and Pessinus. The Roman province was formed by Augustus, 25 b.c., and included Lycaonia, Isauria, southeastern Phrygia, and a portion of Pisidia. The churches in this province were founded by Paul in his first missionary tour, the account of which is given Acts xiii., xiv.*

The South Galatian hypothesis supplies a defect in the history of the Pauline churches, which, on the other, it is

[^29]difficult to account for. On the North Galatian hypothesis, although the Galatian churches were the scene of a violent conflict between Paul and the Judaising Christians, and the recipients of one of Paul's most important letters, and are therefore entitled to an important place in the history of the apostolic churches, - no mention of their origin or foundation occurs in the Book of Acts, while the founding of the churches of Pisidia and Lycaonia, which are nowhere named by Paul, is expressly narrated. On the other hypothesis, we have in Acts xiii., xiv., a detailed account of the foundation of the Galatian churches.

From the notices in the Acts and in the Epistle, it appears that Paul's preaching in Galatia met with a favorable reception. See Acts xiii. 42, 48, 49 ; xiv. 1; Gal. iv. 13. We do not know how long it was before the churches were invaded by Jewish emissaries, nor whence these came. They probably came from the Judaistic circles of the motherchurch at Jerusalem, although it is held by some that they belonged to the Jewish Christian constituency of the churches in Galatia. They declared that Paul was not an apostle, but at most only a disciple of the apostles. He had had no personal knowledge of Christ: the contents of his gospel were derived from men, and therefore he was entitled to no authority. All questions should be referred to the mother-church in Jerusalem, especially to the great apostles of the circumcision, the pillars of the church, James, Peter, and John. Moreover, Paul's teaching that righteousness was based only upon faith in Christ and not upon circumcision and legal observance, contradicted the historical revelation of God, since God promised salvation to Abraham and to his seed on the ground of circumcision; and, in order to carry the promise into effect, made the covenant of the law forever with the people of Israel, who were to receive the divine blessing on condition of observing the divine commands. His teaching, moreover, encouraged moral license, and therefore contravened all moral principle (v. 13). They further accused him of being a man-pleaser, seeking a following and adapting his preaching to the tastes of his hear-
ers; preaching circumcision to those who were inclined to accept it, and uncircumcision to such as wished to refuse it ( v .11 ).

These intruders were not proselytes, but born Jews, Jewish Christians, with a Pharisaic tendency like that of those who, in Antioch and Jerusalem, sought to impose circumcision and legal observance upon Gentile Christians (Acts xv. 1,5; Gal. ii. 4). They demanded that the Gentile Christiaus should be incorporated by circumcision with the community of Israel, and should observe the leading requirements of the Mosaic law (v. 2, 11; vi. 12). They laid great stress on the observance of sacred seasons (iv. 10). "They prescribed a cultus with holy days and festivals, which contained a more seductive charm than the exposition of the word; for it offered compensation for the heathenism they had abandoned, and the old disposition once revived might easily have found in it a congenial home."* They did not emphasise the solemn duties which followed circumcision, and which Paul himself forcibly stated (v. 3; comp. iii. 10); but they recommended circumcision as an easy way of attaining salvation through mere formal incorporation with the true people of God, and also as a protection against persecution (vi. 12; comp. v. 11).

These efforts bore fruit among the Galatians. Having thrown off the corruptions of their heathen faith and worship, they again came into bondage to "the weak and beggarly elements" which they had outgrown (iv. 9). The slightest tendency to such a lapse was met and fostered by the daily appeal of the pagan cult amid which they lived, an elaborate and impressive system, fortified with a code of rules and administered by a powerful hierarchy, the whole presenting a striking external resemblance to the Jewish ceremonial system. As Professor Ramsay observes: "It is not until this is properly apprehended that Gal. iv. 3-11 becomes clear and natural. Paul in that passage implies that the Judaising movement of the Christian Galatians is a

[^30]recurrence to their old heathen type." Paul describes them as arrested in a course of obedience to the truth which they had been running well (v. 7) : as soon removed into a different gospel (i. 6) : as bewitched by an evil eye (iii. 1) : as pervaded with an evil leaven (v. 9). They were beginning, in part at least, to observe the Jewish ceremonial law: they were depending upon the law for justification: they were declining from a spiritual to a fleshly economy : they were beginning to regard as an enemy the friend and teacher whom, not so long ago, they had received as an angel of God, and for whom they would have plucked out their own eyes (iv. 14, 15).
To what extent the Galatian Christians had been prevailed on to accept circumcision, we do not know. The writing of this letter, however, implies that Paul did not regard this evil as past arresting.

The letter itself is marked by unity of purpose, cohesion of thought, and force and picturesqueness of diction. Like 2d Corinthians and Philippians it is intensely personal. Like the former of those Epistles it reveals the apostle's keen sensitiveness to the attitude of his readers toward himself. It is indignant and severe, with dashes of bitterness, yet it contains touches of affectionate reminiscence. It is pervaded and controlled by the one purpose of meeting and correcting the Galatian apostasy in its twofold form of repudiating his apostolic right and the doctrine of salvation by faith. The letter falls into three parts : chs. i., ii., maintaining the independence and authority of his apostleship, and the divine origin of his gospel. Chs. iii., iv., defending the intrinsic truth of his gospel. Chs. v., vi., exhibiting the moral consequences which legitimately and logically result from his gospel.

The relationship of the Epistle to the Roman letter is marked, yet it has its special characteristics as distinct from Romans. It bears the character of a letter more distinctly than Romans, which is a treatise. It lays a more distinct emphasis upon the person and apostolic authority of Paul, and its dominant conception is the freedom of the Christian, as
in Romans the dominant conception is justification by faith. Romans is more positively doctrinal; Galatians more apologetic and polemic as against Judaism. Romans treats circumcision as a question of practice; Galatians as a question of law. As in Romans, faith is emphasised over against the works of the law as the ground of justification before God; but equally with Romans the divinity and sanctity of the law are recognised. The law is holy, and just and good. It is the expression of God's sovereign and righteous will. It reflects his character, and if one could keep it he would live by it (iii. 12) ; all this, while it remains true that " by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified" (ii. 16).

Accordingly the ethics of the Epistle are stern and uncompromising. The picture of the works of the flesh is perhaps not as powerful and lurid as that in the first chapter of Romans. It is drawn in fewer lines, and is offset and enforced by a picture of the fruits of the Spirit. Yet the one is no less distinct and unmistakable than the other. In Romans the sins of the Gentile world are massed in a fearful catalogue; in Galatians single passages here and there afford glimpses of deeply-rooted evil tendencies in the life of the newly-converted Gentile, which show how hard it had been for bim to divest himself of his pagan license, and which contain within themselves possibilities of future degeneracy. We see a conceit of higher knowledge and larger liberty which might readily seize upon "occasions to the flesh," and run into what some one has aptly styled "the bigotry of illumination," and the selfishness of fancied deeper insight (v. 15; vi. 2-5). The same conceit appears in the weakness and inconstancy which readily succumb to the flattering overtures of pretentious Jewish emissaries (iv. 12 ff. ; v. 26). Yet with rigid severity against such tendencies there is blended a tender compassion for the erring, a reasonable and kindly appreciation of the weakness of the new convert.

Professor Sabatier (l'Apôtre Paul) says of the Epistle: "The style does not sustain the thought; it is the thought which sustains the style, giving to it its force, its life, its beauty. Thought presses on, overcharged, breathless and
hurried, dragging the words after it. . . . Unfinished phrases, daring omissions, pareutheses which leave us out of sight and out of breath, rabbinical subtleties, audacious paradoxes, vehement apostrophes, - pour in like surging billows. Mere words in their ordinary meaning are insufficient to sustain this overwhelming plenitude of thought and feeling. Every phrase is obliged, so to speak, to bear a double and triple burden."

The authenticity of the letter is generally conceded.*

[^31]
## COMMENTARIES ON GALATIANS.

## ENGLISH.

J. B. Lightfoot, 10th ed., 1890 : B. Jowett, Epistles of St. Paul to the Thessalonians, Galatians, and Romans, 3d ed., 1894. The essays are interesting and suggestive. C. J. Ellicott, Critical and Grammatical Commentary on St. Paul's Epistle to the Galatians. J. Eadie, Commentary on the Greek Text of the Epistle of Paul to the Galatians, 1869. Of a more popular character, J. A. Beet, Commentary on Galatians, 3d ed. Philip Schaff in Schaff's Popular Commentary.

## GERMAN.

F. Sieffert, Der Brief an die Galater, Mey., 8 Aufl., 1894. R. A. Lipsius, "Der Brief an die Galater," in the Hand-Commentar, by Holtzmann, Lipsius, Schmiedel, and Von Soden.

For discussions of the Geographical question: see W. M. Ramsay, The Church in the Roman Empire before A.D. 170: Lightfoot in Commentary: Sieffert and Lipsius in Commentaries; E. Schürer, "Was ist unter 「a入aтía in der Überschrift des Galaterbriefs zu verstehen?" Jahrbücher für protestantische Theologie, 1892, 460 ff.: C. Weizsäcker, Das apostolische Zeitalter der christlichen Kirche. Translation from 2d ed. by J. Millar, 1894: W. M. Ramsay's articles on "Galatia" and "Galatia, Regions of," in Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible, Vol. II., 1899 : A. C. McGiffert, The Apostolic Age, 1897.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE GALATIANS.

## CHAPTER I.

1-5. The usual form of salutation is expanded by additions which answer to the occasion of the letter, and foreshadow its principal thoughts.

1. An apostle. This title is prefixed to Romans, 1st and 2d Corinthians, Ephesians, Colossians. Here with special emphasis, because Paul's apostleship had been challenged.

Of men -by man (áa' $\left.\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu-\delta \imath^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi a v\right)$. Better, from men - through man or a man. In contradiction of the assertion that he was not directly commissioned by Jesus Christ, like the twelve, but only by human authority. From men, as authorising the office; through man, as issuing the call to the person. He thus distinguishes himself from false apostles who did not derive their commissions from God, and ranks himself with the twelve. Man does not point to any individual, but is in antithesis to Jesus Christ, or may be taken as =any man.

By Jesus Christ. See Acts ix. 4-6; 1 Cor. ix. 1.
And God the Father. The genitive, governed by the preceding $\delta i a ̀$ by or through. The idea is the same as an apostle by the will of God: 1 Cor. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 1; Eph. i. 1. $\Delta l a ̀$ is used of secondary agency, as Matt. i. 22; xi. 2; L. i. 70 ; Acts i. 16; Heb. i. 2. But we find $\delta i a ̀ ~ \theta \in \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ by the will of God, Rom. xv. 32; 1 Cor. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 1, etc., and $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \theta \in o u ̂ ~ b y ~ G o d, ~ G a l . ~ i v . ~ 7 . ~ A l s o ~ \delta i ́ ~ o v ̃ ~(G o d), ~ 1 ~ C o r . ~ i . ~ 9 ; ~$ Heb. ii. 10.

Who raised him from the dead ( $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ éreípavtos aúrò̀ ék $\nu \in \dot{\kappa} \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ ). It was the risen Christ who made Paul an apostle.

 $\dot{a} \nu \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \nu a ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ raising up and raising up out of. With $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o ̀ s$ dead are the following combinations: $\epsilon$ ' $\gamma \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ à $\pi \bar{o}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ (never àmò $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) to raise from the dead; $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\nu \epsilon \kappa$. or $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa$. to raise out of the dead ; à ${ }^{2} \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \iota ~ t o ~ r a i s e, ~$ $\dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau \eta ̂ \nu a \iota ~ t o ~ b e ~ r a i s e d ~ o r ~ t o ~ r i s e ~ e ́ \kappa . ~ \nu \epsilon \kappa . ~(n e v e r ~ a ́ m o ̀) ; ~ a ̀ ~ a d a ́-~$ $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s \nu \epsilon \kappa$. or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \epsilon$. resurrection of the dead; $\dot{a} \nu \dot{d} \sigma \tau$. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$.
 or from among. It is impossible to draw nice distinctions between these phrases.*
2. Brethren - with me. The circle of Paul's colleagues or more intimate friends. Comp. Philip. iv. 21, 22, where the brethren with me are distinguished from all the saints the church members generally.

Unto the churches of Galatia. See Introduction. This is a circular letter to several congregations. Note the omission of the commendatory words added to the addresses in the two Thessalonian and first Corinthian letters.
3. Grace to you, etc. See on 1 Th. i. 1. He will not withhold the wish for the divine grace and peace even from those whom he is about to upbraid.
4. Gave himself for our sins. Comp. Matt. xx. 28; Eph. v. 25 ; 1 Tim. ii. 6 ; Tit. ii. 14. Purposely added with reference to the Galatians' falling back on the works of the law as the ground of acceptance with God. For or with reference to sins ( $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ) expresses the general relation of Christ's mission to sin. The special relation, to atone for, to destroy, to save and sanctify its victims, is expressed by $\dot{v} \pi \bar{\epsilon} \rho$ on behalf of. The general preposition, however, may include the special.

[^32]Out of this present evil world (èk $\tau o \hat{v}$ aî̀̀vos $\tau o \hat{v} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ $\pi$ тоvpoî). Lit. out of the world, the present (world which is) evil. For aíw age or period, see on J. i. 9, and additional note on 2 Th. i. 9. Here it has an ethical sense, the course and current of this world's affairs as corrupted by sin. Comp. 2 Cor. iv. 4. 'Eveбт $\omega$ тos present, as contrasted with the world to come. Elsewhere we have $\delta \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ aiév the now world (1 Tim. vi. 17); ó aìùv тov̂ $\kappa \circ \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v}$ тav́тov the period of this world (Eph. ii. 2); $\dot{\delta}$ aì̀v ovitos this world or age (Rom. xii. 2). 'Еעeotêtos, not impending, as some expositors,- the period of wickedness and suffering preceding the parousia (2 Th. ii. 3), which would imply a limitation of Christ's atoning work to that period. Comp. 2 Th. ii. 2 ; 2 Tim. iii. 1; 1 Cor. vii. 26. The sense of present as related to future is clear in Rom. viii. 38; 1 Cor. iii. 22; Heb. ix. 9. For the evil character of the present world as conceived by Paul, see Rom. xii. 2; 1 Cor. ii. 6; 2 Cor. iv. 4 ; Eph. ii. 2.
5. To whom be glory, etc. For similar doxologies see Rom. ix. 5 ; xi. 36 ; xvi. 27; Eph. iii. 21; 1 Tim. i. 17.

Forever and ever ( $\epsilon$ is tov̀s aî̂vas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aicóv$\nu \nu$ ). Lit. unto the ages of the ages. See additional note on 2 Th. i. 9, and comp. Rom. xvi. 27; Philip. iv. 20; 1 Tim. i. 17; 2 Tim. iv. 18. Often in the Apocalypse. In LXX habitually in the singular: see Ps. lxxxviii. 29; cx. 3, 30. In the doxology the whole period of duration is conceived as a succession of cycles.
6. I marvel ( $\theta a \nu \mu a ́ \zeta \omega)$. Often by Greek orators of surprise at something reprehensible. So in N. T., Mk. vi. 6; J. vii. 21 ; L. xi. 38 ; J. iv. 27.

So soon (oṽт $\omega$ s ta才éc $\omega$ s). Better, so quickly. Paul does not mean so soon after a particular event, as their conversion, or his last visit, or the entry of the false teachers, - but refers to the rapidity of their apostasy; $\tau a \chi$ 白 $\omega$ s being used absolutely as always.

Removed ( $\mu \epsilon \tau a \tau i \theta_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta \epsilon$ ). A. V. misses the sense of the middle voice, removing or transferring yourselves, and also the force of the continuous present, are removing or going over, indicating an apostasy not consummated but in progress. The verb is used in Class. of altering a treaty, changing an opinion, desertion from an army. For other applications see Acts vii. 16 ; Heb. vii. 12 ; xi. 5. Comp. LXX, Deut. xxvii. 17; Prov. xxiii. 10; Isa. xxix. 17. Lightfoot renders are turning renegades.

Him that called ( $\tau \delta \hat{v}$ калécautos). God. Not neuter and referring to the gospel. Calling, in the writings of the apostles, is habitually represented as God's work. See Rom. viii. 30; ix. 11; 1 Cor. i. 9 ; Gal. i. 15; 1 Th. ii. 12; 1 Pet. i. 15; ii. 9; 2 Pet. i. 3.

Into the grace ( $\dot{\nu} \nu \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho(\tau \iota)$. Into is wrong. It should be $b y$.
Another gospel (ër $\quad \rho \rho \nu$ ). Rather a different, another sort of gospel. See on Matt. vi. 24 ; L. xvi. 7; xviii. 10. In
 different, see 1 Cor. xii. 8-10; xv. 40; 2 Cor. xi. 4; Rom. viii. 23.
7. Another (ä $\lambda \lambda 10$ ). A different gospel is not another gospel. There is but one gospel.

But ( $\epsilon i \mu \eta)$. Rev. only. As if he had said, "there is no other gospel, but there are some who trouble you with a different kind of teaching which they offer as a gospel."

Some that trouble (oi $\tau a \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau e s$ ). The article with the participle marks these persons as characteristically tronblesome - the troublers. Comp. L. xviii. 9, of those who were characteristically self-righteous. For trouble in the sense of disturbing faith and unsettling principle, see Gal. v. 10; Acts xv. 24. Not necessarily, as Lightfoot, raising seditions.
8. We. See on 1 Th. i. 2.

Angel from heaven (ä $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s{ }_{\epsilon} \xi \xi$ ouj $\rho a \nu o \hat{v}$ ). The phrase only here. "Angels in heaven or the heavens," Matt. xxii. 30; Mk. xii. 25 ; xiii. 32. "Angels of the heavens," Matt. xxiv. 36.

Other than that ( $\pi a \rho \rho^{\prime} \delta$ ). Roman Catholic interpreters insist that $\pi a \rho$ ' should be rendered contrary to, though the Vulg. gives praeterquam besides. Some Protestant interpreters insist on besides as being against supplementing the gospel with traditions. The explanation is found in the previous words, a different gospel. Any gospel which is different from the one gospel, is both beside and contrary to.

Accursed (ảvá $\theta \epsilon \mu a$ ). See on Rom. ix. 3, and offerings, L. xxi. 5. Comp. кат́́ра curse, and èтьката́ратоs cursed, Gal. iii. 13. In LXX always curse, except Lev. xxvii. 28, and the apocryphal books, where it is always gift or offering. By Paul always curse : see Rom. ix. 3; 1 Cor. xii. 3; xvi. 22. The sense of excommunication, introduced by patristic writers, does not appear in N. T.
9. As we said before ( $\dot{\omega}$ s $\pi \rho о є є \rho \eta ́ к а \mu \epsilon \nu) . ~ C o m p . ~ 2 ~ C o r . ~$ xiii. 2; Philip. iii. 18. Not to be referred to the preceding verse, since the compound verb would be too strong, and now in the following clause points to an earlier time, a previous visit. Comp. Gal. v. 21; 2 Cor. viii. 3; xiii. 2; 1 Th. iv. 6.
10. For do I now persuade (á $\rho \tau \iota$ y $\dot{a} \rho-\pi \epsilon(\theta \omega$ ). For introduces a justification of the severe language just used. The emphasis is on now, which answers to now in ver. 9. I have been charged with conciliating men. Does this anathema of mine look like it? Is it a time for conciliatory words now, when Judaising emissaries are troubling you (ver. 7) and persuading you to forsake the true gospel? Persuade signifies conciliate, seek to win over.

Or God. Persuade or conciliate God is an awkward phrase; but the expression is condensed, and persuade is carried for-
ward from the previous clause. This is not uncommon in Paul's style: See Philem. 5; Eph. i. 15 ; Philip. ii. 6, where $\mu o \rho \phi \grave{\eta}$ form, applied to God, is probably the result of $\mu \circ \rho \phi \grave{\eta} \nu$ Soúdou form of a servant (ver. 7) on which the main stress of the thought lies.
11. I certify ( $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \omega)$. Or, I make, known. Certify, even in older English, is to assure or attest, which is too strong for $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to make known or declare. This, which in the N. T. is the universal meaning of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho / \zeta \epsilon \tau$, and the prevailing sense in LXX, is extremely rare in Class., where the usual sense is to become acquainted with. For the formula see on $1 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{iv} .13$.

After man ( $\kappa a \tau a ̀ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu)$. According to any human standard. The phrase only in Paul. See Rom. iii. 5; 1 Cor.
 1 Pet. iv. 6.
12. Of man ( $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{a} \dot{a} \theta \theta \rho \omega^{\prime} \pi o v$ ). Better, from man. Пapà from emphasises the idea of transmission, and marks the connection between giver and receiver. Comp. 1 Th. ii. 13 ; iv. 1; 2 Tim. iii. 14; Acts x. 22. In the Gospels and Acts $\pi а \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ usually means to take, in the sense of causing to accompany, as Matt. iv. 5 ; xvii. 1; Mk. iv. 36, etc. Scarcely ever in the sense of receive: see Mk. vii. 4. In Paul only in the sense of receive, and only with $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀$, with the single exception of 1 Cor. xi. 23 (ámò). The simple $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega$ usually with $\pi a \rho a ̀$, but with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}, 1$ J. ii. 27 ; iii. 22.

By the revelation of Jesus Christ ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi т о к а \lambda \dot{u} \psi \epsilon \omega s$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̃$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v)$. Not, by Jesus Christ being revealed to me, but, I received the gospel by Jesus Christ's revealing it to me. The subject of the revelation is the gospel, not Christ. Christ was the revealer. Rev. (it came to me) through revelation of Jesus Christ.
13. Conversation (ả $\left.\nu a \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu\right)$. Better, manner of life. See on 1 Pet. i. 15.

In the Jews' religion ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'Iov $\delta a i ̈ \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ). Only here and ver. 14. Lit. in Judaism. It signifies his national religious condition. In LXX, 2 Macc. ii. 21; viii. 2; xiv. 38; 4 Macc. iv. 26.

Beyond measure ( $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ ย̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ ). $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. Lit. according to excess. The noun primarily means a casting beyond, thence superiority, excellency. See 2 Cor. iv. 7, 17. It is transliterated in hyperbole. For similar phrases comp. 1 Cor. ii. 1; Acts xix. 20; iii. 17 ; xxv. 23.

Wasted (è $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\delta} \rho \theta o v \nu$ ). Better, laid waste. In Class. applied not only to things - cities, walls, fields, etc. - but also to persons. So Acts ix. 21.
 far spent, Rom. xiii. 12. Paul means that he outstripped his Jewish contemporaries in distinctively Jewish culture, zeal, and activity. Comp. Philip. iii. 4-6.

Equals ( $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega ́ \tau a s) . ~ N . T . ~ . ~ . ~ T h e ~ A . ~ V . ~ i s ~ i n d e f i n i t e . ~$ The meaning is equals in age. So Rev., of mine own age.

Nation (yével). Race. Not sect of the Pharisees. Comp. Philip. iii. 5; 2 Cor. xi. 26 ; Rom. ix. 3.

Zealous ( $\zeta_{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ ). Lit. a zealot. The extreme party of the Pharisees called themselves "zealots of the law"; "zealots of God." See on Simon the Canaanite, Mk. iii. 18. Paul describes himself under this name in his speech on the stairs, Acts xxii. 3. Comp. Philip. iii. 5, 6.

Traditions ( $\pi a \rho a \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \nu$ ). The Pharisaic traditions which had been engrafted on the law. See Matt. xv. 2, 6; Mk. vii. 3,13 , and on 2 Th. ii. 15.
15. It pleased (єن̇סóкฑбєע). See on єن̇סoкía good pleasure, 1 Th. i. 11.

Separated (áфopíaas). Set apart: designated. See on Rom. i. 1, and declared, Rom. i. 4. The A. V. wrongly lends itself to the sense of the physical separation of the child from the mother.

From my mother's womb (éкc coıдías $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ s ~ \mu о v)$ ). Before I was born. Others, from the time of my birth. A few passages in LXX go to sustain the former view : Judg. xvi. 17 ; Isa. xliv. 2, 24 ; xlix. 1, 5. That view is also favored by those instances in which a child's destiny is clearly fixed by God before birth, as Samson, Judg. xvi. 17; comp. xiii. 5, 7; John the Baptist, L. i. 15. See also Matt. xix. 12. The usage of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ as marking a temporal starting point is familiar. See J. vi. 66 ; ix. 1; Acts ix. 33 ; xxiv. 10.

Called (калéras). See on Rom. iv. 17. Referring to Paul's call into the kingdom and service of Christ. It need not be limited to his experience at Damascus, but may include the entire chain of divine influences which led to his conversion and apostleship. He calls himself $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ a ́ \pi o ́ \sigma \tau o \lambda o s ~ a n ~$ apostle by call, Rom. i. 1; 1 Cor. i. 1.
16. To reveal his Son in me (àmoкa入úqai tòv viò̀ aủrov̂ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i)$. In N. T. $\dot{a} \pi о к а \lambda \dot{\tau} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ to reveal is habitually used with the simple dative of the subject of the revelation, as $L$. x. 21. Once with eis unto, Rom. viii. 18: with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ in of the sphere in which the revelation takes place, only here, unless Rom. i. 17 be so explained; but there $\dot{e} \nu$ is probably instrumental. Render $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \nu$ here by the simple in: in my spirit, according to the familiar N. T. idea of God revealing himself, living and working in man's inner personality. See, for instance, Rom. i. 19; v. 5 ; viii. 10, 11; 1 Cor. iii. 16 ; xiv. 25 ; 2 Cor. iv. 6 ; 1 J. ii. 5, 14, etc. Lightfoot explains, to reveal his Son by or through me to others. But apart from the doubtful use of $\dot{e} \nu$, this introduces prematurely the thought of Paul's influence in his subsequent ministry. He is speaking of the initial stages of his experience.

Immediately ( $\epsilon \dot{\partial} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \varsigma$ ). Connect only with I conferred not, etc. Not with the whole sentence down to Arabia. Paul is emphasising the fact that he did not receive his commission from men. As soon as God revealed his Son in me, I threw aside all human counsel.

Conferred ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \mu \eta \nu$ ). $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$. and only in Galatians. Rare in Class. The verb ảvatı日éval means to lay upon; hence intrust to. Middle voice, to intrust one's self to; to impart or communicate to another. The compounded preposition $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ implies more than direction; rather communication or relation with, according to a frequent use of $\pi \rho \frac{1}{s}$. The whole compound then, is to put one's self into communication with. Wetstein gives an example from Diodorus, De Alexandro, xvii. 116, where the word is used of consulting soothsayers.

Flesh and blood. Always in N. T. with a suggestion of human weakness or ignorance. See Matt. xvi. 17; 1 Cor. xv. 50 ; Eph. vi. 12.
17. Went 1 up ( $\mathfrak{a} \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ ). Comp. ver. 18. Only in this chapter, and J. vi. 3. More commonly ávaßaiveıl, often of the journey to Jerusalem, probably in the conventional sense in which Englishmen speak of going up to London, no matter from what point. See Matt. xx. 17; Mk. x. 32; J. ii. 13 ; Acts xi. 2. In Acts xviii. 22 the verb is used absolutely of going to Jerusalem. The reading $\mathfrak{a} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov I went away has strong support, and is adopted by Weiss. In that case the meaning would be went away to Jerusalem from where I then was.

Apostles before me. In point of seniority. Comp. Rom. xvi. 7.

Arabia. It is entirely impossible to decide what Paul means by this term, since the word was so loosely used and so variously applied. Many think the Sinaitic peninsula is meant (Stanley, Farrar, Matheson, Lightfoot). Others, the
district of Auranitis near Damascus (Lipsius, Conybeare and Howson, Lewin, McGiffert). Others again the district of Arabia Petraea.
18. To see (i$\sigma \tau 0 \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. 1. To inquire into: 2. to find out by inquiring: 3. to gain knowledge by visiting; to become personally acquainted with. In LXX, only 1 Esd. i. 33,42 , to relate, to record. Often in Class. The word here indicates that Paul went, not to obtain instruction, but to form acquaintance with Peter.

Cephas. See on Matt. xvi. 18; J. i. 42 ; 1 Cor. i. 12.
19. Save James ( $\epsilon \dot{i} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ). With the usual exceptive sense. I saw none save James. Not, I saw none other of the apostles, but I saw James. James is counted as an apostle, though not reckoned among the twelve. For Paul's use of "apostle," see on 1 Th. i. 1, and comp. 1 Cor. xv. 4-7.

The Lord's brother. Added in order to distinguish him from James the son of Zebedee (Matt. iv. 21; x. 2; Mk. x. 35), who was still living, and from James the son of Alphaeus (Matt. x. 3).* The Lord's brother means that James was a son of Joseph and Mary. This view is known as the Helvidian theory, from Helvidius, a layman of Rome, who wrote, about 380 , a book against mariolatry and ascetic celibacy. The explanations which differ from that of Helvidius have grown, largely, out of the desire to maintain the perpetual virginity of Mary. Jerome has given his name to a theory known as the Hieronymian, put forth in reply to Helvidius, about 383 , according to which the brethren of the Lord were the sons of his mother's sister, Mary the wife of Alphaeus or Clopas, and therefore Jesus' cousins. A third view bears the name of Epiphanius, Bishop of Salamis in Cyprus (ob. 404),

[^33]and is that the Lord's brothers were sons of Joseph by a former wife.*
20. I lie not. Comp. Rom. ix. 1; 2 Cor. xi. 31; 1 Tim. ii. 7.
21. Regions ( $\left.\kappa \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mu a \tau a\right)$. $\mathrm{P}^{\text {o }}$. Comp. Rom. xv. 23 ; 2 Cor. xi. 10. K $\lambda i \mu a$, originally an inclination or slope of ground: the supposed slope of the earth from the equator to the pole. The ancient geographers ran imaginary parallel lines from the equator toward the pole, and the spaces or zones or regions between these lines, viewed in their slope or inclination toward the pole, were $\kappa \lambda / \mu a \tau a$. The word came to signify the temperature of these zones, hence our climate. In. Chaucer's treatise on the Astrolabe, chapter xxxix. is headed "Descripcion of the Meridional Lyne, of Longitudes and Latitudes of Citees and Townes from on to a-nother of Clymatz." He says: "The longitude of a clymat is a lyne imagined fro est to west, y-lyke distant by-twene them alle. The latitude of a clymat is a lyne imagined fro north to south the space of the erthe, fro the byginning of the firste clymat unto the verrey ende of the same clymat, even directe agayns the pole artik." In poetical language, "climes" is used for regions of the earth, as Milton:
"Whatever clime the sun's bright circle warms."
Syria and Cilicia. Syria, in the narrower sense, of the district of which Antioch was the capital: not the whole Roman province of Syria, including Galilee and Judaea. Matt. iv. 24; L. ii. 2; Acts xx. 3. This district was the scene of Paul's first apostolic work among the Gentiles. Cilicia was the southeasterly province of Asia Minor, directly adjoining Syria, from which it was separated by Mt. Pierius and the range of Amanus. It was bordered by the Mediter-

[^34]ranean on the south. It was Paul's native province, and its capital was Tarsus, Paul's birthplace.
22. Was unknown ( ${ }^{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ à $\left.\gamma \nu o o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma\right) . ~ B e t t e r, ~ w a s ~ s t i l l ~$ unknown, the imperfect denoting that he remained unknown during his stay in Syria and Cilicia.

Of Judaea. The province, as distinguished from Jerusalem, where he must have been known as the persecutor of the church. See Acts ix. 1, 2.

Which were in Christ. See on 1 Th. ii. 14.
23. They had heard (áкov́ovтes $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ ). Correlative with I was unknown, ver. 22. Note the periphrasis of the participle with the substantive verb, expressing duration. They were hearing all the time that I was thus unknown to them in person.

The faith. See on Acts vi. 7, and comp. 2 Th. iii. 2. The subjective conception of faith as trustful and assured acceptance of Jesus Christ as Saviour, tends to become objective, so that the subjective principle is sometimes regarded objectively. This is very striking in the Pastoral Epistles.
24. In me. The sense is different from that in ver. 16, see note. Here the meaning is that they glorified God as the author and source of what they saw in me.

## CHAPTER II.


 after several years, Acts xxiv. 17; $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta^{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ after (some) days, Mk. ii. 1. $\Delta l a ̀$ means after, that is, a given number of years being interposed between two points of time. Not, in the course of (Rev. marg.).
2. By revelation (катà á áтокá $\lambda \cup \psi(\nu)$. It was specially and divinely revealed to me that I should go. In what way, he does not state.

Communicated (ả $\bar{\epsilon} \in \theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu)$. Only here and Acts xxv. 14. 'Avá up, $\tau \iota \theta$ éval to set. To set up a thing for the consideration of others: to lay it before them.

Unto them (aủroîc). The Christians of Jerusalem generally.

Privately ( $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} i \delta i(a \nu$ ). The general communication to the Jerusalem Christians was accompanied by a private consultation with the leaders. Not that a different subject was discussed in private, but that the discussion was deeper and more detailed than would have befitted the whole body of Christians.

To them which were of reputation ( $\tau 0 \hat{\iota} \varsigma \delta o \kappa \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Lit. to those who seem; are reputed. Men of recognised position, James, Cephas, John. Not his adversaries who were adherents of these three. It is not to be supposed that he would submit his gospel to such. The expression is therefore not used ironically. Paul recognises the honorable position of the three and their rightful claim to respect. The repetition of the phrase (vv. 6, 9) may point to a favorite expression of his opponents in commending these leaders to Paul as models for his preaching; hardly (as Lightfoot) to the contrast between the estimation in which they were held and the actual services which they rendered to him. He chooses this expression because the matter at stake was his recognition by the earlier apostles, and any ironical designation would be out of place.*

Lest by any means I should run or had run in vain. Better, should be running. Comp. Philip. ii. 16. This is

[^35]sometimes explained as implying a misgiving on Paul's part as to the soundness of his own teaching, which he desired to have set at rest by the decision of the principal apostles. On this explanation $\mu \eta^{\prime} \pi \omega$ s will be rendered lest in some way or other. But such a misgiving is contrary to Panl's habitual attitude of settled conviction respecting that gospel which he had received by revelation, and in the preaching of which he had been confirmed by experience. In consulting the Christians at Jerusalem Paul had principally in view the formal indorsement of his work by the church and its leaders. Their formal declaration that he had not been running in vain would materially aid him in his mission. M $\eta=\pi \omega s$ is therefore to be taken as marking an indirect question, whether - not possibly; and the sense of the whole passage is as follows: " I laid before them that gospel which I preach to the Gentiles, that they might examine and settle for themselves the question whether I am not possibly running or had ron in vain." The investigation was to be for their satisfaction, not for Paul's.* Run ( $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ) is a favorite metaphor with Paul. See Rom. ix. 16; 1 Cor. ix. 24, 26 ; Gal. v. 7; Philip. ii. 16 ; iii. 13, 14.
3. Neither (oúdè). More correctly, not even. So far were they from pronouncing my labour in vain, that not even Titus was compelled to be circumcised, although he was a Greek. Though approving Paul's preaching, the apostles might, for the sake of conciliation, have insisted on the circumcision of his Gentile companion.

Being a Greek ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}\right)$ ). Or, although he was a Greek. Const. closely with $\sigma \grave{\nu} \bar{\epsilon} \mu \circ \grave{i}$ with me. It was a bold proceed-

[^36]ing for Paul to take an uncircumcised Gentile with him to the conference at Jerusalem.

Was compelled to be circumcised ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu а \gamma \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta$ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \mu \eta$ $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a t)$. That is, no constraint was applied by the Jerusalem church and its authorities for the circumcision of Titus. The statement is not that such an attempt was pressed but successfully resisted, but that circumcision was not insisted on by the church. The pressure in that direction came from " the false brethren" described in the next verse.
4. The false brethren ( $\quad$ ov̀s $\psi \in u \delta a \delta \in ं \lambda \phi o v s$ ). Only here and 2 Cor. xi. 26. Christians in name only; Judaisers; anti-Paulinists. The article marks them as a well-known class.
 in by the side, and so insidiously, illegally. Vulg. subintroductos. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Strabo (xvii. 1) uses it as an epithet of
 bring in, 2 Pet. ii. 1 ; and mapelбєठ́́n $\sigma a \nu$ crept in privily, Jude 4. Brought in, not from Jerusalem into the church at Antioch, nor into the Pauline churches generally, but into the Christian brotherhood to which they did not rightfully belong.

Who (oïtucs). The double relative introduces the explanation of the two preceding epithets: false brethren, privily brought in, since they came in privily to spy out our liberty.

Came in privily ( $\pi$ apєı $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \theta$ oov). Lit. came in beside. Only here and Rom. v. 20, where it implies nothing evil or secret, but merely something subsidiary. The aorist has a pluperfect sense, indicating the earlier intrusion of these persons into the Christian community.

To spy out (катабкоп $\hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In LXX, of spying out a territory, $2 \mathrm{Sam} . \mathbf{x . ~ 3 ; ~} 1$ Chron. xix. 3.

Liberty (é $\lambda_{\epsilon} \in \theta_{\epsilon \rho} \dot{a} a \nu$ ). Freedom from Mosaism through justification by faith.

Bring us into bondage ( $\kappa a \tau a \delta o u \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \nu)$. Only here and 2 Cor. xi. 20. Bring us into subjection to Jewish ordinances. The compound verb indicates abject subjection.
 We, Paul and Barnabas. Gave place or yielded, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. By the subjection which was demanded of us. The noun only in Paul and the Pastorals, and always in the sense of selfsubjection. Comp. 2 Cor. ix. 13; 1 Tim. ii. 11; iii. 4.
6. Render the passage as follows: "But to be something from (at the hands of) those who were of repute, whatever they were, matters nothing to me (God accepteth not man's person), for those who were of repute imparted nothing to me."

To be something (eivaí it). Comp. ch. vi. 3; Acts v. 36 ; 2 Cor. xii. 11. To be in good standing as an evangelist or apostle, approved and commissioned by high authorities.

From those who were of repute (ảrò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta_{0} \delta_{0}$ From, at the hands of ; as receiving my indorsement or commission from them. Comp. ch. i.1. Of repute, see on ver. 2.

Whatsoever they were (óтоі̂o८ тотè $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma a \nu)$. Пoté in N. T. is invariably temporal, and points here to the preëminence which these apostles had formerly, up to the time of Paul's visit, enjoyed, because of their personal connection with Jesus.*
 not say, as A. V. and Rev., that the standing and repute of

[^37]the apostles were matters of indifference to him, but that he was indifferent about receiving his commission from them as recognised dignitaries of the church. The construction is: "To be something ( $\epsilon_{i v a i}^{i} \tau t$ ) at the hands of (àmò) those who were of repute matters nothing to me."

God accepteth no man's person. Or more strictly, accepteth not the person of man. Parenthetical. $\Lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \frac{\nu}{}$ to receive or accept the face is a Hebraism. See on Jas. ii. 1. In O. T. both in a good and a bad sense; to be gracious, and to show favour from personal or partisan motives. In N. T. only here and L. xx. 21, both in a bad sense. Similar Hebraistic expressions are $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon i s ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \pi \nu$ to look at

 face, 2 Cor. v. 12.

For - to me. Explaining the previous statement. To be of consequence because commissioned by those in repute matters nothing to me (God accepteth not man's person), for although they might have asserted their high repute and authority to others, to me they did not, as was shown by their imposing on me no new requirements.

In conference added nothing (oưס̀̀ $\nu \pi \rho o \sigma a \nu \in ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \tau 0$ ). In conference is an attempt to conform the sense to ch. i. 16. The verb without the accusative, as there, means to confer with. Here, with the accusative, the meaning is laid upon or imposed on. Rend. therefore, imposed nothing on me. They imposed on me no new ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a d d i t i o n a l$ ) requirements; no conditions or limitations of my missionary work.*
7. The gospel of the uncircumcision ( $\tau \grave{̀} \in \dot{a} a \gamma \gamma \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \iota o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ áкpoßvaтías). The phrase only here in N. T. The gospel

[^38]which was to be preached to the uncircumcised - the Gentiles. Lightfoot aptly says: "It denotes a distinction of sphere, and not a difference of type."
8. He that wrought effectually (ó évep $\gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ ). See on 1 Th. ii. 13. Rev. omits effectually, but it is fairly implied in the verb.* Comp. 1 Cor. xii. 6; Philip. ii. 13 ; Col. i. 29. The reference is to God, not to Christ.

In Peter ( חét $\left._{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \boldsymbol{\omega}\right)$. Better, for Peter. In Peter would be $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \Pi{ }^{\prime} \tau \rho \rho \omega$.

Unto the apostleship ( $\epsilon i \boldsymbol{j}$ ). Not merely with reference to the apostleship, but with the design of making him an apostle. Comp. 2 Cor. ii. 12 ; Col. i. 29. Observe how Paul puts himself on an equality with Peter.
 to the Gentiles.
9. Who seemed to be pillars (oi סoкoúvтes $\sigma \tau u{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{o c}$ cival). Better, who are in repute as pillars. The metaphor of pillars, applied to the great representatives and supporters of an institution, is old, and common in all languages. $\dagger$

The grace ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ ). Including all the manifestations of divine grace in Paul - his mission, special endowment, success in preaching the gospel-all showing that he was

[^39]worthy of their fellowship. He is careful to speak of it as a gift of God, סöєîбav.

They gave the right hands of fellowship ( $\delta \epsilon \xi$ tàs é $\delta \omega \kappa$ ка кoovovlas). The phrase only here in N. T. A token of alliance in the apostolic office of preaching and teaching. The giving of the right hand in pledge was not a distinctively Jewish custom. It appears as early as Homer. Deissmann cites an inscription from Pergamum, 98 b.c., in which the Pergamenes offer to adjust the strife between Sardes and Ephesus, and send a mediator סov̂val tàs $\chi \in i ̂ p a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda v \sigma \iota \nu$ to give hands for a treaty. See $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota a ̀ \nu$ or $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota a ̀ s \delta_{i} \delta o ́ v a l$, 1 Macc. vi. 58 ; xi. 50,62 ; xiii. 50 ; 2 Macc. xi. 26 ; xii. 11 ; xiii. 22; and $\delta \epsilon \xi$. $\lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ to receive right hand or hands, 1 Macc. xi. 66 ; xiii. 50 ; 2 Macc. xii. 12; xiv. 19.* The custom prevailed among the Persians, from whom it may have passed to the Jews. See Joseph. Antiq. xviii. 9, 3. Images of right hands clasped were sometimes exchanged in token of friendship (see Xen. Anab. ii. 4, 1). Tacitus (Hist. i. 54) says: "The state of the Lingones had sent, according to an ancient institution, right hands, as gifts to the legions, a signal token of good will." On Roman coins often appear two hands joined, with various inscriptions, as Exercituum Fides; Concordia; Consensus. To give the hand in confirmation of a promise occurs Ez. x. 19. In Isa. Ixii. 8, God swears by his right hand.
10. Only. With only this stipulation.

We should remember ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ 论v́ $\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). The only instance in N. T. of this verb in the sense of beneficent care. No instance in LXX. In Ps. ix. 12, there is the thought but not the word.

[^40]The poor ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \tau \omega \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). The poor Christians of Palestine. Comp. Acts xxiv. 17 ; Rom. xv. 26, 27 ; 1 Cor. xvi. 3; 2 Cor. ix. 1. For the word, see on Matt. v. 3. In LXX ordinarily of those who are oppressed, in contrast with rich and powerful oppressors ; or of those who are quiet in contrast with the lawless.

The same which (ô - aủzò тô̂тo). Lit. which, this very thing. The expression is peculiarly emphatic, and brings out the contrast between Judaising hostility and Paul's spirit of loving zeal. Rev. which very thing.
11. To the face ( $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \omega \pi o \nu$ ). As Acts iii. 13. The meaning is expressed in the familiar phrase faced him down. It is, however, rarely as strong as this in N. T. Rather before the face, or in the face of, meaning simply in the sight or presence of (L. ii. 31), or according to appearance (2 Cor. i. 7). The explanation that Paul withstood Peter only in appearance or semblance (so Jerome, Chrysostom, Theodoret, and other Fathers) is one of the curiosities of exegesis, and was probably adopted out of misplaced consideration for the prestige of Peter.

He was to be blamed (катєүvøб $\mu$ évos $\dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ ). A. V. is wrong. Rev. correctly, he stood condemned. Not by the body of Christians at Antioch ; rather his act was its own condemnation.
12. Did eat with ( $\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta l \in \nu$ ). A. V. misses the force of the imperfect, marking Peter's custom. Not only at church feasts, but at ordinary meals, in defiance of the Pharisaic prohibition. Peter had been shown by special revelation that this prohibition was not binding (Acts x. 28; xi. 8, 9), and had defended that position in the apostolic conference (Acts xv. 7 ff.).

Withdrew and separated himself ( $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa a i ̉ a ̉ \phi \omega ́ \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \nu$ $\dot{\varepsilon} a v \tau o \dot{\nu})$. Or, began to withdraw, etc. ' $\Upsilon \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ only here
in Paul. It means, originally, to draw in or contract. Thus of furling sails, closing the fingers. Middle voice, to draw or shrink back from through fear. Hence, to dissemble or prevaricate. There seems to be no special reason for making it either a military metaphor, as Lightfoot, or a nautical metaphor, as Farrar. See on Acts xx. 20.
13. Dissembled with him ( $\sigma v \nu v \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho(\theta \eta \sigma a \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Peter's course influenced the other Jewish Christians at Antioch, who had previously followed his example in eating with Gentiles.

Was carried away ( $\sigma v \nu a \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \theta_{\eta}$ ). Lit. was carried away with them ( $\sigma v \nu$ ). In Paul only here and Rom. xii. 16, on which see note. In LXX once, Ex. xiv. 6.

With their dissimulation (av̉т $\hat{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$ ítoкрícec). Not to or over to their dissimulation. Paul uses a strong word, which is employed only in 1 Tim. iv. 2. The kindred verb íтокрivec $\theta a \iota$ to play a part, and the noun íтокрьт $\dot{\prime}$ s hypocrite, do not occur in his letters. Their act was hypocrisy, because it was a concealment of their own more liberal conviction, and an open profession of still adhering to the narrow Pharisaic view. It was "a practical denial of their better spiritual insight" (Wieseler).*
14. See additional note at the end of this chapter. Walked not uprightly (ojp $\theta_{0} \pi o \delta o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Lit. are not walking. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX} .{ }^{\circ}$ Class. Lit. to be straight-footed.

Being a Jew ( $\dot{v} \pi \dot{a} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ ). The verb means originally to begin; thence to come forth, be at hand, be in existence. It is sometimes claimed that $\dot{v} \pi a^{\prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ as distinguished from $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i \nu a \iota}$ implies an antecedent condition - being originally. That is true in some cases. $\dagger$ But, on the other hand, it sometimes

[^41]denotes a present as related to a future condition.* The most that can be said is that it is very often used with a relative meaning, but that it often is found simply in the sense of to $b e$.

Livest after the manner of Gentiles ( $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \tau \kappa \omega \bar{\omega} \zeta \hat{\eta} \rho$ ). 'E $\theta \nu \iota \kappa \omega \bar{s}, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{T} .{ }^{0}$. The force of the present livest must not be pressed. The reference is not strictly temporal, either as referring to Peter's former intercourse with the Gentile Christians, or as indicating that he was now associating with them at table. It is rather the statement of a general principle. If you, at whatever time, act on the principle of living according to Gentile usage. At the time of Paul's address to Peter, Peter was living after the manner of Jews ('Iovסaїк $\kappa$ s).

Compellest (ávarкá $\zeta \epsilon \iota s$ ). Indirect compulsion exerted by Peter's example. Not that he directly imposed Jewish separatism on the Gentile converts.

To live as do the Jews ('Iov $\delta a i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Once in LXX, Esth. viii. 17. Also in Joseph. B. J. ii. 18, 2, and
 to practise Christianity occurs in Origen.
15. We, etc Continuation of Paul's address; not the beginning of an address to the Galatians. Under we Paul includes himself, Peter, and the Jewish Christians of Antioch, in contrast with the Gentile Christians. The Galatians were mostly Gentiles.

Who are Jews, etc. The who is wrong. Render we are Jews. The expression is concessive. We are, I grant, Jews. There is an implied emphasis on the special prerogatives and privileges of the Jews as such. See Rom. iii. 1f.; ix. 1 ff.

[^42] ners taken from the Gentiles, or sprung from. Sinners, in the conventional Jewish sense; born heathen, and as such sinners ; not implying that Jews are not sinners. The Jew regarded the Gentile as impure, and styled him a dog (Matt. xv. 27). See Rom. ii. 12; 1 Cor. vi. 1; ix. 21; Eph. ii. 12 ; L. xviii. 32 ; xxiv. 7. Possibly Paul here cites the very words by which Peter sought to justify his separation from the Gentile Christians, and takes up these words in order to draw from them an opposite conclusion. This is quite according to Paul's habit.
16. Justified ( $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \hat{v} \tau a \iota$ ). See on Rom. iii. 20, 26. The meaning to declare or pronounce righteous cannot be consistently carried through Paul's writings in the interest of a theological fiction of imputed righteousness. See, for example, Rom. iv. 25; 1 Cor. vi. 11; and all passages where the word is used to describe justification by works of the law, as here, ch. iii. 11; v. 4. If one is justified by the works of the law, his righteousness is a real righteousness, founded upon his conformity to the law. Why is the righteousness of faith any less a real righteousness?
 the works, etc. Comp. Rom. iii. 20. Works are characteristic of a legal dispensation. Paul often puts "works" alone as representing legal righteousness. See Rom. iv. 2, 6; ix. 11, 32 ; xi. 6 ; Eph. ii. 9.

But by faith ( $\mathfrak{e} a ̀ \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$ ). As the Greek stands, it would read, "Is not justified by the works of the law save through faith." So, unfortunately, Rev. This would mean, as the Romish interpreters, not through works of the law except they be done through faith in Christ, and would ascribe justification to works which grow out of faith. Paul means that justification is by faith alone. The use of $\dot{e} a ̀ \nu \mu \eta$ is to be thus explained : A man is not justified by the works of the law : (he is not justified) except by faith in Jesus Christ. 'Eà $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$
retains its exceptive force, but the exception refers only to the verb. Comp. $\epsilon i \mu \eta$ in Matt. xii. 4; L. iv. 26, 27 ; Gal. i. 19 ; Apoc. xxi. 27.

By the faith of Jesus Christ ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s ~ X \rho ו \sigma \tau o v ̂ ~ ' I ~ \eta \sigma o v ̂) . ~$ Properly, Christ Jesus. Faith in Jesus Christ, according to a common usage. See J. v. 42 ; 1 J. ii. 5, 15; Acts ix. 31 ; Mk. xi. 22 ; Rom. iii. 22 ; Gal. iii. 22. Paul uses different prepositions in describing the relations of faith and works to righteousness; probably not always with a distinct intention of giving different shades of thought. Here, through ( $\delta \iota a ̀$ ) faith and out of ( $\epsilon \xi$ ) works. Here and Rom. iii. 30, out of (ék) faith. Philip. iii. 9, righteousness through ( $\delta(\grave{\alpha}$ ) faith, and from (ék) God, resting upon (èmi) faith. $\Delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ marks faith as the medium of justification; $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ as the source; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ as the foundation. ' $\mathrm{E} \xi$ is habitually used with works.

Flesh ( $\sigma \alpha^{\prime} \rho \xi$ ). See on Rom. vii. 5. For no flesh see on Rom. iii. 20.
17. Are found ( $\epsilon \dot{\sim} \rho \rho^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). More correctly, were found : were discovered and shown to be. See Rom. vii. 10; 1 Cor. xv. 15; 2 Cor. v. 3; Philip. ii. 8 ; iii. 9.

Sinners ( $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda o i ́)$. Like the Gentiles, ver. 15. Paul assumes that this was actually the case: that, seeking to be justified in Christ, they were found to be sinners. To seek to be justified by Christ is an admission that there is no justification by works; that the seeker is unjustified, and therefore a sinner. The effort to attain justification by faith in Christ develops the consciousness of sin. It compels the seeker, whether Jew or Gentile, to put himself upon the common plane of sinners. The Jew who calls the Gentile a sinner, in seeking to be justified by faith, finds himself a sinner also. The law has failed him as a justifying agency. But Paul is careful to repudiate the false inference from this fact, stated in what immediately follows, namely, that Christ is a minister of $\sin$.

Minister of $\sin$. A promoter of $\sin$ by causing us to abandon the law.

God forbid ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ү'́vouto). See on Rom. iii. 4. Not a reply merely to the question "is Christ a minister of sin?" but to the whole supposition from "if while we seek." The question is not whether Christ is in general a minister of sin, but whether he is such in the case supposed. Paul does not assume that this false inference has been drawn by Peter or the other Jewish Christians.
20. I build again the things which I destroyed (â кaté$\lambda \nu \sigma a$ тav̂тa $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ oiккoסo $\mu \hat{\omega})$. Peter, by his Christian profession, had asserted that justification was by faith alone; and by his eating with Gentiles had declared that the Mosaic law was no longer binding upon him. He had thus, figuratively, destroyed or pulled down the Jewish law as a standard of Christian faith and conduct. By his subsequent refusal to eat with Gentiles he had retracted this declaration, had asserted that the Jewish law was still binding upon Christians, and had thus built again what he had pulled down. Building and pulling down are favorite figures with Paul. See Rom. xiv. 20; xv. 20; 1 Cor. viii. 1, 10; x. 23 ; xiv. 4, 17 ;
 2 Cor. v. 1.

1 make myself ( $\mathfrak{e} \mu a v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \omega)$ ). Better, prove myself. The verb originally means to put together: thence to put one person in contact with another by way of introducing him and bespeaking for him confidence and approval. To commend, as Rom. xvi. 1; comp. Rom. v. 8; 2 Cor. iii. 1; iv. 2 ; v. 12. As proof, or exhibition of the true state of a case is furnished by putting things together, the word comes to mean demonstrate, exhibit the fact, as here, Rom. iii. 5; 2 Cor. vi. 11.

A transgressor ( $\pi a \rho a \beta a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ). See on Jas. ii. 11, and on тapá $\beta a \sigma \iota s$ transgression, Rom. ii. 23. In reasserting the
validity of the law for justification，which he had denied by seeking justification by faith in Christ，he proves himself a transgressor in that denial，that pulling down．

19．For（ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ）．Justifying the previous thought that the reërection of the law as a standard of Christian life and a means of justification is a condemnation of the faith which relies on Christ alone for righteousness．

1，through the law，am dead to the law（érà $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v ~$ $\nu o ́ \mu \varphi \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in ́ \theta a \nu o \nu)$ ．For ant dead，render died．Faith in Christ created a complete and irreparable break with the law which is described as death to the law．Comp．Rom．vii．4，6．The law itself was the instrument of this break，see next ver． ＇Ey⿳亠丷厂犬 is emphatic．Paul appeals to his personal experience， his decided break with the law in contrast with Peter＇s vacil－ lation．

Might live unto God（ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \zeta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ）．With death to the law a new principle of life entered．For the phrase，see Rom． vi． $10,11$.

20．I am crucified with Christ（ $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau a v ́ \rho \omega \mu a \iota$ ）． This compound verb is used by Paul only here and Rom．vi． 6．In the gospels，Matt．xxvii．44；Mk．xv．32；J．xix． 32. The statement explains how a believer dies to the law by means of the law itself．In the crucifixion of Christ as one accursed，the demand of the law was met（see Gal．iii．13）． Ethically，a believer is crucified with Christ（Rom．vi．3－11； Philip．iii．10； 1 Cor．xv．31； 2 Cor．iv．10），and thus the demand of the law is fulfilled in him likewise．Paul means that，＂owing to his connection with the crucified，he was， like him，legally impure，and was thus an outcast from the Jewish church．＂＊He became dead to the law by the law＇s own act．Of course a Jew would have answered that Christ was justly crucified．He would have said：＂If you broke

[^43]with the law because of your fellowship with Christ, it proved that both he and you were transgressors." But Paul is addressing Peter, who, in common with himself, believed on Christ (ver. 16).

I live ; yet not I ( $\zeta \hat{\omega}$ ס $\delta$ è oúkéć $\iota$ é $\gamma \omega \overline{)}$ ). The semicolon after live in A. V. and Rev. should be removed. Rend. : and it is no longer I that live, but Christ, etc. The new life of Christ followed his crucifixion, Rom. vi. 9-11. He who is crucified with Christ repeats this experience. He rises with Christ and shares his resurrection-life. The old man is crucified with Christ, and Christ is in him as the principle of his new life, Rom. vi. 4-11.*

I now live. Emphasis on $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ now, since the beginning of my Christian life, with an implied contrast with the life in the flesh before he was crucified with Christ. Then, the I was the centre and impulse of life. Now, it is no longer $I$, but Christ in me.

By the faith of the Son of God ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \boldsymbol{l} \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{y}$ tô viov. тov̀ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ ). Better, as Rev., in faith, the faith which is in the Son of God. Thus the defining and explicative force of the article $\tau \hat{\eta}$ after $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon l$ is brought out. In faith is better than $b y$ faith, although $\dot{e} \nu$ is sometimes used instrumentally. Ins corresponds better with è $\nu \sigma a \rho \kappa i ̀ i n ~ t h e ~ f l e s h . ~ I t ~ e x h i b i t s . ~$ faith as the element in which the new life is lived.
 an explanatory force: loved me , and, as a proof of his love, gave himself. For mapaסóvtos gave, see on was delivered, Rom. iv. 25.

> "For God more bounteous was himself to give To make man able to uplift himself, Than if he only of himself had pardoned.",
> DANTE, Paradiso, vii. 115-117.

[^44]For me (ímèp $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu o \hat{v}$ ). See on for the ungodly, Rom. v. 6.
21. Frustrate ( $\dot{a} \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega}$ ). Annul or invalidate. Comp. Mk. vii. 9 ; 1 Cor. i. 19 ; Gal. iii. 15.

The grace of God ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \chi^{\dot{d} \rho \iota \nu} \tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ). X $\dot{a} \rho \iota s$ is, primarily, that which gives joy ( $\chi a \rho \alpha$ ). Its higher, Christian meaning is based on the emphasis of freeness in a gift or favour. It is the free, spontaneous, absolute loving-kindness of God toward men. Hence often in contrast with the ideas of debt, law, works, sin. Sometimes for the gift of grace, the benefaction, as 1 Cor. xvi. 3 ; 2 Cor. viii. 6, 19 ; 1 Pet. i. 10, 13. So here : the gracious gift of God in the offering of Christ.

Is dead ( $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \theta a \nu \nu)$. More correctly, died; pointing to the historical incident.

In vain ( $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu)$. Groundlessly, without cause. See on 2 Th . iii. 8. The sense here is not common. It is not found in Class., and in N. T. only J. xv. 25. In LXX, see Ps. xxxiv. 7, 19 ; cviii. 3 ; exviii. 161 ; 1 Sam. xix. 5 ; Sir. xx. 23 ; xxix. 6. Comp. Ignatius, Trall. x. Paul says: "I do not invalidate the grace of God in the offering of Christ, as one does who seeks to reëstablish the law as a means of justification; for if righteousness comes through the law, there was no occasion for Christ to die."

## Additional Note on Verses 14-21.

The course of thought in Paul's address to Peter is difficult to follow. It will help to simplify it if the reader will keep it before him that the whole passage is to be interpreted in the light of Peter's false attitude as a remonstrance against a particular state of things.

The line of remonstrance is as follows. If you, Peter, being a Jew, do not live as a Jew, but as a Gentile, as you did when you ate with Gentiles, why do you, by your example in withdrawing from Geutile tables, constrain Gentile Christians to live as Jews, observing the separative ordinances of the Jewish law? This course is plainly inconsistent.

Even you and I, born Jews, and not Gentiles - sinners - denied the obligation of these ordinances by the act of believing on Jesus Christ. In professing this faith we committed ourselves to the principle that no one can be justified by the works of the law.

But it may be said that we were in no better case by thus abandoning the law and legal righteousness, since, in the very effort to be justified through Christ, we were shown to be sinners, and therefore in the same category with the Gentiles. Does it not then follow that Christ is proved to be a minister of sin in requiring us to abandon the law as a means of justification?

No. ( cod forbid. It is true that, in seeking to be justified in Christ, we stood revealed as sinners, for it was Christ who showed us that we could not be justified by the works of the law ; that all our legal strictness only left us sinners. But the inference is false that Christ is thereby shown to be a minister of sin.

For to say that Christ is a minister of sin, is to say that $I$, at his bidding, became a transgressor by abandoning the law, and that the law is the only true standard and medinm of righteousness. If I reassert the obligation of the law after denying that obligation, I thereby assert that I transgressed in abandoning it, and that Christ, who prompted and demanded this transgression, is a minister of sin.

But this I deny. The law is not the true standard and medium of righteousness. I did not transgress in abandoning it. Christ is not a minister of sin. For it was the law itself which compelled me to abandon the law. The law crucified Christ and thereby declared him accursed. In virtue of my moral fellowship with Christ, I was (ethically) crucified with him. The law declared me also accursed, and would have no more of me. The act of the law forced me to break with the law. Through the law I died to the law. Thus I came under a new principle of life. I no longer live, but Christ lives in me. If I should declare that righteousness is through the law, by reasserting the obligation of the law as you, Peter, have done, I should annul the grace of God as exhibited in the death of Christ; for in that case, Christ's death would be superfluous. and useless. But I do not annul the grace of God.

## CHAPTER III.

1. Foolish (ávóvtot). See on L. xxiv. 25. In N. T. and LXX always in an active sense. See L. xxiv. 25; Rom. i. 14; 1 Tim. vi. 9 ; Tit. iii. 3. Noûs is used by Paul mainly with an ethical reference, as the faculty of moral judgment. See on Rom. vii. 23. 'Avó $\eta$ tos therefore indicates a folly which is the outgrowth of a moral defect. Paul is not alluding to a national characteristic of the Galatians.*
[^45]Hath bewitched ( $\left.\epsilon{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} \sigma \kappa a \nu \epsilon \nu\right)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In Class. with accusative, to slander, malign; with dative, to envy, grudge, use ill words to another, bewitch by spells.* For the verb in LXX, see Deut. xxviii. 54,56 ; Sir. xiv. 6,8 . The noun Baбкалía (not in N. T.) in LXX, Wisd. iv. 12 (the bewitching); 4 Macc. i. 26 (the evil eye); 4 Macc. ii. 15 (slander). See also Plato, Phaedo, 95 B (evil eye). The adjective $\beta$ á $\sigma-$ кavos (not in N. T.) appears in LXX, Prov. xxiii. 6; xxviii. 22 (having an evil eye); Sir. xiv. 3; xviii. 18; xxxvii. 11 (envious). See also Aristoph. Knights, 103; Plut. 571 (slanderous, a calumniator). Ignatius (Rom. iii.) uses it of grudging the triumph of martyrdom. The two ideas of envy or malice and the evil eye combine in the Lat. invidere, to look maliciously. The ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ s ~ \pi o \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ e v i l ~ e y e ~ i s ~ f o u n d ~ M k . ~ v i i . ~$ 22. Paul's metaphor here is: who hath cast an evil spell upon you? Chrysostom, followed by Lightfoot, thinks that the passage indicates, not only the baleful influence on the Galatians, but also the envious spirit of the false teachers who envy them their liberty in Christ. This is doubtful.

Before whose eyes (ois $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o v{ }^{\prime}$ ). The Greek is stronger: unto whom, over against your very eyes. The phrase $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \iota_{s} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{T}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$, but quite frequent in LXX. Comp. катà $\pi \rho \sigma \dot{\sigma} \omega \pi \pi \frac{\nu}{}$ to the face, Gal. ii. 11.

Hath been evidently set forth ( $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta$ ). The different explanations turn on the meaning assigned to $\pi \rho o$ : either formerly, or openly, publicly. Thus openly portrayed. The use of $\pi \rho o \gamma \rho a \dot{\phi} \epsilon \iota \nu$ in this sense is more than doubtful. Previously written. In favour of this is the plain meaning in two of the three other N. T. passages where it occurs : Rom. xv. 4 ; Eph. iii. 3. Was posted up, placarded. It is the

[^46]usual word to describe public notices or proclamations.* The more probable sense combines the first and third interpretations. Rend. openly set forth. This suits before whose eyes, and illustrates the suggestion of the evil eye in bewitched. Who could have succeeded in bringing you under the spell of an evil eye, when directly before your own eyes stood revealed the crucified Christ?
 among you is omitted in the best texts. Crucified emphatically closes the sentence. Christ was openly set forth as crucified.
2. This only. I will convince you of your error by this one point. Do you owe the gifts of the Spirit to the works of the law, or to the message of faith ?

Received ye, etc. The answer lies in the question. You cannot deny that you received the gifts of the Spirit by the message of faith.

The hearing of faith (aंкоиิs $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). See on ch. i. 23. For hearing, render message. So, often in N. T. See Matt. iv. 24 ; xiv. 1 ; xxiv. 6 ; J. xii. 38 . LXX, 1 Sam. ii. 24 ; 2 Sam. xiii. 30; Tob. x. 13 ; Hab. iii. $2 . \dagger$
3. So foolish. Explained by what follows. Has your folly reached such a pitch as to reverse the true order of things? Comp. 1 Cor. xv. 46.

Having begun (èvap $\xi^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ ). Po. Comp. Philip. i. 6 ; 2 Cor. viii. 6. Having commenced your Christian life. The

[^47]verb is common in Class. in the sense of beginning a sacrifice or other religious ceremony ; but it is not likely that any such figurative suggestion is attached to it here, as Lightfoot.

In the Spirit ( $\pi \nu \in \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau \iota$ ). Or, by means of the Spirit. The Holy Spirit, as the inspirer and regulator of the life.

Are ye made perfect ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). The word is found in connection with éváp $\neq \sigma \theta a \iota$ to begin, in 2 Cor. viii. 6; Philip. i. 6. The A. V. and Rev. render here in the passive voice. The active voice, always in N. T. with the object expressed, means to bring to completion. See Rom. xv. 28; 2 Cor. vii. 1; Philip. i. 6; Heb. viii. 5. The passive only 1 Pet. v. 9. It is true that the verb in the middle voice is not found in either N. T. or LXX; but it is not uncommon in Class. and answers better to the middle évap $\mathcal{\xi}^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ having begun. It implies more than bringing to an end; rather to a consummation. Rend.: having begun in the spirit are ye coming to completion in the flesh? The last phrase has an ironical tinge, suggesting the absurdity of expecting perfection on the Jewish basis of legal righteousness. The present tense indicates that they have already begun upon this attempt.

The flesh. The worldly principle or element of life, represented by the legal righteousness of the Jew.
4. Have ye suffered (è $\pi \dot{a} \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). Or, did ye suffer. The exact sense is doubtful. By some it is held that the reference is to sufferings endured by the Galatian Christians either through heathen persecutions or Judaising emissaries. There is, however, no record in this Epistle or elsewhere of the Galatians having suffered special persecutions on account of their Christian profession. Others take the verb in a neutral sense, have ye experienced, or with a definite reference to the experience of benefits. In this neutral sense it is nsed in Class. from Homer down, and is accordingly joined with both кaкต̂s evilly, and $\epsilon \mathfrak{\delta}$ well. Paul habitually uses it in the vol. Iv. - 8
sense of suffering evil, and there is no decisive instance, either in N. T. or LXX, of the neutral sense. In Class., where it is used of the experience of benefits, it is always accompanied by some qualifying word. When it stands alone it signifies to suffer evil. The evidence on the whole makes very strongly for the meaning suffer; in which case the reference is, probably, to the annoyances suffered from Judaising Christians. It must be said, on the other hand, that a reference to such annoyances seems far-fetched. If we could translate did ye experience (so Weizsäcker, Lipsius, Sieffert), the reference would be to the impartation of the gifts of the Spirit.

In vain ( $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{y}$ ). So that ye have fallen from the faith and missed the inheritance of suffering and the rich fruitage of your spiritual gifts. See Matt. v. 10-12; Rom. viii. 17; 2 Cor. iv. 17.

If it be yet in vain ( $\epsilon \check{l}$ रє кal $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{y}$ ). The A. V. misses the force of the particles. Kai should be closely joiued with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \hat{y}$, with the sense of really. If, that is, it be really in vain.
5. Therefore (oiv). Resumes the thought of ver. 2 (vv. 3,4 being, practically, parenthetical), in order to adduce the example of Abraham as a proof of justification by faith. The thought of ver. 2 is further emphasised. The gift of the Spirit, and the bestowment of miraculous powers, is a purely divine operation in believers, which is not merited by legal works, but can be received and experienced only through the message of faith.

He that ministereth ( $\dot{o} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi o \rho \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ). Or supplieth. See 2 Cor. ix. 10; Col. ii. 19; 2 Pet. i. 5. The idea of abundant supply (Lightfoot), if conveyed at all, resides, not in the preposition $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, which indicates direction, but in the simple verb, which is used of abundant, liberal supply. He that ministereth is God.

[^48]Miracles ( $\delta v \nu a \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon s$ ). See on Matt. xi. 20. Either miracles, as Mk. vi. 2; 1 Cor. xii. 10, or miraculous powers, as 1 Cor. xii. 6 ; Philip. ii. 13 ; Eph. ii. 2. The analogy of these latter passages favours the second meaning.

Among you ( $\bar{\nu} \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu)$. So, if $\delta \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \iota s$ is explained as miracles. If miraculous powers, render in you.
6. Even as ( $\kappa a \theta \omega \grave{\varsigma}$ ). The answer to the question of ver. 5 is so obvious that it is not given. Paul proceeds at once to the illustration - the argument for the righteousness of faith furnished in the justification of Abraham. The spiritual gifts come through the message of faith, even as Abraham believed, etc.

Believed God ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ ). See on Rom. iv. 5. Believed God's promise that he should become the father of many nations. See Rom. iv. 18-21. The reference is not to faith in the promised Messiah.

It was accounted to him for righteousness (è $\bar{\lambda}$ oric $\sigma \eta$ aùt $\hat{\varphi}$ cis $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma u ́ v \dot{\eta} \nu)$. See on Rom. iv. 5. 'Els does not mean instead of, but as. His faith was reckoned as righteousness - as something which it really was; since all possibilities of righteousness are included in faith.
7. Know ye ( $\boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). Imperative. It may also be rendered as indicative, ye know, but the imperative is livelier, and the statement in the verse is one of the points which the writer is trying to prove.

They which are of faith (oi éк $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ). 'Ек $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ from or out of faith, is found with the verb to justify (Rom. iii. 26,30 ; v. 1) : with other verbs, as live (Rom. i. 17); eat (Rom. xiv. 23): with the noun סıкaloбvivך righteousness (Rom. i. 17; ix. 30 ; x. 6) : with other nouns, as promise (Gal. iii. 22), law (Gal. iii. 12). For parallels to the phrase oí èк $\pi$ l $\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, see Rom. iii. 26 ; iv. 16 ; xiv. 23 ; Gal. iii. 9.

It denotes believers as sprung from, or receiving their spiritual condition from that which specially characterises them. Comp. oi é $\xi$ èpltias they who are of faction, Rom. ii. 8; oi $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa$
 he who is of the truth, J. xviii. 37.
8. The scripture ( $\dot{\eta}$ rpaфŋ̀). See on 1 Tim. v. 18. The particular passage cited below. See on Mk. xii. 10 ; J. ii. $22 ;$ v. 47 footnote.

Foreseeing ( $\pi \rho o i \delta_{0} \hat{v} \sigma a$ ). The passage of Scripture is personified. Comp. hath concluded, ver. 22. The Jews had a formula of reference, "What did the Scripture see?"

Would justify ( ©ıкaıô). Better justifieth. The present $^{\text {a }}$ tense. The time foreseen was the Christian present. Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 13; Matt. xxvi. 2.

Preached before the gospel ( $\pi \rho \sigma \varepsilon v \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ í $\sigma a \tau o$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. An awkward translation. Better, preached the gospel beforehand.

All nations ( $\pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ e ै ~ \theta \nu \eta) . ~ F r o m ~ G e n . ~ x v i i i . ~ 18 ; ~ c o m p . ~$ Gen. xxii. 18, LXX. Gen. xii. 3 reads mâбaı ai фu入ai all the tribes. Tà $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta$ was the collective term by which all non-Jews were denoted, and is more suitable to Paul's Gentile audience.

Shall be blessed (èvev LXX, Gen. xii. 3; xviii. 18; xxii. 18; xxvi. 4 ; Sir. xliv. 21. The blessing is the messianic blessing of which the Gentiles are to partake - the imparting of the Spirit as the new life-principle and the pledge of future blessedness in Christ. This blessing Abraham shared on the ground of his faith, and believers shall share it as the true spiritual children of Abraham.

In thee ( $\dot{v} \nu \sigma o i)$. Not, through thy posterity, Christ, but in the fact that thou art blessed is involved the blessedness of
the Gentiles through faith, in so far as they shall be justified by faith, and through justification receive the Holy Spirit.
9. With ( $\sigma \dot{v} v$ ). Not=like or as, but in fellowship with. Believers are regarded as homogeneous with Abraham, and as thus sharing the blessing which began in him.

Faithful ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ ). Or believing, as Acts xvi. 1; 2 Cor. vi. $15 ; 1$ Tim. v. 16. Those who are of the faith are one in blessing with him whose characteristic was faith.
10. Under the curse (ímò катápay). Better, under curse. There is no article. The phrase is general =accursed. Comp. $\dot{v} \phi^{\prime}$ à $\mu a \rho \tau i a \nu$ under sin, Rom. iii. 9. The specific character of the curse is not stated. It is not merely the wrath of God as it issues in final destruction (Meyer); but it represents a condition of alienation from God, caused by violation of his law, with all the penalty which accrues from it, either in this life or the next.

Cursed (èтькатápatoৎ). Only here and ver. 13. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. In LXX, see Gen. iii. 14, 17; Deut. xxvii. 16-20; Isa. lxv. 20 ; Wisd. iii. 12 ; xiv. 8 , etc.

Continueth - in ( $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \mu \mu \notin \nu \epsilon \epsilon\right)$. The expression is figurative, the book of the law being conceived as a prescribed district or domain, in which one remains or out of which he goes. Comp. continue in the faith, Acts xiv. 22 ; in the covenant, Heb. viii. 9; in the things which thou hast learned, 2 Tim. iii. 14 .
11. But $(\delta \grave{\grave{k}})$. Better, now. The $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$ continues the argument, adding the scripture testimony.

By the law ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu o \dot{\prime} \mu \varphi$ ). Rather, in the sphere of the law; thus corresponding with continueth in, ver. 10.

The just shall live by faith ( $\dot{\delta} \delta i ́ \kappa a \iota a s ~ e ́ \kappa ~ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s ~ \zeta \eta ं \sigma \epsilon \tau a l) . ~$ Better, the righteous. Quoted from Hab. ii. 4, and appears
in Rom. i. 17, and Heb. x. 38. The LXX has $\mu \mathrm{ov} m y$, either after $\delta i \kappa k a t o s, " m y$ righteous one shall live, etc.," or after $\pi i \sigma-$ $\tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, " by $m y$ faith or faithfulness." *
13. Hath redeemed ( $\left.\epsilon \xi \eta \gamma{ }^{\prime} \rho a \sigma \epsilon \nu\right)$. $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. Better redeemed. Comp. Gal. iv. 5 ; Eph. v. 16 ; Col. iv. 5. In LXX once, Dan. ii. 8. See on Col. iv. 5.

Us. Referring specially to Jews.
 become. See on ch. ii. 20.

It is written. From LXX of Deut. xxi. 23, with the omission of $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ 白ô̂ by God after cursed. Paul, as Lightfoot justly says, instinctively omits these words, since Christ was in no sense accursed by God in his crucifixion. The statement does not refer to Christ's enduring the curse in our stead, but solely to the attitude in which the law placed Christ by subjecting him to the death of a malefactor. The law satisfied its demand upon him, and thus thrust him out of the pale of the legal economy. We, by our fellowship with him, are likewise cast out, and therefore are no longer under curse.

Upon a tree ( $\epsilon \pi i$ 臽údou). Originally wood, timber. In later Greek, a tree. In Class. used of a gallows (Aristoph. Frogs, 736). Often of the stocks (Aristoph. Clouds, 592; Lysistr. 680 ; Knights, 367). So Acts xvi. 24. Of the cross, Acts v. 30; x. 39; 1 Pet. ii. 24. Ignatius (Smyrn. i.) says that Christ was nailed up for our sakes - of which fruit are we. That is, the cross is regarded as a tree, and Christians as its fruit. Comp. Trall. ii. See the interesting remarks of Lightfoot on the symbolism of the tree of life in Paradise (Apostolic Fathers, Part II., Vol. II., p. 291).

[^49]14. That (iva). Marking the purpose of Christ in redeeming from the curse of the law.

That we might receive, etc. The second $\bar{i} \nu a$ is parallel with the first. The deliverance from the curse results not only in extending to the Gentiles the blessing promised to Abraham, but in the impartation of the Spirit to both Jews and Gentiles through faith. The evinoyia blessing is not God's gift of justification as the opposite of the curse; for in vv. 10, 11, justification is not represented as the opposite of the curse, but as that by which the curse is removed and the blessing realised. The content of the curse is death, ver. 13. The opposite of the curse is life. The subject of the promise is the life which comes through the Spirit. See J. vii. 39 ; Acts ii. 17, 38, 39 ; x. 45, 47; xv. 7, 8 ; Rom. v. 5 ; viii. 2, 4, 6, 11 ; Eph. i. 13.
15. After the manner of men ( $\kappa a \tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ ). According to human analogy; reasoning as men would reason in ordinary affairs. The phrase is peculiar to Paul. See Rom. iii. 5 ; 1 Cor. iii. 3 ; ix. 8 ; xv. 32 ; Gal. i. 11. Comp. $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi t \iota \nu=s$ as a man, Rom. vi. 19.

Though it be - yet. The A. V. and Rev. give the correct sense, but the order of the Greek is peculiar. " $\mathrm{O} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ yet properly belongs to oúdeis no man: "Though a man's covenant yet no man disannulleth it." But ö $\mu \omega$ s is taken out of its natural place, and put at the beginning of the clause, before $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi o v$, so that the Greek literally reads: "Yet a man's covenant confirmed no one disannulleth, etc." A similar displacement occurs 1 Cor. xiv. 7.

Covenant ( $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ ). Not testament. See on Matt. xxvi. 28, and Heb. ix. 16.

Confirmed ( $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \nu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu)$. Po. See 2 Cor. ii. 8. In LXX, Gen. xxiii. 20 ; Lev. xxv. 30 ; 4 Mace. vii. 9. From $\kappa \hat{v} \rho o s$ supreme power. Hence the verb carries the sense of
authoritative confirmation, in this case by the contracting parties.

Disannulleth (áderê). See on bring to nothing, 1 Cor. i. 19. Rev. maketh void.

Addeth thereto (e่ $\pi \iota \delta \iota a \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Adds new specifications or conditions to the original covenant, which is contrary to law. Comp. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ a second will or codicil, Joseph. B. J. ii. 2, 3; Ant. xvii. 9, 4. The doctrine of the Judaisers, while virtually annulling the promise, was apparently only the imposing of new conditions. In either case it was a violation of the covenant.
16. The course of thought is as follows. The main point is that the promises to Abraham continue to hold for Christian believers (ver. 17). It might be objected that the law made these promises void. After stating that a human covenant is not invalidated or added to by any one, he would argue from this analogy that a covenant of God is not annulled by the law which came afterwards. But before reaching this point, he must call attention to the fact that the promises were given, not to Abraham only, but to his descendants. Hence it follows that the covenant was not a mere temporary contract, made to last only up to the time of the law. Even a man's covenant remains uncancelled and without additions. Similarly, God's covenant-promises to Abraham remain valid; and this is made certain by the fact that the promises were given not only to Abraham but to his seed; and since the singular, seed, is used, and not seeds, it is evident that Christ is meant.

The promises (ai èmayye入íal). Comp. Rom. ix. 4. The promise was given on several occasions.*

Were made ( $\epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \in \eta \sigma a \nu) . \quad$ Rend. were spoken.

[^50]To his seed ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho \mu a \tau \iota a \dot{u} \tau o \hat{v}$ ). Emphatic, as making for his conclusion in ver. 17. There can be no disannulling by the law of a promise made not only to Abraham, but to his seed.

Not-to seeds (oủ- $\boldsymbol{\tau} 0 \hat{i} s \sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ). He means that there is significance in the singular form of expression, as pointing to the fact that one descendant (seed) is intended Christ. With regard to this line of argument it is to be said, 1. The original promise referred to the posterity of Abraham generally, and therefore applies to Christ individually only as representing these: as gathering up into one all who should be incorporated with him. 2. The original word for seed in the O . T., wherever it means progeny, is used in the singular, whether the progeny consists of one or many. In the plural it means grains of seed, as 1 Sam . viii. 15 . It is evident that Paul's argument at this point betrays traces of his rabbinical education (see Schoettgen, Horae Hebraicae, Vol. I., p. 736), and can have no logical force for nineteenth-century readers. Even Luther says: "Zum stiche zu schwach." *

Of many ( $\epsilon \pi \pi \grave{\imath} \pi a \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Apparently a unique instance of the use of $\epsilon \pi \grave{\iota}$ with the genitive after a verb of speaking. The sense appears in the familiar phrase "to speak upon a subject," many being conceived as the basis on which the speaking rests. Similarly $\epsilon \phi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathbf{D}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ of one.
17. And this I say (тойтo $\delta \grave{e} \lambda \notin ́ \gamma \omega$ ). Now I mean this. Not strictly the conclusion from vv. 15, 16, since Paul does not use this phrase in drawing a conclusion (comp. 1 Cor. i. 12 , and тov̂тo $\delta \delta^{\prime} \phi \eta \mu \iota, 1$ Cor. vii. 29 ; xv. 50). It is rather

[^51]the application, for which the way was prepared in ver. 16, of the analogy of ver. 15 to the inviolable stability of God's covenant.

Four hundred and thirty years after. Bengel remarks: "The greatness of the interval increases the authority of the promise." *

To make of none effect ( $\kappa a \tau a \rho \gamma \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ ). See on Rom. iii. 3 .
18. In the analogy of ver. 15 there was contemplated the double possibility of invalidation or addition. With relation to God's promise, the Judaisers insisted on addition; since, while they preached faith in the promise and in its fulfilment in Christ, they made the inheritance of the promise dependent upon the fulfilling of the law. Paul, on the other hand, holds that the Judaistic addition involves invalidation. Salvation must rest either upon the promise or upon the law. The Judaiser said, upon the promise and the law. For God gave the inheritance to Abraham by promise. It has been shown that the law did not abrogate the promise. Hence, if the inheritance be of the law it is no more of the promise. Comp. Rom. iv. 14.

Gave ( $\kappa \in \chi^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \tau a \iota$ ). Freely bestowed as a gracious gift. See on L. vii. 21.
19. Wherefore then serveth the law? ( $\tau \ell$ oiv ó $\nu \mathbf{\nu} \mu \circ \mathrm{s} ;$ ). Lit. what then is the law, or, why then the law? What is its meaning and object? A natural question of an objector, since, according to Paul's reasoning, salvation is of promise and not of law.

[^52]It was added ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \theta \eta$ ). Comp. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ came in beside, Rom. v. 20. Not as un addition to the promise, which is contrary to ver. 18 , but as a temporary, intermediate institution, in which only a subordinate purpose of God was expressed.

Because of transgressions ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \chi a ́ p \iota \nu$ ). In order to set upon already existing sins the stamp of positive transgression of law. Comp. Rom. iv. 5; v. 13. Note the article, the transgressions, summing them up in one mass. Not, in order to give the knowledge of sins. This, it is true, would follow the revelation of sins as transgressions of law (Rom. iii. 20 ; vii. 13); but, 1. the phrase because of transgressions does not express that thought with sufficient definiteness. If that had been his meaning, Paul would probably have written $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ е่ $\pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a \beta \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \chi^{a} \rho \iota \nu$ on account of the knowledge of transgressions. 2. He meant to describe the office of the law as more than giving the knowledge of sins. Its office was, in revealing $\sin$ as positive transgression, to emphasise the objective, actual, contrary fact of righteousness according to the divine ideal, and to throw sin into contrast with that grand ideal.

The seed. Christ, whose advent was to introduce the fulfilment of the promise (ver. 16).

Ordained ( $\delta$ datarcis). The verb means to arrange, appoint, prescribe. Of appointing the twelve, Matt. xi. 1: of enjoining certain acts, L. viii. 55 ; xvii. 10 ; 1 Cor. vii. 17: of the decree of Claudius, Acts xviii. 2. Here, describing the form or mode in which the law was added; the arrangement made for giving it.

By angels ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ ). Better, through angels as agents and intermediaries. Comp. $\epsilon$ is $\delta \iota a \tau a \gamma a ̀ s \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ with reference to arrangements of angels; or as it was ordained by angels, Acts vii. 53. The tradition of the giving of the law through angels appears first in Deut. xxxiii. 2 (but comp. LXX and
the Hebrew). See Heb. ii. 2; Acts vii. 38, 53. In the later rabbinical schools great importance was attached to this tradition, and it was not without influence in shaping the doctrine of angelic mediation which formed one of the elements of the Colossian heresy. Josephus (Ant. xv. 5, 3), relates that Herod excited the Jews to battle by a speech, in which he said that they had learned the holiest of laws from God through angels. It is a general O. T. idea that in great. theophanies God appears surrounded with a heavenly host. See Hab. iii. 8; Isa. lxvi. 15; Zech. xiv. 5; Joel iii. 11. The idea of an angelic administration is also familiar. See Ex. xxiii. 20 ; xxxii. 34 ; xxxiii. 14 ; Isa. lxiii. 9 ; Josh. v. 14. The agency of angels indicates the limitations of the older dispensation ; its character as a dispensation of the flesh.
 the agency of. A Hebraism. In this sense, not elsewhere in N. T. See LXX, Gen. xxxviii. 20; Lev. xvi. 21. In the hand of Moses, Lev. xxvi. 46; Num. iv. 37, 41, 45, 49.
 vii. 35. For $\mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \eta s$ mediator, see on 1 Tim. ii. 5 , and comp. Heb. viii. 6; ix. 15; xii. 24. It is a later Greek word, signifying also umpire, arbitrator, and appears in LXX only in Job. ix. 33. The mediator here is Moses, who is often so designated by rabbinical writers. The object is not (as Meyer) to enable the reader to realise the glory of the law in the dignity and formal solemnity of its ordination, but to indicate the inferior, subordinate position held by the law in comparison with the promise, not the gospel. A glorification of the law cannot be intended, since if that were contemplated in the mention of angels and the mediator, the statement would tend to the disparagement of the promise which was given without a mediator. Paul, in the section iii. 6 -iv. 7 , aims to show that the law does not, as the Judaisers assume, stand in a relation to the divine plan of salvation as direct and positive as does the promise, and that it has not, like the promise and its fulfilment, an eternal significance. On the contrary, it has only a transitory value. This estimate of the law does not
contradict Paul's assertions in Rom. vii. 12-25. In representing the law as subordinate and temporary he does not impugn it as a divine institution.
20. Now a mediator is not a mediator of one ( $\dot{\delta} \delta \hat{\varepsilon} \mu \in \sigma$ ít $\eta \mathrm{S}$ èvòs oủk eै́テтıv). Observe, 1. $\Delta$ è is explanatory, not antithetic. The verse illustrates the conception of mediator. 2. The article, the mediator, has a generic force : the mediator according to the general and proper conception of his function. Comp. the apostle (2 Cor. xii. 12); the shepherd, the good (J. x. 11). 3. 'Evos of one, is to be explained by the following eis, so that it is masculine and personal. We are not to supply party or law. The meaning is: the conception of mediator does not belong to an individual considered singly. One is not a mediator of his single self, but he is a mediator between two contracting parties; in this case between God and the people of Israel, as Lev. xxvi. 46; thus differing from Christ, who is called the mediator of a new covenant (Heb. viii. 6; ix. 15 ; xii. 24). The new covenant, the gospel, was not a contract. Accordingly ver. 20 serves to define the true conception of a mediator, and through this definition to make clearer the difference between the law, which required a mediator, and the promise, which is the simple expression of God's will. The very idea of mediation supposes two parties. The law is of the nature of a contract between God and the Jewish people. The validity of the contract depends on its fulfilment by both parties. Hence it is contingent, not absolute.
 mediator to make his promise valid. His promise is not of the nature of a contract between two parties. His promise depends on his own individual decree. He dealt with Abraham singly and directly, without a mediator. The dignity of the law is thus inferior to that of the promise.
21. Against the promises ( $\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda(\hat{\omega} \nu)$. Does it follow from the difference between the law and the promises
that they are in antagonism? Paul supposes this objection on the part of a Jewish Christian.

God forbid ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ үévouto). See on Rom. iii. 4. This could only be true in case the law gave life, for life must come either through the promises or through the law. If the law is against the promises, and makes them invalid, it follows that life must come through the law, and therefore righteousness, without which there is no life, would verily (övtas), just as the Judaisers claim, be through the law.

By the law. Tisch., Rev. T., Weiss, retain è́ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \mathbf{o} \mu \mu \mathrm{u}$ from, resulting from the law. WH. read $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \varphi$ in the law. The meaning is substantially the same with either reading: in the one case proceeding from, in the other residing in the law.
22. But it is not true that the law gives life, for the law, according to scripture, condemned all alike.

The scripture ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ ). Scripture is personified. See on ver. 8.

Hath concluded ( $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \wedge \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). Better, hath shut up, as a jailer. Only in Paul, with the exception of L.v.6. Frequent in LXX. Not included with others, but confined as within an enclosure, as L. v. 6, of the net enclosing the fish. Comp. Ex. xiv. 3; Josh. vi. 1; 1 Macc. iv. 31. 'Scripture, in its divine utterances on the universality and guilt of sin, is conceived as a jailer who shuts all up in sin as in a prison. Comp. Rom. iii. 10-19; xi. 32.

All ( $\tau$ à $\pi a^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ ). Neuter, all things collectively $:=$ all men. For the neuter in a similar comprehensive sense, see 1 Cor. i. 27; Col. i. 20 ; Eph. i. 10.

That (iva). In order that. That which is represented through a personification as the act of Scripture, is the act of God, according to a definite purpose that the promise should be inherited by believers only, through faith in Jesus Christ.

The promise ( $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i a$ ). That is, the thing promised; the inheritance, ver. 18.

By faith (é $\kappa \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Const. with the promise, not with might be given. The promised gift which is the result of faith. The false teachers claimed that it was the result of works.

To them that believe (roîs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́ovaıv). Not tantological. Even the Judaisers held that salvation was intended for believers, but also that legal obedience was its procuring cause ; against which Paul asserts that it is simply for those that believe.
23. But the office of the law as a jailer was designed to be only temporary, until the time when faith should come. It was to hold in custody those who were subjected to sin, so that they should not escape the consciousness of their sins and of their liability to punishment.

Faith ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ). The subjective faith in Christ which appropriates the promise. See on ch. i. 23.

We were kept ('̇ффoupoú $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). Better, kept in ward, continuing the figure in shut up, ver. 22. The imperfect tense indicates the continued activity of the law as a warder.
 ward, not with shut up. We were shut up with the law as a warder, not for protection, but to guard against escape. Comp. Wisd. xvii. 15. The figure of the law as pedagogue (ver. 24) is not anticipated. The law is conceived, not as the prison, but as the warder, the lord or despot, the power of sin (see 1 Cor. xv. 56 ; Rom. vii.), by whom those who belong to sin are kept under lock and key - under moral captivity, without possibility of liberation except through faith.

Shut up unto the faith ( $\sigma v \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \epsilon i \mathcal{S} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ). Eis unto or for expresses the object of keeping in ward. It is not
temporal, until, which is a rare usage in N. T., but with a view to our passing into the state of faith.

Which should afterwards be revealed ( $\mu \dot{e} \lambda \lambda \lambda о v \sigma a \nu$ - $\dot{a} \pi \sigma-$ $\kappa a \lambda v \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota)$. The position of $\mu \dot{e} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a \nu$ emphasises the future state of things to which the earlier conditions pointed. The faith was first revealed at the coming of Christ and the gospel.
24. Wherefore ( $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \\ \text { ) }) \text {. Better, so that. Theological con- }\end{gathered}$ sequence of the previous statements.

Our schoolmaster ( $\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma o ̀ s \dot{\eta}_{\mu} \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Our. Paul speaks as a Jew of Jews especially. Schoolmaster ( $\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma o ̀ s \mathrm{P}^{0}$.) is an error. The word means an overseer or guardian. See on 1 Cor. iv. 15. Tutor (Rev.) is defensible on the ground of etymology, tueri to look upon, thence to guard. In civil law a tutor is a person legally appointed for the care of the person and property of a minor. So Bacon (Adv. of Learning, ii. 19): "the first six kings being in truth as tutors of the state of Rome in the infancy thereof." The later use of the word, however, in the sense of instructor, has so completely supplanted the earlier, that the propriety of the Revisers' rendering is questionable. The law is here represented, not as one who conducts to the school of Christ; for Christ is not represented here as a teacher, but as an atoner; but rather as an overseer or guardian, to keep watch of those committed to its care, to accompany them with its commands and prohibitions, and to keep them in a condition of dependence and restraint, thus continually bringing home to them the consciousness of being shut up in sins, and revealing $\sin$ as positive transgression.*
26. For ye are all the children of God ( $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ rà $\operatorname{vioi}$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{c})$. Better, ye are all sons of God. Note 1. The change of person, ye are. Comp. we, our, us, vv. 23, 24, 25.

[^53]He now addresses the Galatians, who were mostly Gentiles, and includes all Christians, Jewish and Gentile. 2. The emphasis is on sons of God rather than on all; for his object is to show that, after the coming of faith, they are no more under the care of a guardian. 'Tıoi signifies sons of full age (comp. ch. iv. 1) who have outgrown the surveillance of the guardian; so that sons is emphasised as against children. Paul describes Christians both as тéк $\nu$ a $\theta o \hat{v}$ children of God (Rom. viii. 16, 21; ix. 8; Philip. ii. 15), and vioì $\theta$ єô̂ sons of God (Rom. viii. 14, 19 ; ix. 26). Both тéévod and viós signify a relation based on parentage. The common distinction between $\tau \in \kappa \kappa \nu o \nu$ as emphasising natural relationship, and viós as marking legal or ethical status, should not be pressed. In LXX both words are applied ethically to Israel as God's beloved people. See Isa. xxx. 1; Wisd. xvi. 21; Joel ii. 23; Zech. ix. 13; and Isa. xliii. 6; Deut. xiv. 1; Wisd. ix. 7; xii. 19. John never uses viós to describe the relation of Christians to God; but he attaches both the ethical relation and that of conferred privilege, as well as that of birth, to $\tau \in \in \kappa \nu o \nu$. See J. i. 12; 1 J. iii. 1, 10 ; J. i. 13 ; iii. 3, 7 ; 1 J. iii. 9 ; iv. 7; v. 1, 4, 18. Paul often regards the Christian relation from a legal point of view as viotєcia adoption, a word used only by him. See Rom. viii. 15, 23 ; Gal. iv. 5 ; Eph. i. 5 ; but in Rom. viii. 14, 17, we have both viol and téc $\nu a$, and both in the ethical sense. In Rom. viii. 21 тécva has the legal sense. In Rom. ix. 8; Eph. v. 1, the ethical sense. 3. In Christ Jesus. Const. with faith. The article before $\pi i \sigma \pi \epsilon \omega s$ faith may point back to the faith previously mentioned, or may have, as so often, a possessive force, your faith.
 See on Matt. xxviii. 19. Not in relation to Christ (Meyer), but into spiritual union and communion with him. Comp. Rom. vi. 3 (see note) ; 1 Cor. xii. 12, 13, 27. Paul here conceives baptism, not as a mere symbolical transaction, but as an act in which believers are put into mystical union with the crucified and risen Lord. Comp. Rom. vi. 3-11.

[^54] only here and Rom. xiii. 14. The figurative use of the verb occurs only once in the Gospels, L. xxiv. 49, but often in Paul, 1 Cor. xv. 53 ; Eph. iv. 24 ; Col. iii. 10, 12, etc. Chrysostom (Hom. xiii. on Ephesians) remarks, "We say of friends, one puts on the other, meaning thereby much love and unceasing fellowship." In LXX quite often in the figurative sense, as Judg. vi. 34; 1 Chron. xii. 18; 2 Chron. vi. 41 ; Job viii. 22; xxix. 14; Ps. cviii. 18. Similarly in class., Plato, Rep. 620, of Thersites putting on the form of a monkey : Xen. Cyr. ii. 1, 13, of insinuating one's self into the minds of hearers. So the Lat. induere: Cicero, De Off. iii. 10,43 , to assume the part of a judge : Tac. Ann. xvi. 28, to take on the part of a traitor or enemy. To put on Christ implies making his character, feelings and works our own. Thus Chrysostom : "If Christ is Son of God, and thou hast put him on, having the Son in thyself and being made like unto him, thou hast been brought into one family and one nature." And again: "He who is clothed appears to be that with which he is clothed."
28. With this putting on of Christ, the distinctions of your ordinary social relations - of nation, condition, sex vanish. Comp. Rom. x. 12 ; 1 Cor. xii. 13 ; Col. iii. 11.

There is (ěvl). Only in Paul (1 Cor. vi. 5 ; Col. iii. 11) and Jas. i. 17. "E $\nu \iota$ is the abbreviation of $\not{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota$ there is in or among.*

Male nor female (ä $\rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \kappa a i \quad \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \nu$ ). Comp. Matt. xix. 4. He has said "Jew nor Greek" ; "bond nor free." Here he says "male and (кai) female"; perhaps because political and

[^55]social distinctions are alterable, while the distinction of sex is unalterable, though absorbed in the new relation to Christ. Yet see Col. iii. 11, where we find, "not Greek and Jew, circumcision and uncircumcision."

Ye are all one. One moral personality. The individual differences are merged in the higher unity into which all are raised by their common life in Christ. This is the one new man, Eph. ii. 15.
29. Abraham's seed. As being one with Christ. See vv. 7, 16. In Rom. iv. Paul shows that Abraham was justified by faith, and was thus constituted the spiritual father of all believers in Christ, whether circumcised or uncircumcised. The purpose of God in making the inheritance of the promise dependent on faith was that the promise might be sure to all the seed. Abraham, he says, is "the father of us all" (Rom. iv. 16). This spiritual paternity does away with the current Jewish notion of physical paternity. Physical relationship with Abraham is of no significance in the economy of salvation. The apostle "discovers the basis of Christian universalism in the very life of him in whose person theocratic particularism was founded. He has demonstrated the existence of a time when he represented Gentilism, or, to speak more properly, mankind in general ; and it was during this period, when he was not yet a Jew, but simply a man, that he received salvation" (Godet).

## CHAPTER IV.

The last words of ch. iii., "heirs according to the promise," are now further discussed. It is shown that the capability of heirship, which was first conferred through Christ, could not enter earlier into the history of mankind, because mankind was still in its minority ; and its majority, its sonship, was first entered upon through Christ. The way of the law was not, as the Jews supposed, a direct way to the fulfilment
of the divine promise. At the same time, it did not utterly lead away from the true goal. It was a roundabout way to it. Sabatier (l'Apôtre Paul) observes: "The law is neither absolutely identical with the promise, nor absolutely opposed to it. It is not the negation of the promise, but is distinct from it and subordinate to it. Its final purpose lies in the promise itself. It is an essential but transitional element in the historical development of humanity. It must disappear on attaining its goal. 'Christ is the end of the law.'" But why was this way necessary? Why did not God open the way of faith leading to the inheritance of the promise immediately after the promise was given? The answer to this was indicated in iii. 24-26. It is now given more fully.

1. Now I say ( $\lambda$ ér $\omega$ $\delta$ é). Introducing a continued, explanatory discussion. Comp. ch. iii. 17; v. 16; 1 Cor. i. 12.
 The article is generic as in the mediator, ch. iii. 20.

A child ( $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi{ }^{\prime} \imath o s$ ). A minor. See on 1 Cor. iii. 1. Used by Paul in contrast with té $\lambda \epsilon \iota o s$ full grown. See Eph. iv. 13; 1 Cor. xiv. 20 ; Philip. iii. 15. The Jews called proselytes or novices babes. See Rom. ii. 20.

Lord of all. Legally, by right of birth, though not actually.
2. Tutors (èmıfoótous). Better, guardians. See on L. viii. 3. Only here in Paul. A general term, covering all to whom supervision of the child is intrusted, and should not
 xiii. 2 ; xiv. 2.

Governors (oiкovó $\mu$ ovs). Better, stewards. Lat. dispensatores. More special than guardians, signifying those who had charge of the heir's property. See on L. xvi. 1. In later Greek it was used in two special senses: 1. The slave whose duty it was to distribute the rations to the other slaves:
so L. xii. 42. 2. The land-steward: so L. xvi. 1. Comp. Rom. xvi. 23, ó oiкодó $\mu \circ \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, commonly rendered city-treasurer: A. V. chamberlain.* In Lucian, Alex. 39, the Roman procurators, or fiscal administrators, are called oi Kaí́apos oiкоvó $\mu$ oı; comp. 1 Esdr.iv. 49 ; Esth. viii. 9. The dispensator in the Roman household had charge of the accounts and made the payments (see Cicero, ad Att. xi. 1 ; Juv. Sat. i. 91). He was commonly a slave. Christian teachers are called "stewards of the mysteries of God" and "of the grace of God" (1 Cor. iv. 1 ; 1 Pet. iv. 10), as those who have received the counsels of God and impart them to men. A bishop or overseer is also called "a steward of God" (Tit. i. 7).

The time appointed ( $\pi \rho o \theta_{\epsilon \sigma \mu} l a s$ ) N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. In Athenian law the term limited for bringing actions and prosecutions. Про日єб $\mu$ ias עó $\mu$ os a statute of limitations. It was also applied to the time allowed a defendant for paying damages, after the expiration of which, if he had not paid, he was
 had gone over his day of payment. Whether Paul's figure assumes that the father is dead or living is a point which does not affect his argument. It is not easy to decide. As Alford justly remarks : "the antitype breaks through the type and disturbs it, as is the case wherever the idea of inheritance is spiritualised." $\Pi_{\rho o \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu i a}$ an appointed time for the termination of the minority, would seem to imply that the father is conceived as living; since, if he were dead, that matter would be regulated by statute. $\dagger$
3. We. Not Jewish Christians only, but all Christians. For in ver. 5, Jewish Christians are distinctly characterised

[^56]as those under the law, while the following we, subjects of Christian adoption, points back to the we in this verse. Again, elements of the world is too wide a conception to suit the law, which was given to Israel only.

 2 Pet. iii. 10, 12. See on 2 Pet. iii. 10. Interpretations differ. 1. Elements of knowledge, rudimentary religious ideas. See Heb. v. 12. The meaning of world will then be, the material as distinguished from the spiritual realm. Elements of the world will be the crude beginnings of religion, suited to the condition of children, and pertaining to those who are not Christians: elementary religious truths belonging to mankind in general. Thus the Jewish economy was of the world as appealing to the senses, and affording only the first elements of a spiritual system. The child-heir was taught only faint outlines of spiritual truth, and was taught them by worldly symbols. 2. Elements of nature - of the physical world, especially the heavenly bodies. See 2 Pet. iii. 10, 12; Wisd. vii. 17. According to this explanation, the point would be that the ordering of the religious life was regulated by the order of nature; "the days, months, times," etc. (ver. 10 ), as well as the heathen festivals, being dependent on the movements of the heavenly bodies. This was the patristic view (Ambrose, Augustine, Chrysostom, Theodoret). 3. The elements of the world are the personal, elemental spirits. This seems to be the preferable explanation, both here and in Col. ii. 8. According to Jewish ideas, all things had their special angels. In the Book of Jubilees, ch. ii., appear, the angel of the presence (comp. Isa. lxiii. 9); the angel of adoration; the spirits of the wind, the clouds, darkness, hail, frost, thunder and lightning, winter and spring, cold and heat. In the Book of Enoch, lxxxii. 10-14, appear the angels of the stars, who keep watch that the stars may appear at the appointed time, and who are punished if the stars do not appear (xviii. 15). In the Apocalypse of John we find four angels of the winds (xiv. 18); the angel of the waters (xvi. 5); the angel in the
sun (xix. 17). In Heb. i. 7 we read, " who maketh his angels winds." Paul also recognises elemental forces of the spiritual world. The thorn is "a messenger of Satan" (2 Cor. xii. 7) ; Satan prevents his journey to Thessalonica (1 Th. ii. 18) ; the Corinthian offender is to be "delivered to Satan" (1 Cor. v. 5) ; the Kingdom of God is opposed by "principalities and powers" (1 Cor. xv. 24); Christians wrestle against "the rulers of the darkness of this world ; against the spiritual hosts of wickedness in the upper regions" (Eph. vi. 12). In this passage the elements of the world are compared with overseers and stewards. This would seem to require a personal interpretation. In ver. 8, "did service to them which by nature are no gods," appears to be $=$ " in bondage under the elements," suggesting a personal interpretation of the latter. The Galatians had turned again to the observance of times and seasons (ver. 10), which were controlled by the heavenly bodies and their spirits.*
4. Fulness of the time (тò $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu a$ rô $\chi \rho o \dot{\nu} \nu v$ ). The moment by which the whole pre-messianic period was completed. Comp. Eph. i. 10. It answers to the time appointed of the Father (ver. 2). For $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{p} \omega \omega \mu$ see on J. i. 16. The meaning of the word is habitually passive-that which is completed, full complement. There are frequent instances of its use with the genitive, as "fulness of the earth, blessing, time, the sea, Christ," in all which it denotes the plenitude or completeness which characterises the nouns. $\dagger$
 enly glory. This does not mean that God then, for the first

[^57]time, embodied what had previously been a mere ideal, but that he sent forth a preëxisting person. See Philip. ii. 6.*

Made of a woman ( $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \nu \partial \nu$ ). Or born. Repeated, and expressing the fact that Christ became a man, as distinguished from his prehistoric form of being.

Under the law. The earthly being of Christ began under the law. He was not only of human birth, but of Jewish. birth; subjected to all the ordinances of the law, as circumcision for instance, like any other Jewish boy.
5. To redeem (ǐva $\mathfrak{\epsilon \xi \xi a \gamma o p a ́ \sigma \eta ) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ c h . ~ i i i . ~ 1 3 . ~ T o - ~}$ redeem from the dominion and curse of the law. The means of redemption is not mentioned. It cannot be merely the birth of Christ of a woman and under the law. These are mentioned only as the preliminary and necessary conditions of his redeeming work. The means or method appears in ch. iii. 13.

We might receive (ámo入á $\beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Not receive again or back, as L. xv. 27, for adoption was something which men did not have before Christ ; but receive from the giver.

The adoption ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ vio $\theta \epsilon \sigma$ 'iav). $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. See on Rom. viii. 15, and comp. Rom. ix. 4 ; Eph. i. 5. Not sonship, but sonship conferred.
6. Because ye are sons (ö ${ }^{\circ} \iota \iota$ ). For ${ }_{o} \neq \iota$ in this sense at the beginning of a clause see Rom. ix. 7; 1 Cor. xii. 15; J. xv .19 ; xx. 29. The emphasis is on sons. The spirit would not be given if ye were not sons. Others take ör $\iota$ as demonstrative, as a proof that ye are sons; but examples of such usage are wanting. It is not a proof of the fact of sonship

[^58]that the apostle is giving, but a consequence of it. Comp. Rom. viii. 16, where the witness of the Spirit attests the sonship.

The Spirit of his Son. The Holy Spirit which animated Jesus in his human life, and which, in the risen Christ, is the life-principle of believers. See 1 Cor. xv. 45, and comp. Rom. viii. 9-11. The Holy Spirit is called the Spirit of Christ, Rom. viii. 9, 10, where Paul uses Spirit of God, Spirit of Christ and Christ as convertible terms. The phrase Spirit of Jesus Christ only Philip. i. 19. In J. iii. 34 Christ is represented as dispensing the Spirit. He is fully endowed with the Spirit (Mk. i. 10 ; J. i. 32) : he sends the Spirit from the Father to the disciples, and he is the burden of the Spirit's testimony (J. xv. 26 ; xvi. 7, 9, 10, 15). The Paraclete is given in answer to Christ's prayer (J. xiv. 16). Christ identifies his own coming and presence with those of the Spirit (J. xiv. 17, 18). Paul identifies him personally with the Spirit (2 Cor. iii. 17).

Our hearts. Note the interchange of persons : we might receive, ye are sons, our hearts. Comp. Rom. vii. 4.

Crying (крâ̧ov). A strong word, expressing deep emotion. 'The verb originally represents the sound of a croak or harsh scream ; thence, generally, an inarticulate cry; an exclamation of fear or pain. The cry of an animal. So Aristoph. Knights, 1017, of the barking of a dog : 285, 287, of two men in a quarrel, trying to bawl each other down : Frogs, 258, of the croaking of frogs. This original sense appears in N. T. usage, as Matt. xiv. 26 ; xv. 23 ; xxvii. 50 ; Mk. v. 5 , etc., and is recognised even where the word is used in connection with articulate speech, by adding to it the
 Matt. viii. 29 ; xv. 22 ; Mk. iii. 11; J. vii. 28, etc. In Mk. x. 47 the inarticulate cry and the articulate utterance are distinguished. At the same time, the word is often used of articulate speech without such additions, as Mk. x. 48 ; xi. 9 ; xv. 13, 14 ; L. xviii. 39 ; Acts vii. 60 ; xix. 34 ; Rom.
viii. 15. It falls into more dignified association in LXX, where it is often used of prayer or appeal to God, as Judg. iii. 9,15 ; iv. 3 ; vi. 7 ; Ps. xxi. 2, 5 ; xxvii. 1; liv. 16 ; and in N. T., where it is applied to solemn, prophetic utterance, as Rom. ix. 27 ; J. i. 15, and is used of Jesus himself, as J. vii. 28, 37 ; xii. 44, and of the Holy Spirit, as here. The Spirit is represented as uttering the cry, because the Spirit gives the inspiration of which the believer is the organ. In Rom. viii. 15 the statement is inverted. The believer cries under the power of the Spirit.

Abba, Father. Comp. Mk. xiv. 36 ; Rom. viii. 15. 'O $\pi a \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho$ the Father, is not added in order to explain the Aramaic $A b b a$ for Greek readers. Rather the whole phrase ' $A \beta \beta a \dot{a}$ o $\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ had passed into the early Christian prayers, the Aramaic title by which Christ addressed his Father (Mk. xiv. 36) being very early united with the Greek synonym. Such combinations of Hebrew and Greek addresses having the same meaning were employed in rabbinical writings. Comp. also Apoc. ix. 11 ; xii. 9.
7. Servant ( $\delta 0 \hat{1} \lambda o s$ ). Bondservant. See on Matt. xx. 26; Mk. ix. 35 ; Rom. i. 1.

Then an heir (кai кגךроуó $\mu$ оs). Kaì marks the logical sequence. Comp. Rom. viii. 17. The figure is based upon Roman, not upon Jewish, law. According to Roman law, all the children, sons and daughters, inherited alike. According to Jewish law, the inheritance of the sons was unequal, and the daughters were excluded, except where there were no male heirs. Thus the Roman law furnished a more truthful illustration of the privileges of Christians. Comp. ch. iii. 28.

Of God through Christ. The correct reading is $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ through God, omitting Christ.
8. Over against their filial freedom in Christ, Paul sets their lapse into subjection to the elements of the world (ver. 3).

Knew not God. See on 2 Th. i. 8.
Ye did service (é $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon$ ératc). Better, were in bondage or were slaves.

By nature ( $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon)$ ). Not denying their existence (comp. 1 Cor. viii. 5) but their deity. Emphasis on by nature. Comp. 1 Cor. x. 20.
9. Rather are known of God. Rather corrects the first statement, have known God, which might seem to attach too much to human agency in attaining the knowledge of God. The divine side of the process is thrown into the foreground by are known, etc. Known does not mean approved or acknowledged, but simply recognised. Saving knowledge is doubtless implied, but is not expressed in the word. The relation of knowledge between God and his sons proceeds from God. The Galatians had not arrived at the knowledge of God by intuition nor by any process of reasoning. "God knew them ere they knew him, and his knowing them was the cause of their knowing him" (Eadie). Comp. 1 Cor. xiii. 12 ; 2 Tim. ii. 19 ; Matt. vii. 23. Dean Stanley remarks that "our knowledge of God is more his act than ours." If God knows a man, that fact implies an activity of God which passes over to the man, so that he, as the subject of God's knowledge, comes into the knowledge of God. In N. T. $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ often implies a personal relation between the knower and the known, so that knowledge implies influence. See 1 Cor. ii. 8 ; J. i. 10 ; ii. 24 ; xvii. 3. For a parallel to this interchange between the active and the passive, see Philip. iii. 12.

How ( $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ). "A question full of wonder" (Bengel). Comp. $I$ marvel, ch. i. 6.

Turn ye again (è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\rho} \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi a \dot{a} \lambda \iota \nu$ ). Better, the continuous present, are ye turning, as of a change still in progress. Comp. ch. i. 6. חáخıv again, according to N. T. usage, and
corresponding with $\pi a^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \quad a ̈ \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ in the following clause. Not back, which is the earlier sense and the usual classical meaning.

Weak and beggarly elements ( $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa a \grave{i} \pi \tau \omega \chi a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o \iota-$ $\chi \epsilon i ̂ a)$. For elements see on ver. 3. For $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ à beggarly, see on Matt. v. 3. The two adjectives express the utter impotence of these "elements" to do and to bestow what was done and given by God in sending his Son into the world. Comp. Rom. viii. 3 ; Heb. vii. 18.
 the idea of going back to the beginning. Its primary meaning is from above; thence, from the first, reckoning in a descending series. So L. i. 3; Acts xxvi. 5.* Such combinations. as this are not uncommon in N. T. and Class. See, for instance, Acts xviii. 21 ; Matt. xxvi. 42 ; Acts x. 15 ; J. xxi. 16. But these additions to $\pi a^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ are not pleonastic. They often define and explain it. Thus, J. xxi. 16, $\pi \alpha \lambda_{\iota \nu}$ marks the repetition of Jesus' question, $\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \in \rho o \nu$ the number of the repetition. He asked again, and this was the second time of asking.

Ye desire $(\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon)$. It was more than a mere desire. They were bent on putting themselves again into bondage. See on Matt. i. 19.
10. Ye observe (тaрaти́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon$ ). See on Mk. iii. 2, and J. xviii. 12, and comp. Joseph. Ant. iii. 5, 5, тapatךpєì ràs$\oint \beta \delta o \mu a \delta \delta^{\prime}$ to watch the weeks. The word denotes careful, scrupulous observance, an intent watching lest any of the prescribed seasons should be overlooked. A merely legal or ritual religion always develops such scrupulousness.

Days. Sabbaths, fast-days, feast-days, new moons. Comp. Rom. xiv. 5, 6 ; Col. ii. 16.

[^59]Months. Sacred months. Comp. Isa. lxvi. 23. In the preëxilic time the months were mostly not named but numbered first, second, third, etc., and this usage appears also in the post-exilic writings of the O. T. Only four months had special names : the first, Abib, the ear-month, which marked the beginning of harvest (Ex. xiii. 4 ; xxiii. 15 ; xxxiv. 18) : the second, Sif or Ziv, the flower-month ( 1 K. vi. 1, 37) : the seventh, Ethanim, the month of streaming rivers fed by the autumnal rains ( 1 K . viii. 2) : the eighth, Bul, the month of rain ( 1 K. vi. 38). In the post-exilic time names for all the months came into use, the most of which appear in the Palmyrene inscriptions and among the Syrians. According to the Talmud, the returning Jews brought these names from Babylon. The names of all are found in a month-table discovered at Nineveh. Nîsan corresponds to Abib (Neh. ii. 1; Esth. iii. 7), answering to the latter part of March and April. Jjar answered to Zîv (Targ. 2 Chron. xxx. 2), our May. Tisri to Ethanim, the seventh month of the ecclesiastical, and the first of the civil year, corresponding to October. Marcheschwan (see Joseph. Ant. i. 3, 3) answered to Bul and November. Tisri, being the seventh or sabbatical month, was peculiarly sacred, and the fourth (Sîvan, June), fifth (Ab, August), and tenth (Tebeth, January) were distinguished by special fasts.*

Times (кalpoùs). Better, seasons. See on Matt. xii. 1; Eph. i. 10, and comp. Lev. xxiii. 4. The holy, festal seasons, as Passover Pentecost, Feast of Tabernacles. See 2 Chron. viii. 13.

Years (èvaautoús). Sabbatical years, occurring every seventh year. Not years of Jubilee, which had ceased to be celebrated after the time of Solomon.
11. I am afraid of you ( $\phi o \beta o \hat{v} \mu a \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\varsigma} \varsigma$ ). Not a felicitous translation, though retained by Rev. Rather, "I am

[^60]afraid for you or concerning you." The second $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{a} s$ is not attracted into the principal clause so as to read, "I am afraid lest I have bestowed labour," etc. The two clauses are distinct. I am afraid about you: then the reason for the fear is added, lest I have bestowed, etc.

Upon you ( $\epsilon i \stackrel{i}{\boldsymbol{v}} \mu \mathrm{a} \varsigma$ ). Lit. into you. The labour, though in vain, had borne directly upon its object. See the same phrase Rom. xvi. 6.

In vain ( $\epsilon \kappa \hat{\eta}$ ). Comp. ch. iii. 4; 1 Cor. xv. 2, and $\epsilon i s$ $\kappa \epsilon \nu \grave{\nu}$ to no purpose, Philip. ii. 16; 2 Cor. vi. 1; Gal. ii. 2; 1 Th. iii. 5. After all my labour, you may return to Judaism. Luther says: "These words of Paul breathe tears."
 am ; free from the bondage of Jewish ordinances.

I am as ye are ( $\kappa \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\varphi} \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon i ̂)$ ). Rather, I became. Sup-
 Gentile like you. Comp. Philip. iii. 7, 8. For the phrase
 1 Cor. iv. 13 ; ix. 20-22.

Ye have not injured me at all (oủ $\delta \dot{́} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \mathfrak{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a t \epsilon$ ). This translation misses the force of the aorist, and conveys a wrong impression, that Paul, up to this time, had received no wrong at the hands of the Galatians. This was not true. The reference is to his earlier relations with the Galatians, and is explained by vv. 13, 14. Rend. ye did not injure me at all. Ye did not injure me then, do not do so now.
13. Ye know (oí $\delta a \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ). The A. V. omits $\delta \bar{e}$ which is wanting in some Mss. $\Delta e ̀$ not oppositional as commonly explained: "Ye did not injure me, but on the contrary ye know, etc."; but introducing an explanation of ye did not injure me by reference to the fact that they might easily have been moved to do him wrong by the unfavourable circumstances
under which he first preached the gospel to them (through infirmity of the flesh). The formulas oi $\delta a \delta \bar{\epsilon}$, ou $\delta a \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon}$,
 ation of what precedes, from a new point of view. See Rom. ii. 2; iii. 19 ; xv. 29 ; Philip. iv. 15. The general sense therefore is: "Ye did not wrong me at all as you might easily have been moved to do; for ( $\delta \dot{\xi}$ ) you know in what an unfavourable light my infirmities placed me when I first came among you."

Through infirmity ( $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{a} \sigma \theta$ évetav). On account of infirmity. Referring to the fact that Paul, in his first journey, was compelled by sickness to remain in Galatia, and- preached to the Galatians during this enforced sojourn. This fact made their kindly reception the more commendable.*

At the first (тò тоóтєрор). Either generally, at an earlier time than the present (as J. vi. 62; ix. 8; 1 Tim. i. 13), or the first time (as Heb. vii. 27). Here in the latter sense. Paul had visited the Galatians twice before he wrote this letter.
14. My temptation which was in my flesh (тò $\boldsymbol{\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \sigma - ~}$ $\mu o ̀ \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ бapкí $\mu о v$ ). The correct reading is $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu o ̀ \nu$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{u}} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ your temptation. The trial to which they were subjected by his bodily infirmity (ver. 13), and which might have tempted them to treat him with indifference.
 ти́бate). Commonly explained by making both verbs govern your temptation. Thus the meaning would be: "You were tempted to treat my preaching contemptuously because of my bodily infirmity; but you did not despise nor reject that which was a temptation to you." This is extremely farfetched, awkward, and quite without parallel in Paul's writ-

[^61]ings or elsewhere. It does not suit the following but received me, etc. It lays the stress on the Galatians' resistance of a temptation to despise Paul; whereas the idea of a temptation is incidental. On this construction we should rather expect Paul to say: "Ye did despise and repudiate this temptation." Better, make your temptation, etc., dependent on ye know (ver. 13) ; place a colon after flesh, and make both verbs govern $m e$ in the following clause. Rend. "Ye know how through infirmity of the flesh I preached the gospel to you the first time, and (ye know) your temptation which was in my flesh : ye did not despise nor reject me, but received me:" The last clause thus forms one of a series of short and detached clauses beginning with ver. 10. 'Ovк égov $\theta \in \nu \eta$ ク́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon$ ye did not set at nought, from oúdév nothing. The form où $\theta$ év occurs L. xxii. 35 ; xxiii. 14 ; Acts xix. 27 ; xxvi. 26 ; 1 Cor. xiii. 2 ; 2 Cor. xi. 8. For the compound here, comp. L. xviii. 9; xxiii. 11; Acts iv. 11; 2 Cor. x. 10. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \xi \in \pi \tau v \dot{\sigma} a \tau \epsilon$ spurned, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Lit. spat out. A strong metaphor, adding the idea of contempt to that of setting at nought. Comp. Hom. Od. v. 322 ; Aristoph. Wasps, 792. The two verbs express contemptuous indifference. ' $\mathrm{E} \mu$ é́al to vomit, as a figure of contemptuous rejection, is found in Apoc. iii. 16. The simple $\pi \tau$ úciv to spit only in the literal sense in N. T. Mk. vii. 33 ; viii. 23 ; J. ix. 6, and no other compound occurs.

As an angel. Bengel says: "The flesh, infirmity, temptation, are unknown to angels; wherefore to receive as an angel is to receive with great veneration."

As Jesus Christ. With even higher honour than an angel. Comp. Matt. x. 40 ; J. xiii. 20.
15. Where is then the blessedness ye spake of? ( $\pi 0 \hat{v}$
 6,9. Not blessedness, but pronouncing blessed, felicitation. "What has become of your self-gratulation on my presence and teaching?" Ye spake of is an attempt to render $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Better, "Where is then that gratulation of yours?"

I bear you record ( $\mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho \hat{\omega}$ ). Better, witness. Bear record is common in A. V. for bear witness. Record is used both of a person, as God is my record, Philip. i. 8; I call God for a record, 1 Cor. i. 23, and in the sense of evidence or testimony. So Shaks. Richard II. I. i. 30 :
"First, Heaven be the record to my speech."
Plucked out (e่ $\xi o \rho u ́ \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s)$. Lit. dug out. Only here, and Mk. ii. 4, of digging up the roof in order to let down the paralytic before Jesus.

Your own eyes ( $\boldsymbol{\tau o v ̀ s}$ ò $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \grave{s} \stackrel{i}{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Better, your eyes. Eyes, as most treasured possessions. Comp. Ps. xvii. 8; Prov. vii. 2; Zech. ii. 8. Some have found here evidence that Paul was afflicted with disease of the eyes. See Dr. John Brown's Horae Subsecivae. Accordingly they explain these words, "You would have given me your own eyes to replace mine." But $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is unemphatic, your. All attempts to connect the passage with Paul's "thorn in the flesh" (2 Cor. xii. 7) are to be dismissed as fanciful.
16. Therefore (ढ̈бтє). Better, so then: seeing that your love for me has waned.
 sense, as is shown by the next clause. Not passive, an object of hatred, which would have the pronoun in the dative.

Because I tell you the truth ( $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu)$. 'A $\lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$, only here and Eph. iv. 15, means to speak the truth or to deal truly. The present participle refers to the same time as rérova I am become, the time of his second visit. The clause is usually construed as interrogative (A. V.). It is rather a direct statement with a slight interrogative suggestion. "So then, I am become your enemy, am I."
17. They zealously affect you ( $\zeta \eta \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma$ ). They are zealously paying you court in order to win you over to voL. Iv. -10
their side．Affect，in this sense，is obsolete．It is from affectare，to strive after，earnestly desire．So Shaks．Tam．of Shr．I．i． 40 ：
＂In brief，sir，study what you most affect．＂
Ben Jonson，Alchem．iii． 2 ：
＂Pray him aloud to name what dish he affects．＂
As a noun，desire．So Chaucer，Troil．and Cress．iii．1391：
＂As Crassus dide for his affectis wronge＂（his wrong desires）．
Comp． 1 Cor．xii．31；xiv． 1.

Nay（à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ ）．So far from dealing honourably．
They would exclude you（éкклєîбaı î $\mu \hat{a} s$ s $\theta$ é $\lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ）． From other teachers who do not belong to their party－ those of anti－Judaising views who formed the sounder part of the church．
 in your isolation from others，you might be led to seek affili－ ation with them．

18．It is good－in a good thing． $\mathrm{Z} \eta \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ to be zeal－ ously sought，in the same sense as before．It is passive．It is good for you Galatians to be zealously sought．In a good thing（ė̀ ка入⿳⺈⿴囗十一 ）answers to oủ кa入̂̂s not honourably，ver． 17. In a good matter－the interest of the gospel．Thus Paul would say ：＂These Judaisers zealously strive to win you over to their views；but they do not do this in an honourable way． There is no harm in seeking to interest and enlist you，pro－ vided it is in a good cause．＂

19．My little children（тєкд＇́a $\mu о \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ）．Only here in Paul， but often in John．See J．xiii．33； 1 J．ii．1，12， 28 ；iii．7， 18 ，etc．＊See on ch．iii． 26.

[^62]I travail in birth again ( $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \delta i \nu \omega)$. Better as Rev. of whom 1 am again in travail. ' $\Omega \delta i j \omega \omega$ only here and Apoc. xii. 2. Gal. iv. 27 is a quotation. The metaphorical use of the word is frequent in O. T. See Ps. vii. 14; Sir. xix. 11; xxxi. 5; xliii. 17; Mic. iv. 10; Isa. xxvi. 18; lxvi. 8. Paul means that he is for the second time labouring and distressed for the Galatiau converts, with the same anguish which attended his first efforts for their conversion. The metaphor of begetting children in the gospel is found in 1 Cor. iv. 15; Philem. 10. It was a Jewish saying: "If one teaches the son of his neighbour the law, the Scripture reckons this the same as though he had begotten him."

Until Christ be formed in you ( $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota \varsigma ~ o i ~ \mu о \rho \phi \omega \theta \hat{p}$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \pi o ̀ s$ $\dot{e} \nu \dot{u} \mu \hat{i} \nu)$. The forming of Christ in them, their attainment of the complete inner life of Christians, is the object of the new birth. By their relapse they have retarded this result and
 The idea under different aspects is common. See Rom. viii. 9 ; 1 Cor. ii. 16 ; vi. 15; 2 Cor. iii. 18 ; Gal. ii. 20 ; Eph. iii. 17; Col. i. 27.
20. I desire ( $\left.\eta^{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{o \nu}\right)$. Better, $I$ could wish, the imperfect tense referring to a suppressed conditional clause, as if it were possible. Comp. Acts xxv. 22 ; Rom. ix. 3.

To change my voice ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \xi a \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \omega \nu \eta \eta^{\nu} \mu o v$ ). To address you, not with my former severity, so as to make you think me your enemy, but affectionately, as a mother speaks to her children, yet still telling them the truth ( $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{u} \omega \nu$ ).

I stand in doubt of you (ảmopoûmaı $\dot{e} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu i \bar{p}$ ). Lit. I am perplexed in you. For this use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, comp. 2 Cor. vii. 16 ; Gal. i. 24. Paul's perplexity is conceived as taking place in the readers. For the verb, see on Mk. vi. 20; 2 Cor. iv. 8. Paul means: "I am puzzled how to deal with you; how to find entrance to your hearts."

21－31．Paul now defends the principle of Christian free－ dom from the law by means of an allegorical interpretatiou of the history of Abraham＇s two sons．He meets the Juda－ isers on their own Old Testament ground，going back to the statement of ch．iii． 7.

21．Tell me．He plunges into the subject without intro－ duction，and with a direct appeal．

Desire（ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon$ ）．Are bent on being under the law．See on ver． 9 ．
 the article，see on Rom．ii．12．Here，unquestionably，of the Mosaic law．

Hear（áкov́єтє）．（Do ye not）hear what the law really says：listen to it so as to catch its real meaning？Comp． 1 Cor．xiv．2；LXX，Gen．xi．7；Deut．xxviii．49．＊

The law（ $\boldsymbol{o ̀ \nu} \nu \nu_{o ́ \mu o \nu}$ ）．In a different sense，referring to the O．T．For a similar double sense see Rom．iii．19．For $\nu o ́ \mu o s$ as a designation of the O．T．generally，see 1 Cor．xiv． 21 ；J．x． 24 ；xii． 34 ；xv． 25.

22．For（ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ）．Your determination to be under the law is opposed by Scripture，if you will understand it，for it is written，etc．

A bondmaid（ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi a \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta \varsigma)$ ．The bondmaid，indicating a well－known character，Hagar，Gen．xvi．3．The word in Class．means also a free maiden；but in N．T．always a slave． So almost always in LXX；but see Ruth iv．12；Judith xii． 13 ．

23．Was born（yєүє́⿱㇒㠯刂ŋтal）．Has been born，or is born： perfect tense，treating the historical fact as if present．

[^63]After the flesh (катà $\sigma a ́ \rho \kappa \alpha$ ). According to the regular course of nature. Very common in Paul.

By promise ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ é $\pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a s$ ). Most editors retain the article, the promise of Gen. xvii. 16, 19 ; xviii. 10. Comp. Rom. ix. 9. In virtue of the promise; for according to natural conditions he would not have been born.
24. Are an allegory ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma \circ \rho \circ \dot{u} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Lit. are allegorised. From ả $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{2}$ another, áyopev́єı to speak. Hence, things which are so spoken as to give a different meaning from that which the words express. For parable, allegory, fable, and proverb, see on Matt. xiii. 3. An allegory is to be distinguished from a type. An O. T. type is a real prefiguration of a N. T. fact, as the Jewish tabernacle explained in Heb. ix., or the brazen serpent, J. iii. 14. Comp. Rom. v. 14; 1 Cor. x. 6, 11. An allegory exhibits figuratively the ideal character of a fact. The type allows no latitude of interpretation. The allegory lends itself to various interpretations. This passage bears traces of Paul's rabbinical training. At the time of Christ, Scripture was overlaid with that enormous mass of rabbinic interpretation which, beginning as a supplement to the written law, at last superseded and threw it into contempt. The plainest sayings of Scripture were resolved into another sense ; and it was asserted by one of the Rabbis that he that renders a verse of Scripture as it appears, says what is not true. The celebrated Akiba assumed that the Pentateuch was a continuous enigma, and that a meaning was to be found in every monosyllable, and a mystical sense in every hook and flourish of the letters. The Talmud relates how Akiba was seen by Moses in a vision, drawing from every horn of every letter whole bushels of decisions. The oral laws, subsequently reduced to writing in the Talmud, completely overshadowed and superseded the Scriptures, so that Jesus was literally justified in saying : "Thus have ye made the commandment of God of none effect through your tradition."

Paul had been trained as a Rabbi in the school of Hillel, the founder of the rabbinical system, whose hermeneutic rules
were the basis of the Talmud. As Jowett justly says: "Strange as it may at first appear that Paul's mode of interpreting the Old Testament Scriptures should not conform to our laws of logic or language, it would be far stranger if it had not conformed with the natural modes of thought and association in his own day." His familiarity with this style of exposition gave him a real advantage in dealing with Jews.

It is a much-mooted question whether, in this passage, Paul is employing an argument or an illustration. The former would seem to be the case. On its face, it seems improbable that, as Dr. Bruce puts it: "it is poetry rather than logic, meant not so much to convince the reason as to captivate the imagination."* Comp. the argument in ch. iii. 16, and see note. It appears plain that Paul believed that his interpretation actually lay hidden in the O. T. narrative, and that he adduced it as having argumentative force. Whether he regarded the correspondence as designed to extend to all the details of his exposition may be questioned; but he appears to have discerned in the O. T. narrative a genuine type, which he expanded into his allegory. For other illustrations of this mode of treatment, see Rom. ii. 24; ix. 33; 1 Cor. ii. 9 ; ix. 9,$10 ; \mathbf{x . 1 - 4 . ~} \dagger$

For these are. Hagar and Sarah are, allegorically. Signify. Comp. Matt. xiii. 20, 38 ; xxvi. 26, 28 ; 1 Cor. x. 4, 16.

The one. Covenant.
From Mount Sinai (à $\pi \dot{o}$ ò ôoovs $\Sigma \iota \nu a ́)$. The covenant emanating from Sinai: made on that mountain. The old covenant. See 2 Cor. iii. 14.

[^64]Which gendereth to bondage ( $\epsilon i s \delta o v \lambda \epsilon i a \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \sigma a$ ). That is, the Sinaitic covenant places its children in a condition of bondage; note the personification and the allegorical blending of fact and figure.

Which is Hagar ( $\tilde{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu " A \gamma a \rho$ ). The Sinaitic covenant is that which, in Abraham's history, is Hagar: which is allegorically identified with Hagar the bondmaid.

 thetical. This covenant is the Hagar of that allegorical history which is explained by the resemblance of her name to the Arabic name of Sinai. The Greek order is not opos $\Sigma \iota \nu a ̀$, as ver. 24 , but $\Sigma \iota \nu a ̀$ ỏ ópos, in order to bring into juxtaposition the two names which are declared to coincide. The evidence, however, for the actual identity of the names is deficient. The proper name Hagar signifies wanderer or fugitive (Arab. hadschar, comp. Hegira, the term for the flight of Mahomet). It has probably been confounded with the Arabic chadschar a stone or rock, which cannot be shown to be an Arabic designation of Sinai. The similarity of the first two gutturals might easily lead to the mistake.*

Answereth to ( $\sigma v \nu \sigma \tau o<\chi \varepsilon \hat{\varepsilon}$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. The subject of the verb is Hagar, not Mount Sinai. Lit. stands in the same row or file with. Hence, belongs to the same category. See on elements, ch. iii. 3. $\dagger$

Jerusalem which now is. As contrasted with "the Jerusalem above," ver. 26. The city is taken to represent the whole Jewish race.

[^65]26. Jerusalem which is above ( $\dot{\eta} \ddot{a} \nu \omega$ ' $\mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho o v \sigma a \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$ ). Paul uses the Hebrew form 'I $\epsilon \rho 0 v \sigma a \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu$ in preference to the Greek ${ }^{\text {'IEpoód }} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \mu a$, which occurs Gal. i. 17, 18; ii. 1. The phrase Jerusalem which is above was familiar to the rabbinical teachers, who conceived the heavenly Jerusalem as the archetype of the earthly. On the establishment of Messiah's kingdom, the heavenly archetype would be let down to earth, and would be the capital of the messianic theocracy. Comp. Heb. xi. 10 ; xii. 22 ; xiii. 14; Apoc. iii. 12; xxi. 2. Paul here means the messianic kingdom of Christ, partially realised in the Christian church, but to be fully realised only at the second coming of the Lord. For ä $\nu \omega$, comp. Philip. iii. 14; Col. iii. 1, 2.

Free (é $\lambda \epsilon v \theta$ '́ $\rho a)$. Independent of the Mosaic law; in contrast with the earthly Jerusalem, which, like Hagar, is in bondage. The Jerusalem above therefore answers to Sarah.
 Jerusalem which is above, not to free. That Jerusalem, as that which is our mother, is free.

The mother of us all. Render, our mother. Mávт $\omega \nu$ all does not belong in the text.
27. The last statement is proved from Scripture, LXX of Isa. liv. 1, which predicts the great growth of the people of God after the Babylonian exile. It is applied to the unfruitful Sarah, who answers to the Jerusalem above, and who is a type of God's dealings with her descendants.

Break forth ( $\hat{\rho} \hat{\eta} \xi o \nu$ ). In this sense not in N. T. The ellipsis is usually supplied by $\phi \omega \nu \eta{ }^{\nu} \nu$ voice; cause thy voice to break forth. Others prefer єúфpoov́v $\nu$ joy, as suggested by
 ing of the ox ; and $\dot{\rho} \eta \xi \xi^{\prime} \tau \omega \sigma a \nu, \hat{\rho} \eta \xi \xi^{\prime} \tau \omega \epsilon \in \dot{v} \phi \rho o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu$ in Isa. xlix. 13 ; lii. 9. As these are the only instances in LXX in which the verb is used in this sense, as the quotation is from Isaiah, and as the verb occurs twice in that prophecy with eủфpoov́v $\eta$
joy, it seems better to supply that noun here. Cause joy to break forth.
 Incorrect. Not as Lightfoot and others for $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lova $\hat{\eta}$ more than. Rather, "Many are the children of the solitary one in a higher degree than those of her which hath a husband." It is a comparison between two manys. Both had many children, but the solitary had a greater many.
28. As Isaac was (катà 'I $\boldsymbol{\sigma} a \grave{\alpha} \kappa$ ). Lit. after the manner of Isaac. See Rom. ix. 7-9, and, for this use of $\kappa a \tau d, 1$ Pet. i. 15 ; Eph. iv. 24 ; Col. iii. 10.

Children of promise ( $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ ías тéкра). Not promised children, nor children that have God's promise, but children who are not such by mere fleshly descent, as was Ishmael, but by promise, as was Isaac: children of the Jerusalem above, belonging to it in virtue of God's promise, even as Isaac was the child of Sarah in virtue of God's promise.
29. Notwithstanding this higher grade of sonship, the children of promise, the spiritual children of Abraham, are persecuted by the Jews, the mere bodily children of Abraham, as Isaac was persecuted by Ishmael.

Persecuted ( $\bar{\delta} \delta(\omega \kappa \epsilon)$. Comp. Gen. xxi. 9, where Ishmael is said to have mocked Isaac (LXX, $\pi a i \zeta_{0 \nu \tau} \mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ ) : but the Jewish tradition related that Ishmael said to Isaac: "Let us go and seek our portion in the field." And Ishmael took his bow and arrows and shot Isaac, pretending that he was in sport. Paul evidently meant something more than jeering.

After the Spirit ( $\kappa a \tau \grave{d} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ ). The divine Spirit, which was the living principle of the promise. Comp. Rom. iv. 17. The Spirit is called "the Spirit of the promise," Eph. i. 13.
30. What saith the Scripture? Giving emphasis to the following statement. Comp. Rom. iv. 3; x. 8 ; xi. 2, 4.

Quotation from LXX of Gen. xxi. 10. For the words of this bondwoman - with my son Isaac, Paul substitutes of the bondwoman - with the son of the freewoman, in order to adapt it to his context. This is according to his habit of adapting quotations to his immediate use. See 1 Cor. ii. 9 ; xv. 55 ; Eph. v. 14, etc.

Shall not be heir (ò $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon$ ). Or, shall not inherit. One of the key-words of the Epistle. See ch. iii. 18, 29 ; iv. 1, 7. The Greek negation is strong : shall by no means inherit. Comp. J. viii. 35. Lightfoot says: "The law and the gospel cannot coexist. The law must disappear before the gospel. It is scarcely possible to estimate the strength of conviction and depth of prophetic insight which this declaration implies. The apostle thus confidently sounds the death-knell of Judaism at a time when one half of Christendom clung to the Mosaic law with a jealous affection little short of frenzy, and while the Judaic party seemed to be growing in influence, and was strong enough, even in the Gentile churches of his own founding, to undermine his influence and endanger his life. The truth which to us appears a truism must then have been regarded as a paradox."

## CHAPTER V.

1. In the liberty wherewith. This is according to the reading $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{e} \lambda_{\epsilon v} \theta_{\epsilon \rho i a} \hat{\eta}$. Different connections are proposed, as with stand fast, as A. V. : or with the close of ch. iv., as, "we are not children of the bondwoman but of the free with the freedom with which Christ freed us" : or, "of her who is free with the freedom with which," etc. But $\dot{\eta}$ wherewith must be omitted. A new clause begins with $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$ è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a . ~ R e n d . ~$ for freedom did Christ set us free. For, not with freedom. It is the dative of advantage ; that we might be really free and remain free. Comp. ver. 13, and J. viii. 36.

Made (us) free ( $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \in \dot{f} \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). With the exception of J. viii. 32, 36, only in Paul.

Stand fast ( $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \epsilon$ ). Used absolutely, as 2 Th. ii. 15. Mostly in Paul. See on 1 Th. iii. 8.*

Be not entangled ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). Or, held ensnared. By Paul only here and 2 Th. i. 4. Lit. to be held within. For an elliptical usage see on Mk. vi. 19.

Yoke ( $\zeta v \gamma \hat{\varphi}) . \quad$ Metaphorical, of a burden or bondage. Comp. Matt. xi. 29, 30 ; Acts xv. 10 ; 1 Tim. vi. 1. Similarly LXX, Gen. xxvii. 40 ; Lev. xxvi. 13 ; 2 Chron. x. 4, 9, 10, 11, 14. So always in N. T. except Apoc. vi. 5, where it means a pair of scales. See note, and comp. Lev. xix. 35, 36 ; Prov. xi. 1 ; xvi. 11 ; Hos. xii. 7.
2. Behold (\% $\delta 6$ ). Imperative singular, appealing to each individual reader.

I Paul. Comp. 2 Cor. x. 1; Eph. iii. 1; Col. i. 23. Asserting his personal authority.

If ye be circumcised ( $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \partial \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). Better, receive circumcision. The verb does not mean that they have already been circumcised. It states the case as supposable, implying that they were in danger of allowing themselves to be circumcised.

Christ will profit you nothing. Circumcision is the sign of subjection to the Jewish "yoke" - the economy of the law. The question with the Galatians was circumcision as a condition of salvation. See ch. ii. 3, 5; Acts xv. 1. It was a choice between salvation by law and salvation by Christ. The choice of the law involved the relinquishment of Christ.

[^66]Comp. ch. ii. 21. Chrysostom says: "He who is circumcised is circumcised as fearing the law : but he who fears the law distrusts the power of grace; and he who distrusts gains nothing from that which he distrusts."
3. Again ( $\pi a^{\prime} \lambda(\nu)$. Probably with reference to what he had said at his last visit.

Every man. Emphasising and particularising the general to you, you, in ver. 2.

A debtor (ò $\phi_{\epsilon} \lambda \bar{\lambda} \epsilon^{\prime} \eta \mathrm{S}$ ). In N. T. mostly of one under moral obligation. So in the sense of sinner, Matt. vi. 12 ; L. xiii. 4. Comp. Rom. i. 14 ; viii. 12. Similarly the verb ò $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ to owe, as L. xi. 4 ; xvii. 10 ; Rom. xv. 1, etc., though it is. frequent in the literal sense.

To do the law ( $\pi o \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ). Rare in N. T. See J. vii. 19 ; Rom. ii. 13, 25 ( $\pi \rho \dot{d} \sigma \sigma \eta \eta$ ). T Tpeî̀ to observe the law, the tradition, the commandment, Matt. xix. 17 ; Mk. vii. 9 ; J. xiv. 15 ; Acts xv. 5 ; Jas. ii. 10 : $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v ̂ \nu ~ t o ~ f u l f i l ~ t h e-~$ law, Rom. xiii. 8 ; Gal. v. 14 ; comp. à ${ }^{2} a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \nu$, Gal. vi. 2 : $\phi u \lambda a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ to keep or guard the law, Acts vii. 53 ; xxi. 24 ; Gal. vi. 13 : also with commandments, word of God or of Christ, ordinances of the law, Matt. xix. 20; Mk. x. 20 ; L. xi. 28 ; J. xii. 47 ; Rom. ii. 26. T $\epsilon \lambda \in i{ }^{2} \nu$ to carry out the law, Rom. ii. 27 ; Jas. ii. 8. Пot $\bar{\eta} a \iota$ is to perform what the law commands : t $\eta \rho \in i$ ì to observe, keep an eye on with the result of performing : $\phi \nu \lambda a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to guard against violation : $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu \nu$ to bring to fulfilment in action.

The whole law (öえov). Comp. Jas. ii. 10. Submission to circumcision commits one to the whole law. It makes him a party to the covenant of the law, and the law requires of every one thus committed a perfect fulfilment, Gal. iii. 10.
4. Christ is become of no effect unto you (кaтทрү $\eta^{\prime} \theta \tau \epsilon$ $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v})$. Incorrect. Lit. ye were brought to nought from

Christ. Comp. Rom. vii. 2, 6. Your union with Christ, is dissolved. The statement is compressed and requires to be filled out. "Ye were brought to nought and so separated from Christ." For similar instances see Rom. ix. 3; xi. 3. The $\dot{a} \pi$ mò from properly belongs to the supplied verb of separation. For the verb кatapyєiv see on Rom. iii. 3.
 a similar phrase see 2 Pet. iii. 17. Having put yourselves under the economy of salvation by law, you have fallen out of the economy of salvation by the grace of Christ. Paul's declarations are aimed at the Judaisers, who taught that the Christian economy was to be joined with the legal. His point is that the two are mutually exclusive. Comp. Rom. iv. $4,5,14,16$. The verb é $\kappa \pi i ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to fall out, in the literal sense, Acts xii. 7 ; Jas. i. 11 . In Class. of seamen thrown ashore, banishment, deprivation of an office, degeneration, of actors being hissed off the stage.
5. For we ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \in i \hat{s} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ). Гà for introduces a proof of the preceding statement, by declaring the contrary attitude of those who continue under the economy of grace. Ye who seek to be justified by the law are fallen from grace; for we, not relying on the law, by faith wait for the hope of righteousness.

Through the Spirit ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota$ ). The Holy Spirit who inspires our faith. Not as Lightfoot, spiritually. The words $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{u} \mu a \tau \iota$ éc $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ are not to be taken as one conception, the Spirit which is of faith, but present two distinct and coördinate facts which characterise the waiting for the hope of righteousness; namely, the agency of the Holy Spirit, in contrast with the flesh (comp. Rom. vii. 6 ; viii. $4,15,16$; Eph. i. 13 ; ii. 22), and faith in contrast with the works of the law (comp. ch. iii. 3, and see ch. ii. 16 ; iii. 3 ; Rom. i. 17 ; iii. 22 ; ix. 30 ; x. 6).

By faith (éк $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Const. with wait, not with righteousness.

Wait for (á $\pi \epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). Quite often in Paul, and only twice elsewhere, Heb. ix. 28 ; 1 Pet. iii. 20. See on Philip. iii. 20.
 for the object of hope, as Rom. viii. 24 ; Col. i. 5 ; Heb. vi. 18 ; Tit. ii. 13. The phrase means that good which righteousness causes us to hope for. Comp. hope of the calling (Eph. i. 18 ; iv. 4): hope of the gospel (Col. i. 23).*
6. In Christ Jesus. In the economy of life which he inaugurates and inspires.

Availeth (i $\sigma \chi \chi^{v} \epsilon \ell$ ). Has any significance or practical power. The verb in Paul only here and Philip. iv. 13. See on 2 Th. i. 9 .

Which worketh (ėveprová́v $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ). See on 1 Th. ii. 13. Middle voice, comp. Rom. vii. 5; 2 Cor. i. 6 ; iv. 12 ; 2 Th. ii. 7 ; Eph. iii. 20. Not passive, as by many Roman Catholic expositors, faith which is wrought by love.

By love ( $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{a} \neq \dot{a} \pi \eta \eta$ ). Not that justification is through love : but the faith of the justified, which is their subjective principle of life, exhibits its living energy through love in which the whole law is fulfilled (ver. 14). See 1 Tim. i. 5 ; 1 Th. i. 3; 1 Cor. xiii.
7. Ye did run ( $\epsilon \tau \rho \in ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). Better, as giving the force of the imperfect, ye were running. You were on the right road, and were making good progress when this interruption occurred. Comp. ch. ii. 2; 1 Cor. ix. 24-27; Philip. iii. 14 ; 2 Tim. iv. 7.

Well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\varsigma}$ ). Bravely, becomingly, honorably to yourselves and to the church. Often in Paul. See Rom. xi. 20; 1 Cor. vii. 37, 38 ; 2 Cor. xi. 4 ; Gal. iv. 17 ; Philip. iv. 14.

[^67]Did hinder ( $่ \nu \in \in ́ \kappa \sigma \psi \epsilon \nu$ ). See on 1 Pet. iii. 7. Comp. 1 Th. ii. 18 ; Rom. xv. 22.

Obey the truth ( $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}(\underline{a} a \epsilon \epsilon(\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l)$. The exact phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Disobey ( $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \iota$ Өiṽı) the truth, Rom. ii. 8 : obedience (і́такой) of the truth, 1 Pet. i. 22.
8. This persuasion ( $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu o \nu \dot{\eta}$ ). Or, the persuasion. N. 'T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. It occurs in Ignatius, Rom. iii. and Just. Mart. Ap. i. 53. The sense is not passive, your being persuaded, but active, the persuasion which the Judaising teachers exert over you. Comp. 1 Cor. ii. 4, $\pi \iota$ Ooîs $\lambda$ óyous persuasive words. There may be a slight word-play on $\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu o \nu \grave{\eta}$. Obedience to the truth is the result of the persuasive power of the truth.

Him that calleth ( $\tau o \hat{v} \kappa a \lambda o \hat{v} \nu \tau o s$ ). Very often applied to God by Paul. See Rom. viii. 30 ; ix. 11 ; 1 Cor. i. 9 ; vii. 15 ; Gal. i. 15 ; 1 Th. ii. 12 ; iv. 7 ; v. 24 ; 2 Th. ii. 14. The persuasion to subject yourselves to the Jewish law does not proceed from him who called you to freedom in Christ.
9. A little leaven ( $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{a}$ ऍ̌́ $\dot{\mu} \eta$ ). A proverbial warning, which appears also 1 Cor. v. 6. It refers, not to the doctrine of the false teachers, but to the false teachers themselves. Comp. Mk. viii. 15. With the single exception of the parable, Matt. xiii. 33, leaven, in Scripture, is always a symbol of evil. Comp. Ex. xii. 15, 19; xiii. 3, 7 ; xxiii. 18 ; Lev. ii. 11 ; Deut. xvi. 3. This, however, is no warrant for the nonsense which has been deduced from it, as that Jesus' parable of the leaven contains a prophecy of the corruption of Christianity. Because leaven in Scripture is habitually the type of corruption, we are " none the less free to use it in a good sense as Christ did. One figure need not always stand for one and the same thing. The devil is 'a roaring lion,' but Christ is also 'the lion of the tribe of Judah'" (Trench). It is an apt figure of secret, pervading energy, whether bad or good. A new interest is given to the figure by Pasteur's
discovery that fermentation is a necessary consequence of the activity and growth of living organisms.* A very few of these Judaising intruders are sufficient to corrupt the whole church.

Lump ( $\phi \dot{p} \rho a \mu a$ ). Po. See on Rom. ix. 21.
10. In the Lord. Const. with I have confidence.

Will be - minded ( $\phi \rho о \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). The word denotes a general disposition of the mind rather than a specific act of thought directed at a given point. Comp. Philip. iii. 15, 19 ; iv. 2 ; Rom. viii. 5 ; xi. 20 ; 1 Cor. xiii. 11 : and фрóv$\eta \mu a$ mind, Rom. viii. 6, 7, 27 . In Class. often with $\epsilon \dot{v}$ well, $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\varsigma}$ honourably, óp日命 rightly, какผิs mischievously. Tá тıขos $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i \nu$ is to be of one's party.

He that troubleth ( $\delta \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ). Comp. ch. i. 7. Not with reference to any particular individual, as Peter or James (Lipsius), but generally, of any possible person, "whoever he may be." The verb is used by Paul only in this Epistle, and refers to disturbance of faith or unity.
11. And I. In sharp contrast with the disturber.

If I yet preach circumcision ( $\epsilon i \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \sigma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ë $\tau \iota \kappa \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ ). Commonly explained as an allusion to a charge circulated by the Judaisers that Paul preached or sanctioned the circumcision of Gentile converts in churches outside of Galatia, as, for example, in the case of Timothy, Acts xvi. 3. $\dagger$ But it is quite unlikely that any such charge was circulated. The Judaisers would not have founded such a charge on an individual case or two, like Timothy's, especially in the face of the notorious fact that Paul, in Jerusalem and Antioch, had contested the demand for the circumcision of Gentile Christ-

[^68]ians ; and Paul's question, "Why do I suffer persecution?" would have been pertinent only on the assumption that he was charged with habitually, not occasionally, preaching circumcision. Had the Judaisers actually circulated such a charge, Paul would have been compelled to meet it in a far more direct and thorough manner than he does here. He would have been likely to formulate the charge, and to deal incisively with the inconsistency in his preaching which it involved. The course of his thought is as follows: "He that troubleth you by preaching circumcision shall bear his judgment; but $I$ am not a disturber - not your enemy (ch. iv. 16), for I do not preach circumcision; and the proof of this is that I am persecuted. If I preached circumcision, there would be no offence, and therefore no disturbance; for the cross would cease to be an offence, if, in addition to the cross, I preached just what the Judaisers assert, the necessity of circumcision."

Yet (é $\tau \iota$ ). As in the time before my conversion. The second ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota$ is not temporal but logical, as Rom. iii. 7 ; ix. 19. What further ground is there for persecuting me?

Then (ảpa). As a consequence of my preaching circumcision.

The offence of the cross ( $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \kappa a ́ \nu \delta a \lambda o \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \tau a v \rho o v ̂) . ~$. Comp. 1 Cor. i. 23. For offence, see on offend, Matt. v. 29.

Ceased (катท́pyŋтal). Lit. been done away or brought to nought. See on ver. 4. If Paul had preached circumcision as necessary to salvation, the preaching of the cross would have ceased to be an offence, because, along with the cross, Paul would have preached what the Judaisers demanded, that the Mosaic law should still be binding on Christians. The Judaisers would have accepted the cross with circumcision, but not the cross instead of circumcision. The Judaisers thus exposed themselves to no persecution in accepting Christ. They covered the offence of the cross, and conciliated unbevol. iv. -11
lieving Jews by maintaining that the law was binding upon Christians. See ch. vi. 12.
12. They were cut off (áтоко́母оитal). More correctly, would cut themselves off. Perhaps the severest expression in Paul's Epistles. It turns on the practice of circumcision. Paul says in effect: "These people are disturbing you by insisting on circumcision. I would that they would make thorough work of it in their own case, and, instead of merely amputating the foreskin, would castrate themselves, as heathen priests do. Perhaps that would be even a more powerful help to salvation." With this passage should be compared Philip. iii. 2, 3, also aimed at the Judaisers: "Beware of the concision" ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ кататон $\eta^{\prime} \nu$ ), the word directing attention to the fact that these persons had no right to claim circumcision in the true sense. Unaccompanied by faith, love, and obedience, circumcision was no more than physical mutilation. They belonged in the category of those referred to in Lev. xxi. 5. Comp. Paul's words on the true circumcision, Rom. ii. 28, 29 ; Philip. iii. 3; Col. ii. 11.

Which trouble (ảvactaroûvtes). Only here in Paul, and twice elsewhere, Acts xvii. 6; xxi. 38. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Stronger than тapáббєiv disturb. Rather to upset or overthrow. The usual phrase in Class. is àváatatov toteî to make an upset. Used of driving out from home, ruining a city or country. See on madest an uproar, Acts xxi. 38. Rev. unsettle is too weak.
13. For (yà $\rho$ ). Well may I speak thus strongly of those who thus overthrow your whole polity and enslave you, for ye are called for freedom.

Unto liberty (è $\pi^{\prime}$ è $\lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon \rho(a)$ ). Better, for freedom. See on unto uncleanness, $1 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{iv} .7$. 'E $\pi \grave{\imath}$ marks the intention.

Only ( $\mu \dot{o} \nu 0 \nu$ ). For a similar use of the word, qualifying or limiting a general statement, comp. 1 Cor. vii. 39 ; Gal. ii. 10 ; Philip. i. 27 ; 2 Th. ii. 7.

Use not liberty ( $\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon u \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a \nu\right)$ ). Use is not in the Greek. We may supply hold or make or turn.

Occasion (áфop $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ). See on Rom. vii. 8. Almost exclusively in Paul.

To the flesh ( $\tau \eta \sigma а \rho \kappa \ell$ ). See on Rom. vii. 5. The flesh here represents lovelessness and selfishness. Christian freedom is not to be abused for selfish ends. Paul treats this subject at length in 1 Cor. viii. ; xii. 25, 26. Individual liberty is subject to the law of love and mutual service. Comp. 1 Pet. ii. 16.

By love ( $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{a}^{\prime} a^{\prime} \pi \eta \xi$ ). Or through love, through which faith works (ver. 6).
14. All the law ( $\delta \pi$ âs $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu o \mathrm{~s}$ ). More correctly, the whole law. Comp. Matt. xxii. 40.
 Rom. xiii. 8. The meaning is not embraced in, or summed up in, but complied with. In Rom. xiii. 9, ávaкєфалaıô̂tal is summed $u p$, is to be distinguished from $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ hath fulfilled (ver. 8) and $\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\rho} \omega \mu$ a fulfilment (ver. 10). The difference is between statement and accomplishment. See on do the law, ver. 3.
15. Bite and devour ( $\delta \dot{\prime} \kappa \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa а і$ катєб $\theta i \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). Strong expressions of partisan hatred exerting itself for mutual injury. $\Delta \dot{\alpha}_{\kappa \nu \nu \epsilon \nu}$ to bite, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In LXX metaphorically, Mic. iii. 5; Hab. ii. 7. For $\kappa$ ateб $\theta$ let ${ }^{2}$ devour, comp. Matt. xxiii. 13 ; 2 Cor. xi. 20 ; Apoc. xi. 5.

Be consumed ( $\mathfrak{a} \nu a \lambda \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ). Rare in N. T. See L. ix. 54. Partisan strife will be fatal to the Christian community as a whole. The organic life of the body will be destroyed by its own members.
16. Walk ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i ̂ \tau \epsilon$ ). Frequent in a metaphorical sense for habitual conduct. See Mk. vii. 5; J. viii. 12 ; Acts xxi. 21; Rom. vi. 4 ; viii. 4 ; 1 Cor. iii. 3; Philip. iii. 18. Never by Paul in the literal sense.

In the Spirit ( $\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota$ ). Rather, by the Spirit, as the rule of action. Comp. Gal. vi. 16 ; Philip. iii. 16; Rom. iv. 12.

Fulfil ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda e ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ ). Bring to fulfilment in action. See on do the law, ver. 3.

The lust (è $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu i ́ a \nu)$. Frequent in Paul, and usually in a bad sense; but see Philip. i. 23; 1 Th. ii. 17, and comp. L. xxii. 15. The phrase lust or lusts of the flesh occurs also Eph. ii. 3; 2 Pet. ii. 18; 1 J. ii. 16. It means, not the mere sensual desire of the physical nature, but the desire which is peculiar to human nature without the divine Spirit.
17. Are contrary (ảvtiкєital). The verb means to lie opposite to ; hence to oppose, withstand. The sentence these - to the other is not parenthetical.

So that (iva). Connect with these are contrary, etc. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{I} \nu a$ does not express result, but purpose, to the end that, - the purpose of the two contending desires. The intent of each principle in opposing the other is to prevent man's doing what the other principle moves him to do.

Cannot do ( $\mu \bar{\eta} \pi \sigma \circ \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ). A mistake, growing out of the misinterpretation of $i \nu a$ noted above. Rather, each works to the end that ye may not do, etc.

The things that ye would (â èà $\nu \theta \dot{\text { é }} \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon$ ). The things which you will to do under the influence of either of the two contending principles. There is a mutual conflict of two powers. If one wills to do good, he is opposed by the flesh : if to do evil, by the Spirit.
18. The question is, which of these two powers shall prevail. If the Spirit, then you are free men, no longer under the law. Comp. Rom. vi. 11, 14.

Under the law (ímò vó $\mu o v$ ). The Mosaic law. We might have expected, from what precedes, under the fesh. But the law and the flesh are in the same category. Circumcision was a requirement of the law, and was a work of the flesh. The ordinances of the law were ordinances of the flesh (Heb. ix. 10,13 ); the law was weak through the flesh (Rom. viii. 3). See especially, Gal. iii. 2-6. In Philip. iii. 3 ff. Paul explains his grounds for confidence in the flesh as his legal righteousness. The whole legal economy was an economy of the flesh as distinguished from the Spirit.
19. Manifest. You have a clearly defined standard by which to decide whether you are led by the Spirit or by the flesh. Each exhibits its peculiar works or fruits.

Adultery ( $\mu_{0} \chi^{\epsilon}(a)$. To be dropped from the text.
Uncleanness (ảкаӨарбía). See on 1 Th . ii. 3.
Lasciviousness (á $\sigma e \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota a$ ). See on Mk. vii. 22.
20. Witchcraft (фариакía). Or sorcery. Elsewhere only Apoc. xviii. 23. From фápнакоу a drug. In LXX, see Ex. vii. 11; Wisd. xii. 4; Isa. xlvii. 9. Comp. Acts xix. 19, $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \epsilon \rho \gamma a$ curious arts, note.

Wrath ( $\theta \nu \mu o l$ ). Lit. wraths. See on J. iii. 36.
 hired servant. 'EpıAia is, primarily, labor for hire (see Tob. ii. 11), and is applied to those who serve in official positions for hire or for other selfish purposes, and, in order to gain their ends, promote party spirit or faction.

Seditions ( $\delta$ (才oovacíaı). Better, divisions. Only here and Rom. xvi. 17. Once in LXX, 1 Macc. iii. 29.

Heresies (aipé $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ). In Paul only here and 1 Cor. xi. 19. See on 2 Pet. ii. 1. Parties, into which divisions crystallise.
21. Murders. Omit from the text.

Revellings ( $\kappa \bar{\omega} \mu o \iota$ ). Comp. Rom. xiii. 13 ; 1 Pet. iv. 3. In both passages coupled with drunkenness as here. See on 1 Pet. iv. 3.

I tell you before ( $\pi \rho \circ \lambda e^{\prime} \omega \omega$ ). Better beforehand, or as Rev. Iforewarn you. P ${ }^{\text {o }}$. Comp. 2 Cor. xiii. 2 ; 1 Th. iii. 4.

The kingdom of God. See on L. vi. 20.
22. The fruit of the Spirit ( $\delta$ карлто̀ тov $\pi \nu \epsilon$ úpatos). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Fruit, metaphorical, frequent in N. T., as Matt. iii. 8 ; vii. 16 ; J. iv. 36 ; xv. 8 ; Rom. i. 13 ; vi. 21, etc. We find fruit of light (Eph. v. 9); of righteousness (Philip. i. 11); of labour (Philip. i. 22); of the lips (Heb. xiii. 15). Almost always of a good result.

Love ( ${ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \hat{a}^{\prime} \eta$ ). Comp. love of the Spirit, Rom. xv. 30. In Class. $\phi \iota \lambda_{\epsilon} i \nu$ is the most general designation of love, denoting an inner inclination to persons or things, and standing opposed to $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ or é $\chi \theta a\langle\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ to hate. It occasionally acquires from the context a sensual flavour, as Hom. Od. xviii. 325 ; Hdt. iv. 176, thus running into the sense of $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho \tilde{a} \nu$ which denotes sensual love. It is love to persons and things growing out of intercourse and amenities or attractive qualities. $\Sigma_{\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu}$ (not in N. T., LXX, Sir. xxvii. 17) expresses a deep, quiet, appropriating, natural love, as distinguished from that which is called out by circumstances. Unlike $\phi l \lambda e i v$, it has a distinct moral significance, and is not applied to base inclinations opposed to a genuine manly nature. It is the word for love to parents, wife, children, king or coun-
try, as one's own. Aristotle (Nic. ix. 7, 3) speaks of poets as loving ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \gamma=\nu \tau \epsilon s$ ) their own poems as their children. See also Eurip. Med. 87. 'A $\gamma a \pi a \hat{\nu}$ is to love out of an intelligent estimate of the object of love. It answers to Lat. diligere, or Germ. schätzen to prize. It is not passionate and sensual as $\epsilon \rho \hat{\rho} \nu$. It is not, like $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$, attachment to a person independently of his quality and created by close intercourse. It is less sentiment than consideration. While $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ contemplates the person, $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi a \hat{\nu}$ contemplates the attributes and character, and gives an account of its inclination. 'Ayamầ is really the weaker expression for love, as that term is conventionally used. It is judicial rather than affectionate. Even in classical usage, however, the distinction between $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi a \hat{a} \nu$ and $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ is often very subtle, and wellnigh impossible to express.

In N. T. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ to desire or lust is used instead of $\epsilon \in \hat{\rho} \hat{\nu}$. In LXX $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi a \hat{a} \nu$ is far more common than $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$. Фi入єî occurs only 16 times in the sense of love, and 16 times in the sense of kiss; while $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi a \hat{a} \nu$ is found nearly 300 times. It is used with a wide range, of the love of parent for child, of man for God, of God for man, of love to one's neighbour and to the stranger, of husband for wife, of love for God's house, and for mercy and truth; but also of the love of Samson for Delilah, of Hosea for his adulterous wife, of Amnon's love for Tamar, of Solomon's love for strange women, of loving a woman for her beauty. Also of loving vanity, unrighteousness, devouring words, cursing, death, silver.

The noun áyá $\eta \eta$, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class., was apparently created by the LXX, although it is found there only 19 times.* It first comes into habitual use in Christian writings. In N. T. it is, practically, the only noun for love, although compound nouns expressing peculiar phases of love, as brotherly love, love of money, love of children, etc., are formed with $\phi(\lambda o s$, as $\phi \iota \lambda a \delta \in \lambda \phi i ́ a, \phi \iota \lambda a \rho \gamma v \rho i ́ a, \phi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i ́ a$. Both verbs, $\phi i \lambda \epsilon i ̀$ and à $\gamma a \pi a \hat{a} \nu$ occur, but á $\gamma a \pi a ̂ \nu$ more frequently. The

[^69]attempt to carry out consistently the classical distinction between these two must be abandoned. Both are used of the love of parents and children, of the love of God for Christ, of Christ for men, of God for men, of men for Chist and of men for men. The love of man for God and of husband for wife, only à $\gamma a \pi a \hat{\nu}$. The distinction is rather between $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi a \hat{a} \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \in i ̂ \nu$ than between $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi a \hat{a} \nu$ and $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i \nu .{ }^{*}$ Love, in this passage, is that fruit of the Spirit which dominates all the others. See vv. 13, 14. Comp. 1 Cor. xiii ; 1 J. ii. 5, 9-11; iii. 11, 14-16; iv. 7-11, 16-21; v. 1-3.

Joy ( $\chi a \rho a$ ). Comp. joy of the Holy Ghost, 1 Th. i. 6, and see Rom. v. 2; xiv. 17; xv. 13; 2 Cor. vi. 10 ; Philip. i. 25 ; iv. 4 ; 1 Pet. i. 8; 1 J. i. 4.

Peace ( $\epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\prime} \nu \eta$ ). See on 1 Th. i. 1. Here of mutual peace rather than peace with God.

Long suffering ( $\mu$ акро $\theta v \mu i a$ ). See on be patient, Jas. v. 7, and comp. Rom. ii. 4; 2 Cor. vi. 6; Eph. iv. 2; Col. i..11.

Gentleness ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ \tau \eta s)$. See on good, Rom. iii. 12 ; easy, Matt. xi. 30; gracious, 1 Pet. ii. 3. Better, kindness; a kindness which is useful or serviceable.

Goodness (ả $\gamma a \theta \omega \sigma v ́ v \eta$ ). $\quad \mathrm{P}^{0} . \quad$ See on Rom. iii. 12.
Faith ( $\pi i \sigma \pi \iota \varsigma$ ). Trustfulness.
23. Meekness ( $\pi \rho \rho a v i \tau \eta s$ ). See on meek, Matt. v. 5.

Temperance (é $\gamma \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon t a)$. Only here by Paul. He alone uses éरккратєи́єбӨaı to have continency, 1 Cor. vii. 9 ; ix. 25. See on is temperate, 1 Cor. ix. 25 . The word means selfcontrol, holding in hand the passions and desires. So Xen.

[^70]Mem. i. 2, 1, of Socrates, who was évкратé́татоs most temperate as to sexual pleasures and pleasures of the appetite.

Such (тоөои́т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ). Such things, not persons.
There is no law (où火 è $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \nu o ́ \mu o s$ ). Against such virtues there is no law to condemn them. The law can bring no charge against them. Comp. 1 Tim. i. 9, 10.
 texts add 'Inoov̂ they that are of Christ Jesus. Belong to him. The exact phrase only here. But see 1 Cor. i. 12; iii. 23 ; xv. 23 ; 2 Cor. x. 7; Gal. iii. 29.

Have crucified the flesh ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa а$ ė $\sigma \tau a \cup ́ \rho \omega \sigma a \nu$ ). The phrase only here. Comp. ch. ii. 20; vi. 14; Rom. vi. 6. The line of thought as regards death to sin is the same as in Rom. vi. 2-7, 11; as regards death to the law, the same as in Rom. vii. 1-6.

Affections ( $\pi a \forall \eta^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Better, passions. Often sufferings, as Rom. viii. 18; 2 Cor. i. 5, 6, 7; Philip. iii. 10; Heb. ii. 9. Often of Christ's sufferings. Comp. passions of sins, Rom. vii. 5 (see on motions). ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, where we find $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ os in both senses, but mostly sufferings. Má ${ }^{\circ}$ os also in N. T., but rarely and $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}}$. See Rom. i. 26 ; Col. iii. 5 ; 1 Th. iv. 5 : always of evil desires.
25. Lipsius makes this verse the beginning of ch. vi. Weizsäcker begins that chapter with ver. 26. There seems to be no sufficient reason. Ver. 25 is connected naturally with the immediately preceding line of thought. "Such being your principle of life, adapt your conduct (walk) to it." The hortatory form of ver. 26, and its contents, fall in naturally with the exhortation to walk by the Spirit, and with the reference to biting and devouring, ver. 15, and envyings, ver. 21. The connection of the opening of ch. vi. with the close of ch. v. is not so manifest; and the address brethren
and the change to the second person (vi. 1) seem to indicate a new section.

In the Spirit ( $\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \pi \iota$ ). Better, by the Spirit, the dative being instrumental as ver. 16.

Walk ( $\sigma \tau \sigma \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). A different word from that in ver. 16. Only in Paul, except Acts xxi. 24. From otoíxos a row. Hence, to walk in line; to march in battle order (Xen. Cyr. vi. 3, 34). $\quad$ Vvyбтotхєî answereth to, Gal. iv. 25 (note). See also on $\sigma$ тoı $\chi$ ela elements, Gal. iv. 3. Paul uses it very graphically, of falling into line with Abraham's faith, Rom. iv. 12.
26. Desirous of vainglory ( $\kappa \in \nu o \delta \delta o \xi o \iota$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Better, vainglorious. The noun $\kappa \epsilon \nu 0 \delta o \xi i a$ vainglory only Philip. ii. 3. In LXX see Wisd. xiv. 14 ; 4 Macc. ii. 15 ; viii. 18. Originally, vain opinion, error. Ignatius, Magn. xi., speaks of falling into ä $\gamma \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \epsilon \nu 0 \delta o \xi i a s ~ t h e ~ h o o k s ~ o r ~ c l u t c h e s ~ o f ~ e r r o r . ~$ $\Delta{ }^{\prime} \xi a$ has not the sense of opinion in N. T., but that of reputation, glory. This compound means having a vain conceit of possessing a rightful claim to honour. Suidas defines any vain thinking about one's self. It implies a contrast with the state of mind which seeks the glory of God. The modes in which vainglory may show itself are pointed out in the two following participles, provoking and envying.

Provoking ( $\pi$ рокадоú $\epsilon \in \nu o t$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, only 2 Macc. viii. 11. Lit. calling forth, challenging, and so stirring up strife. Very common in Class.

## CHAPTER VI.

 $\mu a \tau \iota)$. The verb means lit. to take before; to anticipate or forestall. Elsewhere only Mk. xiv. 8 ; 1 Cor. xi. 21. LXX, Wisd. xviii. 17. Not, be detected in the act by some one else before he can escape, but surprised by the fault itself; hurried
into error. Thus $\pi \rho o$ has the sense of before he is aware, and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is instrumental, by.* For fault or trespass, see on Matt. vi. 14 .

Spiritual ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}_{\mu}$ атıкоi). Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 1. Mostly in Paul. See 1 Pet. ii. 5. Those who have received the Spirit and are led by him. See ch. iii. 2, 3, 5, 14; iv. 6; v. 5, 16, 18, 25. He leaves it to the readers' own conscience whether or not they answer to this designation.
 L. vi. $40 ; 1$ Pet. v. 10. The word is used of reconciling factions, as Hdt. v. 28 ; of setting bones; of mending nets, Mk. i. 19; of equipping or preparing, Rom. ix. 22; Heb. x. 5 ; xi. 3; of manning a fleet, or supplying an army with provisions. Usually by Paul metaphorically as here. The idea of amendment is prominent : set him to rights: bring him into line. Comp. 2 Cor. xiii. 11; 1 Cor. i. 10.

Spirit of meekness. Comp. 1 Cor. iv. 21. Led by the Spirit of God, whose fruit is meekness (v. 23). For the combinations of $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ with genitives, see on Rom. viii. 4, p. 87.

Considering ( $\sigma \kappa 0 \pi \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ). Only in Paul, except L. xi. 35. The verb means to look attentively; to fix the attention upon a thing with an interest in it. See Rom. xvi. 17; 2 Cor. iv. 18 ; Philip. ii. 4 ; iii. 17. Hence, often, to aim at (comp. бкото̀ mark, Philip. iii. 14). Schmidt (Syn.) defines: "To direct one's attention upon a thing, either in order to obtain it , or because one has a peculiar interest in it, or a duty to fulfil toward it. Also to have an eye to with a view of

[^71]forming a right judgment." Notice the passing to the singular number - "considering thyself." The exhortation is addressed to the conscience of each. Before you deal severely with the erring brother, consider your own weakness and susceptibility to temptation, and restore him in view of that fact.
2. One another's burdens ( $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu \tau a ̀ \quad \beta a ́ \rho \eta)$. The emphasis is on one another's, in contrast with the selfishness which leaves others to take care of themselves. The primary reference in burdens is to moral infirmities and errors, and the sorrow and shame and remorse which they awaken in the offender.

So (oũt $\omega$ s). By observing this injunction.
Fulfil (à $\left.{ }^{2} a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma a \pi \epsilon\right)$. The verb denotes, not the filling• up of a perfect vacancy, as the simple $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v}$, but the supplying of what is lacking to fulness; the filling up of a partial. void. Comp. 1 Cor. xvi. 17; Philip. ii. 30; 1 Th. ii. 16.*
3. Think ( $\delta о \kappa є \hat{\imath})$. Sometimes rendered seems, 1 Cor. xii. 22 ; 2 Cor. x. 9 ; Gal. ii. 9 ; but think is Paul's usual meaning. Comp. Matt. iii. 9 ; 1 Cor. xi. 16 ; Philip. iii. 4.

To be something (eivai $\tau \iota$ ). For the phrase see Acts v. 36; viii. 9 ; 1 Cor. iii. 7; x. 19; Gal. ii. 6; vi. 15.
 the noun $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu a \pi \dot{d} \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ deceiver, Tit. i. 10. Denoting subjective deception; deception of the judgment. The simple à $\pi a \tau a \mathfrak{a}$ to deceive, Eph. v. 6; 1 Tim. ii. 14; Jas. i. 26, and often in LXX. Lightfoot thinks the compound verb may possibly have been coined by Paul. $\dagger$

[^72]4. Prove ( $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu а$ ఢ́́t $\omega$ ). In Class. of assaying metals. Comp. LXX, Prov. viii. 10 ; xvii. 3; Sir. ii. 5 : also 1 Cor. iii. 13; 1 Pet.i. 7. It is the classical verb for testing money; see Plato, Tim. $65 \mathrm{C} . \Delta о \kappa \iota \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\pi v \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ t o ~ b u r n ~ o r ~ t r y ~$ by fire occur together, Jer. ix. 7; Ps. xi. 6; lxv. 10. Generally, to prove or examine, as 1 Cor. xi. $28 ; 1$ Th. v. 21. To accept that which is approved, 1 Cor. xvi. 3 ; 2 Cor. viii. 22 ; 1 Th. ii. 4.

Rejoicing ( $\tau$ ò $\kappa u^{u} \chi \eta \mu a$ ). Better, as giving the force of the article, "his glorying." Kav́zך $\mu a$ is the matter or ground of glorying, see Rom. iv. 2; 1 Cor. ix. 15 ; not the act (кavi$\chi \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$, as Rom. iii. 27; 2 Cor. i. 12.*

In himself ( $\epsilon$ is $\dot{\text { éautò }}$ ). Better, with regard to himself, or as concerns. For this use of $\epsilon i s$ see Rom. iv. 20; xv. 2; xvi. 6 ; Eph. iii. 16. Not, he will keep his glorying to himself or abstain from boasting. He means that if, on examination, one finds in himself anything to boast of, his cause of boasting will lie simply and absolutely in that, and not in his merit as compared, to his own advantage, with that of another.

Another ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \stackrel{\text { ®̈ }}{\text { écpol }}$ ). Better, the other, or, as Rev., his neighbour. See on Matt. vi. 24.
5. Bear ye one another's burdens: every man shall bear his own burden. A kind of paradox of which Paul is fond. See Philip. ii. 12, 13 ; 2 Cor. vi. $8-10$; vii. 10 ; xii. 10. Paul means, no one will have occasion to claim moral superiority to his neighbour, for ( $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ) each man's selfexamination will reveal infirmities enough of his own, even though they may not be the same as those of his neighbour. His own burdens will absorb his whole attention, and will leave him no time to compare himself with others.

[^73]His own burden ( $\tau$ ò $\begin{gathered}i \delta \iota o \nu ~ \phi o \rho t i o v) . ~ F o r ~ \\ \text { í } \delta \iota o \nu ~ o w n, ~ s e e ~ o n ~\end{gathered}$ 1 Tim. vi. 1. With фoptiov burden comp. ßáp $\begin{aligned} & \text { burdens, }\end{aligned}$ ver. 2. It is doubtful whether any different sháde of meaning is intended. Originally $\beta$ áp $\eta$ emphasises the weight of the burden, фop ion simply notes the fact that it is something to be borne ( $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau \nu$ ), which may be either light or heavy. See Matt. xi. 30 ; xxiii. 4 ; Ps. xxxvii. 4 ; L. xi. 46. Comp. Acts xxvii. 10, the lading of a ship.
6. But, although each man is thus individualised as regards his burdens, Christian fellowship in all morally good things is to be maintained between the teacher and the taught. The passage is often explained as an injunction to provide for the temporal wants of Christian teachers.* But this is entirely foreign to the course of thought, and isolates the verse from the context on both sides of it. As vv. 1-5 refer to moral errors, in all good things has naturally the same reference, as do good in ver. 10 certainly has. The exhortation therefore is, that the disciple should make common cause with the teacher in everything that is morally good and that promotes salvation. The introduction at this point of the relation of disciple and teacher may be explained by the fact that this relation in the Galatian community had been disturbed by the efforts of the Judaising teachers, notably in the case of Paul himself; and this disturbance could not but interfere with their common moral effort and life.

In the word (tò $\nu$ 入órov). The gospel. Usually in Paul with some qualifying word, as of God. Comp. Acts iv. 4; viii. 4 ; xi. 19 ; xiv. 25 ; xvi. 6 ; 1 Th. i. 6; Col. iv. 3.

Communicate ( $\kappa 0 \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon / \tau \omega$ ). Hold fellowship with; partake with. Not impart to. The word is used of giving and receiving material aid (Philip. iv. 15) : of moral or spiritual

[^74]participation (Rom. xv. 27; 1 Tim. v. 22 ; 2 J. 11): of participation in outward conditions (Heb. ii. 14): in sufferings (1 Pet. iv. 13).
7. Be not deceived ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda a \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). For the phrase see 1 Cor. vi. 9 ; xv. 33 ; Jas. i. 16. Deceive is a secondary sense; the primary meaning being lead astray. See on Mk. xii. 24. The connection of the exhortation may be with the entire section from ver. 1 (Eadie and Sieffert), but is more probably with ver. 6. The Galatians are not to think that it is a matter of no consequence whether their fellowship be with their Christian teachers who preach the word of truth, or with the Judaising innovators who would bring them under bondage to the law.

Is not mocked (oủ $\mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Quite often in LXX. See 1 K. xviii. 27 ; 2 K. xix. 21 ; Job xxii. 19 ; Prov. i. 30. Also the noun $\mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ós mockery, Job xxxiv. 7; Ps. xxxiv. 16. See Ps. of Sol. iv. 8. The verb, literally, to turn up the nose at. Comp. Horace, Sat. i. 6, 5, naso suspendis adunco, ii. 8, 64 ; Epist. i. 19, 45.

That (тои̂то). Most emphatic. That and nothing else. Comp. Matt. vii. 16 ; 2 Cor. ix. 6.
 flesh. 'Ets into: the flesh being conceived as the soil into which the seed is cast. Comp. Matt. xiii. 22. His own, because the idea of personal, selfish desire is involved.

Corruption ( $\phi$ Oo oá $\nu$ ). Primarily, destruction, ruin; but it also has the sense of deterioration, decay, as 1 Cor. xv. 42. Comp. Aristotle, Rhet. iii. 3, 4 : "And thou didst sow ( ${ }^{(z \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota-}$ $\rho a s$ ) shamefully (aí $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ) and didst reap ( $\bar{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \rho i \sigma a s$ ) miserably (какஸ̂s)." See also Plato, Phaedrus, 260 D , and on defile, Rom. iii. 17.

The Spirit. The Holy Spirit: not the higher nature of man.

Eternal life ( $\zeta \omega \grave{\nu} \nu$ aíćvov). See on 2 Th. i. 9 (additional note).
9. Be weary ( $\grave{\nu} \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu)$. Lit. faint or lose leart. Comp. 2 Th. iii. 13.

In due season ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{̣} i \delta i(\omega)$ ). In the season which is peculiarly the harvest-time of each form of well-doing. See on ver. 5.

Faint (èк $\lambda v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota)$. Only here in Paul. See Matt. xv. 32 ; Mk. viii. 3; Heb. xii. 3, 5. Lit. to be loosened or relaxed, like the limbs of the weary.
 is a proper season for reaping, there is likewise a proper season for sowing. As this season comes to us, let us sow to the Spirit by doing good. Comp. Eph. v. 16; Col. iv. 5.

Let us do good (épya ${ }^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ тò à $\left.\gamma a \theta \partial ̀ \nu\right)$. Let us work the good. For the distinctive force of $\epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ see on 3 J. 5 ; and for $\pi o t \epsilon i \nu$ to do, on J. iii. 21. Comp. Col. iii. 23 where both verbs occur. Tò ára月òv is, of course, the morally good as distinguished from what is merely useful or profitable, but includes what is beneficent or kindly. See Philem. 14; Eph. iv. 28 ; 1 Th. iii. 6; Rom. v. 7. Here, in a general sense, embracing all that is specified in vv. $1,2,3,10$.

Unto them who are of the household of faith ( $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ rov̀s oiкєíous $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Прòs combines with the sense of direction that of active relation with. Comp. Matt. xiii. 56 ; Mk. ix. 16; J. i. 1; Acts iii. 25; xxviii. 25; 1 Th. iv. 12; Heb. ix. 20. Frequently in Class. of all kinds of personal intercourse. See Hom. Od. xiv. 331 ; xix. 288; Thucyd. ii. 59; iv. 15; vii. 82; Hdt. i. 61. 'O九кєiol of the household, rare in N. T. See Eph.ii. 19; 1 Tim. v. 8. Quite often in LXX of kinsmen. It is unnecessary to introduce the idea of a household here, as A.V., since the word acquired the general sense
of pertaining or belonging to. Thus oiceiou фıдoroфlas or үє由ypaфlas belonging to philosophy or geography, philosophers, geographers. So here, belonging to the faith, believers.
11. How large a letter ( $\pi \eta \lambda i ́ \kappa o \iota s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu)$. More correctly, with how large letters. Грá $\mu \mu a \tau a$ may mean an epistle, as Lat. literae, or epistles; but Paul habitually uses ėmı $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ ń for an epistle. Г $\rho \alpha^{\prime} \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ means with characters, and $\pi \eta \lambda 6-$ nous refers to their size. It is claimed by some that the large characters are intended to call the attention of the readers to the special importance of the close of the letter. See below.

I have written (eैypaчa). The aorist may refer to the whole of the preceding letter, or to the concluding verses which follow. In either case it is probably an instance of the epistolary aorist, by which the writer puts himself at the time when his correspondent is reading his letter. To the correspondent, I write has changed itself into I wrote. Similarly the Lat. scripsi. " $\mathrm{E} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi-1$ sent is used in the same way. See Acts xxiii. 30; Philip. ii. 28; Col. iv. 8; Philem. 11.

With mine own hand ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} \chi \in \iota \rho l$ ). The aorist é $\gamma \rho a \psi a$ is epistolary, and refers to what follows. The concluding verses emphasise the main issue of the letter, that the Judaising intruders are trying to win the Galatians over to the economy of circumcision which is opposed to the economy of the cross. It is therefore quite probable that Paul may have wished to call special attention to these verses. If so, this special call lies in the words with my own hand, and not in with how large letters, which would seem to have been added to call attention to the apostle's handwriting as distinguished from that of the amanuensis. "Mark carefully these closing words of mine. I write them with my own hand in the large characters which you know."

[^75]In the flesh ('̇v $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{a \rho \kappa \kappa )}$ ). Qualifying the verb to make a fair show. The whole phrase is well explained by Ellicott: "To wear a specious exterior in the earthly, unspiritual element in which they move." 'E $\nu \sigma a \rho \kappa l$ is not = among men, nor being carnal, nor as regards fleshly things. The desire to make a good appearance irrespective of inward truth and righteousness, is prompted by the unrenewed, fleshly nature, and makes its fair showing in that sphere.

They constrain (ovitol ávayкá̧ovalv). Neither A. V. nor Rev. gives the strong, definitive force of oitoo. It is these the Judaising emissaries, that constrain, etc. Comp. ch. iii. 7.

Only lest ( $\mu$ óvov "'va - $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ). Or, that they may not. Haring no other object, or only from the motive that, etc

For the cross ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau a \nu \rho \hat{\varphi})$. Better, by reason of the cross. Because of preaching a crucified Messiah. See on ch. v. 11. The Judaisers attempted to cover with the law - the requirement of circumcision - the "offence" of a crucified Messiah.
13. Neither they themselves who are circumcised (où $\delta \grave{\text { è }}$ - oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ a à̇тoi). For neither, translate not even. Const. themselves with keep the law. The persons referred to are the same as those in ver. 12. The participle tells nothing as to the antecedents of these persons, whether Jewish or heathen. It is general, those who are receiving circumcision. It is = the circumcision-party; and the present participle represents them as in present activity. They are circumcised themselves, and are endeavouring to force circumcision upon others.

Keep the law (yó $\mu о \nu \quad \phi \nu \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). See on ch. v. 3. They are in the same category with all who are circumcised, who do not and cannot fully observe the law. Comp. ch. iii. 10 ; v. 3. Hence, if circumcision develops no justifying results, it is apparent that their insistence on circumcision proceeds not from moral, but from fleshly motives.

That they may glory in your flesh (ì $\bar{\nu} a \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma a \rho \kappa i$ $\kappa a v \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu \tau a \iota)$. May boast, not of your fulfilling the law, but in your ceremonial conformity ; your becoming legal zealots like themselves. They desire only that you, like them, should make a fair show in the flesh. For the formula rav $\chi \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ to glory in, see Rom. ii. 17; v. 3; 1 Cor. i. 31; 2 Cor. x. 15 .
14. Contrast of Paul's own boasting and its ground with those of the false apostles.

By whom ( $\delta i i^{\prime} \boldsymbol{0}$ ). The relative may refer either to the cross, by which, or to Christ, by whom. The cross was a stumbling-block to the Jews (ch. iii. 13), and it is the crucified Christ that Paul is emphasising. Comp. ch. ii. 20 ; v. 24.

The world (кó $\sigma \mu \circ$ ). See on J. i. 9 ; Acts xvii. 24 ; 1 Cor. iv. 9.
15. A new creature (кaıр̀̀ ктíஎ८s). Comp. 2 Cor. v. 17. For $\kappa a \iota \nu \eta े ~ n e w ~ s e e ~ o n ~ M a t t . ~ x x v i . ~ 29 . ~ F o r ~ \kappa \tau i \sigma \iota s ~ o n ~ R o m . ~$ viii. 19; 2 Cor. v. 17. Here of the thing created, not of the act of creating. The phrase was common in Jewish writers for one brought to the knowledge of the true God. Comp. Eph. ii. 10, 15.
16. Rule (кavóvı). $\mathrm{P}^{0}$. See on 2 Cor. x. 13, 16.* Emphasis on rule not this.

Peace be on them ( $\epsilon i \rho \eta \eta_{\nu \eta} \dot{\text { én }}{ }^{\prime}$ aủvov̀s). The only instance of this formula in N.T. Commonly $\epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta$ with the simple dative, peace unto you, as J. xx. 19, 21; Rom. i. 7; 1 Cor. i. 3; Gal. i. 3, etc. In the Catholic Epistles, with $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu \nu \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta$ be multiplied. See 1 Pet. i. 2; 2 Pet. i. 2; Jude 2.

Mercy (e' $\lambda \epsilon \sigma$ ). In the opening salutations of the Pastoral Epistles with grace and peace; also in 2 J. 3. In Jude 2 with peace and love.

[^76]And upon the Israel of God．The cai and may be simply connective，in which case the Israel of God may be different from as many as walk，etc．，and may mean truly converted Jews．Or the $\kappa$ ai may be explicative，in which case the Israel of God will define and emphasise as many as，etc．，and will mean the whole body of Christians，Jewish and Gentile．In other words，they who walk according to this rule form the true Israel of God．The explicative $\kappa a \grave{\imath}$ is at best doubtful here，and is rather forced，although clear instances of it may be found in 1 Cor．iii． 5 ；xv．38．It seems better to regard it as simply connective．Then öroc will refer to the individual Christians，Jewish and Gentile，and Israel of God to the same Christians，regarded collectively，and forming the true messi－ anic community．

17．Henceforth（ $\tau o \hat{v}$ 入oomov̂）．Only here and Eph．vi． 10. Commonly $\tau \grave{o}$ 入ou $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\nu} \nu$ ．The genitive is temporal；at any time in the future as distinguished from throughout the future．

Trouble me（кótovs $\mu o 九$ — $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi$ ধ́т $\omega$ ）．Lit．give me troub－ $l e s$ ；make it necessary for me to vindicate my apostolic au－ thority and the divine truth of my gospel．

Bear in my body．Comp． 2 Cor．iv． 10.
Marks（ $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ）．N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．The wounds，scars，and other outward signs of persecutions and sufferings in the service of Christ．Comp． 2 Cor．xi． 23 ff．The metaphor is the brands applied to slaves in order to mark their owners．Hence Rev．，I bear branded．Brauds were also set upon soldiers， captives，and servants of temples．See on Apoc．xiii．16，and comp．Apoc．vii．3；xiv．1，9，11．The scars on the apostle＇s body marked him as the bondservant of Jesus Christ．The passage naturally recalls the legend of Francis of Assisi．

18．The grace，etc．The same form of benediction occurs Philem． 25.

Brethren. Rev. rightly puts the word at the end of the verse. The position is unusual. It would seem as if Paul intended to close this severe letter with an assurance that the "foolish Galatians" were still his brethren : They are addressed as "brethren," ch. iv. 12; v. 11; vi. 1. Comp. 1 Cor. xvi. 24.

## THE PASTORAL EPISTLES.

## THE PASTORAL EPISTLES.

## INTRODUCTION.

The two Epistles to Timothy and the Epistle to Titus are called the Pastoral Epistles because they consist chiefly of instructions and admonitions to pastors.

Their authenticity is disputed. The current of modern criticism is against their Pauline authorship, but it is supported by high authorities.
I. The three letters are closely allied, and stand or fall together. While each has its peculiarities, they contain considerable common matter; and their general situation and aim are substantially the same. They oppose heresies, seek to establish a definite church polity, and urge adherence to traditional doctrine. Their style is similar. Certain expressions which occur nowhere else in the N. T. are found in all three. Whole sentences are in almost verbal agreement.
II. They exhibit certain resemblances to the Pauline Epistles, notably to Romans. If the writer is not Paul, he is manifestly familiar with Paul's teachings.
III. As to the external evidence for these letters, there seems good reason to believe in the existence, at an early date, either of the letters in their present form, or of documeuts on which the letters were constructed later. Not much reliance can be placed on the traces which oc̣cur iu

Clement's Epistle to the Corinthians: perhaps a little more on those in the Ignatian Epistles, although many of these are merely analogies of expression which may have been accidental, or echoes of current religious phraseology. An unmistakable reminiscence appears in Polycarp's Epistle to the Philippians (Philip. iv; 1 Tim. vi. 7, 10). There are no echoes in Hermas or in the Didache, and none of importance in Barnabas. Justin Martyr has a few characteristic expressions of the Pastorals, which may be only accidental coincidences. The Muratorian Canon enrols the three as canonical, and expressly justifies their reception because, being private letters, their canonicity might be called in question. They are found in the Peshitto and Old Latin Versions, and are accepted and cited as Pauline by Clement of Alexandria, Irenaeus, and Tertullian. At the end of the second century they have a recognised place among the Pauline Epistles. It is, however, significant, that they' were excluded from Marcion's Canon. It cannot be positively affirmed that Marcion knew them, although his acquaintance with them would seem to be implied by Tertullian (Adv. Marc. v. 21), who says that it was strange how Marcion could have accepted a letter written to one man (Philemon), and have rejected the two to Timothy and the one to Titus.

On the assumption that they were known to Marcion, it is said that he cut and carved the New Testament Scriptures to suit his own views, and that there was therefore nothing strange in his rejecting the Pastorals. But besides rejecting the whole of the New Testament with the exception of ten Epistles of Paul and the Gospel of Luke which he mutilated, Marcion applied the knife to the Pauline Epistles. In view of his reverence for Paul as the only true apostle and representative of Jesus Christ, and for Paul's Epistles as containing the only true gospel,-it is strange that, knowing the Pastorals as Pauline, he should have rejected them en masse, instead of merely altering or abridging them to suit himself. Tatian also rejected the two letters to Timothy, but accepted Titus, because it contained nothing adverse to ascetic practices.
IV. Chronological Considerations. - Was Paul released from his first imprisonment and imprisoned a second time? Can a place be found for the three letters in his recorded history?

It is claimed that Paul was released from prison after his first confinement at Rome (Acts xxviii. 16-31) and that he then continued his missionary labours in Ephesus, Epirus, Macedonia, and Crete : that he was again arrested and imprisoned, and that the second imprisonment was terminated by his execution.

Of this there is no sound historical evidence whatever. The narrative of Acts leaves him in his first confinement. The ordinary course of argument forms a circle. The hypothesis of a second imprisonment can be sustained only by the Pastoral Epistles if they are authentic. Their authenticity can be shown only on that hypothesis. The only evidence adduced for the second imprisonment outside of these letters is, 1. A passage in Clement's Epistle to the Corinthians (V.), as follows: (Paul) "having preached the gospel both in the East and in the West, received the glorious renown due to his faith, having taught righteousness to the whole world, and having come to the boundary of the West, and having borne his testimony before the rulers. Thus he departed out of the world." The main point is having come to the boundary
 that this expression refers to Spain, and that Clement thus records the fulfilment of the apostle's intention stated in Rom. xv. 24, 28. Others, however, hold that it refers to Rome.* Apart from this difference, which it is impossible to settle, the whole statement is general, vague, and rhetorical, and has no historical value.
2. The Muratorian Canon (about 170 A.D.) contains a passage apparently to the effect that Luke relates to Theophilus the things which fell under his own notice, and evidently declares as apart from his purpose the martyrdom of

[^77]Peter; but the departure of Paul setting out from the city to Spain - here the text is mutilated. How the writer intended to complete it can only be guessed. The passage is worthless as evidence. 3. After these two we have nothing until the fourth century, when Eusebius says that there was a tradition that the apostle again set forth to the ministry of his preaching, and having a second time entered the same city of Rome, was perfected by his martyrdom before Nero. That in this imprisonment he wrote the second Epistle to Timothy (H. E. ii. 22, 25). This is all. Jerome merely echoes Eusebius. Eusebius does not mention Spain. History does not show any apostolic foundation in Spain. Neither Irenaeus, Caius, Tertullian, nor Origen allude to such a mission; and although Irenaeus, Tertullian, and Origen mention the death of Paul at Rome, they say nothing of any journeys subsequent to his first arrival there. Dr. McGiffert remarks (note on Euseb. ii. 22, 2) : "The strongest argument against the visit to Spain is the absence of any trace of it in Spain itself. If any church there could have claimed the great apostle to the Gentiles as its founder, it seems that it must have asserted its claim, and the tradition have been preserved at least in that church."

It is also said that 2 Tim. iv. 16, 17 implies that Paul had had a hearing and been discharged and permitted to preach. The assumption is entirely gratuitous. The words may have referred to a hearing during his first captivity, when he was delivered from imminent danger, but not set at liberty.

In short, historical evidence for a release from the first Roman imprisonment, a subsequent missionary activity, and a second imprisonment, is utterly wanting. It seems hardly conceivable that no traces of a renewed ministry should be left in history except these instructions to friends and pupils. If Paul was liberated from his first imprisonment, it is singular that Luke should not have recorded the fact as a triumph of the gospel.

Such being the case, it remains only to find a place for these letters in the recorded ministry of Paul. This cannot be
done. There is no period of that ministry, from Damascus to Rome, into which they will fit.*
V. Style and Diction. - The most formidable objection to the Pauline authorship of these Epistles is furnished by their style and diction, which present a marked contrast with those of the Pauline letters. That the three Pastorals contain 148 words which appear nowhere else in the N. T., and 304 which are not found in Paul's writings, are facts which, by themselves, must not be allowed too much weight. Hapaxlegomena are numerous in the several Pauline Epistles. Second Corinthians has about 90 : Romans and 1st Corinthians each over a hundred: Ephesians about 40. That words like mo入vte入 $\eta^{\prime}$ s and oikovoyós appear in the Pastorals and not in Paul, counts for no more than that o $\lambda_{0}$ тe $\lambda \eta^{\prime}$ s occurs only in 1st Thessalonians, and $\dot{a} \beta a \rho \eta$ 's only in 2d Corinthians.

But we are not dealing with individual letters, but with a group of letters, nearly, if not absolutely, contemporaneous. It is a striking fact that this entire group, closely allied in all its three parts in vocabulary and style, presents, as a whole, such marked variations in these particulars from the accepted Pauline letters. In their lexical peculiarities the Pastorals form a class by themselves.

One who is thoroughly steeped in Paul's style and diction, and who reads these letters out of hand, is at once impressed with the difference from Paul. He feels that he is in a strange rhetorical atmosphere. The sentences have not the familiar ring. The thought does not move with the accustomed rush. The verve of Corinthians and Galatians, the dialectic vigour of Romans, the majesty of Ephesians, are alike wanting. The association of ideas is loose, the construction is not compact, the movement is slow and clumsy. We miss the heavily-

[^78]freighted utterance of Paul. The thought is scanty in proportion to the volume of words; as Holtzmann says: "We miss those characteristic dam-breakings which the construction suffers from the swelling fulness of thought." We miss the frequent anacolutha, the unclosed parentheses, the sudden digressions, the obscurities arising from the headlong impetus of thought and feeling. The construction of sentences is simple, the thoughts are expressed without adornment, everything is according to rule and easy, but without momentum or colour. Strange compounds, great, swelling words, start up in our path: a Pauline thought appears in a strange dress: the voice is the voice of Jacob, but the hands are the hands of Esau.

Some of these unusual compounds, for which the writer has a great liking, occur neither in the N. T. nor in profane Greek. High-sounding words are chosen where simpler terms would have suited the thought better. It seems, occasionally, as if the diction were being employed to pad the meagreness of the thought. A class of words which occur principally in the
 $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \delta \iota a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \in \hat{\imath} \nu, \chi \rho \eta \sigma \pi o \prime s$, and $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, which, in the four principal Epistles alone, Paul uses 71 times. We miss entire families of Pauline words, as è $\lambda \epsilon u ́ \theta \epsilon \rho a \varsigma, \phi \rho o \nu \in i ̂ \nu$, $\pi \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota o s$, èvepreîv, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o^{\prime}$, and the numerous derivatives and compounds growing out of these.

Again, we look in vain for certain expressions most charac-
 $\kappa \alpha \nu \chi \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$, and their kindred words. Still more significant is the fact that the article, which is freely used by Paul before entire sentences, adverbs, interjections, numerals, and especially before the infinitive, is never so employed in the Pastorals. Toû with the infinitive disappears. The prepositions, the conjunctions, and especially the particles are quite differently handled. The lively rò $\rho$ appears oftener in the Epistle to the Galatians than in all the three Pastorals. The movement of the Pauline thought indicated by ${ }^{\prime} \rho \alpha$ and $\alpha{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho a$ oṽv is


accusative, $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi a \nu \tau \ell, \pi \delta \dot{o} \tau \epsilon, \pi \circ \hat{v}, \sigma \dot{v} \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ - none of these appear. There is no trace of Paul's habit of applying different prepositions to the same object in one sentence, for the purpose of sharper definition. See Gal. i. 1; Rom. i. 17.

Similar ideas are differently expressed by Paul and in the Pastorals. Comp. 1 Tim. i. 3 and 2 Cor. xi. 4 ; Gal. i. 6 : 1 Tim. i. 9 and Gal. v. 18, 23; Rom. vi. 14 : 1 Tim. i. 12 and 1 Cor. xii. 28. For Paul's $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ or $\epsilon ่ \pi \iota \pi o \theta \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ the Pastorals give ò $\rho \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. For Paul's ${ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \omega \mu \varsigma$, ä $\mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau о \varsigma$, à $\nu \in ́ \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta-$ $\tau o s$, the Pastorals give ávemi $\lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau o s$ (not elsewhere in N. T.). For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (not elsewhere in N. T.) Paul has $\dot{e}^{\lambda} \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \chi \omega$, though è̀ér $\gamma \chi^{\omega}$ occurs several times in the Pastorals. For $\dot{a} \mu o \iota \beta \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$ (not elsewhere in N. T.) Paul has $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \mu \iota \sigma \theta i a$ or $\dot{a} \nu \tau a \pi-$ ódoots. Paul uses ő ǒtes only adverbially (see 1 Cor. xiv. 25 ; Gal. iii. 21): in the Pastorals it is prefixed to a substantive, and converted into an adjective by means of an article, and is used only in this way, a construction unknown to Paul (see 1 Tim. v. $3,5,16$; vi. 19).

To these should be added expressions in all the three Epistles which indicate a peculiar mode of thought and of literary expression on the part of the writer. Such are $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} s$


 $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ to fight the good fight. Also designations like a ${ }^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$ $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \in ́ \nu o c ~ c o r r u p t ~ m e n ; ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ man of God; constructions like $\delta \iota a \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau} \tau \nu 0 s$ to affirm concerning something; and the introduction of examples by $\dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ of whom are.

Many more might be added to these,* but these are amply sufficient to show the wide gulf which separates the vocabulary and style of these letters from those of Paul.

By way of explaining away these facts we are reminded that these are private letters; but even in his private letters a man does not so entirely abjure his literary peculiarities,

[^79]and the letter to Philemon exhibits no lack of distinctive Pauline characteristics.

It is further urged that Paul's style had developed, and that, in his advanced age, he had lost the vivacity once peculiar to him. One is tempted to smile at the suggestion of a development of style in the easy commonplaces of these Epistles over the nervous vigour of Romans, the racy incisiveness of Galatians and 2d Corinthians, and the majestic richness of Ephesians. As to a decline on account of age, Paul, on this showing, must have aged very rapidly. He styles himself "the aged " in Philem. 9. Colossians was written at the same time with Philemon, and Philippians and Ephesians shortly before or after. The Pastorals (assuming Paul's authorship) cannot have been written more than three or four years later than these ; but the Epistles of the Captivity certainly betray no lack of vigour, and exhibit no signs of senility ; and the differences between these and the Pastorals are far greater than between the former and Paul's earliest letters, written ten years before. The production of an old man may indeed exhibit a lack of energy or a carelessness of style, but an old writer does not abandon his favorite words or his characteristic turns of expression. After following Paul for a dozen years through ten Epistles, all marked by the essential features of his style, one finds it hard to believe that he should suddenly become a writer of an entirely different type, ignoring his own characteristic and favorite modes of expression. Surely the themes treated in the Pastorals would have furnished abundant
 and $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \omega$, which occurs only twice, and in one of these instances is applied to Christ.
VI. As to the character of the teaching, it is possible that the divergence of the teaching and of the Christian ideal of the Pastorals from those of the Pauline Epistles may have been somewhat exaggerated. On a fair construction, the Pastorals may be said to contain the essentials of the Pauline teaching, expressed or implied. More exaggerated, however, is the claim of Godet and Findlay, that the Pastorals repre-
sent an advanced and rounded expression of Pauline teaching, "bringing the doctrines of grace to a rounded fulness and chastened ripeness of expression that warrants us in seeing in them the authentic conclusion of the Pauline gospel of salvation in the mind which first conceived it" (Findlay).

No special pleading can get round the clear difference between the types of Cbristianity and of Christian teaching as set forth in the Pastorals and in the Pauline Epistles; between the modes of presenting the doctrine of salvation and the relative emphasis on its great factors.

The death and resurrection of Christ are matters of allusion rather than central truths. As regards resurrection, the Pastorals resemble the Epistle to the Hebrews. The vital union of the believer with Christ, which is the essence of Paul's Christian ideal, may possibly be implied, but is not emphasised, and certainly does not underlie the Pastoral teaching. The conception of Justification is not sharply defined. Dıcalov̂̀ occurs but twice, and in one of the cases is predicated of Christ ( 1 Tim. iii. 16). The teaching is predominantly ethical. Its two key-notes are practical piety and sound doctrine. 'Evoéßeca piety or godliness plays the part which is borne by $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ faith in the Paulines. Hívots does not occupy the commanding and central position which it does in Paul's teaching. Only in 1 Tim. i. 16; 2 Tim. iii. 15 , does faith clearly appear as the means of the subjective appropriation of salvation. In Tit. iii. 5, just where we should expect it, we do not find faith set sharply over against righteousness by works. Faith is emphasised as confiding acknowledgment of the truth, and sometimes as the virtue of fidelity. See 1 Tim. v. 12 ; Tit. ii. 10. It appears either as one of the cardinal virtues following in the train of cúcéBeta, or as the acknowledgment of the teaching in which є $\dot{\jmath} \sigma \in ́ \beta \in t a$ finds expression.

These Epistles deal much with the character and attributes of God, and exhibit them in terms which are mostly foreign to Paul, such as God our Saviour. This, however, may have been partly due to the false representations of contemporary heresies. I cannot but feel that there is too much truth in vOL. Iv. -13
the remark of Schenkel, that "the image of Christ presented in the Pastorals is indeed composed of Pauline formulas, but is lacking in the Pauline spirit and feeling, in the mystic inwardness, the religious depth and moral force, that live in the Christ of Paul." Still, the Pauline conception appears in the emphasis upon the manhood of Christ (1 Tim. ii. 5 ; 2 Tim. ii. 8), and the clear implication of his preëxistence ( 1 Tim. i. 15 ; iii. 16 ; 2 Tim. i. 10). In 1 Tim. iii. 16 the representation is nearer to that of John.
VII. The Writer's Allusions to himself and his Companions. - Grave suspicions as to the Pauline authorship are awakened by the writer's mode of speaking of himself, and to intimate and trusted companions and disciples like Timothy and Titus. We know how near these two were to him, and how he confided in them (see Philip. ii. 19-22). It is strange that in writing to them he should find it necessary to announce himself formally as an apostle of Jesus Christ (comp. Philemon, $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \cos$ prisoner), just as to the Galatians, who had impugned his apostolic authority, or to the Romans, to whom he was personally a stranger. Such an announcement is singularly out of place in a private letter, even though official. Equally strange is his assuring such friends that he is appointed of God to be a herald of the gospel; that he speaks the truth and does not lie; that he has served God from his fathers with a pure conscience. One might doubt his entire confidence in these trusted ministerial helpers and personal friends, when he feels it incumbent upon him to commend to them the most elementary and self-evident duties, as abstinence from youthful lusts. It is singular that he should exhort Timothy to let no man despise his youth, when Timothy had attended him for at least thirteen years, and must have been a mature man. And if Paul, before writing 1st Timothy and Titus, had recently been with them both ( 1 Tim. i. 3 ; Tit. i. 5), and had given them their commissions by word of mouth, why does he do the same thing so soon after, especially when he is looking forward to a speedy reunion ( 1 Tim. iii. 14; Tit. iii. 12)? Why does
he picture the Cretans in such detail to Titus, who was in the midst of them, and who must have known their characteristics quite as well as himself?
VIII. The Heresies. - Before it can be decisively asserted that the heresies treated in these Epistles are later thą Paul's time, it must be settled what these heresies were, and this, with our present knowledge, is impossible. There are almost as many different views as there are critics. In the Epistles themselves the statements regarding heresies are general and sweeping, and, taken together, do not point to any particular system. It would seem that the writer was assailing, not a particular form of heresy, but a tendency, of which he does not discuss the details. Indeed, the allusions to heresies appear intended principally to point the exhortations to hold fast sound teaching and the instructions concerning church polity, as safeguards against false teaching and immoral practice. The moral developments of the heresies, rather than their doctrinal errors, are treated. Their representatives are wicked men and impostors: they are deceiving and deceived : they are of corrupt mind, destitute of truth, with their consciences seared: they lead captive silly women, laden with sins, led away by divers lusts: they are greedy of gain. At the root of the moral errors there seem to be indicated Gnostic tendencies and Jewish corruptions, and traits akin to those which appear in the Colossian heresy. All of the writer's theology is anti-Gnostic. Individual features of Gnosticism can be recognised, but a consistent reference throughout to Gnosticism cannot be shown.* In any case, it is noticeable how the treatment of heresies and false teachers differs from that of Paul. The treatment in the Pastorals is general, sweeping, vague, and mainly denunciatory. No vital differences between the forms of error and between their teachers are defined, but all are indiscriminately denounced as concerned with foolish and ignorant question-

[^80]ing, disputes about words, strifes about the law, fables, endless genealogies, and profane babblings. This is quite unlike the controversial method of Paul, who defines what he assails, demonstrates its unsoundness, and shows the bearing of the gospel upon it.
IX. Church Polity. - The church polity of the Pastorals is of a later date than Paul. Within the circle of the Pauline Epistles there is no trace of formally constituted church officer's. The greeting to Bishops and Deacons in Philippians is unique, but it does not imply a polity differing substantially from that exhibited in 1st Corinthians and 1st Thessalonians. The greeting is to the church first, and the special mention of Bishops and Deacons by way of appendage is explained by the fact that the letter was called out by the pecuniary contribution of the Philippian church to Paul, of the collection and sending of which these functionaries would naturally have charge. The names Bishop and Deacon designate functions and not official titles. In the formal list, in Eph. iv. 11, of those whom God has set in the church, neither Bishops, Elders, nor Deacons occur; and yet that Epistle was written within a short time of the writing of the Philippian letter. The offices in the Pauline church were charismatic. The warrant of leadership was a divine, spiritual endowment. Paul recognises certain functions as of divine institution; and those functions are assumed in virtue of a special, divine gift in prophecy, speaking with tongues, teaching, healing, or helping, as the case may be (see 1 Cor. xii). There is no recognition of official distinctions, or of formal appointment to definite offices, in the Pauline Epistles. Apostles, prophets, teachers, powers, helps, healings, kinds of tongues, do not represent offices resting on the appointment of the church. The Pastorals recognise Bishops, Deacons, and Presbyters. The recognition of three distinct orders is not as sharp and clear as in the Ignatian Epistles (100-118 A.D.), but the polity is in advance of that of the Pauline churches as set forth in the Epistles of Paul. The Pastorals seem to mark a transition point between the earlier republican
simplicity and the later monarchical tendency. If these letters are the work of Paul before his first imprisonment, their notes of church polity do not consist with those of his other letters written during that period. If they were composed by Paul a few years after his first imprisonment, the period is too early for the change in polity which they indicate.

In view of all these facts, it seems unlikely that these Epistles are the work of Paul. The writer was probably a Pauline Christian in the early part of the second century, who, in view of the doctrinal errors and moral looseness of his age, desired to emphasise the orthodox doctrine of the church, to advocate a definite ecclesiastical polity as a permanent safeguard against error, and to enforce practical rules of conduct. These counsels and warnings he issued in the name of Paul, whose letters he evidently knew, whose character he revered, and whose language he tried to imitate. To this he was, perhaps, moved by the fact that contemporary heretics, in some cases, laid claim to the authority of Paul, and in other cases openly repudiated it. It is probable that he based these letters upon genuine Pauline material - despatches, or fragments of letters to Timothy and Titus, which had fallen into his hands. It may be conceded that the letters have a Pauline nucleus. The writer probably assumed that the addresses of his letters to Timothy and Titus would attract attention and carry weight, since these teachers were representatives of churches.

To stigmatise such a proceeding as forgery is to treat the conditions of that early time from the point of view of our own age. No literary fraud was contemplated by the writer or ascribed to him. The practice of issuing a work in the name of some distinguished person was common, and was recognised as legitimate. A whole class of writings, chiefly apocalyptic, and known as pseudepigraphic or pseudonymous, appeared in the times immediately preceding and succeeding the beginning of the Christian era. Such were the Book of Enoch, the Sibylline Oracles, and the Psalter of Solomon. Precedent was furnished by the Old Testament writings. The Psalmists adopted the names of David, Asaph, and the

Sons of Korah. Neither Samuel nor Ruth nor Esther were supposed to be the authors of the books which bore their names. Koheleth, in the Book of Ecclesiastes, impersonates Solomon, and the Proverbs and the Cauticles both bear his name.

The church of the second century thankfully accepted these three Epistles, and, inferior though they were in spiritual power and richness of idea to the genuine Pauline letters or the Epistle to the Hebrews, incorporated them with these among the New Testament writings. They are valuable in exhibiting to us certain features of post-Pauline Christianity. They testify to the energy and purity of the church's moral impulses as nourished by the religious principles of Christendom. They show us the causes out of which grew the increased emphasis upon authority and external regimen. By their strong attestation of the value of the inheritance from the apostolic age, by their high ethical character, based on religion and exhibiting the moral consequences of the Christian faith, by their emphasis upon the practical rather than the doctrinal edification of the church, upon the significance of the church, and upon the representation of Christianity by Christian personality - they justify their canonisation.
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## THE FIRST EPISTLE TO TIMOTHY.

## CHAPTER I.

1. An apostle of Jesus Christ. This title appears in the salutations of Romans, 1st and 2d Corinthians, Galatians, Ephesians, Colossians. In Philippians, Paul and Timothy the servants of Jesus Christ. Philemon a prisoner. This formal announcement of apostleship is strange in a private letter.

By the commandment of God ( $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau a \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \epsilon o v ̂$ ). The phrase in Rom. xvi. 26. Ká' $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau a \gamma \grave{\nu} \nu$ absolutely, by commandment, 1 Cor. vii. 6; 2 Cor, viii. 8. Paul uses $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta$ $\mu a t o s \theta$ قov̂ by the will of God. See 1 Cor. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 1; Eph. i. 1; Col. i. 1. Comp. 2 Tim. i. 1.

Our Saviour ( $\left.\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s{ }_{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$. Comp. L. i. 47 ; Jude 25. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Six times in the Pastorals. Used of both God and Christ (see Tit. i. 3,4 ; ii. 10,13 ; iii. 4,6 ). The saving of men appears as God's direct will and act, 1 Tim. ii. 4 ; Tit. iii. 5 ; 2 Tim. i. 9 : as Christ's work, 1 Tim. i. 15, comp. 2 Tim. ii. 10. In LXX $\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ occurs twenty times, and in all but two instances, of God.

Jesus Christ which is our hope. The phrase is unique in N. T. Comp. Col. i. 27, where, however, the construction is doubtful. 'Entis hope is predicated of Christ by Ignatius, Eph. xxi; Philad. v. The salutation as a whole has no parallel in Paul.
 More correctly, "my true child in faith." Comp. Tit. i. 4.
 occur in N. T. 'E $\boldsymbol{\nu} \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ or $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \ell$ is not common in Paul; see 1 Cor. xvi. 13; 2 Cor. viii. 7; xiii. 5; Gal. ii. 20; 2 Th. ii. 13. In the Pastorals, nine times. In Paul joined with $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ to live, $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu a \iota$ to be, $\sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ to stand, $\beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{\sigma} \sigma-$
 Philip. ii. 20 ; iv. 3. It means natural, by birth-relation, therefore true or genuine.

Mercy (é $\lambda \epsilon o s$ ). This addition to the usual form of salutation is peculiar to the Pastorals.
3. Even as ( $\kappa a \theta \grave{\omega}$ ). An awkward construction, there being nothing to answer to кä̀s.

To abide ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \mu \hat{i} \nu a l$ ). To continue on. The compound does not occur in Paul, but is found in Acts xi. 23; xiii. 43 ; xviii. 18.

When I went (торєvó $\mu \in \nu 0$ ). Better, was going, or was on my way. The participle cannot refer to Timothy.

Might'st charge ( $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda \eta s$ ). See on Acts i. 4. Very common in Luke and Acts, but not in Paul. In 1st Timothy alone five times.

Some ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu$ ). Note the indefinite designation of the errorists, and comp. ver. 6 ; iv. 1; v. 15, 24 ; vi. 21. The expression is contemptuous. It is assumed that Timothy knows who they are. This is after the Pauline manner. See Gal. i. 7; ii. 12 ; 1 Cor. iv. 18 ; xv. 12; 2 Cor. iii. 1 ; Col. ii. 4, 8.

That they teach no other doctrine ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$ é $\tau \in \rho \circ \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ ). Better, not to teach a different doctrine. For є̇тepos different, see on Gal. i. 6. The verb Past ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. The
charge is not to teach anything contrary to the sound teaching (ver. 10) or irreconcilable with it Comp. Gal. i. 6; 2 Cor. xi. 4 ; Rom. xvi. 17.
4. Give heed ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon(\nu)$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Frequent in LXX and Class. Lit. to hold to. Often with tò vov̂v the mind, which must be supplied here. It means here not merely to give attention to, but to give assent to. So Acts viii. 6; xvi. 14; Heb. ii. 1; 2 Pet. i. 19.

Fables ( $\mu v v^{\prime} 0 \iota \varsigma$ ). Mû $\theta o s$, in its widest sense, means word, speech, conversation or its subject. Hence the tall of men, rumour, report, a saying, a story, true or false; later, a fiction as distinguished from 入óros a historic tale. In Attic prose, commonly a legend of prehistoric Greek times. Thus Plato,
 called myths concerning those in Hades. Only once in LXX, Sir. xx. 19, in the sense of a saying or story. In N. T. only in Pastorals, and 2 Pet. i. 16. As to its exact reference here, it is impossible to speak with certainty. Expositors are hopelessly disagreed, some referring it to Jewish, others to Gnostic fancies. It is explained as meaning traditional supplements to the law, allegorical interpretations, Jewish stories of miracles, Rabbinical fabrications, whether in history or doctrine, false doctrines generally, etc. It is to be observed that $\mu \hat{v} \theta o c$ are called Jewish in Tit. i. 14. In 1 Tim. iv. 7, they are described as profane and characteristic of old wives. In 2 Tim. iv. 4, the word is used absolutely, as here.

Endless genealogies ( $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a \lambda o \gamma i ́ a \iota s$ à $\pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \nu \tau o \iota s$ ). Both words Past ${ }^{\circ}$. For $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a \lambda o \gamma i a\left({ }^{\circ} L X X\right)$ comp. Tit. iii. 9. $\Gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a \lambda a \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ to trace ancestry, only Heb. vii. 6; comp. 1 Chron. v. 1, the only instance in LXX. 'Aтépavtos endless, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Twice in LXX. By some the genealogies are referred to the Gnostic aeons or series of emanations from the divine unity; by others to the O. T. genealogies as interpreted allegorically by Philo, and made the basis of a psy-
chological system, or O. T. genealogies adorned with fables : by others again to genealogical registers proper, used to foster the religious and national pride of the Jews against Gentiles, or to ascertain the descent of the Messiah. 'A $\pi \epsilon \rho$ àtos from à not, and téf ${ }^{\text {as }}$ limit or terminus. Mépas may be taken in the sense of object or aim, so that the adjective here may mean without object, useless. (So Chrysostom, Holtzmann, and von Soden.) Others take it in a popular sense, as describing the tedious length of the genealogies (Alford); and others that these matters furnish an inexhaustible subject of study (Weiss). "Fables and endless genealogies" form a single conception, the $\kappa$ aì and being explanatory, that is to say, and the "endless genealogies" indicating in what the peculiarity of the fables consists.

Which (aitives). Rather the which: inasmuch as they.
Minister ( $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Afford, furnish, give occasion for. Only twice in Paul. Elsewhere mainly in Luke and Acts.

Questions (èк $\left.\kappa \zeta_{\eta \tau \eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma\right)$ Better, questionings. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. The simple $\zeta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{T} \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ in Pastorals, John and Acts. The preposition éc gives the sense of subtle, laborious investigation: inquiring out.

Godly edifying. According to the reading oicodouiay edification. So Vulg. aedificationem. But the correct reading is oikovoulay ordering or dispensation: the scheme or order of salvation devised and administered by God: God's household economy. 'O九кододía is a Pauline word. With the exception of this instance, only in Paul and Luke. See Eph. i. 10 ; iii. 2, 9; Col. i. 25.

Which is in faith ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ). See on ver. 2. Faith is the sphere or element of its operation.
5. The end of the commandment ( $\tau \in \in \lambda o s \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ (as). The article with "commandment" points back to
might'st charge, ver. 3. Rend. therefore, of the charge. Té $\lambda$ os end, aim, that which the charge contemplates.

Love (á $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \pi \pi \eta$ ). See on Gal. v. 22. The questionings, on the contrary, engendered strifes (2 Tim. ii. 23). Love to men is meant, as commonly in N. T. when the word is used absolutely. See Rom. xiii. 10.
 27, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God out of thy whole
 soul," etc. For a pure heart, comp. 2 Tim. ii. 22. Ka $a$ após pure in Paul only Rom. xiv. 20. The phrase a pure heart occurs, outside of the Pastorals only in 2 Pet. i. 22. For sapoía heart see on Rom. i. 21.
 i. 3. इvveí $\delta \eta \sigma \iota$ conscience is common in Paul. See on 1 Pet. iii. 16.

Faith unfeigned ( $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ à avтокрíтоv). 'Аขvто́критоs unfeigned twice in Paul, Rom. xii. 9; 2 Cor. vi. 6, both times as an attribute of love. In Jas. iii. 17, it is an attribute of wisdom, and in 1 Pet. i. 22, of brotherly love. Notice the triad, love, conscience, faith. There is nothing un-Pauline in the association of conscience and faith, although, as a fact, Paul does not formally associate them. In 1 Cor. viii. $7,10,12$, conscience is associated with knowledge.
6. Having swerved (á ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \boldsymbol{\chi} \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ). Past ${ }^{\circ}$. In LXX, Sir. vii. 19 ; viii. 9 . It means to miss the mark.

Have turned aside ( $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \pi \eta \sigma a \nu) .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Comp. 1 Tim. v. 15; vi. 20; 2 Tim. iv. 4 ; Heb. xii. 13.

Vain jangling ( $\mu$ ataıodoyíad). N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX} .{ }^{\circ}$ Class. The word illustrates the writer's fondness for unusual com-
pounds. Jangling is an early English word from the old French jangler, comp. jongleur a teller of tales. Hence jangling is empty chatter. So Chaucer,

> "Them that jangle of love."
> Troil. and Cress. ii. 800.
> "Thus jangle they and demen and devyse."
> Squire's T. 260.

And Piers Ploughman,
"And al day to drynken At diverse tavernes And there to jangle and jape."

Vision, Pass. ii. 1069.
Shakespeare,
" This their jangling I esteem a sport."
Mids. Night's D. iii. 2.
Wiclif, Ex. xvii. 7 (earlier version), uses jangling for wrangling. "And he clepide the name of the place Temptynge for the jangling of the sons of Israel."
7. Desiring ( $\theta$ é $\lambda_{o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma}$ ). The participle is explanatory and confirmatory of the preceding statement: since they desire.

Teachers of the law ( $\nu о \mu о \delta \delta \delta a^{\prime} \sigma \kappa a \lambda o \iota$ ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. It occurs in L. v. 17 and Acts v. 34. Nó $\mu$ os is, apparently, the Mosaic law. These teachers may have been arbitrary interpreters of that law, but in what way, cannot be shown.

Understanding (עooîvтєs). Better, though they understand.
What they say - whereof they affirm (âa $\lambda \in$ 'rovaı - $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ $\tau i \nu \omega \nu \delta \iota a \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota)$. The latter expression is an advance on the former, as appears not only from the verbs themselves, but from the different pronominal expressions. They know not what they say, nor what kind of things they are of which they speak so confidently. The compound $\delta \iota a \beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ to affirm, Past ${ }^{0}$. Comp. Tit. iii. 8. The false teachers announce their errors with assurance.
8. Good (кa入ós). Comp. Rom. vii. 16. Morally excellent and salutary. See on J. x. 11. This is the only instance of $\chi \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ t o ~ u s e ~ w i t h ~ \nu o ́ \mu o s ~ l a w . ~$

Lawfully ( $\nu_{0} \mu(\mu \omega s)$. Past ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXXX. The nature of the proper use of the law - use according to its design - is indicated by the next clause.
9. Knowing (eiठفऽ). The participle is connected with $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is one, a man, in the preceding clause.

Is not made (oủ кєîtal). Lit. is not laid down, set, appointed. Comp. 1 Th. iii. 3. This is the only instance of its use with vó $\mu o s$ law. That usage is frequeut in Class. See, for instance, Thucyd. ii. 37.

Righteous ( $\delta<\kappa a(\omega)$. Morally upright. Not in the Pauline sense of justified by faith. Comp. 2 Tim. ii. 22 ; iii. 16. This appears from the way in which the opposite of righteous is described in the next clause.

Lawless (ả $\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{\partial} \mu o \iota s)$. Recognising no law; a sense which accords better with the following context than not having a law, as 1 Cor. ix. 21.

Disobedient (à àитотáктоцs). Only in Pastorals and Hebrews. Better unruly. Disobedient is too specific. It means those who will not come into subjection. It is closely allied with lawless. In the one case no legal obligation is recognised; in the other, subjection to law is refused.

Ungodly - sinners ( $\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta$ éc $-\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda o i ́ s)$. The same collocation in 1 Pet. iv. 18; Jude 15. See on godliness, 2 Pet. i. 3.
 Past ${ }^{\circ}$. See on holiness, L. i. 75. Bé $\beta \eta \lambda o s$ profane, comp. ch. iv. 7; vi. 20 ; 2 Tim. ii. 16; Heb. xii. 16. The verb
$\beta_{\epsilon} \beta \eta \lambda_{0} \hat{v} \nu$ to profane, Matt. xii. 5 ; Acts xxiv. 6 , and often in LXX. Derived from $\beta \eta \lambda$ ós threshold (comp. $\beta$ aivel to go). Hence the primary sense is that may be trodden. Comp. Lat. profanus before the temple, on the ground outside. What is permitted to be trodden by people at large is unhallowed, profane. Esau is called $\beta \epsilon \in \beta \eta$ дos in Heb. xii. 16, as one who did not regard his birthright as sacred, but as something to be sold in order to supply a common need.

Murderers of fathers-murderers of mothers ( $\pi a \tau \rho о \lambda \dot{\text { @́als }}$ - $\mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \lambda \omega\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { acs }\end{array}\right)$. Both words Past ${ }^{\circ}$. and ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Both in Class. More literally, smiters of fathers and mothers, though used in Class. of parricides and matricides. Derived from $\dot{a} \lambda o a ̂ \nu$ to smite or thresh. The simple verb, 1 Cor. ix. 9, 10.
 Macc. ix. 28.
10. Them that defile themselves with mankind ( $\dot{a} \rho \sigma \in \nu 0-$ коі́таєs). Only here and 1 Cor. vi. 9. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Class}$.

Menstealers (à $\nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \iota \sigma \tau a i ̂)$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Ellicott remarks that this is a repulsive and exaggerated violation of the eighth commandment, as $\dot{a} \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu о к о \tau \epsilon i ้ \nu$ is of the seventh. The penalty of death is attached to it, Ex. xxi. 16.

Perjured persons (e่ $\pi \iota o ̛ \rho к о \iota s) . ~ N . ~ T . ~ . ~ . ~ O n c e ~ i n ~ L X X, ~$ Zech. v. 3. See Lev. xix. 12.

Is contrary to (ả $\nu \tau$ tкeltal). Lit. lies opposite to. Used by Paul and Luke. See L. xiii. 17; Gal. v. 17.

The sound doctrine ( $\left.\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\imath} \gamma \iota a \iota \nu o v \sigma_{\eta} \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i ́ a\right)$ ). A phrase peculiar to the Pastorals. ' $\Upsilon$ rıaivel to be in good health, L. v. 31 ; vii. 10 ; 3 J. 2. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Quite frequent in LXX, and invariably in the literal sense. Often in salutations or dismissals. See 2 Macc. i. 10 ; ix. 19; 2 Sam. xiv. 8 ; Ex. iv. 18. In the Pastorals, the verb, which occurs eight times, is six
times associated with $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i ́ a ~ t e a c h i n g, ~ o r ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota ~ w o r d s, ~ a n d ~$ twice with $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ or $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ in the faith. The sound teaching (comp. $\delta \iota \delta a \chi$ ń teaching, 2 Tim. iv. 2; Tit. i. 9) which is thus commended is Paul's, who teaches in Christ's name and by his authority ( 2 Tim. i. 13 ; ii. 2, 8). In all the three letters it is called $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ or $\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \varepsilon a$ the truth, the knowledge (è $\pi$ í $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$ ) of which is bound up with salvation. See 1 Tim. ii. 4; 2 Tim. ii. 25; iii. 7; Tit. i. 1. As truth it is sound or healthful. It is the object of faith. To be sound in the faith is, practically, to follow (таракодоveєiv) sound teaching or the truth. The subjective characteristis of Christians is $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \in \beta \epsilon \iota a$ or $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \in ́ \beta \epsilon \iota a$ godliness or piety ( 1 Tim. ii. 2,10 ; iii. 16 ; iv. 7,8 ; vi. 6,11 ); and the teaching and knowledge of the truth are represented as $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ єúré $\beta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ according to godliness (1 Tim. vi. 3; Tit. i. 1). Comp. єủat$\beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ to show piety, 1 Tim. v. 4; єv̉ $\sigma \beta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}$ 乌 $\hat{\eta} \nu$ to live godly, 2
 lead a life in all godliness, 1 Tim. ii. 2. The contents of this sound teaching which is according to godliness are not theoretical or dogmatic truth, but Christian ethics, with faith and love. See 1 Tim. i. 14; ii. 15; iv. 12; vi. 11; 2 Tim. i. 13 ; iii. 10; Tit. ii. 2. 'A $\lambda^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon \iota a}$ truth is used of moral things, rather than in the high religious sense of Paul. Comp., for instance, Rom. iii. 7; ix. 1; 1 Cor. v. 8; 2 Cor. iv. 2; xi. 10 ; Gal. ii. 5 ; Eph. iv. 21, 24 ; and 2 Tim. ii. 25, 26 ; iii. 7 (comp. vv. 1-9) ; iv. 3, 4; Tit. i. 12 (comp. vv. 11, 15) ; Tit. ii. 4 (comp. vv. 1, 3); Tit. iii. 1. Whoever grasps the truth has faith (2 Tim. i. 13 ; ii. 18 ; iii. 8 ; Tit. i. 3 f.). That the ethical character of faith is emphasised, appears from the numerous expressions regarding the false teachers, as 1 Tim. i. 19 ; iv. $1 ;$ v. 8,12 ; vi. 10,21 . There is a tendency to objectify faith, regarding it as something believed rather than as the act of believing. See 1 Tim. i. 19; iv. 1; vi. 10, 21; Tit. i. 4. In comparing the ideal of righteousness (ver. 9) with that of Paul, note that it is not denied that Christ is the source of true righteousness; but according to Paul, the man who is not under the law is the man who lives by faith in Christ. Paul emphasises this. It is faith in Christ which vol. 1v.- 14
sets one free from the law. Here, the man for whom the law is not made (ver. 9) is the man who is ethically conformed to the norm of sound teaching. The two conceptions do not exclude each other: the sound teaching is according to the gospel (ver. 11), but the point of emphasis is shifted.
11. According to. The connection is with the whole foregoing statement about the law and its application, ver. 9 ff. The writer substantiates what he has just said about the law, by a reference to the gospel. Comp. Rom. ii. 16.

The glorious gospel of the blessed God (cò eviayरé $\lambda \iota o \nu$
 glory, etc. The phrase as a whole has no parallel in N. T. The nearest approach to it is 2 Cor. iv. 4. Gospel of God is a Pauline phrase; but $\mu$ aкd́pıos blessed is not used of God by Paul, nor elsewhere outside of the Pastorals, where it occurs twice, here and ch. vi. 15. For blessed see on Matt. v. 3. The appearing of the glory of God in Jesus Christ is the contents of the gospel. Comp. Tit. ii. 13.
 Or, with which I was intrusted. Comp. Tit. i. 3; Rom. iii. 2 ; 1 Cor. ix. 17; Gal. ii. 7; 1 Th. ii. 4. The éý $I$ emphatically asserts the authority of Paul against the "teachers of the law " (ver. 7).
12. Hath enabled ( $̇ v \delta v \nu a \mu \omega \sigma \sigma a \nu \tau \iota)$. An unclassical word, found in Paul and Acts. See Acts ix. 22 ; Philip. iv. 13. Three times in the Pastorals.

Counted ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau 0$ ). A common Pauline word.
Putting ( $\theta \in ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ). Better, appointing. The participle defines counted me faithful. He counted me faithful in that he appointed, etc.

Into the ministry ( $\epsilon$ is $\delta$ oaкovíav). Better, appointing me to his service. The conventional phrase "the ministry" gives a
wrong impression. The term is general, covering every mode of service, either to God or to men. $\Delta$ táкovoc ministers is used of the secular ruler, Rom. xiii. 4. See also 1 Cor. xii. 5 ; xvi. 15; 2 Cor. iii. 7, 8 ; Eph. iv. 12, and on minister, Matt. xx. 26.
13. Blasphemer - persecutor - injurious ( $\beta \lambda{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \phi \eta \mu o \nu$ $\left.\delta \iota \omega \kappa \tau \eta \nu-\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu\right)$. Neither $\beta \lambda a \dot{\sigma} \phi \eta \mu \circ$ nor $\delta \iota \omega \in \kappa \tau \eta s$ is used by Paul. B $\lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \phi \eta \mu o s$ in Acts vii. 11; 2 Pet. ii. 11; $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \kappa \tau \eta s$ N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.; $\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}$ s in Rom. i. 30 only ; often in LXX. See on blasphemy, Mk. vii. 22, and comp. 1 Cor. x. 30. ' $\Upsilon \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ is one whose insoleuce and contempt of others break forth in wanton and outrageous acts. Paul was $\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta j^{\prime}$ when he persecuted the church. He was $\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \theta$ ei's shamefully entreated at Philippi (1 Th. ii. 2). Christ prophesies that the Son of man shall be shamefully entreated ( $\dot{\imath} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta_{\eta} \sigma \tau a \iota$, L. xviii. 32). Similar regretful references of Paul to his former career appear in Acts xxii. 4 ; Gal. i. 13, 23. Such a passage may have occurred in some Pauline letters to which this writer had access, or it may be an imitation.

1 obtained mercy ( $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ ). Comp. ver. 16. In speaking of his conversion, Paul uses $\chi^{a} \rho ı s$ grace. See ver. 14, and comp. 1 Cor. xv. 10 ; Gal. i. 15. In referring to his call to the apostleship he speaks of himself as one who has obtained mercy ( $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \eta \mu$ évos) of the Lord to be faithful. 1 Cor. vii. 25 ; comp. 2 Cor. iv. 1.
14. Was exceeding abundant (ije $\frac{1}{} \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{d} \nu a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). Or abounded exceedingly. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Paul is fond of compounds with $\dot{u} \pi \dot{e} \rho$, which, with a few exceptions, are found only in his writings. In the Pastorals there are only three. See 1 Tim. ii. 2; 2 Tim. iii. 2.

With faith. For faith as treated in the Pastorals, see Introduction, and sound doctrine, ver. 10.
15. This is a faithful saying ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s) . ~ B e t t e r, ~$ faithful is the saying. A favourite phrase in these Epistles. ${ }^{\circ}$ P. See 1 Tim. iii. 1 ; iv. 9 ; 2 Tim. ii. 11 ; Tit. iii. 8.

Worthy of all acceptation ( $\pi \dot{a} \sigma \eta s \dot{a} \pi \sigma \delta o \chi \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \xi l o s$ ). The phrase only here and ch. iv. 9. 'A $\pi 0 \delta o \chi \eta$ ' Past ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Comp. Acts ii. 41, ámoঠє $\xi \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota ~$ тò̀ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma o \nu$ received his word. Пá $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { or every } \\ & \text { describes the reception of which the saying }\end{aligned}$ is worthy as complete and excluding all doubt.
 is unique in the Pastorals, and does not appear in Paul. It is Johannine. See J. i. 9; iii. 19; xi. 27 ; xii. 46.

To save sinners ( $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda o v_{s} \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ ). The thought is Pauline, but not the phrase. See L. ix. 56 ; xix. 10.

Chief ( $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \varsigma) . \quad$ Or foremost. Comp. 1 Cor. xv. 9, and Eph. iii. 8. This expression is an advance on those.
16. First ( $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega)$. Not the chief sinner, but the representative instance of God's longsuffering applied to a highhanded transgressor. It is explained by pattern.
 rectly, "all his longsuffering." The A. V. misses the possessive force of the article. For longsuffering see on be patient, Jas. v. 7. The form ätas occurs as an undisputed reading only once in Paul, Eph. vi. 13, and not there as an adjective. Often in Acts and Luke. This use of the article with the adjective $\pi \hat{a} s$ or $\ddot{a} \pi a s$ is without parallel in Paul.

Pattern (íтотúтшб८v). Or, ensample. Only here and 2 Tim. i. 13. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. An example of the writer's fondness for high-sounding compounds. Paul uses tútos.

To them. The A. V. conveys the sense more clearly than Rev. "of them," which is ambiguous. The genitive has a possessive sense. He would be their ensample, or an ensample for their benefit.

Believe ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ). This verb, so frequent in Paul, occurs six times in the Pastorals. In two instances, 1 Tim. i. 11;

Tit. i. 3, it is passive, in the sense of to be intrusted with. Here in the Pauline sense of believing on Christ. In 1 Tim. iii. 16, passive, of Christ believed on in the world. In 2 Tim. i. 12, of God the Father, in whom the writer confides to keep the trust committed to him. In Tit. iii. 8, of belief in God. With émi upon and the dative, Rom. ix. 33 ; x. 11; 1 Pet. ii. 6 (all citations), and Rom. iv. 18 ; L. xxiv. 25.

Unto life everlasting ( $\epsilon$ is $\zeta \omega \grave{\eta} \nu$ aíćv$\iota o \nu$ ). Better, eternal life. See additional note on $2 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{i} .9$. The conception of life eternal is not limited to the future life (as von Soden). Godliness has promise of the life which now is, as well as of that which is to come ( $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{iv} .8$ ). The promise of eternal life (2 Tim. i. 1) and the words who brought life and immortality to light through the gospel (2 Tim. i. 10) may fairly be taken to cover the present life.
17. King eternal ( $\beta a \sigma \backslash \lambda \epsilon \hat{L} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a i \omega ่ \nu \omega \nu)$. Lit. the king of the ages. Only here and Apoc. xv. 3. Comp. Heb. i. 2; xi. 3. In LXX, Tob. vi. 10. For kindred expressions in LXX, see Ex. xv. 18; 1 Sam. xiii. 13; Ps. ix. 7; xxviii. 10; lxxiii. 12 ; cxliv. 13 ; cxlv. 10 . See also additional note on 2 Th. i. 9.

Immortal (aं $\phi \theta \dot{a} \rho \tau \varphi)$. Lit. incorruptible. In Paul, applied to God only, Rom. i. 23.

The only wise God ( $\mu \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ ). Wise should be omitted. Rend. the only God. इoф@̣ wise was interpolated from Rom. xvi. 27 - the only instance in which Paul applies the term to God. Comp. Jude 4, 25 ; L. v. 21 ; J. v. 44.

Honor and glory ( $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa a i \delta^{\prime} \xi a$ ). This combination in doxology only here and Apoc. v. 12, 13. Comp. Apoc. iv. 9. In doxologies Paul uses only dóga glory, with the article, the glory, and with to whom or to him (be).

Forever and ever ( $\epsilon$ 's $\tau o v ̀ s ~ a i ̂ ̂ \nu a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a i \omega ̀ \nu \omega \nu$ ). Lit. unto the aeons of the aeons. The formula in Paul, Rom. xvi. 27; Gal. i. 5 ; Philip. iv. 20. Also in Hebrews and 1st Peter, and often in Apoc. The doxology as a whole is unique in N. T.
18. This charge ( $\tau a \cup ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a \nu$ ). See on ver. 5. It refers to what follows, that thou might'st war, etc.

I commit (тapat $\left(\theta_{\epsilon} \mu a l\right)$. The verb in the active voice means to place beside. In the middle, to deposit or intrust. Only once in Paul, 1 Cor. x. 27. Comp. 1 Pet. iv. 19.

According to the prophecies which went before on thee
 to with I commit: which went before is to be taken absolutely, and not with on thee: const. prophecies with on thee. On thee means concerning thee. The sense of the whole passage is: "I commit this charge unto thee in accordance with prophetic intimations which I formerly received concerning thee." Prophecy is ranked among the foremost of the special spiritual endowments enumerated by Paul. See Rom. xii. 6; 1 Cor. xii. 10 ; xiii. 2,8 ; xiv. 6 , 22 . In 1 Cor. xii. 28 ; Eph. iv. 11, prophets come next after apostles in the list of those whom God has appointed in the church. In Eph. ii. 20, believers, Jew and Gentile, are built upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets. According to 1 Tim. iv. 14, prophecy has previously designated Timothy as the recipient of a special spiritual gift; and the prophecies in our passage are the single expressions or detailed contents of the prophecy mentioned there. חpoareî to go before is not used by Paul. In the Pastorals and Hebrews it appears only as an intransitive verb, and so in the only instance in Luke, xviii. 39. In Acts always transitive, to bring forth. See Acts xii. 6; xvi. 30 ; xvii. 5 ; xxv. 26.

That by them (ìva ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ aúraîs). ${ }^{\circ}$ I $\nu a$ that denoting the purport of the charge. By them ( $\epsilon \nu$ ), lit. in them; in their sphere, or, possibly, in the power of these.

Thou mightest war a good warfare ( $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \cup \dot{\eta}$ — $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ oтрate(av). More correctly, the good warfare. $\Sigma_{\tau \rho a \tau \epsilon}$ (a warfare once by Paul, 2 Cor. x. 4. Not fight ( $\mu a^{\prime} \chi \eta \nu$ ), but covering all the particulars of a soldier's service.
19. Holding ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega \nu\right)$. Not merely having, but holding fast, as in 2 Tim. i. 13.

Faith and a good conscience ( $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa a i ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon i-$ $\delta \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$. The phrase good conscience is not in Paul, although $\sigma v \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta \sigma t s$ is a Pauline word. The phrase appears once in Acts (xxiii. 1), and twice in 1 Pet. (iii. 16, 21). In Hebrews evil ( $\pi a \nu \eta \rho a ̂ s$ ) conscience and fair ( $\kappa a \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ) conscience; x. 22; xiii. 18. The combination faith and good conscience is peculiar to the Pastorals. Comp. 1 Tim. iii. 9.

Which ( $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ ). Referring to good conscience.
Having put away ( $\dot{a} \pi \omega \sigma \sigma \dot{d} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ ). The A. V. is not strong enough. Better, having thrust from them. It implies wilful violence against conscience. Twice in Paul, Rom. xi. 1, 2, and three times in Acts.

Concerning faith have made shipwreck ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ èvavárच $\quad$ avi). Better, "concerning the faith made shipwreck." For a similar use of $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ concerning, see Acts xix. 25 ; L. x. 40 ; 1 Tim. vi. 21; 2 Tim. ii. 18; iii. 8. It is noteworthy that $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ with the accusative occurs only once in Paul (Philip. ii. 23). Navareîl to make shipwreck only here and 2 Cor. xi. 25. Nautical metaphors are rare in Paul's writings.
20. Hymenaeus and Alexander. Comp. 2 Tim. ii. 17 ; iv. 14.

I have delivered unto Satan ( $\pi a \rho \in ́ \delta \omega \kappa a$ т̣̣̂ इa $a \tau a \nu \hat{a}$ ). See on 1 Cor. v. 5.

They may learn ( $\pi a \iota \delta \in v \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ). Neither A. V. nor Rev. gives the true force of the word, which is, may be taught by punishment or disciplined. See on Eph. vi. 4.

## CHAPTER II.

1. I exhort (таракал $\hat{\omega}$ ). See on consolation, L. vi. 24.

First of all ( $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} o \nu \quad \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu)$. Connect with $I$ exhort. The only instance of this phrase in N. T.

Supplications be made ( $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta a \iota \delta \epsilon \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ). The phrase occurs L. v. 33 ; Philip. i. 4. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. $\Delta$ é $\eta \sigma \iota s$ is petitionary prayer. חрoбधuरो prayer is limited to prayer to God, while $\delta$ énous may be addressed to men. The two are associated, 1 Tim. v. 5 : the inverse order, Eph. vi. 18; Philip. iv. 6.
 2 Macc. iv. 8. The verb $\epsilon \nu \tau v \gamma \chi^{\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ c o m m o n l y ~ r e n d e r e d ~ t o ~}$ make intercession, Rom. viii. 27,34 ; xi. 2 ; and $i \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \tau v \gamma \chi{ }^{\text {ável }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ to intercede in behalf of, Rom. viii. 26. The verb signifies to fall in with a person; to draw near so as to converse familiarly. Hence, $\neq \ell \tau \epsilon v \xi \iota s$ is not properly intercession iu the accepted sense of that term, but rather approach to God in free and familiar prayer. 'E $\nu_{\tau} v \gamma \chi^{a} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the passages cited is not to make intercession, but to intervene, interfere. Thus in Rom. viii. 26, it is not that the Spirit pleads in our behalf, but that he throws himself into our case; takes part in it. So Heb. vii. 25 : not that Jesus is ever interceding for us, but that he is eternally meeting us at every point, and intervening in all our affairs for our benefit. In év $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \dot{\prime} \xi \in \epsilon$, here the idea of interposition is prominent : making prayers a factor in relations with secular rulers.
2. Kings ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ). In Paul only 2 Cor. xi. 32.

That are in authority ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \chi \hat{\eta}{ }^{\circ} \nu \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). ' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ authority only here and 1 Cor. ii. 1. Several times in LXX. Originally, projection, prominence: metaphorically, preëminence, superiority. In Byzantine Greek, a little like our Excel-
lency. This very phrase is found in an inscription of the early Roman period, after 133 b.c., at Pergamum. Paul has
 and oi $\dot{\cup} \pi \epsilon \rho \in \dot{\chi} \chi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$ those in high places is found Wisd. vi. 5.

We may lead ( $\delta t a ́ \gamma \omega \omega \mu \nu)$. Past ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. Tit. iii. 3.

 tranquil, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Only here and 1 Pet. iii. 4. In LXX once, Isa. lxvi. 2. "H $\rho \in \mu$ os denotes quiet arising from the absence of outward disturbance: $\dot{\eta} \sigma$ ó $\chi$ cos tranquillity arising from within. Thus, à $\nu \dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \tilde{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\circ o s}$ is the composed, discreet, selfcontained man, who keeps himself from rash doing: $\eta \rho \in \mu$ оs $\dot{a} \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho$ is he who is withdrawn from outward disturbances. Hence, $\eta^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \mu$ os here may imply keeping aloof from political agitations and freedom from persecutions.

Honesty ( $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{o} \tau \eta \tau \iota$ ). Better, gravity. Honesty, according to the modern acceptation, is an unfortunate rendering. In earlier English it signified becoming deportment, decency, decorum. So Shakespeare: "He is of a noble strain, of approved valour and confirmed bonesty" (Much Ado, ii. 1). This noun and the kindred adjective $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \partial{ }^{\circ}$ only in the Pastorals, except Philip. iv. 8. The adjective signifies reverend or venerable; exhibiting a dignity which arises from moral elevation, and thus invites reverence. In LXX it is used to characterise the name of God (2 Macc. viii. 15) ; the Sabbath (2 Macc. vi. 11) ; the divine laws (2 Macc. vi. 28); the words of wisdom (Prov. viii. 6) ; the words of the pure (Prov. xv. 26).

Godliness ( $\epsilon \dot{u} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon(\underset{\sim}{c})$ ). See on 1 Pet. i. 3, and sound doctrine, 1 Tim. i. 10. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Mostly in the Pastorals.
 acceptation, ch. i. 15, and Paul's єu̇т $\rho o ́ \sigma \delta є \kappa т о s ~ a c c e p t a b l e, ~ R o m . ~$ xv. 16, 31; 2 Cor. vi. 2; vii. 12.
4. Who will have all men to be saved (ồs $\pi a ́ v \tau a s \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega_{-}$ mous $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l)$. Lit. who willeth all men, etc. Os who, or seeing that he, giving the ground of the previous statement. Prayer to God for all is acceptable to him, because he wills the salvation of all. ©é $\overline{\epsilon \epsilon}$ willeth, marking a determinate purpose.

Come to the knowledge of the truth ( $\epsilon i \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{e} \pi \boldsymbol{i}_{i} / \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ $\left.\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon} i a s \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \in i ̂ \nu\right)$. The phrase only here and 2 Tim. iii. 7. 'E $\pi i \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$ is a favorite Pauline word. See on Rom. iii. 20; Col. i. 9; 1 Tim. ii. 4 ; iv. 3. It signifies advanced or full knowledge. The difference between the simple $\gamma \nu \omega ิ \sigma \iota s$ and the compound word is illustrated in Rom. i. 21, 28, and 1 Cor. xiii. 12. In N. T. always of the knowledge of things ethical or divine, and never ascribed to God. For á $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a$ truth, see on sound doctrine, ch. i. 10. It appears 14 times in the Pastorals, and always without a defining genitive. So, often in Paul, but several times with a defining genitive, as truth of God, of Christ, of the gospel. The logical relation in the writer's mind between salvation and the knowledge of the truth is not quite clear. Knowledge of the truth may be regarded as the means of salvation, or it may be the ideal goal of the whole saving work. See 1 Cor. xiii. 12; Philip. iii. 8; J. xvii. 3. The latter is more in accord with the general drift of teaching in these Epistles.
5. For ( $\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ ). The universality of the grace is grounded in the unity of God. Comp. Rom. iii. 30. One divine purpose for all implies one God who purposes.

One God. These Epistles deal much with the divine attributes. See 1 Tim. i. 17 ; vi. 13, 15, 16; iii. 15 ; iv. 10 ; 2 Tim. ii. 13; Tit. i. 2.

Mediator ( $\mu \in \sigma i ́ \eta \eta$ ). See on Gal. iii. 19. The word twice in Paul, Gal. iii. 19, 20, once of Moses and once generally. In Hebrews always of Christ; viii. 6 ; ix. 15 ; xii. 24. This is the only instance in the Pastorals. As the one God, so
the one mediator implies the extension of the saving purpose to all.

The man Christ Jesus. The phrase only here.
6. Who gave himself ( ${ }^{\dot{c}}$ Soùs éavtò $\nu$ ). The phrase with the simple verb only here, Gal. i. 4, and Tit. ii. 14. Paul uses the compound verb mapaסiסóval, Gal. ii. 20 ; Eph. v. 2, 25. Comp. Rom. viii. 32.

Ransom (ả $\nu \tau i \lambda u \tau \rho o \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX} .{ }^{\circ}$ Class. $\Lambda u ́ \tau \rho o \nu$ ransom, Matt. xx. 28; Mk. x. 45, applied to Christ's life given for many. But neither this nor any of its kindred
 means the act not the means of redemption.
 See on Rom. v. 6. Any idea of exchange or substitution which may be implied, resides in á $\nu \tau i \lambda u \tau \rho o \nu$; but it is pressing that unique word too far to find in it the announcement of a substitutional atonement.*

To be testified in due time (cò $\mu$ aptúpıo кalpoîs ioíoıs). Lit. (gave himself a ransom) the testimony in its own times. That is, the gift of Christ as a ransom was to be the substance or import of the testimony which was to be set forth in its proper seasons. Thus $\mu a \rho \tau$ úpıov testimony is in apposition with the whole preceding sentence, and not with ransom only. Maptúpoo is used sometimes simply as witness or testimony (Matt. viii. 4; Mk. vi. 11) : sometimes specially of the proclamation of the gospel, as Matt. xxiv. 14; Acts
 witness, as eye or ear witnesses of the sayings, deeds, and sufferings of Jesus (1 Cor. xv. 15). In 1 Cor. i. 6, $\mu$ aptúpıò тov $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ is practically $=$ the gospel. In 2 Th. i. 10, тò $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \iota o \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\text { é }}{ } \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma$ our testimony among you is our public attestation of the truth of the gospel. The idea of witness

[^81]is a favorite one with John. See J. i. 7. The exact phrase кalpoîs idiots in its own times, only in the Pastorals, here, ch. vi. 15 ; Tit. i. 3 . In Gal. vi. 9 каь $\rho \hat{̣}$ i $\delta i \not \epsilon \omega$ in due time. Comp. Gal. iv. 4.
 See on J. xv. 16.

A preacher ( $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi)$. Lit. a herald. See on 2 Pet. ii. 5. Paul does not use the noun, but the kindred verb $\kappa \eta \rho \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ to proclaim or preach is very common in his writings. See Rom. x. 8; 1 Cor. i. 23; 2 Cor. iv. 5 ; Philip. i. 15, etc.

I speak the truth in Christ and lie not. Omit in Christ. A strange asseveration to an intimate and trusted friend. Apparently an imitation of Rom. ix. 1.

A teacher of the Gentiles ( $\delta \iota \delta a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa a \lambda o s \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Paul doesnot use this phrase. He expressly distinguishes between teacher and apostle. See 1 Cor. xii. 28; Eph. iv. 11. Hecalls himself $\stackrel{\dot{e}}{ } \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi \dot{o}^{\sigma} \sigma \tau o \lambda o s ~ a p o s t l e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ G e n t i l e s ~(R o m . ~ . ~$
 Jesus to the Gentiles (Rom. xv. 16); and $\delta \dot{́} \sigma \mu \mathrm{los}$ тồ $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{-}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{~} \dot{\cup} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ prisoner of Jesus Christ for you. Gentiles (Eph. iii. 1).

In faith and verity (év $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha i ̀ a ̀ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{l a}$ ). Or faith and truth. The combination only here. Paul has sincerity and truth (1 Cor. v. 8), and sanctification of the Spirit and faith of the truth (2 Th. ii. 13). The phrase must not be explained in true faith, nor faithfully and truly. It means that faith and truth are the element or sphere in which the apostolic function is discharged : that he preaches with a sincere faith in the gospel, and with a truthful representation of the gospel which he believes.
8. I will ( $\beta$ oú $\lambda o \mu a \iota$ ). Better, I desire. See on Matt. i. 9 , and comp. Philip. i. 12. Paul's word is $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega$ I will. See Rom. xvi. 19 ; 1 Cor. vii. 32 ; x. 20 ; xiv. 5 , 19 , etc.

Everywhere (èv $\pi a \nu \tau i ̀ t o ́ \pi \omega)$ ). Lit. in every place. Wherever Christian congregations assemble. Not every place indiscriminately.

Lifting up holy hands (èmaípoytas órtovs $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a s$ ). The phrase is unique in N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Among Orientals the lifting up of the hands accompanied taking an oath, blessing, and prayer. The custom passed over into the primitive church, as may be seen from the mural paintings in the catacombs. See Clement, Ad Corinth. xxix, which may possibly be a reminiscence of this passage. The verb $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a l \rho \epsilon \ell \nu$ to raise, twice in Paul, 2 Cor. x. 5 ; xi. 20 ; but often in Luke. ${ }^{\text {'O O }}$ ious holy, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. See on L. i. 75.
 $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. The combination only here. 'O $\rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$ is used by Paul mostly of the righteous anger and the accompanying judgment of God against sin. As here, only in Eph. iv. 31; Col. iii. 8. $\Delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime} s$ in N. T. habitually in the plural, as here. The only exception is L. ix. 46, 47. By Paul usually in the sense of disputatious reasoning. It may also mean sceptical questionings or criticisms, as Philip. ii. 14. So probably here. Prayer, according to our writer, is to be without the element of sceptical criticism, whether of God's character and dealings, or of the character and behaviour of those for whom prayer is offered.
9. In like manner ( $\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ \tau \omega \varsigma$ ). The writer's thought is still running upon the public assemblies for worship.
 Of female adornment, 1 Pet. iii. 5; Apoc. xxi. 2. In Matt. xxv. 7, of trimming the lamps. From кoo $\mu$ o's order, so that the primary meaning is to arrange. Often in LXX and Class. Prominent in the writer's mind is the attire of women in church assemblies. Paul treats this subject 1 Cor. xi. 5 ff.
 N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Once in LXX, Isa. lxi. 3. Opinions differ as to
the meaning．Some apparel，others guise or deportment $=$ $\kappa а т a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ demeanour，Tit．ii．3．There seems，on the whole， to be no sufficient reason for departing from the rendering of A．V．and Rev．＊Kor $\mu i\left(\varphi\right.$ modest，seemly，Past ${ }^{\circ}$ ．Note the word－play，$\kappa о \sigma \mu \epsilon i \nu ~ \kappa о \sigma \mu i \varphi$ ．

With shamefacedness and sobriety（ $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ aiôov̂s кal．
 incorrect reading）．In earlier Greek，as in Homer，it some－ times blends with the sense of aij$\chi \dot{v} \nu \eta$ shame，though used also of the feeling of respectful timidity in the presence of superiors，or of penitent respect toward one who has been wronged（see Homer，Il．i．23）．Hence it is connected in Homer with military discipline（ $\Pi . v .531$ ）．It is the feel－ ing of a suppliant or an unfortunate in the presence of those

[^82]from whom he seeks aid; of a younger man toward an older and wiser one. It is a feeling based upon the sense of deficiency, inferiority, or unworthiness. On the other hand, it is the feeling of a superior in position or fortune which goes out to an unfortunate. See Homer, Il. xxiv. 208; Od. xiv. 388 ; Soph. Oed. Col. 247. In the Attic period, a distinction was recognised between aio $\chi{ }^{v} \nu \eta$ and aióćs : ai $i \delta \dot{s} s$ representing a respectful and reverent attitude toward another, while ai $\sigma \chi$ úv $\eta$ was the sense of shame on account of wrongdoing. Thus, "one aideital is respectful to his father, but
 (N.T. Synon. § xix.) remarks that "aiסós is the nobler word and implies the nobler motive. In it is involved an innate moral repugnance to the doing of the dishonorable act, which moral repugnance scarcely or not at all exists in the ai $\sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$. Let the man who is restrained by aioxivi alone be insured against the outward disgrace which he fears his act will entail, and he will refrain from it no longer." $\dagger$ The A.V. shamefacedness is a corruption of the old English shamefastness. So Chaucer :
"Schamefast chastite."
Knight's T. 2057.
Shakespeare:
"'Tis a blushing shamefast spirit that mutinies in a man's bosom."
Richard III. i. 4.
It is one of a large class of words, as steadfast, soothfast, rootfast, masterfast, handfast, bedfast, etc. Shamefaced changes and destroys the original force of the word, which was bound or made fast by an honourable shame. $\Sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v ́ v \eta$ sobriety, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Once in Acts, xxvi. 25. The kindred verb $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v \in i ̂ \nu ~ t o ~ b e ~ o f ~ s o u n d ~ m i n d, ~ R o m . ~ x i i . ~ 3 ; ~ 2 ~ C o r . ~ v . ~ 13 ; ~ ; ~$

[^83]Tit．ii．6．Several representatives of this family of words appear in the Pastorals，and with the exception of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma-$ úv $\eta$ and $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i v$, nowhere else in N．T．Such are $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu-$ i $\zeta \in \iota \nu$ to be soberminded（Tit．ii．4）；$\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \iota \sigma \mu$ ós discïpline （2 Tim．i．7）；$\sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \varsigma$ soberly（Tit．ii．12）；$\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ sober－ minded（1 Tim．iii．2）．The word is compounded of $\sigma$ áos or $\sigma \hat{\omega} s$ safe，sound，and $\phi \rho \eta^{\prime} \nu$ mind．It signifies entire com－ mand of the passions and desires；a self－control which holds the rein over these．So Aristotle（Rhet．i．9）：＂The virtue by which we hold ourselves toward the pleasures of the body as the law enjoins．＂Comp． 4 Macc．i．31．Euripides calls it＂the fairest gift of the gods＂（Med．632）．That it ap－ pears so rarely in N．T．is，as Trench remarks，＂not because more value was attached to it in heathen ethics than in Christian morality，but because it is taken up and trans－ formed into a condition yet higher still，in which a man does not command himself，which is well，but，which is better still，is commanded by God．＂The words with shamefastness and sobriety may either be taken directly with adorn them－ selves，or better perhaps，as indicating moral qualities accom－ panying（ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ with）the modest apparel．Let them adorn themselves in modest apparel，having along with this shame－ fastness and sobermindedness．

With broidered hair（ $\epsilon \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \neq \mu a \sigma \iota \nu)$ ．Lit．with plaitings． N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．Rend．with braided hair．Broidered is a blunder owing to a confusion with broided，the older form of braided． So Chaucer ：
＂Hir yelow heer was broyded in a tresse， Bihinde hir bak，a yerde long，I gesse．＂

Knight＇s T． 1049 f．
Costly array（ $i \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \oint \pi 0 \lambda \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$ ）．Neither word in Paul． ${ }^{\text {e }}$ I $\mu$ atı $\mu$ ós signifies clothing in general．Подvтe入ńs costly occurs only three times in N．T．

10．Professing（émaryє入入ouévaıs）．In the sense of pro－ fessing only in the Pastorals．In Tit．i．2，and everywhere else in N．T．it means promise．See Acts vii．5；Rom．iv．21； Gal．iii．19，etc．

Godliness ( $\theta$ eo $\sigma \in \beta \in i ́ a \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Several times in LXX. The adjective $\theta_{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \eta^{\prime}$ s worshipping God, J. ix. 31. It is $=$ $\epsilon \dot{u} \sigma \in \in \epsilon \epsilon a$. See ver. 2. Const. by good works with professing godliness : omit the parenthesis which-godliness; take which (ó) as = with that which ( $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ тov́т $\omega$ ö) and construe it with adorn. The whole will then read: "That women adorn themselves in modest apparel, with shamefastness and sobriety; not with braided hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly array, but (adorn themselves) with that which becometh women professing godliness through good works." *

## 11. Learn ( $\mu a \nu \theta a \nu \epsilon ́ t \omega)$ Comp. 1 Cor. xiv. 35.

In silence ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu_{\chi i ́ a}^{\prime}$ ). See on peaceable, ver. 2. Rev. renders quietness; but the admonition concerns the behaviour of women in religious assemblies. Comp. 1 Cor. xiv. 34. The word is used in the sense of silence, Acts xxii. 2: withthe broader meaning quietness in 2 Th . iii. 12.
12. Suffer ( $่ \pi \iota \tau \rho \in \in \pi \omega)$. Lit. turn over to ; thence, permit. See 1 Cor. xiv. 34.

Usurp authority ( $a \dot{u} \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Class}$. It occurs in late ecclesiastical writers. The kindred noun aù $\theta$ év$\tau \eta$ s one who does a thing with his own hand, Wisd. xii. 6, and also in Herodotus, Euripides, and Thacydides. 'Aveєvtía right, 3 Macc. ii. 29. The verb means to do a thing one's self; hence, to exercise authority. The A. V. usurp authority is a mistake. Rend. to have or exercise dominion over.
13. Was formed (è $\pi \lambda a^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Comp. Rom. ix. 20. Strictly of one working in soft substances, as a potter in clay; moulding or shaping. Often in Class. and LXX.

[^84]14. Was not deceived (oúk $\dot{\eta} \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ). Once in Paul, Eph. v. 6. Comp. 2 Cor. xi. 3. Rev. beguiled. As it is evident that Adam was beguiled, the interpreters have tried many ways of explaining the expression, either by supplying $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o s$ first, or by saying (as Bengel) that the woman did not deceive the man, but persuaded him ; or by supplying by the serpent, or so long as he was alone; or by saying that Eve was directly and Adam indirectly deceived.

Being deceived ( $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi a \pi a \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma a)$. Completely or thoroughly beguiled.
 misses the force of $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon \nu$. Гívєб $\theta a \iota \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ often signifies the coming or falling into a condition, as Acts xii. 11; xxii. 17 ; Apoc. i. 10; 1 Cor. ii. 3; 2 Cor. iii. 7; 1 Th. ii. 5. Rend. hath fallen into transgression.
15. She shall be saved in childbearing ( $\sigma \omega \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \gamma o \nu i a s)$. Better, "through the childbearing." (1) Saved is used in the ordinary N. T. sense. (2) She shall be saved is set over against hath fallen into transgression. (3) It is diffcult to see what is the peculiar saving virtue of childbearing. (4) The subject of $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a c$ shall be saved is the same as
 common explanation is that $\gamma \nu \nu \eta^{\prime}$ is to be taken in its generic sense as referring to all Christian mothers, who will be saved in fulfilling their proper destiny and acquiescing in all the conditions of a Christian woman's life, instead of attempting to take an active part as teachers or otherwise in public religious assemblies. On the other hand, the woman, Eve, may be regarded as including all the Christian mothers. Notice the change to the plural, "if they continue." She, though she fell into transgression, shall be saved "by the childbearing" (Gen. iii. 15); that is, by the relation in which the woman stood to the Messiah. This seems to be the better explanation. Teєvoyovia childbearing, N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. Comp. $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \gamma o \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ to bear children, 1 Tim. v. 14. The expression is utterly un-Pauline.

If they continue ( $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \mu \epsilon i \nu \omega \sigma \tau \nu$ ). They, the woman regarded collectively or as including her descendants. The promise does not exempt them from the cultivation of Christian virtues and the discharge of Christian duties.

Sanctification ( $\dot{a} \downarrow \boldsymbol{a} \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ). A Pauline word; but the triad, faith, love, sanctification, is unique in N. T.

## CHAPTER III.

1. This is a true saying ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s) . ~ B e t t e r, ~ f a i t h-~$ ful is the saying. See on ch. i. 15.
 and Heb. xi. 16. Originally to stretch forth, to reach after. Here it implies not only desiring but seeking after. Desire is expressed by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \in \hat{\imath}$ immediately following. The word implies eagerness, but not of an immoderate or unchristian character. Comp. the kindred word öpegıs with its terrible meaning in Rom. i. 27.

The office of a bishop (е̇т兀бкотйऽ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. 'Етíккотоs superintendent, overseer, by Paul only in Philip. i. 1. The fundamental idea of the word is overseeing. The term $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma-$ котоs was not furnished by the gospel tradition : it did not come from the Jewish synagogue, and it does not appear in Paul's lists of those whom God has set in the church (1 Cor. xii. $28 ;$ Eph. iv. 11). Its adoption came about in a natural way. Just as senatus, rєpovбía and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́т $\epsilon \rho o s$ passed into official designations through the natural association of authority with age, so è $\boldsymbol{\text { Íбкотоs }}$ would be, almost inevitably, the designation of a superintendent. This process of natural selection was probably aided by the familiar use of the title in the clubs and guilds to designate functions analogous to those of the ecclesiastical administrator. The title can hardly be traced to the O. T. There are but two passages in LXX
where the word has any connection with religious worship, Num. iv. 16 ; 2 K. xi. 18. It is applied to God (Job xx. 29), and in N. T. to Christ (1 Pet. ii. 25). It is used of officers in the army and of overseers of workmen. The prevailing O. T. sense of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \grave{\eta}$ is visitation for punishment, inquisition, or numbering.*

He desireth ( $\dot{e} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath})$. See on 1 Pet. i. 12.
2. Blameless (ả $\nu \epsilon \pi i \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \pi \nu)$. Or without reproach: one who cannot be laid hold of ( $\lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ): who gives no ground for accusation. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Only in 1st Timothy.

The husband of one wife ( $\mu \iota \hat{a ̂ s ~ \gamma u \nu a \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ a ̉ v \delta \rho a) . ~ C o m p . ~}$ ver. 12; Tit. i. 6. Is the injunction aimed (a) at immoralities respecting marriage - concubinage, etc., or (b) at polygamy, or (c) at remarriage after death or divorce? The last is probably meant. Much of the difficulty arises from the assumption that the Pastorals were written by Paul. In that case his views seem to conflict. See Rom. vii. 2, 3; 1 Cor. vii. 39 ; viii. 8, 9 , where Paul declares that widows are free to marry again, and puts widows and virgins on the same level; and comp. 1 Tim. v. 9, accordiug to which a widow is to be enrolled only on the condition of having been the wife of but one man. The Pauline view is modified in detail by the writer of the Pastorals. Paul, while asserting that marriage is right and honourable, regards celibacy as the higher state ( 1 Cor. vii. 1, 7, 26, 34, 37, 38). In this the Pastoral writer does not follow him (see 1 Tim. ii. 15; iii. 4, 12 ; iv. 3; v. 10, 14). The motive for marriage, namely, protection against incontinency, which is adduced by Paul in 1 Cor. vii. 2, 9, is given in 1 Tim. v. 11-14. As in Paul, the married state is honourable, for Bishops, Deacons, and Presbyters are married ( 1 Tim. iii. 2, 12; Tit. i. 6), and the honour of childbearing conferred upon the mother of

[^85]our Lord is reflected in the Christian woman of later times ( 1 Tim. ii. 15). While Paul advises against second marriages ( 1 Cor. vii. 8, 9, 27, 39, 40), in the Pastorals emphasis is laid only on the remarriage of church-officers and church-widows. In the Pastorals we see a reflection of the conditions of the earlier post-apostolic age, when a non-Pauline asceticism was showing itself (see 1 Tim. iv. $3,4,8$; Tit. i. 15). The opposition to second marriage became very strong in the latter part of the second century. It was elevated into an article of faith by the Montanists, and was emphasised by Tertullian, and by Athenagoras, who called second marriage "a specious


Vigilant ( $\nu \eta \phi \dot{a}^{\prime} \lambda \iota o \nu$ ). Only in the Pastorals. See ver. 11, and Tit. ii. 2. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The kindred verb $\nu \eta$ $\dot{\phi} \epsilon \iota \nu$ means to be sober with reference to drink, and, in a metaphorical sense, to be sober and wary; cool and unimpassioned. Thus Epicharmus, עâфє $\kappa a ̀ ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu a \sigma^{\prime}$ à $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ be wary and remember not to be credulous. See on 1 Th. v. 6. In N. T. the meaning of the verb is always metaphorical, to be calm, dispassionate, and circumspect. The A. V. vigilant is too limited. Wise caution may be included; but it is better to render sober, as A.V. in ver. 11 and Tit. ii. 2, in the metaphorical sense as opposed to youthful levity.

Of good behaviour ( $\kappa$ ' $\sigma \mu \iota \nu \nu$ ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Only here and 1 Tim. ii. 9 , see note. Rend. orderly.

Given to hospitality ( $\phi \iota \lambda o^{\prime} \xi \in \nu o \nu$ ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Comp. Tit. i. 8; 1 Pet. iv. 9. See note on pursuing hospitality, Rom. xii. 13.

Apt to teach ( $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa т \iota \kappa o ́ \nu) . ~{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Only here and 2 Tim. ii. 24. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. In the Pastorals the function of teaching pertains to both Bishops and Elders (see 1 Tim. v. 17; Tit. i. 9). It is at this point that the tendency to

[^86]confound and identify the two reveals itself. Bishops and Presbyters are not identical. Earlier, the teaching function does not seem to have attached to the position of $\dot{e} \pi \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa о \pi o s$. The office acquired a different character when it assumed that function, which is not assigned to it in Clement's Epistle to the Corinthians. In the Didache or Teaching of the Twelve Apostles (about 100 A.D.) the ministry of teaching is to be assumed by the Bishops only in the absence of the Prophets and Teachers (xiii. xv).
3. Given to wine ( $\pi$ ápoovov). Only here and Tit. i. 7. The verb mapolveiv to behave ill at wine, to treat with drunken violence, is found in Xenophon, Aeschines, Aristophanes, and Aristotle. Once in LXX, Isa. xli. 12. Rev. renders brawler, which is not definite enough. Better, quarrelsome over wine. See Aristoph. Acharn. 981: mapoìvos à à̀ $\rho$ ê ${ }^{\prime} \phi u$, which Frere renders "behaved in such a beastly way." Cicero, ad Att. x. 10, uses $\pi$ apoı $1<\epsilon \hat{\omega}=$ insolently.

Striker ( $\left.\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \kappa \tau \eta \nu\right)$. Only here and Tit. i. 7. Some soften down the meaning into a pugnacious or combative person. In any case, it is a peculiar state of things which calls out such admonitions to Bishops.

Not greedy of filthy lucre. Omit.
Patient ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \hat{\eta}$ ). Better, forbearing. The word occurs Philip. iv. 5, and èmıeєкía forbearance in 2 Cor. x. 1, where it is associated with $\pi \rho a u ̈ t \eta s$ meekness. From eirós reasonable. Hence, not unduly rigorous; not making a determined stand for one's just due. In 1 Pet. ii. 18 ; Jas. iii. 17, it is associated with à $\gamma a \theta$ òs kindly, and $\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta$ '̆'s easy to be entreated. It occurs in LXX.

Not a brawler (ä $\left.\mu a \chi^{\circ} \nu\right)$. Better, not contentious.
Not covetous (áфı入ápyvpov). Only here and Heb. xiii. 5.

iii. 2. Rend. not a money-lover. The word for covetous is $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \kappa \eta$. For the distinction see on Rom. i. 29.

This admonition is cited by some writers in support of the view that the original $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi о s$ was simply a financial officer. It is assumed that it was prompted by the special temptations which attached to the financial function. Admitting that the episcopal function may have included the financial interests of the church, it could not have been confined to these. It can hardly be supposed that, in associations distinctively moral and religious, one who bore the title of overseer should have been concerned only with the material side of church life.*
4. That ruleth ( $\pi \rho \circ i \sigma \sigma d d^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ). Mostly in the Pastorals, but also in Rom. xii. 8; 1 Th. v. 12. The participle means placed in front. Here in a general sense, but in 1 Th. i. 5 of church authorities, but only functionally, not as a title of specially appointed officers. It is characteristic of the loose and unsettled ecclesiastical nomenclature of the apostolic age.
 is unique in N. T. 'Tтотay ${ }^{\prime}$ subjection is a Pauline word : see 2 Cor. ix. 13 ; Gal. ii. 5. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX.
5. Shall he take care of ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota)$. Only here and L. x. 34.
6. Novice ( $\nu \in$ ó申utov). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. From עéos new and фutóv a plant. Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 6, 7 ; Matt. xv. 13. Hence, $a$ new convert, a neophyte. Comp. in LXX Job xiv. 9; Ps. exxvii. 3; cxliii. 12; Isa. v. 7. Chrysostom explains it as newly catechised (עєокатทं $\eta$ ทтоs) ; but a neophyte differed from a catechumen in having received baptism. Better the ancient Greek interpreters, newly baptized (עєо $\beta \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. After the ceremony of baptism the neophytes wore white garments for

[^87]eight days, from Easter eve until the Sunday after Easter, which was called Dominica in albis, the Sunday in white. The Egyptian archives of Berlin give $\nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \phi \nu \tau o s$ in a Fayum papyrus of the second century A.D., of newly-planted palm trees. Comp.
 of olives."

Being lifted up with pride ( $\tau v \phi \omega \theta \epsilon i s$ ). Only in the Pastorals. See ch. vi. 4; 2 Tim. iii. 4. The verb means primarily to make a smoke: hence, metaphorically, to blind with pride or conceit. Neither A. V. nor Rev. puffed up, preserves the radical sense, which is the sense here intended - a beclouded and stupid state of mind as the result of pride.
 N. T. usually means judgment. The word for condemnation is катáкрıца. See especially Rom. v. 16, where the two are sharply distinguished. Comp. Matt. vii. 2; Acts xxiv. 25; Rom. ii. 2 ; v. 18 ; 1 Cor. vi. 7. However, крí $\mu$ occasionally shades off into the meaning condemnation, as Rom. iii. 8; Jas. iii. 1. See on go to law, 1 Cor. vi. 7, and on 1 Cor. xi. 29. Kpípa is a Pauline word ; but the phrase é $\mu \pi \iota \pi \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu \epsilon i s ~ \kappa \rho i \mu a$ to fall into judgment is found only here.

Of the devil ( $\boldsymbol{\text { ov }} \delta \delta a \beta o{ }^{\prime} \lambda o v$ ). See on Matt. iv. 1, and on
 27; vi. 11. Commonly Satan. The use of $\delta_{\iota a} \beta o \lambda o s$ as an adjective is peculiar to the Pastorals (see 1 Tim. iii. 11; 2 Tim. iii. 3 ; Tit. ii. 3), and occurs nowhere else in N. T., and not in LXX. The phrase judgment of the devil probably means the accusing judgment of the devil, and not the judgment passed upon the devil. In Apoc. xii. 10 Satan is called the accuser of the brethren. In 1 Cor. v. 5; 1 Tim. i. 20, men are given over to Satan for judgment. In ver. 7 the genitive $\delta \iota a \beta o{ }^{\prime} \lambda o v$ is clearly subjective. In this chapter it appears that a Christian can fall into the reproach of the devil (comp. Jude $9 ; 2$ Pet. ii. 11), the snare of the devil (comp. 2 Tim. ii. 26), and the judgment of the devil.
7. A good report ( $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu ~ к а \lambda \eta ̀ \nu) . ~ C o m p . ~ A c t s ~ v i . ~ 3 . ~$ Not only does $\kappa a \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ 's occur in the Pastorals nearly twice as many times as in Paul, but the usage is different. Out of 16 instances in Paul, there is but one in which $\kappa a \lambda$ ós is not used substantively (Rom. vii. 16), while in the Pastorals it is, almost without exception, used adjectively. Mapivpiav, better testimony. Comp. Tit. i. 13. Not in Paul, who uses $\mu а \rho т$ р́рıоу.

Of them which are without ( $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ ). ${ }^{" E} E \xi \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ only once in Paul (2 Cor. vii. 5), and oi e $\xi \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ nowhere in Paul, and only here in Pastorals. Paul's phrase is $\dot{\delta}$ e $\xi \omega$ : see 1 Cor. v. 12, 13; 2 Cor. iv. $16 ; 1$ Th. iv. 12.

Reproach (ỏv $\bar{\delta} \delta \delta \sigma \mu \grave{\partial} \nu$ ). By Paul in Rom. xv. 3 : only here in Pastorals : three times in Hebrews.

Snare ( $\pi a \gamma i \delta a$ ). Comp. ch. vi. 9; 2 Tim. ii. 26. In Paul, Rom. xi. 9, see note. Both reproach and snare govern סıaßó入ov.
8. Deacons. The office of Deacon appears in the Pastorals, but not in Paul's letters, with the single exception of Philip. i. 1, where the Deacons do not represent an ecclesiastical office, though they mark an advance toward it. Clement of Rome (ad Corinth. xlii, xliv) asserts their apostolic: appointment. But the evidence at our command does not bear out the view that the institution of the diaconate is described in Acts vi. 1-6. The terms סсáкovos and סıaкovía are, in the Pauline writings, common expressions of servants and service either to Christ or to others. Paul applies these terms to his own ministry and to that of his associates. $\Delta$ uacovía is used of the service of the apostles, Acts i. 25;
 of Christ (Gal. ii. 17; Rom. xv. 8) ; of the civil ruler (Rom. xiii. 4) ; of ministers of Satan (2 Cor. xi. 15). The appointment of the seven grew out of a special emergency, and was made for a particular service; and the resemblance is
not close between the duties and qualifications of deacons in the Pastorals and those of the seven. The word $\delta$ oácolos does not appear in Acts; and when Paul and Barnabas brought to Jerusalem the collection for the poor saints, they handed it over to the elders.

In like manner (£́бaútcs). Rare in Paul (Rom. viii. 26; 1 Cor. xi. 25). Frequent in Pastorals.

Grave ( $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu{ }^{\prime}$ ús $^{\prime}$ ). In Paul only Philip. iv. 8. See on $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \neq \rho$ gravity, 1 Tim. ii. 2.
 ing one thing and meaning another, and making different representations to different people about the same thing.
 1 Tim. i. 4. Total abstinence is not enjoined, even on a deacon. Comp. 1 Tim. v. 23.

Greedy of filthy lucre ( $a i \sigma \chi \rho о к \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon i \bar{s}$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. The adverb ai $\sigma \chi \rho \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \delta \hat{\omega}$ s in a base, gain-greedy way, 1 Pet. v. 2. From aiбхpós disgraceful and кépסos gain. Comp.
 if thou hadst not been insatiable of wealth and ready to procure it by disgraceful means. Aristoph. Peace, 622, alludes to two
 didly greedy of gain, and treacherous under the mask of hospitality. Similarly Eurip. Androm. 451. Comp. turpilucricupidus, Plaut. Trin. 1, 2, 63.
9. The mystery of the faith ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi / \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In the Gospels only, mystery or mysteries of the kingdom of God or of heaven. In Paul, mystery or mysteries of God, of his will, of Christ, of the gospel, of iniquity, the mystery kept secret or hidden away. Several times without qualification, the mystery or mysteries. See on 2 Th. ii. 7. The mystery of the faith is the subject-matter of the faith; the truth which is its basis, which was kept hidden from the
world until revealed at the appointed time, and which is a secret to ordinary eyes, but is made known by divine revelation. Comp. Rom. xvi. 25 ; Eph. iii. 9 ; Col. i. 26 ; 1 Cor. ii. 7. For the faith see on Gal. i. 23, and comp. Introduction to these Epistles, VI.

In a pure conscience ( $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \kappa a \theta a \rho \hat{a}$ avv $\sigma \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ). Comp. 2 Tim. i. 3, 5, 19. Const. with holding. The emphasis of the passage is on these words. They express conscientious purity and sincerity in contrast with those who are described as branded in their own conscience, and thus causing their followers to fall away from the faith (ch. iv. 1, 2). The passage illustrates the peculiar treatment of "faith" in these Epistles, in emphasising its ethical aspect and its ethical environment. This is not contrary to Paul's teaching, nor does it go to the extent of substituting morals for faith as the condition of salvation and eternal life. See 2 Tim. i. 9 ; ii. 1; Tit. iii. 5. None the less, there is a strong and habitual emphasis on good works (see $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{ii} .10$; v. 10 ; vi. 18 ; 2 Tim. ii. 21 ; iii. 17 ; Tit. i. 16 ; ii. 7,14 ; iii. $1,8,14$ ), and faith is placed in a series of practical duties (see 1 Tim. i. 5,14 ; ii. 15 ; iv. 12 ; 2 Tim. i. 13 ; 1 Tim. i. 19 ; ii. 7 ; iii. 9 ; vi. 11 ; 2 Tim. ii. 22 ; iii. 10). "Holding the mystery of the faith in a pure conscience" is a significant association of faith with ethics. As Weiss puts it: "It is as if the pure conscience were the vessel in which the mystery of the faith is preserved." The idea is sound and valuable. A merely intellectual attitude toward the mystery which, in every age, attaches to the faith, will result in doubt, questioning, and wordy strife (see 1 Tim. vi. 4 ; 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 9), sometimes in moral laxity, sometimes in despair. Loyalty and duty to God are compatible with more or less ignorance concerning the mystery. An intellect, however powerful and active, joined with an impure conscience, cannot solve but only aggravates the mystery; whereas a pure and loyal conscience, and a frank acceptance of imposed duty along with mystery, puts one in the best attitude for attaining whatever solution is possible. See J. vii. 17.
10. These also (кaì oùtoc $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ). As well as the Bishops. No mention is made of a proving of the Bishops, but this may. be fairly assumed. Comp. not a novice, ver. 6 .

Be proved ( $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu a \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ ). Common in Paul; only: here in Pastorals. See on 1 Pet. i. 7. Not implying a formal. examination, but a reference to the general judgment of the Christian community as to whether they fulfil the conditions detailed in ver. 8. Comp. 1 Tim. v. 22 ; 2 Tim. ii. 2.

Let them use the office of a deacon (סıaкoveít $\omega \sigma a \nu$ ). Much better, let them serve as deacons. In this sense only in the Pastorals. Comp. ver. 13.* The verb is very common in N. T.
 if no charge be preferred against them. In Paul, 1 Cor. i. 8; Col. i. 22. Comp. Tit. i. 6, 7. It is a judicial term. The participle obvtes signifies provided they are.
11. Their wives (yvvaîкas). Probably correct, although some find a reference to an official class of women - deaconesses (so Ellicott, Holtzmann, Alford). But the injunction is thrown incidentally into the admonition concerning Deacons, which is resumed at ver. 12; and if an official class were intended we should expect something more specific than rvvaîcas women or wives without the article. A Deacon whose wife is wanting in the qualities required in him, is not to be chosen. She would sustain an active relation to his office, and by her ministries would increase his efficiency, and by frivolity, slander, or intemperance, would bring him. and his office into disrepute.
13. Purchase ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau a \iota) . ~ O n l y ~ h e r e, ~ L . ~ x v i i . ~ 33, ~$ and Acts xx. 28 on which see note. Purchase is unfortunate from the point of modern usage; but it is employed in

[^88]its original sense of to win, acquire, without any idea of a bargain. So Bacon, Ess. iv. 14: "There is no man doth a wrong for the wrong's sake; but therby to purchase himselfe profit, or pleasure, or honour, or the like." And Shakespeare :

> "Then, as my gift and thine own acquisition Worthily purchased, take my daughter."

Temp. iv. 1, 14.
Rend. acquire or obtain for themselves.
A good degree ( $\beta a \theta \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a \lambda o ̀ \nu) . ~ B a \theta \mu o ́ s, ~ N . T . ~ . ~ . ~ P r i m-~$ arily, a step. In LXX, 1 Sam. v. 5 ; Sir. vi. 36, a threshold: $2 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{xx} .9$, a degree on the dial. In ecclesiastical writers, order, grade, rank: see, for instance, Eusebius, H. E. vii. 15. Also degree of relationship or affinity. Here the word apparently means a position of trust and influence in the church ; possibly a promotion from the diaconate to the episcopate. Others (as De Wette, Ellicott, Pfleiderer) refer it to a high grade in the future life, which Holtzmann sarcastically describes as a ladder-round in heaven (eine Staffel im Himmel). John the Scholar, known as Climacus, a monk of the latter half of the sixth century, and Abbot of the Sinai Convent, wrote a mystical work entitled $\mathrm{K} \lambda / \mu a \xi$ тov̂ $\Pi a \rho a-$ Silíov the Ladder of Paradise. The ladder, according to him, had thirty rounds.

Boldness ( $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i a \nu$ ). Primarily, free and bold speaking; speaking out every word ( $\pi \hat{a} \nu, \stackrel{\rho}{\eta} \mu a$ ). Its dominant idea is boldness, confidence, as opposed to fear, ambiguity, or reserve. The idea of publicity is sometimes attached to it, but as secondary. Only here in the Pastorals : several times in Paul, as 2 Cor. iii. 12 ; vii. 4 ; Philip. i. 20 . The phrase $\pi o \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \pi a \rho-$ p $\eta \sigma$ la much boldness is also Pauline. An assured position and blameless reputation in the church, with a pure conscience, would assure boldness of speech and of attitude in the Christian community and elsewhere.

In faith. Connect with boldness only. It designates the boldness as distinctively Christian, founded on faith in Christ.
14. Shortly (ėv $\tau \dot{a} \chi \notin t)$. The adverbial phrase once in Paul, Rom. xvi. 20 : only here in Pastorals. Several times in Luke and Acts, and twice in Apocalypse.*
15. I tarry long ( $\beta p a \delta u ́ v \omega)$. Only here and 2 Pet. iii. 9.

Thou oughtest to behave thyself ( $\delta \in i \quad a ̀ \nu a \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ). The verb ávávте́фєє $\theta a \iota$ only here in Pastorals. In Paul, 2 Cor. i. 12 ; Eph. ii. 3. The reference is not to Timothy's conduct as the A.V. implies, but rather to the instructions which he is to give to church members. Rend. how men ought to behave. See on conversation, 1 Pet. i. 15.

House of God (ouv $\kappa \varphi \theta \epsilon \theta \hat{v}$ ). An O. T. phrase, used of the temple. More frequently, house of the Lord (кvpiov); see 1 K. iii. 1; vi. 1; 1 Chron. xxii. 2, 11 ; xxix. 2, etc. Applied to the church only here. Paul has oikeious tins $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ householders of the faith (Gal. vi. 10), and oiкєîol тoû $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. householders of God (Eph. ii. 19), signifying members of the church. Christians are called vaos $\theta$ eov sanctuary of God ( 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17; 2 Cor. vi. 16); and the apostles are oico $o \dot{\mu} \mu$ o household stewards (1 Cor. iv. 1). So of a Bishop (Tit. i. 7). See also Heb. iii. 6.

Church (èкклдәбía). See on 1 Th. i. 1.
Pillar and ground of the truth ( $\sigma \tau v ̃ \lambda o s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ e ́ \rho a i \omega \mu a ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$ $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \in i ́ a s) . ~ \Sigma \tau u ́ \lambda o s ~ p i l l a r, ~ i n ~ P a u l ~ o n l y ~ G a l . ~ i i . ~ 9 . ~ I n ~ A p o c-~$ alypse iii. 12; x. 1. ${ }^{\text {'E }} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} a a^{\prime} \omega \mu a$ stay, prop, better than ground. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. The kindred adjective éfoaios firm, stable, 1 Cor. vii. 37 ; xv. 58; Col. i. 23. These words are in apposition with church. $\dagger$ The idea is that the church is the pillar, and, as such, the prop or support of the truth. It

[^89]is quite beside the mark to press the architectural metaphor into detail. By giving to $£ \delta \rho a l \omega \mu a$ the sense of stay or prop, the use of the two words for the same general idea is readily explained. The church is the pillar of the truth, and the function of the pillar is to support.*
16. Without controversy (ó $\mu 0 \lambda a \gamma o v \mu e ́ v \omega \varsigma)$. Lit. confessedly. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.
 (a) The connection of thought is with the truth (ver. 15), and the words mystery of godliness are a paraphrase of that word. The church is the pillar and stay of the truth, and the truth constitutes the mystery of godliness. (b) The contents of this truth or mystery is Christ, revealed in the gospel as the Saviour from ungodliness, the norm and inspiration of godliness, the divine life in man, causing him to live unto God as Christ did and does (Rom. vi. 10). See ch. i. 15; ii. 5; Col. i. 26, 27. According to the Fourth Gospel, Christ is himself the truth (J. xiv. 6). The mystery of godliness is the substance of piety $=$ mystery of the faith (ver. 9). (c) The truth is called a mystery because it was, historically, hidden, until revealed in the person and work of Christ; also because it is concealed from human wisdom, and apprehended only by faith in the revelation of God through Christ. (d) The genitive, of godliness, is possessive. The mystery of godliness is the truth which pertains or belongs to godliness. It is not the property of worldly wisdom. Great ( $\mu$ '́ $\gamma$ a) means important, weighty, as Eph. v. 32.

God ( $\theta$ eòs). But the correct reading is ôs who. $\dagger$ The

[^90]antecedent of this relative is not mystery, as if Christ were styled "the mystery," but the relative refers to Christ as an antecedent; and the abruptness of its introduction may be explained by the fact that it and the words which follow were probably taken from an ancient credal hymn. In the earlier Christian ages it was not unusual to employ verse or rhythm for theological teaching or statement. The heretics propounded their peculiar doctrines in psalms. Clement of Alexandria wrote a hymn in honour of Christ for the use of catechumens, and Arius embodied his heresy in his Thalia, which was sung in the streets and taverns of Alexandria. The Muratorian Canon was probably composed in verse. In the last quarter of the fourth century, there are two metrical lists of Scripture by Amphilochius and Gregory Nazianzen.*

Was manifest ( $\dot{\epsilon} \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\theta} \eta$ ). More correctly, was manifested. The verb is used J.i. 2; Heb. ix. 26; 1 Pet. i. 20; 1 J . iii. 5,8 , of the historical manifestation of Christ; and of the future coming of Christ in Col. iii. 4 ; 1 Pet. v. 4 ; 1 J. iii. 2.

In the flesh (ė̀ $\sigma a \rho \kappa \iota)$. Comp. J.i. 14; 1 J. iv. 2; 2 J. 7; Rom. i. 3; viii. 3; ix. 5. $\Sigma a ́ \rho \xi f l e s h ~ o n l y ~ h e r e ~ i n ~ P a s t o r a l s . ~$
 סıкacồv, so familiar in Paul's writings, is found in the Pastorals only here and Tit. iii. 7. Its application to Christ as the subject of justification does not appear in Paul. Its meaning here is vindicated, indorsed, as Matt. xi. 19 ; L. x. 29. Concerning the whole phrase it is to be said: (a) That the two clauses, manifested in the flesh, justified in the Spirit, exhibit a contrast between two aspects of the life of Christ. (b) That $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ in must have the same meaning in both clauses. (c) That meaning is not instrumental, by, nor purely modal, expressing the kind and manner of Christ's justification, but rather local with a shade of modality. It expresses in each

[^91]case a peculiar condition which accompanied the justification; a sphere of life in which it was exhibited and which gave character to it. In the one condition or sphere (the flesh) he was hated, persecuted, and murdered. In the other (the Spirit) he was triumphantly vindicated. See further the additional note at the end of this chapter.
 or showed himself to, as Matt. xvii. 3; L. i. 11; Acts vii. 2; Heb. ix. 28. The same verb is used of the appearance of the risen Christ to different persons or parties ( 1 Cor. xv. $5-8)$. The reference of the words cannot be determined with certainty. They seem to imply some great, majestic occasion, rather than the angelic manifestations during Jesus' earthly life. Besides, on these occasions, the angels appeared to him, not he to them. The reference is probably to his appearance in the heavenly world after his ascension, when the glorified Christ, having been triumphantly vindicated in his messianic work and trial, presented himself to the heavenly hosts. Comp. Philip. ii. 10; Eph. iii. 10, and, in the latter passage, note the connection with "the mystery," ver. 9.
 Better, among the nations. There is no intention of emphasising the distinction between the Jews and other nations.

Was believed on in the world ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{U} \theta \eta \dot{e} \nu \kappa \dot{\prime} \sigma \mu \varphi)$. For a similar construction see 2 Th . i. 10. With Christ as subject this use of $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta$ is unique.

Was received up into glory (à $\nu \in \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \phi \theta_{\eta} \dot{e} \nu \delta \delta \dot{\xi} \eta \eta$ ). Better, received or taken up in glory. 'A ${ }^{2} \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ is the formal term to describe the ascension of Christ (see Acts i. 2, 22), and the reference is most probably to that event. Comp. LXX, 2 K. ii. 11, of Elijah, and Sir. xlix. 14, of Enoch. 'E $\nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \xi \eta$ in glory: with attendant circumstances of pomp or majesty, as we say of a victorious general, "he entered the voL. IV. -16
city in triumph." This usage is common in N. T. See Matt. xvi. 27; xxv. 31; Mk. viii. 38 ; L. ix. 31; xii. 27 ; 1 Cor. xy. 43 ; 2 Cor. iii. 7, 8, 11.*

## Additional Note on III. 16.

Christ's existence before his incarnation was purely spiritual ( ${ }^{\prime} \nu \pi \nu \in \hat{v}^{\prime}$ $\mu a \tau \iota)$. He was in the form of God (Philip. ii. 6): He was the effulgence of God's glory and the express image of his substance (Heb. i. 3), and God is spirit (J. iv. 24).

From this condition he came into manifestation in the flesh ( ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ баркí). He became man and entered into human conditions (Philip. ii. 7, 8). Under these human conditions the attributes of his essential spiritual personality were veiled. He did not appear to men what he really was. He was not recoguised by them as he who "was in the beginning with God" (J. i. 1, 2) ; as "the image of the invisible God" (Col. i. 15); as one with God (J. x. 30 ; xiv. 9 ) ; as he who had all power in heaven and earth (Matt. xxviii. 18); who was "before all things and by whom all things consist" (Col. i. 17) ; who was "the king of the ages" (1 Tim. i. 17). On the contrary, he was regarded as an impostor, a usurper, and a blasphemer. He was hated, persecuted, and finally murdered. He was poor, tempted, and tried, a man of sorrows.

The justification or vindication of what he really was did not therefore come out of the fleshly sphere. He was not justified in the flesh. It came out of the sphere of his spiritual being. Glimpses of this pnenmatic life (év $\pi \nu \epsilon \cup \dot{\prime} \mu a \pi \iota$ ) flashed out during his life in the flesh. By his exalted and spotless character, by his works of love and power, by his words of authority, in his baptism and transfiguration, he was vindicated as being what he essentially was and what he openly claimed to be. These justifications were revelations, expressions, and witnesses of his original, essential spiritual and divine quality; of the native glory which he had with the Father before the world was. It was the Spirit that publicly indorsed him

[^92](J. i. 32,33 ) : the words which he spake were spirit and life (J. vi. 63): he cast out demons in the Spirit of God (Matt. xii. 28): his whole earthly manifestation was in demonstration of the Spirit. These various demonstrations decisively justified his claims in the eyes of many. His disciples confessed him as the Christ of God (L.ix. 20) : some of the people said "this is the Christ" (J. vii. 41) : others suspected that he was such (J. iv. 29). Whether or not men acknowledged his claims, they felt the power of his unique personality. They were astouished at his teaching, for he taught them as one having authority (Matt. vii. 28, 29).

Then followed the more decisive vindication in his resurrection from the dead. Here the work of the Spirit is distinctly recognised by Panl, Rom. i.4. See also Rom. viii. 11. In' the period between his resurrection and ascension his pneumatic life came into clearer manifestation, and added to the vindication furnished in his life and resurrection. He seemed to live ou the border-line between the natural and the spiritual world, and the powers of the spiritual world were continually crossing the line and revealing themselves in him.

In the apostolic preaching, the appeal to the vindication of Christ by the Spirit is clear and nnequivocal. The spiritual nourishment of believers is "the supply of the Spirit of Jesus Christ" (Philip. i. 19) : the Holy Spirit is called "the Spirit of Christ" (Rom. viii. 9; Gal. iv. 6) : Paul identifies Christ personally with the Spirit (2 Cor. iii. 17); and in Rom. viii. 9, 10, "Spirit of God," "Spirit of Christ," and "Christ" are used as convertible terms. The indwelling of the Spirit of Christ is the test and vindication of belonging to Christ (Rom. viii. 9). Thus, though put to death in the flesh, in the Spirit Christ is vindicated as the Son of God, the Christ of God, the manifestation of God.

## CHAPTER IV.

1. Now ( $\delta \dot{\xi}$ ). Better but, since there is a contrast with the preceding confession of the norm of faith.

Expressly ( $\rho \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \omega \hat{\omega}$ ). N.T. ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. In express words.
 here. For calpós particular season or juncture, see on Matt. xii. 1; Acts i. 7. Not the same as $\bar{e} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi^{a} \tau a \iota s \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \iota s$ in the last days, 2 Tim. iii. 1, which denotes the period closing the present aeon, and immediately preceding the parousia; while this signifies merely a time that is future to the writer. There
is not the intense sense of the nearness of Christ's coming which characterises Paul. The writer does not think of his present as "the latter days."

Some (tives). Not, as ch. i. 3, the heretical teachers, but those whom they mislead.

Shall depart from the faith (aं ${ }^{\pi} \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \nu \tau a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi / \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The phrase only here. The verb in Paul only 2 Cor. xii. 8. Quite frequent in Luke and Acts. The kindred noun $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma-$ тacia (Acts xxi. $21 ; 2$ Th. ii. 3) is almost literally transcribed in our apostasy.

Seducing ( $\pi \lambda$ ávocs). Primarily, wandering, roving. 'O $\pi \lambda a ́ v o s ~ a ~ v a g a b o n d, ~ h e n c e ~ d e c e i v e r ~ o r ~ s e d u c e r . ~ S e e ~ 2 ~ J . ~ 7, ~$ and comp. $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda a \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ the deceiver, used of Satan, Apoc. xii. 9 ;
 Once in Paul, 2 Cor. vi. 8, and in LXX, Job xix. 4; Jer. xxiii. 32. Evil spirits animating the false teachers are meant.

Doctrines of devils ( $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i ́ a \iota s ~ \delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu i ́ \omega \nu) . ~ B e t t e r, ~$ teachings of demons. Comp. Jas. iii. 15. $\Delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i ́ a ~ t e a c h-~$ ing often in Pastorals. A few times in Paul. See on 1 Tim. i. 10. $\Delta a \iota \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \iota o \nu$ demon only here in Pastorals. Very frequent in Luke: in Paul only 1 Cor. x. 20, 21. Teachings proceeding from or inspired by demons. The working of these evil spirits is here specially concerned with striking at the true teaching which underlies godliness. It is impossible to say what particular form of false teaching is alluded to.
2. Speaking lies in hypocrisy (è $\nu$ í $\pi о \kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \epsilon \ell \psi \in u \delta o \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu)$. Wrong. Rend., through the hypocrisy of men that speak lies. ' $\Upsilon$ токрícts hypocrisy once in Paul, Gal. ii. 13, see note. See
 $\Psi \epsilon u \delta o \lambda o ́ r o s ~ s p e a k i n g ~ l i e s, ~ N . ~ T . ~ . ~ . ~ ' ~ o ~ L X X . ~ R a r e ~ i n ~ C l a s s . ~$

Having their conscience seared with a hot iron (кєкаva-

own conscience. With a hot iron is superfluous. The verb N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. The metaphor is from the practice of branding slaves or criminals, the latter on the brow. These deceivers are not acting under delusion, but deliberately, and against their conscience. They wear the form of godliness, and contradict their profession by their crooked conduct ( 2 Tim . iii. 5). The brand is not on their brow, but on their conscience. Comp. Tit. i. 15 ; iii. 11.
3. Forbidding to marry and commanding to abstain
 K $\omega \lambda$ ú $\epsilon \nu$, properly. to hinder or check. 'А $\pi \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ to hold one's self off. In Paul, 1 Th. iv. 3; v. 22 ; Philem. 15. Commanding is not expressed, but is implied in forbidding. "Bidding not to marry and (bidding) to abstain from meats." The ascetic tendencies indicated by these prohibitions developed earlier than these Epistles among the Essenes, an ascetic Jewish brotherhood on the shores of the Dead Sea, who repudiated marriage except as a necessity for preserving the race, and allowed it only under protest and under stringent regulations. They also abstained strictly from wine and animal food. This sect was in existence in the lifetime of our Lord. Strong traces of its influence appear in the heresy assailed in Paul's Epistle to the Colossians. The Christian body received large accessions from it after the destruction of Jerusalem (70 A.D.). The prohibitions above named were imposed by the later Gnosticism of the second century.

Hath created (écт८бєע). A common Pauline word. Only here in the Pastorals.

To be received ( $\epsilon i \stackrel{s}{ } \mu \epsilon \pi a ́ \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \nu)$. Lit. for participation. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. It occurs in Plato and Aristotle.

Of them which believe and know the truth ( $\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{~s}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \sigma-$
 created for participation, and should be rendered "for them which believe," etc., marking those for whom the food was
created．The A．V．misses this by the rendering to be received of（by）．Пıбтoîs and ধ่тєүкขшкó⿱宀兀九 do not denote two classes， but one．Those who believe are described as those who have full knowledge of the truth．

4．Creature（ $\kappa \tau \bar{i} \sigma \mu a$ ）．Not in Paul．See Jas．i．18； Apoc．v． 13 ；viii．9．A created thing．For $\kappa$ тícts creation or creature，frequent in Paul，see on Rom．viii．19； 2 Cor．v． 17 ； Col．i．15．Kтí $\mu \mu a$ in LXX，Wisd．ix．2；xiii．5；xiv．11； Sir．xxxviii． 34 ； 3 Macc．v． 11.
 ecclesiastical writings，excommunicated．On the whole verse， comp．Acts x． 15 ；Rom．xi． 15 ； 1 Cor．x．25，26，30， 31.

5．It is sanctified（ $\dot{a} \gamma \iota \dot{\jmath} \zeta_{\epsilon \tau a \iota}$ ）．Not declared holy，but made holy．The declaration confirms the last clause of ver． 4. Thanksgiving to God has a sanctifying effect．The food in itself has no moral quality（Rom．xiv．14），but acquires a holy quality by its consecration to God ；by being acknowl－ edged as God＇s gift，and partaken of as nourishing the life for God＇s service．Comp．Paul＇s treatment of the unbeliev－ ing husband and the believing wife， 1 Cor．vii． 14.
 word of God as used in the prayer．Scripture is not called ＂the Word of God．＂The Word of God includes much more than Scripture：but Scripture contains the Word of God，and the thanksgiving at table was in the words of Scripture．See Ps．cxlv．15，16．The custom of grace at meat appears 1 Sam． ix．13．Christ blessed the loaves and fishes（Matt．xiv．19； xv．36）：Paul on the ship gave thanks for the meal which the seamen ate（Acts xxvii．35）．＇E $2 \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \xi \in \omega$ s prayer，see on ch．ii． 1.

6．If thou put the brethren in remembrance of these
 here and Rom．xvi．4．Lit．to put under；so almost without
exception in LXX. See, for instance, Gen. xxviii. 18; xlvii. 29 ; Ex. xvii. 12. So Rom. xvi. 4. Hence, metaphorically, to suggest, which is, literally, to carry or lay under. Tav̂̃a these things are those mentioned vv. 4, 5. In the Pastorals it is only here that $\boldsymbol{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi$ ol brethren means the members of the church to whose superintendent the letter is addressed. In 2 Tim. iv. 21, they are the Christians of the church from which the letter comes; in 1 Tim. vi. 2, Christians in general; and in 1 Tim. v. 1, without any ecclesiastical sense.

Minister of Jesus Christ ( $\delta \iota a ́ \kappa o \nu o s ~ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v ̂ ' I \eta \sigma o v ̂) . ~ R e n d-~$ ering Christ himself a service by setting himself against ascetic errors. For $\delta$ oáкovos minister see on ch. iii. 8. Here in the general sense of servant, without any official meaning. Paul's more usual phrase is servant of God: servant ( $\delta<a^{\prime} \kappa o v o s$ ) of Christ twice, and $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \kappa o \nu o s$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o u ̂$ not at all. Paul uses $\delta o \tilde{\lambda} \lambda o s$ bond-servant with Jesus Christ. See 2 Cor. xi. 23 ; Col. i. 7; and comp. Rom. i. 1; Gal. i. 10 ; Philip. i. 1.

Nourished up ( $\dot{\nu} \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \phi{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \varsigma$ ). Better, nourishing thyself. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The participle indicates the means by which Timothy may become a good minister. Comp. Heb. v. 12-14.

In the words of faith. The words in which the faith the contents of belief - finds expression. Comp. cl. vi. 3; 2 Tim. i. 13. The phrase only here. Paul has tò $\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ $\pi$ ív $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \omega$ s the word of the faith, Rom. х. 8.

Whereunto thou hast attained ( $\mathfrak{\eta}$ тарךкодои́ $\eta_{\eta \kappa a s \text { ). }}$ Wrong. Rend., which thou hast closely followed. Comp. 2 Tim. iii. 10. The verb means, primarily, to follow beside, to attend closely. In this literal sense not in N. T. To attend to or follow up, as a disease. So Plato, Rep. 406 B, таракод-
 ease. To follow up a history or a succession of incidents, as L. i. 3. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. The writer means that Timothy, as a disciple, has closely attended to his course of Christian instruction.
7. Shun (тapalтov). Comp. 1 Tim. v. 11; 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 10. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. The primary meaning is to ask as a favour (Mk. xv. 6; Heb. xii. 19). Mostly in this sense in LXX, as 1 Sam . xx. 6, 28. To deprecate ; to prevent the consequences of an act by protesting against and disavowing it, as 3 Macc. vi. 27. To beg off, get excused, as L. xiv. 18, 19 ; 4 Macc. xi. 2. To decline, refuse, avoid, as here, Acts xxv. 11; Heb. xii. 25.

Profane. See on ch. i. 9, and comp. ch. vi. 20; 2 Tim. ii. 16; Heb. xii. 16.

Old wives' (ypaćócis). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. From ypav̂s an old woman, and eidos form.

Fables ( $\mu \dot{v} \theta o u s$ ). See on ch. i. 4, and comp. 2 Tim. iv. 4 ; Tit. i. 14 ; 2 Pet. 1. 16.

Exercise ( $\gamma \dot{u} \mu \nu a \zeta_{\varepsilon}$ ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Only here in Pastorals. Heb. v. 14 ; xii. 11 ; 2 Pet. ii. 14. From $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { u } \\ \text { dós naked. In Class. }\end{gathered}$ of training naked in gymnastic exercises; also, metaphorically, of training for or practising an art or profession.
8. Bodily exercise ( $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} ~ \gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma i a)$. With $\gamma v \mu-$ $\nu a \sigma i ́ a ~ c o m p . ~ \gamma u ́ \mu \nu a \zeta \epsilon, ~ v e r . ~ 7 . ~ N . ~ T . ~ ․ ~ . ~ \Sigma \omega \mu a t ı \kappa o ́ s ~ b o d i l y ~ o n l y ~$ here and L. iii. 22. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The adverb $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ bodilywise, Col. ii. 9. The words are to be taken in their literal sense as referring to physical training in the palaestra-boxing, racing, etc. Comp. 1 Cor. ix. 24-27. Some, however, find in them an allusion to current ascetic practices; against which is the statement that such exercise is profitable, though only for a little.

Profiteth little ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̉ \lambda l y o \nu ~ e ́ \sigma \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ \omega ̀ \phi e ́ \lambda \iota \mu o s) . ~ L i t . ~ i s ~ p r o f i t-~$ able for a little. The phrase $\pi$ pòs ò $\lambda$ ígov only here and Jas. v. 14. In the latter passage it means for a little while.
 According to some, this is the meaning here; but against
this is the antithesis $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \dot{d} \nu \tau a$ unto all things. The meaning is rather, the use of the athlete's training extends to only a few things. ' $\Omega \phi$ é $\lambda \iota \mu o s$ useful or profitable, only in Pastorals. Comp. 2 Tim. iii. 16; Tit. iii. 8. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX.

Godliness ( $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \in \in \mathcal{\beta} \epsilon t a)$. See on ch. ii. 2, and Introduction, VI.

Having promise (è érarye入íal è́ $\chi o v \sigma a$ ). The exact phrase only here. Comp. 2 Cor. vii. 1 ; Heb. vii. 6. The participle is explanatory, since it has promise. For émarye入la promise see on Acts i. 4.

The life that now is ( $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ). According to the strict Greek idiom, life the now. This idiom and the follow-
 aeon, 1 Tim. vi. 17; 2 Tim. iv. 10; Tit. ii. 12. 'O aí̀v oûtos this aeon, a few times in the Gospels, often in Paul, nowhere else. We have ó aíciv ó $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ the aeon which is to be, and
 in the Gospels, once in Paul (Eph. ii. 7), and in Hebrews
 this time, of the present as contrasted with the future life, Mk. x. 30; L. xviii. 30. 'O $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ кalpós the now time, in the
 The force of the genitive with $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi\end{gathered} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ ía promise may be expressed by for. Godliness involves a promise for this life and for the next; but for this life as it reflects the heavenly life, is shaped and controlled by it, and bears its impress. Godliness has promise for the present life because it has promise for the life which is to come. Only the life which is in Christ Jesns (2 Tim. i. 1) is life indeed, 1 Tim. vi. 19. Comp. 1 Pet. iii. 10; 1 Cor. iii. 21-23.
10. Therefore ( $\epsilon$ is tov̂to). More correctly, to this end; or with a view to this.

We labour and strive ( $\kappa о \pi \iota \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa a l$ á $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta_{o}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). Both Pauline words. See on Col. i. 29, where the two are found
together as here. Also on кótov labour, 1 Th. i. 3; and $\kappa о \pi \iota \omega ิ \nu \pi a s ~ l a b o u r i n g, 1$ Th. v. 12 . Comp. ch. v. 17, and 2 Tim. ii. 6. Both words denote strenuous and painful effort.* The кai has an ascensive force: "we labour, yea struggle."
 The verb with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{l}$ upon in Pastorals, in Paul, Rom. xv. 12, a citation, and in 1 Pet. i. 13.
12. Youth (veór $\boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{\tau o s}$ ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. See L. xviii. 21. Acts xxvi. 4. See Introduction, VII. Timothy was probably from 38 to 40 years old at this time.

In word (ėv $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi)$. Including teaching and verbal intercourse of every kind.

Conversation (ảvaбтpoфй). Comp. Gal. i. 13; Eph.iv. 22 ; Jas. iii. 13. A favourite word with Peter. See on 1 Pet. i. 15.

In spirit. Onit.
Purity (árvía). Only here and ch. v. 2. 'Ayvós pure, 1 Tim. v. 22 ; Tit. ii. 5. In Paul, 2 Cor. viii. 11; xi. 2; Philip. iv. 8. Also in James, Peter, and 1st John. 'Ayvór $\eta$ s purity, 2 Cor. vi. 6; xi. 3. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. 'A 1 vós always with a moral sense; not limited to sins of the flesh, but covering purity in motives as well as in acts. In 1 J. iii. 3, of Christ. In 2 Cor. xi. 2, of virgin purity. In Jas. iii. 17, as a characteristic of heavenly wisdom. 'A $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \hat{s}$ purely (Philip. i. 17), of preaching the gospel with unmixed motives. The verb $\dot{a} \gamma \nu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to purify, which in LXX is used only of ceremonial purification, has that meaning in four of the seven instances in N. T. (J. xi. 55 ; Acts xxi. 24, 26 ; xxiv. 18). In the others (Jas. iv. 8; 1 Pet. i. 22;1 J. iii. 3) it is used of purifying the heart and soul.

[^93]13. To reading (ávajvéctl). Three times in N. T. See Acts xiii. 15; 2 Cor. iii. 14. The verb ávayıv'́aкє $\nu \nu$ usually of public reading. See on L.iv. 16. So in LXX. In postclassical Greek, sometimes of reading aloud with comments. See Epictetus, Diss. 3, 23, 20. Dr. Hatch says: "It is probable that this practice of reading with comments . . . may account for the coördination of 'reading' with 'exhortation' and 'teaching' in 1 Tim. iv. 13."

Exhortation ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \rho a \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ). Often in Paul. See on consolation, L. vi. 24, comfort, Acts ix. 31, and comforter, J. xiv. 16.
14. Neglect (á $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ). Rare in N. T. Only Matt. xxii. 5 ; Heb. ii. 3; viii. 9.

The gift that is in thee ( $\tau 0 \hat{\text { ê }} \mathrm{e} \nu$ бoì $\chi$ apí $\sigma \mu a \tau o s$ ). Comp. 2 Tim. i. 6. Xá $\rho \iota \sigma \mu a$ gift is a distinctively Pauline word, being found only three times outside of Paul's Epistles, and ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. See on Rom. i. 11. That is in thee, comp. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{c}$ दे $\nu$ бoì $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ the faith that is in thee, 2 Tim. i. 5 . The meaning is the special inward endowment which qualified Timothy for exhortation and teaching, and which was directly imparted by the Holy Spirit.*

By prophecy ( $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi r \rho о ф \eta \tau \epsilon i ́ a s$ ). See on 1 Tim. i. 18. $\Pi \rho о \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a s$ is genitive, not accusative. The meaning is $b y$ the medium of prophecy. The reference is to prophetic intimation given to Paul concerning the selection of Timothy for the ministerial office. These prophecies were given by the Holy Spirit who bestowed the "gift"; so that the gift itself

[^94]and the prophecy concurred in attesting the candidate for ordination.
 $\chi \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu})$. M $\epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ with implies that the prophetic intimations were in some way repeated or emphasised in connection with the ceremony of ordination. We note the association of prophecy with ordination in the setting apart of Paul and Barnabas (Acts xiii. 2, 3); so that the case of Timothy has an analogue in that of Paul himself.* 'E $\pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ laying on, imposition, also Acts viii. 18; 2 Tim. i. 6; Heb. vi. 2, in each case with of hands. "The custom," says Lange, "is as old as the race." The Biblical custom rests on the conception of the hand as the organ of mediation and transference. The priest laid his hand on the head of the bullock or goat (Lev. i. 4) to show that the guilt of the people was transferred. The hand was laid on the head of a son, to indicate the transmission of the hereditary blessing (Gen. xlviii. 14) ; upon one appointed to a position of authority, as Joshua (Num. xxvii. 18-23) ; upon the sick or dead in token of miraculous power to heal or to restore to life (2 K. iv. 34). So Christ (Mk. vi. 5; L. iv. 40). In the primitive Christian church the laying on of hands signified the imparting of the Holy Spirit to the newly-baptized (Acts viii. 17 ; xix. 6 ; comp. Heb. vi. 2). Hands were laid upon the seven (Acts vi. 6). But the form of consecration in ordination varied. No one mode has been universal in the church, and no authoritative written formula exists. In the Alexandrian and Abyssinian churches it was by breathing : in the Eastern church generally, by lifting up the hands in benediction: in the Armenian church, by touching the dead hand of the predecessor: in the early Celtic church, by the transmission of relics or pastoral staff : in the Latin church, by touching the head.

Of the presbytery ( $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho$ iov). The word is found in L. xxii. 66, where it denotes the body of representative

[^95]elders of the people in the Sanhedrim, as distinguished from the two other constituents of that body-the chief priests and scribes. Similarly Acts xxii. 5. Here of the college or fraternity of Christian elders in the place where Timothy was ordained. The word is frequent in the Epistles of Ignatius.* According to this, Timothy was not ordained by a Bishop. Bishop and Presbyter are not identical. In 2 Tim. i. 6 we read, "by the laying on of $m y$ hands." The inconsistency is usually explained by saying that Paul was associated with the Presbyters in the laying on of hands.
15. Meditate ( $\mu \in \lambda$ éta). Only here and Acts iv. 25 (citation). Often in Class. and LXX. Most translators reject the A. V. meditate, and substitute be diligent in, or practise, or take care for. Meditate, however, is legitimate, although in Class. the word commonly appears in one of the other senses. The connection between the different meanings is apparent. Exercise or practice applied to the mind becomes thinking or meditation. In LXX it represents seven Hebrew equivalents, and signifies to meditate, talk of, murmur, delight one's self in, attend to. Often to meditate, Josh. i. 8; Ps. i. 2; ii. 1; xxxvii. 12; lxxii. 6; Sir. vi. 7. Meditation is a talking within the mind, and issues in speech; hence to speak, as Ps. xxxiv. 28 ; xxxvi. 30 ; Isa. lxix. 3. Similarly, 入óros signifies both reason and discourse. In Lat. meditari, "to reflect," is also "to exercise in," "to practise," as Virgil, Ecl. i. 2. In the Vulg. meditabor is the translation of murmur or mourn in Isa. xxxviii. 14. The Heb. means to murmur, whisper; hence the inner whispering of the heart; hence to think, meditate, consider, as Ps. lxiii. 7; lxxviii. 13.

Give thyself wholly to them ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ тои́тoıs $\bar{u} \sigma \theta \iota$ ). Lit. be in these things. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. The only parallel in LXX is Prov. xxiii. 17. The meaning is that he is to throw himself wholly into his ministry. Comp. "totus in illis," Horace, Sat. i. 9, 2.

[^96]Profiting ( $\pi \rho о к о \pi \grave{\eta})$. Better, advance or progress. Only here and Philip. i. 12. The verb $\pi \rho о \kappa о ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \nu \nu$ in 2 Tim. ii. 16; iii. 9, 13. In LXX, see Sir. li. 17; 2 Macc. viii. 8. The figure in the word is uncertain, but is supposed to be that of pioneers cutting ( $\kappa \dot{o} \pi \tau \omega$ ) a way before ( $\pi \rho \grave{o}$ ) an army, and so furthering its advance. The opposite is évкóттect to cut into, throw obstacles in the way, and so hinder. See Gal. v. 7; 1 Th. ii. 18; 1 Pet. iii. 7.
16. Take heed ( ${ }_{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon)}$ ). Only here in Pastorals, and once in Paul, Philip. ii. 16. Quite frequent in LXX. Lit. hold upon, fasten thy attention on, as L. xiv. 7; Acts iii. 5; xix. 22. In LXX, in the sense of apply, as Job xviii. 2; xxx. 26 ; or forbear, refrain, as 1 K . xxii. 6, 15. In Philip. ii. 16, to hold out or present, a sense which is found only in Class.

Unto thyself and unto the doctrine ( $\sigma \in a v \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa a i \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \delta a \sigma-$ $\kappa a \lambda i(a)$. Better, to thyself and to thy teaching. The order is significant. Personality goes before teaching.

Continue in them ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi(\mu \in \nu \epsilon$ aùtoîs). See on Rom. vi. 1. In LXX only Ex. xii. 39. 'Avtoîs is neuter, referring to these things, ver. 15. A. V. in them is indefinite and ambiguous. Better, continue in these things.

## CHAPTER V.

 The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Originally to lay on blows; hence to castigate with words. Прєбßúтєpos elder, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P} .$, but frequentin Gospels, Acts, and Apocalypse. Modern critical opinion has largely abandoned the view that the original Christian polity was an imitation of that of the Synagogue. The secular and religious authorities of the Jewish communities, at least in purely Jewish localities, were the same; a fact which is against the probability that the polity was directly transferred to the Christian church. The preroga-
tives of the Jewish elders have nothing corresponding with them in extent in the Christian community. Functions which emerge later in the Jewish-Christian communities of Palestine do not exist in the first Palestinian-Christian society. At the most, as Weizsäcker observes, it could only be a question of borrowing a current name.*

Modern criticism compels us, I think, to abandon the view of the identity of Bishop and Presbyter which has obtained such wide acceptance, especially among English scholars, through the discussions of Lightfoot and Hatch. $\dagger$ The testimony of Clement of Rome (Ep. ad Corinth.) goes to show that the Bishops ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ or $\pi \rho о \eta \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ ) are distinguished from the Presbyters, and that if the Bishops are apparently designated as Presbyters, it is because they have been chosen from the body of Presbyters, and have retained the name even when they have ceased to hold office. For this reason deceased Bishops are called Presbyters. In Clement, Presbyters signify a class or estate - members of long standing and approved character, and not office-bearers regularly appointed. Among these the Bishops are to be sought. Bishops are reckoned as Presbyters, not because the Presbyter as such is a Bishop, but because the Bishop as such is a Presbyter. In the Pastorals, Bishops and Deacons are associated without mention of Presbyters (1 Tim. iii. 1-13). Presbyters are referred to in 1 Tim. v. 17-19, but in an entirely different connection. The qualifications of Bishops and Deacons are detailed in the former passage, and the list of qualifications concludes with the statement that this is the ordering of the church as the house of God (vv. 14, 15). The offices are exhausted in the description of Bishops

[^97]and Deacons. Nothing is said of Presbyters until ch. v, where Timothy's relations to individual church-members are prescribed; and in Tit. ii. 2 ff . these members are classified as old men ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v i t a \varsigma$ ), old women, young men, and servants.
 $\pi \rho о \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\tau \epsilon \varsigma} \pi \rho \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \iota$ ). Assuming that Presbyters and Bishops were identical, a distinction would thas be implied between two classes of Bishops - those who rule well and those who do not: whereas the distinction is obviously between old and honored church-members, collectively considered, forming the presbyterial body, and certain of their number who show their qualifications for appointment as overseers. Presbyters as such are not invested with office. There is no formal act constituting a Presbyter. The Bishops are reckoned among the Elders, but the Elders as such are not officers.

Thus are to be explained the allusions to appointed Elders, Tit. i. 5 ; Acts xiv. 23. Elders are to be appointed as overseers or Bishops, for the overseers must have the qualifications of approved Presbyters. The ordination of Presbyters is the setting apart of Elders to the position of Superintendents. The Presbyterate denotes an honorable and influential estate in the charch on the ground of age, duration of church membership, and approved character. Only Bishops are appointed. There is no appointment to the Presbyterate. At the close of Clement's letter to the Corinthians, the qualifications of a Presbyter are indicated in the description of the three commissioners from the Roman church who are the bearers of the letter, and to whom no official title is given. They are old, members of the Roman church from youth, blameless in life, believing, and sober.*

[^98]2. The elder women ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \varsigma$ ). N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{t} \tau \delta a s$ aged women, Tit. ii. 3. The word indicates distinction in age merely, although some think that it points to an official position which is further referred to in the following directions concerning widows.*
3. Honour ( $\tau i \mu a)$. Not only by respectful treatment but by financial support. Comp. $\tau \not \mu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \ell$, Matt. xv. 5, and $\pi 0 \lambda$ $\lambda a i ̂ s ~ \tau \iota \mu a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ่ \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma a \nu$, Acts xxviii. 10; and $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \iota \mu \eta \hat{\rho}$, 1 Tim. v. 17. Comp. Sir. xxxviii. 1. The verb only once in Paul (Eph. vi. 2, citation), and only here in Pastorals.

Widows ( $\chi$ ŋ́ $\rho a s$ ). Paul alludes to widows in 1 Cor. vii. 8 only, where he advises them against remarrying. They are mentioned as a class in Acts vi. 1, in connection with the appointment of the seven. Also Acts ix. 39, 41. In the Pastorals they receive special notice, indicating their advance from the position of mere beneficiaries to a quasi-official position in the church. From the very first, the church recognised its obligation to care for their support. A widow, in the East, was peculiarly desolate and helpless. $\dagger$ In return for their maintenance certain duties were required of them, such as the care of orphans, sick and prisoners, and they were enrolled in an order, which, however, did not include all of their number who received alms of the church. In Polycarp's Epistle to the Philippians, they are styled "the altar of God." To such an order the references in the Pastorals point. The Fathers, from the end of the second century to the fourth, recognised a class known as $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ úr $\iota \delta e s$ aged women (Tit. ii. 3), who had oversight of the female church-members and a separate seat in the congregation. The council of Laodicaea abolished this institution, or so modified it that widows no longer held an official relation to the church. $\ddagger$

[^99] 5,16 . 'O $\nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ verily, truly, twice in Paul, 1 Cor. xiv. 25 ; Gal. iii. 21. See on 2 Pet. ii. 18. Wherever ob $\nu \tau \omega$ is used by Paul or by any other N. T. writer, it is used purely as an adverb (see L. xxiii. 47; xxiv. 34): but in all the four instances in the Pastorals, it is preceded by the article and converted into an adjective. The meaning is, who are absolutely bereaved, without children or relations (comp. ver. 4), and have been but once married. There is probably also an implied contrast with those described in vv. 6, 11-13.
 ews, in the now obsolete sense of grandsons or other lineal descendants. Derived from Lat. nepos. Trench (Select Glossary) remarks that nephew has undergone exactly the same change of meaning that nepos underwent, which, in the Augustan age, meaning grandson, in the post-Augustan age acquired the signification of nephew in our present acceptation of that word. Chaucer :
"How that my nevew shall my bane be."
Legend of Good Women, 2659.
'His (Jove's) blind nevew Cupido."
House of Fame, 67.
Jeremy Taylor: "Nephews are very often liker to their grandfathers than to their fathers."

Let them learn. The subject is the children and grandchildren. Holtzmann thinks the subject is any widow, used collectively. But the writer is treating of what should be done to the widow, not of what she is to do. The admonition is connected with widows indeed. They, as being utterly bereft, and without natural supporters, are to be cared for by the church ; but if they have children or grandchildren, these should assume their maintenance.

First ( $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ ). In the first place: as their first and natural obligation.
 correctly, to show piety toward their own family. Piety in the sense of filial respect, though not to the exclusion of the religious sense. The Lat. pietas includes alike love and duty to the gods and to parents. Thus Virgil's familiar designation of Aeneas, " pius Aeneas," as describing at once his reverence for the gods and his filial devotion. The verb $\epsilon \dot{U} \sigma \epsilon \beta \in \hat{i} \nu$ (only here and Acts xvii. 23) represents filial respect as an
 on Acts i. 7. It emphasises their private, personal belonging, and contrasts the assistance given by them with that furnished by the church. It has been suggested that oirov household or family may mark the duty as an act of family feeling and honour.

To requite (ả $\mu o \iota \beta a ̀ s ~ a ́ \pi o \delta \iota \delta o \partial a l)$. An entirely unique expression. 'A $\mu o<\beta \eta$ ' requital, recompense is a familiar classical word, used with $\delta i \delta o \dot{v} a l$ to give, à ãoтitéval to lay down, tivelv to pay, тotê̄əقal to make. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Paul uses instead à atı-
 9), or à àtatódoб८я (Col. iii. 24). The last two are LXX words.

Their parents ( (oîs $\pi$ moróvos). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Parents is too limited. The word comprehends mothers and grandmothers and living ancestors generally. The word for parents is roveîs, see 2 Tim. iii. 2; Rom. i. 30; 2 Cor. xii. 14; Eph. vi. 1; Col. iii. 20. Прóyovoc for living ancestors is contrary to usage. One instance is cited from Plato, Laws, xi. 932. The word is probably selected to correspond in form with ëксора children.
 $\kappa a \lambda o ̀ \nu$ кai good and. 'Amóסeктos acceptable only here and 1 Tim. ii. 3. See note.
 Apocalypse. It occurs 31 times in the phrases $\dot{e} \boldsymbol{v} \omega \dot{\pi} \tau \circ \nu \tau o v$
 Lord. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Comp. ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ тồ $\theta \epsilon \hat{v}$ before God, Acts x. 4 ; 1 Th. i. 3 ; ii. 19 ; iii. 9,13 . Not in Pastorals, and by Paul only 1 Th . The difference is trifling. Comp. $1 \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{ij}$. 19 and 22.
5. And desolate ( $\kappa a i \mu \epsilon \mu о \nu \omega \mu e ́ v \eta$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. From $\mu o ́ \nu o s$ alone. Explanatory of $a$ widow indeed. One entirely bereaved.

Trusteth in God ( ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{\imath}$ тò̀ $\theta \epsilon \grave{\partial} \nu$ ). Strictly, hath directed her hope at God. Rev. hath her hope set on God implies $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ with the dative, as 1 J . iii. 3.
6. Liveth in pleasure ( $\sigma \pi a \pi a \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma a$ ). Only here and Jas. v. 5. See note. Twice in LXX, Sir. xxi. 15 ; Ezek. xvi. 49.

Is dead while she liveth ( $\zeta \omega ̂ \sigma a$ тé $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ). Comp. Apoc. iii. 1; Eph. iv. 18. "Life in worldly pleasure is only life in appearance " (Holtzmann).
8. Provide ( $\pi \rho 0 \nu 0 \in i ̂) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ R o m . ~ x i i . ~ 17 . ~$

His own-those of his own house ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \delta i \omega \nu$-oikeí $\omega \nu$ ). His own relatious, see on J. i. 11. Those who form part of his family, see on Gal. vi. 10.

He hath denied the faith ( $\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu \eta^{\eta} \rho \nu \eta \tau a \iota\right)$. The verb not in Paul, but quite often in Pastorals. The phrase only here and Apoc. ii. 13. Faith demands works and fruits. By refusing the natural duties which Christian faith implies, one practically denies his possession of faith. "Faith does not abolish natural duties, but perfects and strengthens them" (Bengel). Comp. Jas. ii. 14-17.

Infidel (äríquov). Better, unbeliever. One who is not a Christian, as 1 Cor. vi. 6 ; vii. 12, 13 , etc. Even an unbeliever will perform these duties from natural promptings.
9. Be taken into the number (каталєүé $\sigma \theta \omega$ ). Better, enrolled (as a widow). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Very rare in LXX. Common in Class. Originally, to pick out, as soldiers. Hence, to enrol, enlist. Here, to be enrolled in the body of widows who are to receive church support. See on ver. 3.
10. Well reported of ( $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho o v \mu e ́ v \eta)$. Lit. borne witness to or attested, as Acts vi. 3; x. 22 ; Heb. xi. 2. Comp. $\mu a \rho т v \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \kappa а \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ढै $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ to have good testimony, ch. iii. 7.

For good works (èv èpyoss калоîs). Lit. in good works; in the matter of. Comp. 1 Tim. vi. 18; Tit. ii. 7; iii. 8, 14. In the Gospels, ${ }^{\prime} p \gamma o \nu$ work appears with $\kappa a \lambda$ òs and never with ảjaAòs. In Paul, always with ára日òs and never with $\kappa a \lambda \grave{s} s$. In the Pastorals, with both. The phrase includes good deeds of all kinds, and not merely special works of beneficence. Comp. Acts ix. 36.

If ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i}$ ). Introducing the details of the general expression good works.

Have brought up children ( $\grave{\tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu о \tau \rho o ́ \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu) . ~ N . ~ T . ~}{ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX ; very rare in Class. The children may have been her own or others'.

Lodged strangers (è $\xi \in \nu 0 \delta o ́ \chi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. On the duty of hospitality comp. ch. iii. 2; Matt. xxv. 35; Rom. xii. 13; Heb. xiii. 2; 1 Pet. iv. 9; 3 J. 5.

Washed the feet. A mark of Oriental hospitality bestowed on the stranger arriving from a journey, and therefore closely associated with lodged strangers.

Of the saints ( $\dot{d} \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}(\omega \nu)$ ). ${ }^{\text {o }}$ Ayıos is rare in Class. In LXX, the standard word for holy. Its fundamental idea is setting apart, as in Class., devoted to the gods. In O. T., set apart to God, as priests; as the Israelites consecrated to God. In N. T., applied to Christians. Ideally, it implies personal holiness. It is used of God, Christ, John the Baptist, God's
law, the Spirit of God. Paul often uses oi äylo as a common designation of Christians belonging to a certain region or community, as Philip. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 1; Col. i. 2. In such cases it does not imply actual holiness, but holiness obligatory upon those addressed, as consecrated persons, and appropriate to them. What ought to be is assumed as being. In this sense not in the Gospels (unless, possibly, Matt. xxvii. 52) or in the Epistles of Peter and John. Rare in Acts.

Relieved (émípкєбєv). Only here and ver. 16. Comp. 1 Mace. viii. 26 ; xi. 35. Common in Class. Originally, to suffice for, to be strong enough for, as in Homer, where it is always used in connection with danger or injury. See Il. ii. 873; Od. xvii. 568 . Hence, to ward off, help, assist.

The afflicted ( $\theta \lambda \imath \beta o \mu$ évoıs). See on tribulation, Matt. xiii. 21, and comp. 2 Cor. i. 6 ; iv. 8 ; 2 Th. i. 6,7 ; Heb. xi. 37 .

Diligently followed (єттако оиӨךбєу). Comp. ver. 24. 'Eni after ol close upon. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Once in the disputed verses at the end of Mk. (xvi. 20), and 1 Pet. ii. 21. Comp. the use of $\delta \iota$ о́кєє ${ }^{\text {p }}$ pursue, Rom. ix. 30; xii. 13; 1 Cor. xiv. 1 ; 1 Th. v. 15.
11. Younger (vє由тépas). Almost in a positive sense, young. Not, under sixty years of age.

Have begun to wax wanton (катабтрך $\quad$ á $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Not, have begun, but rather, whenever they shall come to wax wanton. Comp. 2 Th. i. 10. The compound verb, signifying to feel the sexual impulse, only here, and not in LXX or Class. The simple verb, $\sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu \iota a ̂ \nu$ to run riot, Apoc. xviii. 7, 9 ; and the kindred $\sigma \tau \rho \bar{\nu} \nu o s$ luxury, Apoc. xviii. 3. See note.

Against Christ ( $\tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ ). Their unruly desire withdraws them from serving Christ in his church, and is, therefore, against him.*

[^100]This is the only instance in the Pastorals in which the Christ is used without Jesus either before or after. In Paul this is common, both with and without the article.

They will marry ( $\gamma a \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \theta \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Better, they are bent on marrying, or determined to marry. The strong expression wax wanton makes it probable that $\theta e ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ expresses more than a desire, as Rev. See on Matt. i. 19. Гaueiv to marry, in the active voice, of the wife, as everywhere in N. T. except 1 Cor. vii. 39.*
12. Having damnation ('̇ $\chi$ Хоvбaı крíma). The phrase only here. See on 1 Tim. iii. 6. Damnation is an unfortunate rendering in the light of the present common understanding of the word, as it is also in 1 Cor. xi. 29. Better, judgment or condemnation, as Rom. iii. 8 ; xiii. 2. The meaning is that they carry about with them in their new, married life a condemnation, a continuous reproach. Comp. ch. iv. 2; Gal. v. 10. It should be said for the translators of 1611 that they used damnation in this sense of judgment or condemnation, as is shown by the present participle having. In its earlier usage the word implied no allusion to a future punishment. Thus Chaucer:
"For wel thou woost (knowest) thyselven verraily That thou and I be dampned to prisoun."

Knight's T. 1175.
Wiclif: "Nethir thou dredist God, that thou art in the same dampnacioun?" L. xxiii. 40. Laud.: "Pope Alexander III. condemned Peter Lombard of heresy, and he lay under that damnation for thirty and six years." "A legacy by damnation" was one in which the testator imposed on his heir an obligation to give the legatee the thing bequeathed, and which afforded the legatee a personal claim against the heir.

[^101]They have cast off their first faith ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ $\eta \quad \theta \epsilon \in \tau \eta \sigma a \nu)$. 'A $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ้ \nu$ is to set aside, do away with, reject or slight. See Mk. vi. 26 ; L. x. 16; Heb. x. 28. Often in LXX. $\Pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ is pledge: so frequently in Class. with give and receive. See, for instance, Plato, Phaedr. 256 D. In
 ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. There are, however, a number of expressions closely
 void. In LXX with oath, 2 Chron. xxxvi. 13. Ps. xiv. 4: "He that sweareth to his neighbour каi oủ火 à $\theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$." Ps. lxxxviii. 34 ; cxxxi. 11; 1 Macc. vi. 62 . The meaning here is, having broken their first pledge; and this may refer to a pledge to devote themselves, after they became widows, to the service of Christ and the church. The whole matter is obscure.
13. They learn ( $\mu a \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). To be taken absolutely, as 1 Cor. xiv. 31 ; 2 Tim. iii. 7. They go about under the influence of an insatiable curiosity, and meet those who "creep into houses and take captive silly women" (2 Tim. iii. 7), and learn all manner of nonsense and error.

Going about ( $\left.\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota\right) .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Comp. Acts xix. 13.
Tattlers ( $\phi \lambda$ и́apot). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. 4 Macc. v. 10. The verb $\phi \lambda v a \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ t o ~ p r a t e, ~ 3 ~ J . ~ 10 . ~$.

Busybodies ( $\pi \epsilon \rho$ í $\rho \gamma o \iota$ ). In this sense only here. Comp. $\tau \grave{a} \pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \rho \gamma a$ curious arts, Acts xix. 19. The participle $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \rho-$ ra̧ouévoc busybodies, 2 Th. iii. 11. See note. Rend. the whole passage: "And withal, being also idle, they learn, gadding about from house to house; and not only (are they) idle, but tattlers also, and busybodies, speaking things which they ought not." *

[^102]14. That the younger women marry ( $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \in ́ \rho a s ~ \gamma a \mu \epsilon i v)$. Better, the younger widows. This seems to be required by oviv therefore, connecting the subject of the verb with the class just described. They are enjoined to marry, rather than to assume a position in the church which they might disgrace by the conduct described in vv. 11-13. Comp. 1 Cor. vii. 8, 9.

Bear children ( $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \quad \gamma 0 \nu \in i ̂ \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. Comp. тєкроүovía childbearing, 1 Tim. ii. 15.

Guide the house (oiкoסєбтотєî̀). Better, rule the house.
 quite common in the Synoptic Gospels.

Occasion (à $\phi o \rho \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ). See on Rom. vii. 8.
To the adversary ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha}{ }^{2} \nu \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \in \nu(\omega)$. The one who is set over against. Not Satan, but the human enemy of Christianity. Comp. Philip. i. 28, and ó $\mathfrak{e} \xi \in \operatorname{cova\nu tias~he~that~is~of~the~contrary~}$ part, Tit. ii. 8.

To speak reproachfully ( $\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho i a s ~ \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$ ). Lit. in the interest of reviling. Const. with give no occasion. Moiסopía reviling only here and 1 Pet. iii. 9. For the verb $\lambda_{0} \delta_{0}$ ofeì to revile see J. ix. 28; Acts xxiii. 4; 1 Cor. iv. 12; and note on J. ix. 28.
16. Man or woman that believeth ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta}$ ). Lit. believing man or woman. But $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta}$ should be omitted. Read, if any woman that believeth.

Have widows ( $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota \chi \dot{\eta} \rho a s\right)$. If any Christian woman have relatives or persons attached to her household who are widows.

The church be charged. Holtzmann quotes an inscription in the chapel of the Villa Albani at Rome: "To the good Regina her daughter has erected this memorial : to the good Regina her widowed mother, who was a widow for sixty years
and never burdened the church after she was the wife of one husband. She lived 80 years, 5 months, and 26 days."
17. The elders that rule well (oi $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho a \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma-$
 well, 1 Tim. iii. 4. The phrase is peculiar to the Pastorals. See on ver. 1.

Double honour ( $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}_{\varsigma} \tau \iota \mu \eta \hat{\eta}_{\varsigma}$ ). This at least includes pecuniary remuneration for services, if it is not limited to that. The use of $\tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime}$ as pay or price appears Matt. xxvii. 6, 9; Acts iv. 34 ; vii. 16; 1 Cor. vi. 20. Double, not in a strictly literal sense, but as $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ íava $\tau \iota \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ more honour, Heb. iii. 3. The comparison is with those Elders who do not exhibit equal capacity or efficiency in ruling. The passage lends no support to the Reformed theory of two classes of Elders - ruling and teaching. The special honour or emolument is assigned to those who combine qualifications for both.

Those who labour (oi котıف̂עtes). See on ch. iv. 10. No special emphasis attaches to the word - hard toiling in comparison with those who do not toil. The meaning is, those who faithfully discharge the arduous duty of teaching. Comp. Heb. xiii. 7.
 word and teaching. Word is general, teaching special. In word signifies, in that class of functions where speech is concerned. The special emphasis ( $\mu$ ádıota especially) shows the importance which was attached to teaching as an antidote of heresy.
18. The Scripture ( $\dot{\eta}$ ypaф$\eta^{\prime}$ ). Comp. 2 Tim. iii. 16. To the Jews $\dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}$ signified the O. T. canon of Scripture; but in most cases $\dot{\eta}$ y $a \boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ is used of a particular passage of Scripture which is indicated in the context. See J. vii. 38, 42; Acts i. 16 ; viii. 32 , 35 ; Rom. iv. 3 ; ix. 17 ; x. 11 ; Gal. iii. 8. Where the reference is to the sacred writings as a
whole, the plural ypaфai or ai ypaфai is used, as Matt. xxi. 42 ; L. xxiv. 32 ; J.v. 39 ; Rom. xv. 4 . Once ypaфal ăyıaı holy Scriptures, Rom. i. 2. ‘Etépa ypaф̀̀ another or' a different Scripture, J. xix. 37; $\dot{\eta}$ ypaфウ̀ av̈r $\eta$ this Scripture, L. iv. 21 ; тẫa үpaф̀̀ every Scripture, 2 Tim. iii. 16. See on writings, J. ii. 22. The passage cited here is Deut. xxv. 4, also by Paul, 1 Cor. ix. 9.

Thou shalt not muzzle (oú $\phi \iota \mu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \varsigma)$ ). In N. T. mostly in the metaphorical sense of putting to silence. See on speechless, Matt. xxii. 12, and put to silence, Matt. xxii. 34. Also on Mk. iv. 39. On the whole passage see note on 1 Cor. ix. 9.

That treadeth out (ả $\left.{ }^{2} 0 \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a\right)$. More correctly, while he is treading out. The verb only here and 1 Cor. ix. 9,10. Comp. $\ddot{a} \lambda \omega \nu$ a threshing-floor, Matt. iii. 12; L. iii. 17. An analogy to the O.T. injunction may be found in the laws given to the Athenians by the mythical Triptolemus, one of which was, "Hurt not the labouring beast." Some one having violated this command by slaying a steer which was eating the sacred cake that lay upon the altar, - an expiation-feast, Bouphonia or Diipolza was instituted for the purpose of atoning for this offence, and continued to be celebrated in Athens. Aristophanes refers to it (Clouds, 985). A labouring ox was led to the altar of Zeus on the Acropolis, which was strewn with wheat and barley. As soon as the ox touched the grain, he was killed by a blow from an axe. The priest who struck the blow threw away the axe and fled. The flesh of the ox was then eaten, and the hide was stuffed and set before the plough. Then began the steer-trial before a judicial assembly in the Prytaneum, by which the axe was formally condemned to be thrown into the sea.

The labourer is worthy, etc. A second scriptural quotation would seem to be indicated, but there is no corresponding passage in the O.T. The words are found L. x. 7, and, with a slight variation, Matt. x. 10. Some hold that the writer adds to the O. T. citation a popular proverb, and that Christ
himself used the words in this way. But while different passages of Scripture are often connected in citation by cal, it is not according to N. T. usage thus to connect Scripture and proverb. Moreover, in such series of citations it is customary to use $\kappa a i ̀ \pi d^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ and again, or $\pi a^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ simply. See Matt. iv. 7 ; v. 33 ; J. xii. 39 ; Rom. xv. 9-12; 1 Cor. iii. 20 ; Heb. i. 5 ; ii. 13. According to others, the writer here cites an utterance of Christ from oral tradition, coördinately with the O. T. citation, as Scripture. Paul, in 1 Th. iv. 15 ; 1 Cor. vii. 10, appeals to a word of the Lord; and in Acts xx. 35 he is represented as quoting "it is more blessed togive than to receive" as the words of Jesus. In 1 Cor. ix, in the discussion of this passage from Deuteronomy, Paul adds (ver. 14) "even so hath the Lord ordained that they which preach the gospel should live of the gospel," which resembles the combination here. This last is the more probable explanation.
 Neither word in Paul. For accusation see on J. v. 45. It. means a formal accusation before a tribunal. The compound verb with mapd emphasises the giver or transmitter of the thing received : to receive from another.

But (èклòs $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ). Except. A pleonastic formula, except in case. The formula in 1 Cor. xiv. 5 ; xv. 2.

Before ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i)$. Or on the authority of. On condition that two witnesses testify. The O. T. law on this point in Deut. xix. 15. Comp. Matt. xviii. 16 ; J. viii. 17 ; 2 Cor. xiii. 1.
20. Them that $\sin$ ( $\quad$ òs $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{d} \nu о \nu \tau a s$ ). Referring to Elders, who, by reason of their public position ( $\pi \rho 0 \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ), should receive public rebuke.

Rebuke (ë $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi$ ). Comp. 2 Tim. iv. 2 ; Tit. i. 9, 13 ; ii. 15. See on reproved, J. iii. 20.

Others (oi $\lambda o \iota \pi o i)$. More correctly, the rest. His fellowElders.

May fear ( $\phi o ́ \beta o \nu$ '́ $\chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). May have fear, which is stronger than A. V.
21. I charge ( $\delta \iota a \mu \alpha \rho \tau u ́ \rho o \mu a \iota)$. In Paul 1 Th. iv. 6 only. See on testifying, 1 Th. ii. 12. For this sense, adjure, see L. xvi. 28 ; Acts ii. 40 ; 2 Tim. ii. 14.

Elect angels ( $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \hat{\jmath} \lambda \omega \nu)$. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. The triad, God, Christ, the angels, only L. ix. 26. It is not necessary to suppose that a class of angels distinguished from the rest is meant. It may refer to all angels, as special objects of divine complacency. Comp. Tob. viii. 15; Acts x. 22 ; Apoc. xiv. 10.

Observe ( $\phi u \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \xi \eta \rho$ ). Lit. guard. In the Pauline sense of keeping the law, Rom. ii. 26 ; Gal. vi. 13.

Without preferring one before another ( $\chi \omega \rho$ іs трокрípaтоя). A unique expression. Про́крьца prejudgment. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. Rend. without prejudice.

By partiality ( $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \nu) . ~ N . ~ T . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ h X X . ~ A c-~$ cording to its etymology, inclining toward. In later Greek of joining one party in preference to another. In Clement ( $a d$ Corinth. xli, xlvii, l) in the sense of factious preferences.
22. Lay hands on. Probably with reference to that rite in the formal restoration of those who had been expelled from the church for gross sins.*

Suddenly (тахє́ $\omega \varsigma$ ). Better, hastily.
 $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a \iota s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \tau \rho l a \iota s)$. Better, make common cause with. See on communicating, Rom. xii. 13. Comp. Rom. xv. 27; 1 Pet.

[^103]iv. 13 ; Eph. v. 11. By a too hasty and inconsiderate restoration, he would condone the sins of the offenders, and would thus make common cause with them.

Keep thyself pure ( $\sigma \in a v \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ árvòv $\tau \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ ). Comp. ch. vi. 14. Enjoining positively what was enjoined negatively in the preceding clause. For pure see on 1 J. iii. 3. For keep see on reserved, 1 Pet. i. 4. The phrase éautòv т $\eta \rho \in \hat{i} \nu ~ t o ~$ keep one's self, in Jas. i. 27 ; 2 Cor. xi. 9.
23. Drink no longer water ( $\mu \eta \kappa$ 白 $\iota$ ídooтótet). The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. Rend. be no longer a drinker of water. Timothy is not enjoined to abstain from water, but is bidden not to be a water-drinker, entirely abstaining from wine. The kindred noun $\dot{v} \delta \rho o \pi o ́ t \eta s$ is used by Greek comic writers to denote a mean-spirited person. See Aristoph. Knights, 349.
 antithesis appears in Hdt. i. 171, of the Persians: oùk oì $\nu \varphi$
 are water-drinkers. Comp. Plato, Repub. 561 C, тотè $\mu \in \nu$
 is for total-abstinence. With a little wine comp. much wine, ch. iii. 8; Tit. ii. 3.
 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. The appearance at this point of this dietetic prescription, if it is nothing more, is sufficiently startling; which has led to some question whether the verse may not have been misplaced. If it belongs here, it can be explained only as a continuation of the thought in ver. 22, to the effect that Timothy is to keep himself pure by not giving aid and comfort to the ascetics, and imperilling his own health by adopting their rules of abstinence. Observe that oivos here, as everywhere else, means wine, fermented and capable of intoxicating, and not a sweet syrup made by boiling down grape-juice, and styled by certain modern reformers "unfermented wine." Such a concoction would have tended rather to
aggravate than to relieve Timothy's stomachic or other infirmities.
 use of often as an adjective appears in earlier English. So Chaucer: "Ofte sythes" or "tymes ofte," many times. Shakespeare: "In which my often rumination wraps me in a most humourous sadness" (As you like it, IV. i. 19). And Ben Jonson:
"The jolly wassal walks the often round."
The Forest, iii.

## Even Tennyson :

> " Wrench'd or broken limb - an often chance In those brain-stunning shocks and tourney-falls." Gareth and Lynette.

Пикдós often, very common in Class. Originally, elose, compact, comp. Lat. frequens. In this sense 3 Macc. iv. 10, т $\hat{\varphi}$
 except here, always adverbial, $\pi v \kappa \nu a ̀ ~ o r ~ \pi u \kappa \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ o f t e n ~ o r ~$ oftener, L. v. 33 ; Acts xxiv. 26. 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { éévela weakness, infirm- }\end{aligned}$ ity, only here in Pastorals. In the physical sense, as here, L. v. 15 ; viii. 2 ; J.v. 5 ; Gal. iv. 13. In the ethical sense, Rom. vi. 19 ; viii. 26.
24. Open beforehand ( $\pi \rho o \delta \eta \lambda o i$ ). A.V. wrong in giving $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ a ~ t e m p o r a l ~ f o r c e, ~ w h e r e a s ~ i t ~ m e r e l y ~ s t r e n g t h e n s ~ \delta ~ i \eta \lambda o i ́ ~$ evident, manifest. The meaning is openly manifested to all eyes. In N. T. only here, ver. 25, and Heb. vii. 14. In LXX, see Judith viii. 29; 2 Macc. iii. 17; xiv. 39.

Going before to judgment ( $\pi \rho o a ́ y o v \sigma a \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ к \rho i ́ \sigma t \nu) . ~ \Pi p o-~$ $d^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. In N. T. habitually with a local meaning, either intransitive, as Matt. ii. 9 ; xiv. 22; Mk. xi. 9 ; or transitive, as Acts xii. 6; xvii. 5.* The meaning here is that these open sins go before their perpetrator to the judgment-seat like her-

[^104]alds, proclaiming their sentence in advance. Kpícu, not specifically of the judgment of men or of the final judgment of God, or of the sentence of an ecclesiastical court - but indefinitely. The writer would say : no judicial utterance is necessary to condemn them of these sins. The word in Paul, only 2 Th. i. 5.

They follow after ( ̇ंтакодov $\theta$ ov $\sigma \iota \nu$ ). The verb only here, ver. 24,1 Pet. ii. 21, and (the disputed) Mk. xvi. 20. The sins follow up the offender to the bar of judgment, and are first made openly manifest there.
25. Otherwise (äd $\lambda \lambda \omega$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Not, otherwise than good, but otherwise than manifest.

Be hid ( $\kappa \rho \nu \beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \imath$ ). In Paul only Col. iii. 3. The good works, although not conspicuous ( $\pi \rho o ́ \delta \eta \lambda a$ ), cannot be entirely concealed. Comp. Matt. v. 14-16. It has been suggested that these words may have been intended to comfort Timothy in his possible discouragement from his "often infirmities." von Soden thinks they were meant to encourage him against the suspicion awakened by his use of wine. By persevering in his temperate habits (ol $\nu \omega \dot{\partial} \dot{\partial}^{\prime}(\gamma \omega)$ it will become manifest that he is no wine-bibber.

## CHAPTER VI.

1. As many servants as are under the yoke (öסoc eioiv únò 乌uyòv סoû̀ou). Incorrect. Rather, as many as are under the yoke as bondservants. As bondservants is added in explanation of under the yoke, which implies a hard and disagreeable condition. Yoke is used only here of the state of slavery. In Gal. v. 1: Acts xv. 10, of the Mosaic law. See on Matt. xi. 29.

Their own (toùs iठious). Lit. private, personal, peculiar, as 1 Cor. iii. 8; vii. 7. Sometimes strange, eccentric. Con-
trasted with $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o s$ public or кolvós common. See Acts iv. 32. Sometimes without emphasis, substantially $=$ possessive pronoun, just as Lat. proprius passes into suus or ejus, or oikeios belonging to one's house into the simple one's own. See on Gal. vi. 10, and comp. Matt. xxii. 5; xxv. 14. In LXX commonly with the emphatic sense. Very often in the phrase $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ iठía $\begin{aligned} & \text { privately, as Mk. iv. 34; L. ix. 10; Gal. }\end{aligned}$ ii. 2, but nowhere in Pastorals.

Masters ( $\delta_{\epsilon \sigma \pi \text { ótas). }}$ Comp. Tit. ii. 9, and see on 2 Pet. ii. 1. Not in Paul, who styles the master of slaves $\kappa$ úpos lord. See Eph. vi. 9 ; Col. iv. 1.

Count ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ ). Implying a more conscious, a surer judgment, resting on more careful weighing of the facts. See Philip. ii. 3, 6.

Be not blasphemed ( $\mu \grave{\eta}-\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{\eta} \tau a l$ ). Or be evil spoken of. See on blasphemy, Mk. vii. 22, and be evil spoken $o f$, Rom. xiv. 16 ; 1 Cor. x. 30 . Paul uses the word, but not in the active voice as in the Pastorals.
2. Partakers of the benefit (oi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \in \dot{\jmath} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma$ ias $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu-$ ó $\mu \in \nu o t$ ). The verb means to take hold of; hence, to take hold for the purpose of helping; to take up for, as L. i. 54; Acts xx. 35. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. 'Evepyєбia benefit only here and Acts iv. 9. Better, kindly service. Rend. they that busy themselves in the kindly service.* The reference is to the kindly acts which the masters do to their slaves; not to the benefits received by the slaves. Comp. Gal. v. 13.
3. Teach otherwise ( $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho о \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i ̂)$. See on ch. i. 3.

Consent (трабє́ $\boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} a l$ ). Lit. draw nigh. To approach as one who confidingly accepts another's proffer. Hence, to

[^105]assent to. Comp. Acts x. 28; 1 Pet. ii. 4; Heb. iv. 16 ; x. 22. Often in LXX, and habitnally in the literal sense. The figurative sense, Sir. i. 27, 30; iv. 15; vi. 26. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. The phrase only here.

Of our Lord, etc. Either concerning our Lord, or spoken by him. Probably the latter, according to N. T. nsage, in which word of the Lord or word of God commonly means the word that proceeds from God. The phrase words of our Lord Jesus Christ only here.

Doctrine which is according to godliness ( $\tau \hat{y} \kappa \pi a \tau^{\prime}$ evoé$\beta \varepsilon \iota a \nu \delta_{\iota} \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i(a)$. The phrase only here. See on 1 Tim. i. 10. For $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \in \beta \epsilon \iota a$, on 1 Tim. ii. 2.
4. He is proud ( $\tau \epsilon \tau v ́ \phi \omega \tau a i$ ). See on ch. iii. 6 .

Knowing nothing ( $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\text { è }} \boldsymbol{y}$ é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{a} \mu \varepsilon \nu o s$ ). Although he knows nothing. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Very frequent in Acts. Comp. ch. i. 7.

Doting ( $\nu 0 \sigma \omega \hat{\nu}$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Lit. sick. Comp. íflalvovar healthful, ver. 3.

Questions ( $\left.\zeta_{\eta \tau \eta}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon\right)$ ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. Quite often in Class. Lit. processes of inquiry; hence, debates. Comp. ch. i. 4.

Strifes of words ( $\lambda$ oro $\mu a \chi i a s$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{0}$ Class. One of the unique compounds pecnliar to these Epistles. The verb $\lambda_{0 \gamma}$ оахєíц 2 Tim. ii. 14.

Surmisings (ínóvoual). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. See Sir. iii. $24 .{ }^{\text {' } \Upsilon \text { rò }}$ under and $\nu o$ ûs mind, thought. A hidden thought. The verb ítovoeî̀ to suppose, only in Acts. See xiii. 25; xxv. 18; xxvii. 27.
5. Perverse disputings ( $\delta \iota a \pi a \rho a \tau \rho \iota \beta a i$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Maparp! $\beta \eta^{\eta}$ is a rubbing against. $\Delta \iota a ̀$ signifies con-
tinuance. The meaning therefore is continued friction. Hence wearing discussion; protracted wrangling.*

Of corrupt minds ( $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \nu o \hat{v} \nu$ ). More correctly, corrupted in mind. The verb not common in N. T. In Paul only 2 Cor. iv. 16. Only here in Pastorals. $\Delta \iota a \phi \theta o \rho a$ corruption only in Acts. Comp. катєфӨaphévol tò̀ עoû̀ corrupted in mind, 2 Tim. iii. 8.

Destitute of the truth (à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ à $\left.\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon l a s\right)$. Rev. bereft of the truth. In N. T. commonly of defrauding, Mk. x. 19 ; 1 Cor. vi. 7,8 ; vii. 5 . The implication is that they once possessed the truth. They put it away from themselves (ch. i. 19 ; Tit. i. 14). Here it is represented as taken away from them. Comp. Rom. i. 28.
 Rend. that godliness is a way (or source) of gain. Hopıб $\overline{\text { oेs, }}$ only here and ver. 6, is a gain-making business. See Wisd. xiii. 19 ; xiv. 2. They make religion a means of livelihood. Comp. Tiṭ. i. 11.
6. Contentment (au̇тарке́áa). Only here and 2 Cor. ix. 8. The adjective aúzápкฑs self-sufficient, Philip. iv. 11. Comp. Sir. xl. 18. Aútápкєьa is an inward self-sufficiency, as opposed to the lack or the desire of outward things. It was a favourite Stoic word, expressing the doctrine of that sect that a man should be sufficient unto himself for all things, and able, by the power of his own will, to resist the force of circumstances. In Ps. of Sol. v. 18, we read: "Blessed is the man whom God remembereth with a suffic-

[^106]iency convenient for him" (év $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ a q u \cup ̇ \tau a \rho \kappa \epsilon \sigma i ́ a s) ; ~ t h a t ~$ is, with a sufficiency proportioned to his needs.
7. And it is certain we can carry, etc. Omit and and certain. Rend. ötь because. The statement is: We brought nothing into the world because we can carry nothing out. The fact that we brought nothing into the world is slown by the impossibility of our taking with us anything out of it; since if anything belonging to us in our premundane state had been brought by us into the world, it would not be separated from us at our departure from the world. Comp. Job i. 21; Eccl. v. 15 ; Ps. xlix. 17.
8. Food (Slarpoфàs). N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$.

Raiment ( $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi a \sigma \mu a \tau a$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. It means covering generally, though the reference is probably to clothing. von Soden aptly remarks that a dwelling is not a question of life with an Oriental.

Let us be content (ápкє $\theta \eta \sigma \delta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). More correctly, we shall be content. Once in Paul, 2 Cor. xii. 9. A few times in LXX. Comp. Ps. of Sol. xvi. 12: "But with good will and cheerfulness uphold thou my soul ; when thou strengthenest my soul I shall be satisfied (ápкє́бєє $\mu \circ \iota$ ) with what thou givest me."
 they that desire to be rich. It is not the possession of riches, but the love of them that leads men into temptation.

Fall ( $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota^{\prime} \pi \tau o v \sigma \iota \nu\right) . \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Lit. fall into; but invariably in N. T. with eis into.

Temptation ( $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu o ̀ \nu) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ M a t t . ~ v i . ~ 13 . ~$


standing; a want of proper application of the moral judgment or perception, as L. xxiv. 25; Gal. iii. 1. See notes on both. "A $\phi \rho \omega \nu$ is senseless, stupid, of images, beasts. Comp. L. xii. 20, note. 'Aбúvєтos approaches the meaning of ávońтos unintelligent. See Sir. xxii. 13, 15; xxvii. 12. It also implies a moral sense, wicked, Wisd. i. 5 ; xi. 15; Sir. xv. 7. On the etymological sense, see on Matt. xi. 25; Mk. xii. 33 ; L. ii. 47. M $\omega$ pós is without forethought, as Matt. vii. 26 ; xxv. 3; without learning, as 1 Cor. i. 27; iii. 18; with a moral sense, empty, useless, 2 Tim. ii. 23; Tit. iii. 9; and impious, godless, Matt. v. 22 ; Ps. xciii. 8; Jer. v. 21.

Hurtful ( $\beta \lambda a \beta \epsilon \rho a ́ s$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX once, Prov. x. 26.
Drown ( $\beta v \theta i$ '̧ovaı). Only here and L. v. 7, note. A strong expression of the results of avarice.

Destruction (ỏ $\left.{ }^{2} \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu\right)$. See on $1 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{i} .9$, and additional note.

Perdition ( $\left.\dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \dot{a}\right)$ ). It is nnsafe to distinguish between ${ }_{\text {ö }} \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s$ destruction in general, and $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \omega_{\lambda} \epsilon \iota a$ as pointing mainly to destruction of the soul. 'A $\pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon t a$ sometimes of spiritual destruction, as Philip. i. 28 ; but also of destruction and waste in general, as Mk. xiv. 4; Acts viii. 20. One is reminded of Virgil, Aen. iii. 56 :
"Quid non mortalia pectora cogis,
Auri sacra fames?"
10. Love of money ( $\phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma v \rho i ́ a)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. See 4 Macc. i. 26. Rare in Class.

The root ( $\dot{\rho} \ell(\bar{\sigma})$. Better, a root. It is not the only root. In Paul only metaphorically. See Rom. xi. 16, 17, 18.

Coveted after (ópєyó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0$ ). See on ch. iii. 1. The figure is faulty, since $\phi \iota \lambda a \rho \gamma \nu \rho / a$ is itself a desire.

Have erred (á $\left.{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \pi \lambda a \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu\right)$. More correctly, have been led astray. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$.

Pierced through ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$.
Sorrows (ódúvals). See on Rom. ix. 2.
11. Man of God (ä $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v})$. The phrase only in Pastorals. Comp. 2 Tim. iii. 17. Not an official designation.

Righteousness ( $\delta_{\kappa \kappa a \iota o \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ R o m . ~ i . ~ 17 . ~ N o t ~ i n ~}^{\text {in }}$ the Pauline dogmatic sense, but as Eph. v. 9, moral rectitude according to God's law.
 feeling ( $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta_{o s}$ ). The usual word is $\pi \rho a \dot{u} \dot{\tau} \eta \varsigma$, often in Paul. See on meek, Matt. v. 5. With the whole verse comp. Tit. iii. 12.
 phrase peculiar to the Pastorals. Comp. 2 Tim. iv. 7. Not necessarily a metaphor from the gymnasium or arena, although á $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ contest was applied originally to athletic struggles. But it is also used of any struggle, outward or inward. See Col. ii. 1; iv. 12.

Lay hold ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \lambda a \beta o v)$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Frequent in Luke and Acts. Occasionally in this strong sense, as L. xx. 20; xxiii. 26; Acts xviii. 17, but not usually. See Mk. viii. 23; L. ix. 47; Acts ix. 27.

Professed a good profession ( $\omega_{\mu} \mu \lambda_{o}^{\prime} \gamma \eta \sigma a s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ i $\mu 0 \lambda o \gamma_{i}(a \nu)$. Both the verb and the noun in Paul, but this combination only here. For the use of $\kappa a \lambda$ o's good see ch. i. 18, and ver. 12. Rend. confessed the good confession, and see on your professed subjection, 2 Cor. ix. 13. It is important to preserve the force of the article, a point in which the A. V. is often at fault.
13. Quickeneth ( $\zeta$ woyovoûvtos). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Rend. who preserveth alive. Quickeneth is according to the reading $\zeta \omega$ oтouv̂̀tos maketh alive. Comp. LXX, Ex. i. 17; Judg. viii. 19. This association of God as the preserver with corfession is noteworthy in Matt. x. 28-33.

Witnessed a good confession ( $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\dot{\delta} \mu o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a \nu)$. Better, the or his good confession. The phrase is unique. The good confession is the historical confession of Jesus before Pilate, which is the warrant for the truthfulness of Timothy's confession. Christ is called "the faithful and true witness" ( $\mu a^{\prime} \rho \tau v s$ ), Apoc. i. 5 ; iii. 14. It is true that $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \rho \tau v$ s was used very early of those who laid down their lives for the trath (see Acts xxii. 20 ; Apoc. ii. 13), and Polycarp speaks of тò $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho t o \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau a v \rho o \hat{v}$ the witness of the cross (Philip. vii.); but this did not become general until after the end of the second century.*

Before Pontius Pilate. The mention of Pontius Pilate in connection with the crucifixion is of constant occurrence in early Christian writings. See Ignatius, Magn. xi ; Tral. ix; Smyrn. i. It has been supposed that these words were taken from a liturgical confession in which the Christian faith was professed.
14. Commandment (ėvto入ウ̀̀). Usually of a single commandment or injunction, but sometimes for the whole body of the moral precepts of Christianity, as 2 Pet. ii. 21 ; iii. 2. The reference may be explained by $\dot{\eta}$ maparyenia the commandment, ch. i. 5 , meaning the gospel as the divine standard of conduct and faith. Comp. 2 Tim. i. 14. The phrase $\tau \eta \rho \in i ̂ \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ to keep the commandment is Johannine. See J. xiv. 15, 21 ; xv. 10 ; 1 J. ii. 3, 4 ; iii. 22,24 ; v. 3.

Without spot (ä $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda o \nu$ ). Unsullied. Comp. Jas. i. 27; 1 Pet. i. 19 ; 2 Pet. iii. 14.

[^107]Appearing (é $\pi \iota \phi a \nu \varepsilon$ éas). See on 2 Th. ii. 8. In the Books of Maccabees it is used to describe appearances and interveutions of God for the aid of his people. See 2 Macc. ii. 21 ; iii. 24 ; xiv. 15 ; xv. 27 ; 3 Macc. v. 8, 51 . In 2 Tim. iv. 18, and Tit. ii. 13, it denotes, as here, the second comfing of Christ. In 2 Tim. i. 10, his historical manifestation, for which also the verb $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi$ aivév is used, Tit. ii. 11; iii. 4. For the Lord's second advent Paul commonly uses mapovaía presence ; once the verb фavepov̂v to make manifest (Col. iii. 4), and once àтока́дuұıs revelation (2 Th. i. 7). It is quite possible that the word $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota a$, so characteristic of these Epistles, grew out of the Gnostic vocabulary, in which it was used of the sudden appearing of the hitherto concealed heavenly aeon, Christ. This they compared to a sudden light from heaven ; and Christ, who thus appeared, though only docetically, without an actual fleshly body, was styled $\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ saviour, although his oneness with the God of creation was denied. The Creator and the Redeemer were not the same, but were rather opposed. Christ was only a factor of a great cosmological process of development. As Neander observes: "The distinctive aim of the Gnostics was to apprehend the appearance of Christ and the new creation proceeding from him in their connection with the evolution of the whole universe."
15. In his times (kalpoîs ioiocs). Better, his own seasons, or its own seasons. Either the seasons proper to the appearing, or the seasons which God shall see fit to select. See on ch. ii. 6.

Potentate ( $\delta v \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$ ). Only here of God. Very often in LXX. See Sir. xlvi. 5; 2 Mace. xii. 15, etc. In Class. applied to Zeus (Soph. Antig. 608). In Aesch. Agam. 6,
 regulators of the seasons.

Of kings ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \lambda^{2} \epsilon v o \dot{\partial} \tau \omega \nu$ ). Lit. of those who rule as kings. Only here for the noun $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$. Baбıлєùs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda-$的 $\nu$ king of kings, Apoc. xvii. 14 ; xix. 16.

Of Iords (кvplevóvtav). Lit. of those who lord it. Only here for the noun $\kappa v \rho i \omega \nu$. See кúpıos кupínv lord of lords, Apoc. xix. 16 ; comp. LXX, Deut. x. 17 ; Ps. exxxv. 3. Probably liturgical.
 Comp. à $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \boldsymbol{\omega}$ incorruptible, ch. i. 17. It has been suggested that there is here a possible allusion to the practice of deifying the Roman emperors, with an implied protest against paying them divine honours. In the Asian provinces generally, this imperial cultus was organised as the highest and most authoritative religion. Domitian (8196 a.d.) assumed the titles of "Lord" and "God," and insisted on being addressed as Dominus et Deus noster in all communications to himself. Trajan (98-117 A.D.) forbade his subjects to address him as "Lord" and "God," but Pliny (112 A.D.) required the citizens of Bithynia to pay divine honours to Trajan's statue. Hadrian (117-138 A.d.) allowed the worship of his statues.*

In light. Comp. Ps. ciii. 2 ; 1 J. i. 5, 7 ; Jas. i. 17.
Which no man can approach unto (à ápóovто⿱). More simply, unapproachable. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$.
17. Them that are rich in this world ( $\tau 0 i \bar{s} \pi \lambda o v \sigma i o l s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{v} \nu a i \hat{\omega} \nu \iota)$. Forming one conception. Chrysostom says: "Rich in this world, for others are rich in the world to come." Comp. L. xvi. 25. חגovoios rich, by Paul only metaphorically. See 2 Cor. viii. 9; Eph. ii. 4. The phrase ó $\nu$ v̂̀ aióv the now age, only here and Tit. ii. 12, the usual expression being $\delta$ aì̀ outos this age or world, which is not found in Pastorals.

Be not highminded ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ íq $\eta \lambda \lambda o \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i \nu)$ ). The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. Comp. Rom. xi. 20 ; xii. 16.

[^108] weakens the sense by withdrawing the emphasis from the thought of uncertainty．Rend．the uncertainty of riches．For a similar construction see Rom．vi．4．＇A $\delta \eta \lambda o ́ r \eta s$ uncertainty， N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．${ }^{\circ}$ LXX．Originally obscurity．П入ov̂tos wealth，fre－ quent in Paul，but never in the material sense．The play upon the word rich in this and the next verse will be noticed．

To enjoy（eis à ádiरavaıv）．Lit．for enjoyment．Only here and Heb．xi．25．See 3 Macc．vii．16．In Class．occasion－ ally，but the verb ámo入av́धı to have enjoyment or benefit is common．A contrast is implied between being highminded on account of wealth－cherishing and worshipping it－and rightly enjoying it．The true character of such enjoyment is shown in the next verse．

18．Do good（á $\left.{ }^{2} a \theta o \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon i \nu\right)$ ．In this uncontracted form， N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．${ }^{\circ}$ LXX，${ }^{\circ}$ Class．Comp．Acts xiv．17．The usual
 ii．15．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$ ．who has $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \xi \in \sigma \theta a l ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ \gamma a \theta o ̀ v ~ t o ~ w o r k ~ t h a t ~ w h i c h ~$ is good，Rom．ii．10；Gal．vi． 10 ；Eph．iv． 28.
 and J．x．11：for áraOós on Rom．v． 7.
 ${ }^{\circ}$ Class．For the verb $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta o{ }^{2}$ al to impart to the poor，see L．iii．11；Eph．iv． 28.

Willing to communicate（коıขшขıкои́s）．N．T．${ }^{0}$ ．${ }^{0}$ LXX． See on fellowship，Acts ii．42，and comp．кoıv由veîv to partake， 1 Tim．v．22，and coovós common，Tit．i．14．Stronger than the preceding word，as implying a personal share in the pleasure imparted by the gift．

19．Laying up in store（ámoӨ $\left.{ }^{2} \sigma a \nu \rho l \zeta o \nu \tau a s\right)$ ．N．T．${ }^{0}$ ． Laying away（ànò）．

Eternal life ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ö $\nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s} \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ). More correctly, the life which is life indeed, or that which is truly life. See on ch. v. 3.
20. That which is committed to thy trust ( $\ddagger \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho a-$ $\left.\theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu\right)$. Only in Pastorals. Comp. 2 Tim. i. 12, 14. From mapà beside or with, and $\tau \in \theta$ éval to place. It may mean either something put beside another as an addition or appendix (so Mk. vi. 41; Acts xvi..34), or something put with or in the keeping of another as a trust or deposit. In the latter sense always in LXX. See Lev. vi. 2, 4; Tob. x. 13; 2 Macc. iii. 10,15 . Hdt. vi. 73, of giving hostages ; ix. 45, of confidential words intrusted to the hearer's honour. The verb is a favorite with Luke. The meaning here is that teaching which Timothy had received from Paul; the "sound words" which he was to guard as a sacred trust, and communicate to others.

Vain babblings ( $\kappa \epsilon \nu a \phi \omega \nu$ las). Only in Pastorals. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. From $\kappa \epsilon \nu{ }^{\circ}$ s empty and $\phi \omega \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ voice.

Oppositions of science falsely so called ( $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\psi \epsilon \cup \delta \omega \nu \cup \cup \mu \nu \nu \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Better, oppositions of the falsely-named knowledge. 'A $\nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma t s, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{T} .{ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Used here, in its simple sense, of the arguments and teachings of those who opposed the true Christian doctrine as intrusted to Timothy. $\Gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ knowledge was the characteristic word of the Gnostic school, the most formidable enemy of the chnreh of the second century. The Gnostics claimed a superior knowledge peculiar to an intellectual caste. According to them, it was by this philosophic insight, as opposed to faith, that humanity was to be regenerated. Faith was suited only to the rude masses, the animal-men. The intellectual questions which occupied these teachers were two: to explain the work of creation, and to account for the existence of evil. Their ethical problem was how to develop the higher nature in the environment of matter which was essentially evil. In morals they ran to two opposite extremes - asceticism and licentiousness. The principal representatives of
the school were Basilides, Valentinus, and Marcion. Although Gnosticism as a distinct system did not reach its full development until about the middle of the second century, foreshadowings of it appear in the heresy at which Paul's Colossian letter was aimed. It is not strange if we find in the Pastoral Epistles allusions pointing to Gnostic errors; but, as already remarked, it is impossible to refer these allusions to any one definite system of error. The word $\boldsymbol{y} \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \iota s$ cannot therefore be interpreted to mean the Gnostic system; while it may properly be understood as referring to that conceit of knowledge which opposed itself to the Christian faith. $\Psi \epsilon \nu \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ falsely-named, N. T. ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. It characterises the $\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \iota s$ as claiming that name without warrant, and as being mere vain babbling. Comp. Col. ii. 8.
21. Professing. See on ch. ii. 10.

Erred (グ $\bar{\gamma}$ ó $\chi \eta \sigma a \nu$ ). See on ch. i. 6, and comp. 2 Tim. ii. 18.

Grace be with thee. The correct reading is $\mu \in \theta^{3} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ with you. Although addressed to an individual, he is included in the church. This brief benediction occurs in Paul only in Colossians.

## THE SECOND EPISTLE TO TIMOTHY.

## CHAPTER I.

1. An apostle by the will of God. So 2 d Corinthians, Ephesians, Colossians. 1st Corinthians adds called or by call ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau o ̀ s)$.

According to the promise, etc. ( $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda(a \nu)$. 'A $\pi o \sigma-$ тó入os катà does not appear in any of the Pauline salutations. In 1 Tim. кaт' $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau a \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ according to the commandment, and in Titus кaтà $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, etc., according to the faith, etc. Kat' é $\pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \dot{a} a \nu$, though in other connections, Acts xiii. 23; Gal. iii. 29. 'E $\pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a$, primarily announcement, but habitually promise in N. T. In Pastorals only here and 1 T. iv. 8. With the promise of the life in Christ goes the provision for its proclamation. Hence the apostle, in proclaiming "ye shall live through Christ," is an apostle according to the promise.

Of life which is in Christ Jesus. The phrase promise of life only here and 1 Tim. iv. 8. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Life in Christ is a Pauline thought. See Rom. viii. 2; 2 Cor. iv. 10; Rom. vi. $2-14$; Gal. ii. 19, 20 ; Col. iii. 4 ; Philip. i. 21. It is also a Johannine thought; see J. i. 4; iii. 15; xi. 25; xiv. 6 ; 1 J. v. 11.
2. Dearly beloved (ả $\gamma a \pi \eta \tau \hat{\omega})$. Better, beloved. Comp. 1 Cor. iv. 17. In 1 Tim. i. 2, Timothy is addressed as $\gamma \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota o s$ true, and Titus in Tit. i. 4.
3. I thank God ( $\chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ ё $\chi \omega \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ ). Lit. I have thanks to God. The phrase in L. xvii. 9; Acts ii. 47; ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. unless 285

2 Cor. i. 15;* 1 Tim. i. 12; Heb. xii. 28; 3 J. 4. Paul uses $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi a p \iota \sigma \tau \bar{\omega}$ I give thanks (not in Pastorals) or $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \gamma \eta$ tòs of $\theta$ cós blessed be God (not in Pastorals). The phrase $\chi$ á $\rho \iota \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ is a Latinism, habere gratiam, of which several are found in Pastorals. $\dagger$

I serve ( $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega)$ ). In Pastorals only here. Comp. Rom. i. 9,25 ; Philip. iii. 3. Frequent in Hebrews. Originally, to serve for hire. In N. T. both of ritual service, as Heb. viii. 5 ; ix. 9 ; x. 2 ; xiii. 10 ; and of worship or service generally, as L. i. 74; Rom. i. 9. Especially of the service rendered to God by the Israelites as his peculiar people, as Acts xxvi. 7. Comp. גatpeía service, Rom. ix. 4; Heb. ix. 1, 6. In LXX always of the service of God or of heathen deities.

From my forefathers (ảmò $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma o \dot{v} \omega \nu$ ). Прórovos, $\mathrm{Past}^{0}$. See on 1 Tim. v. 4. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For the thought, comp. Acts xxiv. 14 ; Philip. iii. 5. He means, in the spirit and with the principles inherited from his fathers. Comp. the sharp distinction between the two periods of Paul's life, Gal. i. 13, 14.

With pure conscience ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \kappa a \theta a \rho \underline{q} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon t$ ). As 1 Tim. iii. 9. 'The phrase, Pasto. Heb. ix. 14 has каӨaptєî т̀̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ shall purge our conscience.

That without ceasing ( $\dot{\omega} s \dot{a} \delta \iota^{\alpha} \dot{\lambda}^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \pi \tau o \nu$ ). The passage is much involved. Note (1) that $\chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ é $\chi \omega$ т $\uparrow \hat{\varrho} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ I thank God must have an object. (2) That object cannot be that he unceasingly remembers Timothy in his prayers. (3) That object, though remote, is $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi \delta^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu} \lambda a \beta \grave{\nu} \nu$ when $I$ received reminder (ver. 5). He thanks God as he is reminded of the

[^109]faith of Timothy's ancestors and of Timothy himself. Rend. freely, "I thank God whom I serve from my forefathers. with pure conscience, as there goes along with my prayers an unceasing remembrance of thee, and a daily and nightly longing, as I recall thy tears, to see thee, that I may be filled with joy -I thank God, I say, for that I have been reminded of the unfeigned faith that is in thee," etc. 'A $\delta \iota a \cdot \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau o \nu ~ u n c e a s-~$
 Rom. i. 9 ; 1 Th. i. 3; ii. 13 ; v. 17.

 mention, Rom. i. 9; Eph. i. 16; 1 Th. i. 2; Philem. 4.

Night and day (עvктòs кaì $\dot{\eta} \mu$ épas). See 1 Tim. v. 5. The phrase in Paul, 1 Th. ii. 9 ; iii. 10; 2 Th. iii. 8. Const. with greatly desiring.*
4. Greatly desiring ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi<\theta \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Better, longing. Pastorals only here. Quite frequent in Paul. See Rom. i. 11; 2 Cor. v. 2; ix. 14; Philip. i. 8, etc. The compounded preposition é $\pi \grave{\imath}$ does not denote intensity, as A. V. greatly, but direction. Comp. ch. iv. 9, 21.

Being mindful of thy tears ( $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v o s ~ \sigma o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta a \kappa \rho v i \omega \nu)$. The verb $\mu \iota \mu \nu \eta \eta_{\kappa \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ i n ~ P a u l, ~ o n l y ~}^{1}$ Cor. xi. 2. In Pastorals only here. The words give the reason for the longing to see Timothy. The allusion is probably to the tears shed by Timothy at his parting from Paul. $\dagger$ One is naturally reminded of the parting of Paul with the Ephesian elders at Miletus (Acts xx. 17 ff., see especially ver. 37). Holtzmann remarks that Paul's discourse on that occasion is related to this passage as programme to performance. Bonds await the

[^110]apostle (Acts xx. 23), and Paul appears as a prisoner (2 Tim. i. 8). He must fulfil his course (Acts xx. 24); here he has fulfilled it (2 Tim. iv. 7). He bids the overseers take heed to the flock, for false teachers will arise in the bosom of the church (Acts xx. 29, 30); these letters contain directions for the guidance of the flock, and denunciations of heretical teachers.

That I may be filled with joy. Const. with longing to see you.
5. When I call to remembrance ( $\left.\dot{v} \pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\mu} \mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu\right)$. The object of $\chi$ ápıv $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \chi\end{gathered}$, ver. 3 . Lit. having received a reminding. The phrase, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ' $\Upsilon \pi$ тó $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ reminding (but sometimes intransitive, remembrance), only here, 2 Pet. i. 13 ; iii. 1. In LXX three times. As distinguished from àvá $\mu$ $\nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ remembrance ( 1 Cor. xi. 24,25 ) it signifies a reminding or being reminded by another ; while à $\nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is a recalling by one's self.
 $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma)$. See on 1 Tim. i. 5. For the peculiar collocation of the Greek words, comp. Acts xvii. 28; Rom. i. 12 ; Eph. i. 15. The writer's thought is probably not confined to Christian faith, but has in view the continuity of Judaism and Christianity. In ver. 3 he speaks of serving God from his forefathers. In Acts xxiv. 14 Paul is represented as saying that even as a Christian he serves the God of his fathers, believing all things contained in the law and the prophets.

Dwelt ( $\dot{\nu} \nu \notin \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu)$. Paul uses the verb with sin, the divine Spirit, God, the word of Christ, but nowhere with faith. The phrase faith dwells in, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. According to Paul, Christians are or stand in faith; but faith is not represented as dwelling in them. Christ dwells in the heart through faith (Eph. iii. 17).

First ( $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu$ ). With reference to Timothy, and with a comparative sense, as Matt. v. 24 ; vii. 5; Mk. iii. 27; 1 Th. iv. 16, etc. This is shown by the last clause of the verse. The writer merely means that faith had already dwelt in Timothy's grandmother and mother before it did in him. How much farther back his believing ancestry went he does not say. Comp. Acts xvi. 1.

Grandmother $(\mu \dot{a} \mu \mu \eta)$. N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Once in LXX, 4 Macc. xvi. 9. Later Greek. The correct classical word is $\tau \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$. See Aristoph. Ach. 49; Plato, Repub. 461 D. From the emphasis upon Timothy's receiving his training from his Jewish mother, it has been inferred that his father died early. That he was the child of a mixed marriage appears from Acts xvi. 1.

I am persuaded (тє́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu a \iota$ ). The verb in Pastorals only here and ver. 12. Often in Paul.
6. Wherefore ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu$ aitíav). Lit. for which cause. 'Aıtía not in Paul. The phrase in ver. 12; Tit. i. 13; also in Luke, Acts, and Hebrews. Paul's expression is $\delta \iota o$ or $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ \tau o . ~$

Stir up (ả $\nu a \zeta \omega \pi \nu \rho \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{0}$. LXX, Gen. xlv. 27; 1 Macc. xiii. 7. In Class., as Eurip. Electra, 1121, à $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime}$ av̉ $\sigma \dot{v}$ $\zeta \omega \pi \nu \rho \in i ̂ s ~ \nu \in i ́ \kappa \eta ~ \nu e ́ a ~ y o u ~ a r e ~ r e k i n d l i n g ~ o l d ~ s t r i f e s . ~ F r o m ~ a ̀ \nu a ́ ~$ again, $\zeta \omega o{ }^{\prime} s$ alive, $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ fire. Tò $\zeta \omega \dot{\pi} \pi v \rho o \nu$ is a piece of hot coal, an ember, a spark. Plato calls the survivors of the flood
 sparks of the human race preserved. The word is, therefore, figurative, to stir or kindle the embers. 'Avà combines the meanings again and up, rekindle or kindle up. Vulg. only the former, resuscitare. Comp. à ántтelv kindle up, L. xii. 49; Jas. iii. 5. It is not necessary to assume that Timothy's zeal had become cold.

The gift of God (tò $\chi^{\dot{a} \rho \iota \sigma \mu a}$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o v ̃$ ). See on 1 Tim. iv. 14.
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The laying on of my hands. See on 1 Tim. iv. 14.
7. Spirit of fear ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \delta_{\epsilon} \lambda i a s$ ). Better, of cowardice. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. Rom. viii. 15, and see on the Spirit, Rom. viii. $4, \S 5$.

Of power ( $\delta v \nu a \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \varsigma$ ). Found in all the Pauline Epistles except Philemon. In Pastorals only here, ver. 8, and ch. iii. 5. Not used by our writer in the sense of working miracles, which it sometimes has in Paul. Here, the power to overcome all obstacles and to face all dangers. It is closely linked with the sense of $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a ~ b o l d n e s s$.

Of love (á ${ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} \pi \eta \zeta$ ). See on Gal. v. 22.
Of a sound mind ( $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \iota \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v}$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{0}$ Class. Not self-control, but the faculty of generating it in others or in one's self, making them $\sigma \dot{1} \phi \rho o \nu e s$ of sound mind. Comp. Tit. ii. 4. Rend. discipline. See on $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta, 1$ Tim. ii. 9.
8. Be not ashamed ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ èmaıб $\chi \nu \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ). See on L. ix. 26.

Testimony ( $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \iota o \nu$ ). See on 1 Tim. ii. 6.
His prisoner ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \iota o \nu$ aủzov̂). Paul styles himself the prisoner of the Lord, Eph. iii. 1; iv. i; Philem. i. 9. Only here in Pastorals. Not in a figurative sense, one who belongs to Christ, but one who is imprisoned because of his labours as an apostle of Christ. On Paul's supposed second imprisonment, see Introd. IV.

Be partaker of the afflictions ( $\sigma v \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa о \pi a ́ \theta \eta \sigma o \nu$ ). Only here and ch. ii. 3. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. The compounded $\sigma v \nu$ with, not with the gospel, as Rev., but with me. Share afflictions with me for the gospel.

According to the power of God. Which enables him to endure hardness. Connect with be partaker, etc.
9. Who hath saved us. Salvation is ascribed to God. See on our Saviour, 1 Tim. i. 1.

Called ( $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a \nu \tau о \varsigma) . ~ C o m p . ~ 1 ~ T i m . ~ v i . ~ 12, ~ a n d ~ s e e ~ R o m . ~$ viii. 30 ; ix. 11; 1 Cor. i. 9; Gal. i. 6; 1 Th. ii. 12 . It is Paul's technical term for God's summoning men to salvation. In Paul the order is reversed: called, saved.

With a holy calling ( $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\prime}(\underset{)}{ }$ ). K $\bar{\lambda} \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ calling, often in Paul; but the phrase holy calling only here. In Paul, $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ sometimes as here, with the verb $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon i \nu \nu$ to call, as 1 Cor. vii. 20 ; Eph. iv. 1, 4.

Purpose ( $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ). See on Acts xi. 23 ; Rom. ix. 11.
Grace which was given ( $\left.\chi \begin{array}{l} \\ \alpha \\ \rho\end{array} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta_{0} \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \nu\right)$. Comp. Rom. xii. 3, 6 ; xv. 15 ; 1 Cor. iii. 10 ; Eph. iii. 8 ; iv. 7. The phrase only here in Pastorals.
 ditional note on 2 Th . i. 9. In Pastorals the phrase only here and Tit. i. 2. Not in Paul. Lit. before eternal times. If it is insisted that aióvoos means everlasting, this statement is absurd. It is impossible that anything should take place before everlasting times. That would be to say that there was a beginning of times which are from everlasting. Paul puts the beginnings of salvation in God's purpose before the time of the world ( 1 Cor. ii. 7; 1 Pet. i. 20); and Christ's participation in the saving counsels of God prior to time, goes with the Pauline doctrine of Christ's preëxistence. The meaning, therefore, of this phrase is rightly given in A.V.: before the world began, that is, before time was reckoned by aeons or cycles. Then, in that timeless present, grace was given to us in God's decree, not actually, since we did not exist. The gift pianned and ordered in the eternal counsels is here treated as an actual bestowment.
10. Made manifest ( $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma a \nu$ ). See on 1 Tim. iii. 16. In contrast with the preceding clause, this marks the histor-
ical fulfilment in time of the eternal，divine counsel．Comp． Tit．i．3．There is an implication that the divine counsel was hidden until the fitting time ：comp．Eph．iii．5，and see Col．i． 26.
 1 Tim．vi． 14.

Who hath abolished（катарүи́баעтos）．Better，since he made of none effect．In Pastorals only here．Frequent in Paul．See on make without effect，Rom．iii．3，and comp．is swallowed up， 1 Cor．xv．54．Notice the association of the verb with èmıфávela appearing in 2 Th．ii． 8.

Brought to light（фштl／a⿱亠䒑os）．Only here in Pastorals． In Paul， 1 Cor．iv． 5 ；Eph．i．18；iii． 9.

Immortality（à $\phi \theta a \rho \sigma l a \nu)$ ．Better，incorruption．＇With this exception，only in Paul．See Wisd．ii．23；vi．9； 4 Macc． ix． 22 ；xvii． 12.

11．A teacher of the Gentiles（ $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa a \lambda o s ~ \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ）．Omit of the Gentiles．Comp． 1 Tim．ii．7，from which the words were probably transferred when the three Epistles were jointly edited．Paul calls himself an apostle，and describes himself as preaching（ $\kappa \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ）；but he nowhere calls him－ self $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa a \lambda$ оs a teacher，although he uses $\delta \iota \delta \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ to teach， of himself， 1 Cor．iv． 17 ；Col．i．28．He also uses $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta$＇ teaching，of matter given by him to the converts，Rom．vi．17； xvi．17； 1 Cor．xiv．6．He distinguishes between the apos－ tle and the teacher， 1 Cor．xii． 28 ；Eph．iv． 11.

12．I am not ashamed．Comp．ver．8，and Rom．i． 16.
Whom I have believed（ $\dot{\Phi} \pi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \kappa a)$ ．Or，in whom I have put my trust．See on J．i． 12 ；ii． 22 ；Rom．iv． 5.

Able（ $\delta v \nu a \pi o ́ s)$ ．Often used with a stronger meaning，as 1 Cor．i．26，mighty；Acts xxv．5，oi dvvatol the chief men：
as a designation of God, $\delta$ סvyatós the mighty one, Luke i. 49: of preëminent ability or power in something, as of Jesus,
 19: of spiritual agencies, "The weapons of our warfare are Svváà mighty," etc., 2 Cor. x. 4. Very often in LXX.

That which I have committed ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu \mu o v$ ). More correctly, that which has been committed unto me: my sacred trust. The meaning of the passage is that Paul is convinced that God is strong to enable him to be faithful to his apostolic calling, in spite of the sufferings which attend it, until the day when he shall be summoned to render his final account. The mapaӨ́ккך or thing committed to him was the same as that which he had committed to Timothy that he might teach others (1 Tim. vi. 20). It was the form of sound words (ver. 13); that which Timothy had heard from Paul (ch. ii. 2); that fair deposit (ver. 14). It was the gospel to which Paul had been appointed (ver. 11); which had been intrusted to him (1 Tim. i. 11; Tit. i. 3; comp. 1 Cor. ix. 17; Gal. ii. 7; 1 Th. ii. 4). The verb тарaтөө́val to commit to one's charge is a favourite with Luke. See L. xii. 48 ; Acts xx. 32. Sums deposited with a Bishop
 trust-funds of the church. In the Epistle of the pseudo-Ignatius to Hero (VII) we read: "Keep my deposit ( $\pi a \rho a \theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu$ ) which I and Christ have committed ( $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ) to you. I commit ( $\pi a \rho a \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ ) to you the church of the Antiochenes."

That day ( $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a \nu)$. The day of Christ's second appearing. See on 1 Th. v. 2. In this sense the phrase occurs in the N. T. Epistles only ch. i. 18; iv. 8; 2 Th.i. 10; but often in the Gospels, as Matt. vii. 22; xxvi. 29; Mk. xiii. 32, etc. The day of the Lord's appearing is designated by Paul as $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\rho} a$, absolutely, the day, Rom. xiii. 12; 1 Cor.
 1 Cor. i. 8; 2 Cor. i. 14; 1 Th. v. 2; 2 Th. ii. 2 : the day of Jesus Christ or Christ, Philip. i. 6, 10; ii. 16 : the day when God shall judge, Rom. ii. 16 : the day of wrath and revelation
of the righteous judgment of God, Rom. ii. 5: the day of redemption, Eph. iv. 30.
13. The form (ímoтút $\omega \sigma / \nu$ ). Pasts ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. See on 1 Tim. i. 16.

In faith and love. The teaching is to be held, preached, and practised, not as a mere schedule of conduct, however excellent, but with the strong conviction of faith and the fervour of love.
14. That good thing which was committed ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi a \rho a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu)$. That fair, honourable trust, good and beautiful in itself, and honourable to him who receives it. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. See on ver. 12. Comp. the good warfare, 1 Tim. i. 18 ; teaching, 1 Tim. iv. 6 ; fight, 1 Tim. vi. 12 ; confession, 1 Tim. vi. 12.
15. In Asia. Proconsular Asia, known as Asia Propria or simply Asia. It was the Roman province formed out of the kingdom of Pergamus, which was bequeathed to the Romans by Attalus III (b.c. 130), including the Greek cities on the western coast of Asia, and the adjacent islands with Rhodes. It included Mysia, Lydia, Caria, and Phrygia. The division Asia Major and Asia Minor was not adopted until the fourth century A.D. Asia Minor (Anatolia) was bounded by the Euxine, Aegean, and Mediterranean on the north, west, and south ; and on the east by the mountains on the west of the upper course of the Euphrates.

Have turned away (aं $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \dot{a} \phi \eta \sigma a \nu$ ). Not from the faith, but from Paul.
16. Onesiphorus. Mentioned again, ch. iv. 19.

Refreshed (ávé $\left.\psi v \xi_{\epsilon}\right)$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Several times in LXX; often in Class. 'Avá $\psi v \xi \iota s$ refreshing, Acts iii. 19; and

катачú $\chi \epsilon \downarrow$ to cool, L. xvi. 24. Originally to cool; to revive by fresh air.

Chain (ä $\lambda \nu \sigma \iota \nu)$. Once in Paul, Eph. vi. 20. Seteral times in Mark, Luke, and Acts. It may mean handcuff's or manacles (see Lightfoot, Philippians, ed. of 1896, p. 8), but is not limited to that sense either in classical or later Greek. See Hdt. ix. 74; Eurip. Orest. 984 . Mk. v. 4 is not decisive.
18. Very well ( $\beta \epsilon \lambda_{\tau \tau t o \nu) . ~ N . ~ T . ~}{ }^{\circ}$. The sense is comparative ; better than I can tell you.

## CHAPTER II.

1. Therefore (oiv). In view of what has been said in the previous chapter.

Be strong (ėvסvva $\mu o \hat{v}$ ). In Paul, Rom. iv. 20 ; Eph. vi. 10 ; Philip. iv. 13. Lit. be strengthened inwardly.

In the grace ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \chi \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \tau \iota$ ). Grace is the inward source of strength. Comp. the association of grace and strength in 2 Cor. xii. 9.
2. Among many witnesses ( $\delta \iota a ̀ ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \rho \tau u ́ \rho \omega \nu) . \quad \Delta l a ̀$ through the medium of, and therefore in the presence of.

Commit ( $\pi a \rho \alpha^{\prime} \theta_{0}$ ). As a trust or deposit ( $\pi a \rho a \theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ ). See on ch. i. 12, 14. In Paul only 1 Cor. x. 27.

Faithful (тıбтoîs). Not believing, but trusty, as appears from the context. See on 1 J. i. 9 ; Apoc. i. 5 ; iii. 14.

Able (ikavoi). In Pastorals only here. Very common in Luke and Acts : a few times in Paul. See on many, Rom. xv. 23.
3. Endure hardness ( $\sigma \nu \nu \kappa а \kappa о \pi \alpha \dot{d} \theta_{\eta \sigma \nu \nu)}$. Comp. ch. i. 8. A. V. fails to give the force of $\sigma v v$ with. Rend. suffer hardship with me.

Soldier ( $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Only here in Pastorals. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Frequent in Acts.
4. That warreth ( $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ ). Better, when engaged in warfare. Rev. no soldier on service. In Paul, 1 Cor. ix. 7; 2 Cor. x. 3. In Pastorals only here and 1 Tim. i. 18.

Entangleth himself ( $\epsilon \mu \pi \lambda$ éкєтal). Only here and 2 Pet. ii. 20 (see note). This has been made an argument for clerical celibacy.

In the affairs of this life ( $\quad$ aîs $\tau 0 \hat{v} \beta i o v ~ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau i a t s) . ~$ Better, affairs of life. Not as A.V. implies, in contrast with the affairs of the next life, but simply the ordinary occupations of life. In N. T. Bios means either means of subsistence, as Mk. xii. 44; L. viii. 43 ; 1 J. iii. 17 ; or course of life, as L. viii. 14. Bíos ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$.

Him who hath chosen him to be a soldier ( $\tau \hat{\omega}$ бтрaтo-入orи́бavtı). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. Better, enrolled him as a soldier.
5. Strive for masteries $(\vec{a} \theta \lambda \hat{\eta})$. N. T. ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Paul uses á $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (see 1 Cor. ix. 25), which appears also in 1 Tim. iv. 10 ; vi. 12; 2 Tim. iv. 7. For masteries is superfluous. Rev. contend in the games; but the meaning of the verb is not limited to that. It may mean to contend in battle; and the preceding reference to the soldier would seem to suggest that meaning here. The allusion to crowning is not decisive in favour of the Rev. rendering. Among the Romans crowns were the highest distinction for service in war. The corona triumphalis of laurel was presented to a triumphant general ; and the corona obsidionalis was awarded to a general by the army which he had saved from a siege or
from a shameful capitulation. It was woven of grass which grew on the spot, and was also called corona graminea. The corona myrtea or ovalis, the crown of bay, was worn by the general who celebrated the lesser triumph or ovatio. The golden corona muralis, with embattled ornaments, was given for the storming of a wall; and the corona castrensis or vallaris, also of gold, and ornamented in imitation of palisades, was awarded to the soldier who first climbed the rampart of the enemy's camp.

Is he not crowned (ou่ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu o \hat{\tau} a \iota$ ). The verb only here
 iv. 4 ; 1 Pet. v. 4. Paul has $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o \nu \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu, 1$ Cor. ix. 25.
 to the law of military service which requires him to abandon all other pursuits. So the law of the ministerial office requires that the minister shall not entangle himself with secular pursuits. If he fulfils this requirement, he is not to trouble himself about his worldly maintenance, for it is right that he should draw his support from his ministerial labour : nay, he has the first right to its material fruits.
6. The husbandman that laboureth (тò котtêvta reढ $\rho$ ròv). The verb implies hard, wearisome toil. See on 1 Th . i. 3; v. 12. Гewpyós husbandman, only here in Pastorals. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. See on J. xv. 1.

Must be first partaker ( $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ т $\quad \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu-\mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu)$. Better, must be the first to partake. His is the first right to the fruits of his labour in the gospel. The writer seems to have in his eye 1 Cor. ix. 7, where there is a similar association of military service and farming to illustrate the principle that they who proclaim the gospel should live of the gospel. Meta入außávєı to partake, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$, and only here in Pastorals. Paul uses $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \nu$. See 1 Cor. ix. 10, 12; x. 17, 21, 30.
7. Consider (vóel). Better, understand.

And the Lord give thee understanding ( $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ дá $\rho$ ó кúplos $\sigma u ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu)$. More correctly,for the Lord shall give.* For $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ understanding, see on Mk. xii. 33; L. ii. 47; Col. i. 9 .
8. Remember that Jesus Christ - was raised, etc. Incorrect. Rend. remember Jesus Christ raised from the dead. M $\nu \eta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \epsilon v \epsilon$ remember, only here in Pastorals: often in Paul. 'Ereípel to raise, very often in N. T., but only here in Pastorals. The perfect passive participle (é $\gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \rho \mu$ évov) only here. The perfect marks the permanent condition - raised and still living.

Of the seed of David. Not referring to Christ's human descent as a humiliation in contrast with his victory over death (é̉ $\gamma \gamma \not \subset \rho \mu$ évod), but only marking his human, visible nature along with his glorified nature, and indicating that in both aspects he is exalted and glorified. See the parallel in Rom. i. 3, 4, which the writer probably had in mind, and was perhaps trying to imitate. It is supposed by some that the words Jesus Christ - seed of David were a part of a confessional formula.

According to my gospel. Comp. Rom. ii. 16 ; xvi. 25, and see 1 Cor. xv. 1; 2 Cor. xi. 7; Gal. i. 11; ii. 2 ; 1 Tim. i. 11.
9. Wherein I suffer trouble ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\phi} \kappa а к о т а \theta \hat{\omega})$. Wherein refers to the gospel. Kакотаөєî̀ only here, ch. iv. 5, and Jas. v. 13. LXX, Jon. iv. 10.

As an evildoer ( $\dot{s}$ какои̂pros). Only here and in Luke. Better, malefactor. The meaning is technical. Comp. L. xxiii. 32, 33, 39.

Unto bonds ( $\mu e ́ \chi \chi \iota \iota \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Comp. Philip. ii. 8, $\mu e ́ \chi \rho \iota$ Өavátov unto death: Heb. xii. 4, $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota s$ al̈ $\mu a \tau o s$ unto blood. Const. with I suffer trouble.

[^111]But the word of God is not bound ( $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \dot{\delta}$ रóyos $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ oú סédetal). Nevertheless, although I am in bonds, the gospel which I preach will prevail in spite of all human efforts to hinder it. Word of God often in Paul. In Pastorals, 1 Tim. iv. 5; Tit. ii. 5. Bound, in Paul metaphorically, as here, Rom. vii. 2; 1 Cor. vii. 27, 39.
10. Therefore ( $\delta_{\imath} \grave{a}$ тov̂тo). Because I know that God is carrying on his work.*

That they may also ("עa кai aùzoi). More correctly, they also may, etc. Also, as well as myself.

Obtain the salvation ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ \tau u ́ \chi \omega \sigma \tau \nu$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Paul has $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$ oincıs $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ o b t a i n i n g ~ o f ~ s a l v a t i o n, ~$ 1 Th. v. 9.

Which is in Christ Jesus. The phrase salvation which is in Christ Jesus, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For other collocations with in Christ Jesus in Pastorals, see 1 Tim. i. 14; iii. 13; 2 Tim. i. 1, 9, 13 ; ii. 1, 3, 15.
 eternal glory only here and 1 Pet. v. 10. Paul has aióntov $\beta a{ }^{\prime} \rho o s \delta^{\prime} \xi \eta \mathrm{y}$ eternal weight of glory, 2 Cor. iv. 17. Glory here is the eternal reward of Christians in heaven.
11. It is a faithful saying. Better, faithful is the saying. See on 1 Tim. i. 15. It refers to what precedes - the eternal glory of those who are raised with Christ (ver. 8) which stimulates to endurance of sufferings for the gospel.

For ( $\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ ). Faithful is the saying that the elect shall obtain salvation with eternal glory, for if we be dead, etc. $\dagger$

[^112]The following words to the end of ver. 12 may be a fragment of a hymn or confession, founded on Rom. vi. 8; viii. 17.

If we be dead with him ( $\epsilon i$ $\sigma \nu \nu a \pi \epsilon \theta$ ád $^{\nu} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). A.V. misses the force of the aorist. Better, if we died, etc. Comp. Rom. vi. 8; Col. ii. 20. For the verb, comp. Mk. xiv. 31; 2 Cor. vii. 3.
12. If we suffer we shall also reign with him ( $\epsilon l$ í ímopévo$\mu \epsilon \nu$, кaì $\sigma v \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu)$. For suffer, rend. endure. इvv$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ úciv to reign with, only here and 1 Cor. iv. 8. Comp. L. xix. 17, 19; xxii. 29, 30 ; Rom. v. 17; Apoc. iv. 4; v. 10 ; xxii. 5 .

If we deny him he also will deny us ( $\epsilon \dot{l} \dot{a} \rho \nu \eta \sigma o \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, $\kappa \dot{a} \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}-$ $\nu o s \dot{a} \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\rho} s)$. The verb ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Him must be supplied. The meaning of the last clause is, will not acknowledge us as his own. Comp. L. ix. 26 ; Matt. x. 33.
13. If we believe not ( $\epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ ámıбтồ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Better, are faithless or untrue to him. Comp. Rom. iii. 3. In Pastorals only here.

Faithful ( $\pi \iota \sigma \pi o ̀ s)$. True to his own nature, righteous character, and requirements, according to which he cannot accept as faithful one who has proved untrue to him. To do this would be to deny himself.
14. Put them in remembrance ( $\dot{v} \pi о \mu(\mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon) .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. See on $\dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{\prime} \dot{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ reminding, ch. i. 5.

Charging ( $\delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s) . ~ I n ~ P a u l ~ o n l y ~ 1 ~ T h . ~ i v . ~ 6 . ~$ Very frequent in Acts. See on Acts ii. 40; xx. 23. The sense is rather conjuring them by their loyalty to God. Paul uses the simple $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ in a similar sense. See Gal. v. 3; 1 Th. ii. 12 (note); Eph. iv. 17.

Before God (èvótrov tov̂ $\theta \epsilon o v)$ ). See on 1 Tim. v. 4.
 ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Comp. 入oronaxías disputes of words, 1 Tim. vi. 4 , and see 1 Cor. iv. 20.

To no profit ( $̇ \pi$ ' oủס̀̀̀ $\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$ ). Lit. to nothing useful. ' $\mathrm{E} \pi$ ' oủdèv, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. He uses $\epsilon$ i's $\kappa \epsilon \nu \grave{\partial} \nu$ to no purpose. See 2 Cor. vi. 1; Gal. ii. 2; Philip. ii. 16; 1 Th. iii. 5. X $\rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma \iota \mu$ § useful, N. T. ${ }^{\text {. }}$.
 here to or for (purpose or object), but indicates the ground on which the unprofitableness of the wordy strife rests. Unprofitable because it works subversion of the hearers. Kataбт $\rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$ subversion, transliterated into catastrophe, ouly here and 2 Pet. ii. 6. In LXX of the destruction or over-
 xxi. 12; Mk. xi. 15; Acts xv. 16, cit. Paul uses кaӨaí $\epsilon \sigma \iota s$ pulling down, 2 Cor. x. 4, 8 ; xiii. 10.
15. Study ( $\sigma \pi 0$ oú $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{\sigma} \sigma \nu}$ ). Originally, make haste. In Paul, Gal. ii. 10; Eph. iv. 3 (note) ; 1 Th. ii. 17.

To shew thyself approved ( $\sigma \epsilon a \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta o ́ к \iota \mu о \nu ~ \pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \imath$ ). $\Pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$, better, present. In Pastorals only here and ch. iv. 17. Often in Acts and Paul. See on Acts i. 3; Rom. xvi. 2; Eph. v. 27. $\Delta$ óкı $\mu o v$ approved, only here in Pastorals, five times by Paul. See on Jas. i. 12. On $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ approvedness, Rom. v. 4; and on $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to approve on test, 1 Pet. i. 7.
 iii. 2. In Pastorals, 1 Tim. v. 18.

That needeth not to be ashamed (áveraí $\chi \nu \nu \tau o \nu)$ ). N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{0}$ Class. Lit. not made ashamed, as Philip. i. 20. A workman whose work does not disgrace him.

Rightly dividing ( ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta о \tau о \mu o \hat{y} \nu \tau a$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. In LXX, Prov. iii. 6 ; xi. 5 ; both times in the sense of direct-
ing the way. From óp $\theta$ ós straight and $\tau \in ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ to out. Hence, to cut straight, as paths; to hold a straight course ; generally, to make straight; to handle rightly. Vulg. recte tractare. The thought is that the minister of the gospel is to present the truth rightly, not abridging it, not handling it as a charlatan (see on 2 Cor. ii. 17), not making it a matter of wordy strife (ver. 14), but treating it honestly and fully, in a straightforward manner. Various homiletic fancies have been founded on the word, as, to divide the word of truth, giving to each hearer what he needs: or, to separate it into its proper parts: or, to separate it from error: or, to cut straight through it, so that its inmost contents may be laid bare. Others, again, have found in it the figure of dividing the bread, which is the office of the household steward; or of dividing the sacrificial victims; or of cutting a straight furrow with the plough.
16. Shun ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau a \sigma o) .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. In Pastorals, here and Tit. iii. 9. Originally, to place round; to stand round. In the middle voice, to turn one's self about, as for the purpose of avoiding something : hence, avoid, shun. Often in Class., but in this sense only in later Greek.

Profane and vain babblings ( $\beta \epsilon \beta$ そ́ $\lambda o v s ~ \kappa \epsilon \nu o \phi \omega \nu i ́ a s$ ). For profane, see on 1 Tim. i. 9. Vain is superfluous, being implied in babblings. For babblings, see on 1 Tim. vi. 20. Babble is a word of early origin, an imitative word, formed on the efforts of a young child to speak, and having its counterparts in many languages. It appears very early in English, as in Piers Plowman :

```
" And so I bablede on my bedes."
```

Vis. 2487.
Bacon:
"Who will open himselfe to a blab or a babler?" Ess. vi.
Shakespeare:
"Leave thy vain bibble babble."
Twelfth N. iv. 2.
 and Gal. i. 14.
 ness, for which see on 1 Tim. ii. 2. In Pastorals, Tit. ii. 12. In Paul, Rom. i. 18 ; xi. 26, cit.
17. Will eat ( $\nu 0 \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \epsilon \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ ). Lit. will have pasturage, and so grow. Noù̀ $\pi$ upós is a spreading of fire: a sore is said $\nu о \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \pi<\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ to spread. Comp. Acts iv. 17, $\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ spread, of the influence of the miracle of Peter, from the same root, $\nu \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ to distribute or divide ; often of herdsmen, to pasture. Noùे only here and J. x. 9.

Canker ( $\gamma$ ár $\gamma \rho a \iota \nu a$ ). Transliterated into gangrene. An eating sore ; a cancer. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Comp. Ovid:
"Solet immedicabile cancer
Serpere, et illaesas vitiatis addere partes."
Metam. ii. 825
18. Have erred ( $\bar{\eta} \sigma \sigma \dot{\prime} \chi \eta \sigma a \nu$ ). See on 1 Tim. i. 6.

The resurrection (ảváataciv). Only here in Pastorals.
19. Nevertheless ( $\mu$ éviol). Mostly in John. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Only here in Pastorals.

The foundation of God standeth sure ( $\dot{\delta} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \in \dot{o} \mathrm{~s} \theta \epsilon \mu \dot{\jmath} \lambda \iota o s$ тô̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ є̈́ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ). Wrong. इtepєòs sure is attributive, not predicative. Rend. the firm foundation of God standeth. The phrase foundation of God, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ©emé入los foundation is an adjective, and $\lambda i \theta$ os stone is to be supplied. It is not to be taken by metonymy for oikía house (ver. 20), but must be interpreted consistently with it,* and, in a loose way, represents or foreshadows it. So we speak of an endowed institution as a foundation. By "the sure foundation of God" is meant the church, which is "the pillar and stay of the truth" ( 1 Tim. iii. 15), by means of which the truth of God is to withstand the assaults of error. The church has its being in

[^113]the contents of "the sound teaching " (1 Tim. i. 10), which is " according to godliness" ( 1 Tim. vi. 3 ), and which is deposited in it. "The mystery of godliness" is intrusted to it ( $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{iii} .16$ ). Its servants possess "the mystery of the faith" (1 Tim. iii. 9). In 1 Cor. iii. 11, Christ is represented as "the chief corner-stone." In Eph. ii. 20, the church is built "upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets," with Christ as the corner-stone, and grows into a "holy temple ( $\nu a o \mathrm{o} \nu$ ) in the Lord." Here, the church itself is the foundation, and the building is conceived as a great dwelling-house. While the conception of the church here does not contradict that of Paul, the difference is apparent between it and the conception in Ephesians, where the church is the seat of the indwelling and energy of the Holy Spirit. Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17. $\Sigma_{\tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon o ́ s ~ f i r m ~ o n l y ~ h e r e, ~ H e b . ~ v . ~ 12, ~}^{\text {, }}$ 14, and 1 Pet. v. 9 (note). ${ }^{\circ}$ E $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ standeth, in contrast with overthrow (ver. 18).

Seal ( $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \delta a$ ). Mostly in the Apocalypse. Only here in Pastorals. In Paul, Rom. iv. 11; 1 Cor. ix. 2. Used here rather in the sense of inscription or motto. Comp. Deut. vi. 9 ; xi. 20 ; Apoc. xxi. 14. There are two inscriptions on the foundation stone, the one guaranteeing the security, the other the purity, of the church. The two go together. The purity of the church is indispensable to its security.

The Lord knoweth them that are his (é $\gamma \nu \omega$ cúplos roùs ö $\nu \tau a s$ aủroû). The first inscription: God knows his own. Comp. Num. xvi. 5; 1 Cor. xiii. 12. For ê $\gamma \nu \omega$ knoweth, see on Gal. iv. 9. Them that are his, his éклєктоі̀ chosen; see ver. 10 ; Tit. i. 1 ; Rom. viii. 33 ; Col. iii. 12 ; 1 Pet. ii. 9 ; Apoc. xvii. 14. Not, however, in any hard, predestinarian sense.* Comp. J. x. 14 ; Matt. vii. 23 ; L. xiii. 25, 27.

[^114]Let every one that nameth the name of Christ depart from iniquity. The second inscription, concerning the purity of the church. For of Christ rend. of the Lord (кvolov). 'O $\mathbf{\nu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega \nu$ nameth, only here in Pastorals. It means to give a name to, to style, as Mk. iii. 14 ; L. vi. 14 ; 1 Cor. v. 11 : to pronounce a name as having a special virtue, as in incantation, as Acts xix. 13: to utter a name as acknowledging and appropriating what the name involves, as a confession of faith and allegiance. So here. Comp. Rom. xv. 20 ; 1 Cor. v. 11 ; Isa. xxvi. 13. For ö $\nu o \mu a$ name, see on $1 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{i} .12$. 'A $\pi o \sigma-$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \dot{a} \pi \bar{d}$ àdıcias depart from iniquity. For the verb, see on 1 Tim. iv. 1. Mostly in Luke and Acts. Comp. Num. xvi. 26 ; Isa. lii. 11. Whatever may be implied in God's election, it does not relieve Christians of the duty of strict attention to their moral character and conduct. Comp. Philip. ii. 12. The gift of grace (Eph. ii. 8) is exhibited in making one a coworker with God ( 1 Cor. iii. 9). The salvation bestowed by grace is to be "carried out" (Philip. ii. 12) by man with the aid of grace (Rom. vi. 8-19; 2 Cor. vi. 1). What this includes and requires appears in Philip. iii. 10 ; iv. 1-7; Eph. iv. 13-16, 22 ff. ; Col. ii. 6, 7.
20. But the church embraces a variety of characters. Unrighteous men steal into it. So, in a great household establishment there are vessels fit only for base uses.

House (oikía). As $\theta_{\epsilon} \mu$ é $\lambda \iota o s$ foundation indicates the inward, essential character of the church, oiкía exhibits its visible, outward aspect. The mixed character of the church points to its greatness ( $\mu \in \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ ).

Vessels ( $\sigma \kappa \in \dot{\eta} \eta$ ). See on Matt. xii. 29 ; Mk. iii. 27; Acts ix. 15 ; xxvii. 17 ; 1 Pet. iii. 7.
 wooden, only here and Apoc. ix. 20. 'О $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ k \iota \nu o s ~ o f ~ b a k e d ~$ clay, only here and 2 Cor. iv. 7 (note). Comp. the different metaphor, 1 Cor. iii. 12.

Some to honour and some to dishonour. After Rom. ix. 21.
21. Purge ( $є \kappa \kappa a \theta A^{\prime} \rho p$ ). Only here and 1 Cor. v. 7. The meaning is, separate himself from communion with.

From these (ả $\pi \grave{o}$ тoút $\omega \nu$ ). From such persons as are described as "vessels unto dishonour." Some attenpt to relieve the awkwardness of this figure by referring these to persons. mentioned in vv. 16, 17.

Unto honour ( $\epsilon$ is $\tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ). Const. with vessel, not with sanctified.

Sanctified ( $\grave{\eta} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu e ́ v o \nu)$ ) Comp. 1 Tim. iv. 5. Set apart to noble and holy uses, as belonging to God. See on áyta $\sigma \mu$ ós sanctification, Rom. vi. 19. For äylos holy, see on 1 Tim. v. 10.

Meet ( $\epsilon$ è $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ ). From ev̉ well and $\chi p \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ to use. Hence, easy to make use of, useful. The A.V. meet, is fit, suitable. Rend. serviceable. In contrast with to no profit, ver. 14. See Philem. 11, where the contrast with äxp 1 oros useless is brought out. Only here, ch. iv. 11, Philem. 11.

For the master's use ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta^{\prime} \tau \eta$ ). Use is superfluous. Rend. for the master. The master of the household. See on 1 Tim. vi. 1.

Prepared ( $\mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu$ évò). In Paul, 1 Cor. ii. 9; Philem. 22. Only here in Pastorals. Comp. Tit. iii. 1.

Every good work. The phrase in Paul, 2 Cor. ix. 8; Col. i. 10; 2 Th. ii. 17. In Pastorals, 1 Tim. v. 10; 2 Tim. iii. 17 ; Tit. i. 16 ; iii. 1.
 youthful, N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For ${ }^{\text {émi }} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\mu \mu i ́ a}$ desire, lust, see on Mk. iv. 19;

1 Th. iv. 5. Such counsel from Paul to Timothy seems strange.

Follow ( $\delta(\omega \kappa \epsilon$ ). Pursue. Stronger than follow. A favourite word with Paul to denote the pursuit of moral and spiritual ends. See Rom. ix. 30, 31 ; xii. 13; 1 Cor. xiv. 1 ; Philip. iii. 12.

Peace ( $\left.\epsilon i \rho \eta^{\eta} \nu \eta \nu\right)$. Not a distinct virtue in the list, but a consequence of the pursuit of the virtues enumerated. Const. with with them that call, etc. For peace with $\delta \iota \omega \in \kappa \iota \nu$ pursue, see Rom. xiv. 19; Heb. xii. 14, and Ps. xxxiv. 14, cit. 1 Pet. iii. 11.
 phrase, only here iu Pastorals. See Rom. x. 12, 13, 14 ; 1 Cor. i. 2. See also Acts ii. 21 ; ix. 14 ; xxii. 16.

Out of a pure heart (éк каӨapâs карסías). Const. with call on the Lord. The phrase, 1 Tim. i. 5; 1 Pet. i. 22. Comp. Matt. v. 8.
23. Foolish ( $\mu \omega \rho a ̀ s$ ). In Pastorals only here and Tit. iii. 9. M $\omega \rho$ ós means dull, sluggish, stupid: applied to the taste, flat, insipid: comp. $\mu \omega \rho a \nu \theta \hat{\eta}$ have lost his savour, Matt. v. 13. In Pastorals never substantively, a fool, but so in 1 Cor. iii. 18 ; iv. 10 . Comp. ä $\phi \rho \omega \nu, 1$ Cor. xv. 36.

Unlearned (ảnal $\delta$ eútous). Rev. ignorant is better; but the meaning at bottom is undisciplined: questions of an untrained mind, carried away with novelties : questions which do not proceed from any trained habit of thinking.

Questions ( $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Better, questionings. See on 1 Tim. vi. 4.

Avoid (rapaltov). See on 1 Tim. iv. 7. Better, refuse or decline.

Gender ( $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ). Only here in Pastorals. In Paul, metaphorically, 1 Cor. iv. 15 ; Philem. 10 ; Gal. iv. 24.
24. The servant of the Lord ( $\delta o \hat{\lambda} \lambda o \nu$ кuplov). The teacher or other special worker in the church. Comp. Tit. i. 1 ; Rom. i. 1 ; Gal. i. 10 ; Philip. i. 1 ; Col. iv. 12 . Of any Christian, 1 Cor. vii. 22 ; Eph. vi. 6. The phrase is often applied to the O.T. prophets as a body : see Amos iii. 7; Jer. vii. 25; Ezra ix. 11; Dan. ix. 6. To Joshua, Judg. ii. 8; to David, Ps. lxxvii. 70.

Must not (oủ $\delta \in i ̄$ ). Moral obligation.
Gentle ( $\eta^{\prime} \pi \iota \circ \nu$ ). Only here and 1 Th. ii. 7 (note).
Apt to teach, patient ( $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v, ~ a ̀ v e \xi i ́ \kappa a \kappa o \nu) . ~ \Delta \iota \delta a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$ apt to teach, only here and 1 Tim. iii. 2 (note). 'Аขe ${ }^{\text {íкакак }}$
 Rend. forbearing.
25. In meekness (è $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \tilde{u} \tau \eta \tau \iota$ ). A Pauline word, only here in Pastorals, but comp. траüтatía meekness, 1 Tim. vi. 11 (note). Const. with instructing.

Instructing ( $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v_{0} \nu \tau a$ ). See on 1 Tim. i. 20. Better, correcting.
 N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Class. only late Greek. Themselves is wrong. The meaning is, those who oppose the servant of the Lord; who carry on the áv $\boldsymbol{a} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$ oppositions ( 1 Tim . vi. 20); =gainsayers ( $\dot{\text { a }} \nu \tau \iota \lambda$ érovtes, Tit. i. 9). Paul's word is $\dot{a} \nu \tau i \kappa \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta a \iota$ to oppose : see 1 Cor. xvi. 9; Gal. v. 17; Philip. i. 28 ; 2 Th. ii. 4.

Repentance ( $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ávola $)$. Only here in Pastorals. See on repent, Matt. iii. 2.

To the acknowledging of the truth ( $\epsilon \dot{i} \dot{e} \pi i ́ \hat{\prime} \nu \omega \sigma t \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta$ $\theta \epsilon i a s)$. More correctly, the knowledge. The formula Past ${ }^{\circ}$.

See 1 Tim. ii. 4 (note); 2 Tim. iii. 7. For cis unto after $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ávota repentance, see Mk. i. 4; L. iii. 3; xxiv. 47; Acts xi. 18 ; xx. 21 ; 2 Cor. vii. 10.
26. May recover themselves (ả $\dot{a} \dot{\nu} \eta \dot{\eta} \psi \omega \sigma \iota \nu)$. Lit. may return to soberness. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. See on be sober, 1 Th. v. 6. A similar connection of thought between coming to the knowledge of God and awaking out of a drunken stupor, occurs 1 Cor. xv. 34.

Out of the snare of the devil (éк $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau o v ̂ \delta c a \beta o \lambda \lambda o v \pi a \gamma i \delta o s)$. Comp. Ps. cxxiv. 7. The phrase snare of the devil, only here and 1 Tim. iii. 7 (note). The metaphor is mixed; return to soberness out of the snare of the devil.

Who are taken captive ( ${ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega \gamma \rho \eta \mu \tilde{\nu} \nu 0 \iota$ ). Or, having been held captive. Only here and L. v. 10 (note on thou shalt catch).

By him (ín' aùrov̂). The devil.
At his will ( $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{o}$ ècéivou $\theta^{\prime} \lambda \eta \mu a$ ). Better, unto his will : that is, to do his (God's) will.

The whole will then read: "And that they may return to soberness out of the snare of the devil (having been held captive by him) to do God's will."*

## CHAPTER III.

1. Comp. the beginning of 1 Tim. iv.

This know (тои̂то үivшбкє). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. Paul's $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ ípâs ßoúdouaı $I$ would have you to know,
 know, 1 Cor. xi. 3.

[^115]In the last days ( $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \chi \dot{a} \tau a \iota s \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{́} \rho a \iota s\right)$. The phrase only here in Pastorals, Acts ii. 17, Jas. v. 3. Similar expres-
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \chi^{a} \tau o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ at the last of the times, 1 Pet. i. 20 : '̇ $\pi{ }^{\prime}$

 in the latter seasons, $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{iv} .1$. The times immediately preceding Christ's second appearing are meant. Comp. Heb. i. 2; Jas. v. 3.

Perilous times (кaıpol $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi o i ́) . ~ O n l y ~ h e r e ~ a n d ~ M a t t . ~}$ viii. 28. Lit. hard times: schwere Zeiten. Kaıpós denotes a definite, specific season. See on Matt. xii. 1; Acts i. 17.

Shall come (èvot $\eta \sigma o \nu \tau a \imath)$. Or will set in. Mostly in Paul. Only here in Pastorals. See on Gal. i. 4.
2. Lovers of their own selves ( $\phi^{\prime}$ inaviol). Better, lovers of self. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Aristotle, De Repub. ii. 5, says: " It is not loving one's self, but loving it unduly, just as the love of possessions."

Covetous ( $\phi \iota \lambda a ́ \rho \gamma v \rho o \iota) . ~ B e t t e r, ~ l o v e r s ~ o f ~ m o n e y . ~ O n l y ~$ here and L. xvi. 14. For the noun $\phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma v \rho i ́ a ~ l o v e ~ o f ~ m o n e y, ~$ see on 1 Tim . vi. 10. Love of money and covetousness are not synonymous. Covetous is $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \eta s ;$ see 1 Cor. v. 10, 11; Eph. v. 5. See on Rom. i. 29.

Boasters (á $\left.\lambda a \xi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \mathrm{ves}\right)$. Or swaggerers. Only here and Rom. i. 30. See on $\dot{a} \lambda a \zeta o v e ́ a \iota s$ boastings, Jas. iv. 16.
 pride, Mk. vii. 22.

Blasphemers ( $\beta \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \phi \eta \mu \circ \iota$ ). See on 1 Tim. i. 13. Better, railers. See also on $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu l a$ blasphemy, Mk. vii. 22.

Unthankful (á $\chi \dot{a} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \iota$ ). Only here and L. vi. 35.

Unholy (ả ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota o \iota$ ). Only here and 1 Tim. i. 9 (note).
3. Without natural affection (ä $\sigma \tau о \rho \gamma o \iota$ ). Only here and
 $\sigma \tau$ ¢́pyєl to love with a natural affection.

Truce-breakers (ä́ $\pi \pi 0 \nu \delta o \iota$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .^{\circ}$ LXX. Rend. $i m-$ placable. From à not, and onovoal a treaty or truce. The meaning is, refusing to enter into treaty, irreconcilable.*

Incontinent (àкратєîs). Or intemperate, without self-control. N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Once in LXX, Prov. xxvii. 20. 'Acpafía incontinence, Matt. xxiii. 25; 1 Cor. vii. 5; 1 Macc. vi. 26 ; Ps. of Sol. iv. 3.
 $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \nu \epsilon$ merciless, Rom. i. 31.

Despisers of those that are good (à $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \gamma a \theta o l$ ). Better, haters of good. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Comp. the opposite, $\phi \stackrel{\lambda}{ }{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} \theta_{o \nu}$ lover of good, Tit. i. .8.
4. Traitors ( $\pi \rho 0 \delta o ́ t a \imath$ ). Or betrayers. Only here, L. vi. 16 ; Acts vii. 52.

Heady ( $\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ). Precipitate, reckless, headstrong in the pursuit of a bad end under the influence of passion. Only here and Acts xix. 36. In LXX, slack, loose, hence foolish, Prov. x. 14, and dividing or parting asunder, as the lips; of one who opens his lips and speaks hastily or thoughtlessly, Prov. xiii. 3. Comp. Sir. ix. 18.

Highminded ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \cup ф \omega \mu e ́ v o l)$. Better, besotted or clouded with pride. See on 1 Tim. iii. 6, and comp. 1 Tim. vi. 4.

Lovers of pleasure more than lovers of God ( $\phi \iota \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \delta o \nu o \iota$ $\left.\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda a \nu{ }^{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{\iota} \lambda_{0}^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon \sigma ⿱}\right)$. Pleasure-lovers rather than God-lovers. Both words N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX.

[^116]5. A form ( $\mu$ ó $\rho \phi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Only here and Rom. ii. 20. Mopф $\eta$ form (for the want of any other rendering) is the expression or embodiment of the essential and permanent being of that which is expressed. Mó $\phi \omega \omega \iota \iota$, lit. forming or shaping. Yet the meaning differs in different passages. In Rom. ii. 20, $\mu$ ó $\rho \phi \omega \sigma \iota s$ is the truthful embodiment of knowledge and truth as contained in the law of God. Here, the mere outward semblance, as distinguished from the essential reality.

The power ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta$ víva $^{\prime} i \nu$ ). The practical virtue. Comp. 1 Cor. iv. 20. It is impossible to overlook the influence of Rom. i. 29-31 in shaping this catalogue.

Turn away (á áтoт $\rho \in ́ \pi o v$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. тapaıтô̂ avoid,
 є́кклі̀јєтє turn away, Rom. xvi. 17.
6. Of this sort (éк $\left.\tau о \dot{v}^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu\right)$. Lit. of these. The formula often in Paul.

Which creep (oi évסívovтes). N. T. ${ }^{\mathbf{0}}$. Thrust themselves
 2 Pet. ii. 1 (note), mapeıซákovaıv shall privily bring in; and Gal. ii. 4, тарєєба́ктоンs brought in by stealth.

Lead captive (aix $\mu a \lambda \omega \tau \ell \zeta_{0 \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma) . ~ O n l y ~ h e r e ~ i n ~ P a s t o r a l s . ~}^{\text {) }}$ See on captives, L. iv. 18 ; and 2 Cor. x. 5.

Silly women ( $\gamma v v a \iota \kappa a ́ \rho ı a) . ~ N . T .{ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Silly is expressed by the contemptuous diminutive. Comp. Vulg. mulierculas.

Laden ( $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \rho \epsilon \nu \mu e ́ v a$ ). Only here and Rom. xii. 20, citation. In LXX, see Judith xv. 11, of loading a wagon with the property of Holofernes. It implies heaped up; heavily laden.

Led away (áyó $\mu \in v a$ ). Away is superfluous. It is only an inference. The meaning is under the direction of. Comp. Rom. viii. 14 ; Gal. v. 18.

Divers ( $\pi 0 \iota \kappa / \lambda a \iota \varsigma$ ). In Pastorals only here and Tit. iii. 3. Lit. variegated, of different tints. See on manifold wisdom, Eph. iii. 10.*
7. Ever learning. From any one who will teach them. See on 1 Tim. v. 13. It is a graphic picture of a large class, by no means extinct, who are caught and led by the instructions of itinerant religious quacks.

Never able ( $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \delta \nu \nu \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \alpha$ ). Because they have not the right motive, and because they apply to false teachers. Ellicott thinks that there is in $\delta v \nu a \dot{\mu} \varepsilon \nu a$ a hint of an unsuccessful endeavour, in better moments, to attain to the truth.
8. As (ồ $\left.\tau \rho \rho^{\prime} \pi o \nu\right)$. The formula occurs in the Synoptic Gospels (see Matt. xxiii. 37 ; L. xiii. 34), and in Acts (i. 11 ; vii. 28), but not in Paul.

Jannes and Jambres. According to tradition, the names of the chiefs of the magicians who opposed Moses. Ex. vii. 11, 22.
 rupted in mind. The verb, N. T. ${ }^{\text {o }}$. Comp. $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ тòv vô̂̀ corrupted in mind, 1 Tim. vi. 5.

Reprobate (á $\delta o ́ \kappa \iota \mu o \iota)$. In Pastorals only here and Tit. i. 16. A Pauline word. See on Rom. i. 28, and castaway, 1 Cor. ix. 27.
9. Shall proceed ( $\pi \rho о к o ́ \psi o v \sigma \iota \nu) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ c h . ~ i i . ~ 16 . ~$

[^117]Folly (äpooa). Only here and L. vi. 11 (note). The senselessness of their teaching, with an implication of its immoral character.

Manifest (e้кঠŋдоऽ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. LXX, 3 Macc. iii. 19 ; vi. 5.
10. Hast fully known (тарұкодои́Өךбas). Better, thou didst follow. See on 1 Tim. iv. 6. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$.

Manner of life ( $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{n}$ ). Or conduct. N. T. ${ }^{0}$. LXX, mostly 2d and 3d Maccabees. Often in Class., but mostly in a transitive sense, leading, conducting.

Purpose ( $\pi \rho 0 \theta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma l$ ). See on Acts xi. 23 ; Rom. ix. 11. In Paul, ouly of the divine purpose.

Longsuffering, charity, patience. For longsuffering, see on Jas. v. 7. For charity rend. love, and see on Gal. v. 22. For patience, see on 2 Pet. i. 6 ; Jas. v. 7.
11. Persecutions, afflictions ( $\left.\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu o i s, \pi a \theta \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu\right) . \Delta \iota \omega \gamma-$ $\mu^{\prime}$ 's persecution, only here in Pastorals. Occasionally in Paul. Má $\theta \eta \mu a$ suffering, only here in Pastorals. Often in Paul, usually in the sense of sufferings, but twice of sinful passions, Rom. vii. 5 ; Gal. v. 24.

Antioch, Iconium, Lystra. See Acts xiii. 50 ; xiv. 2 ff.; xiv. 19. These cities may have been selected as illustrations because Timothy was at home in that region. See Acts xvi. 1, 2. Antioch is mentioned by Paul, Gal. ii. 11. Iconium and Lystra nowhere in his letters.

Delivered (épúvato). Often in Paul. Originally, to draw to one's self; to draw out from peril. Paul, in Rom. xi. 26, applies the prophecy of Isa. lix. 20 to Christ, who is called o $\rho_{0} \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ os the deliverer, LXX.
12. Who will live (oi $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ 乡 $\grave{\eta} \nu)$. Whose will is to live, or who are bent on living.

Godly ( $\epsilon \dot{u} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ). Only here and Tit. ii. 12. Comp. $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$

 iv. 7 ; vi. 11, and on godliness, 1 Tim. ii. 2.

Shall suffer persecution ( $\delta \omega \omega \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota$ ). In this sense only here in Pastorals.
13. Seducers (yó $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\mathbf{o}}$. Better, impostors or deceivers. From yoầ to howl. Originally, one who chants spells; a wizard, sorcerer. Hence, a cheat.

Shall wax worse and worse ( $\pi \rho \circ \kappa o ́ \psi o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ тò $\chi \in i \hat{\rho} \rho \nu)$. Lit. shall proceed to the worse. The formula, Past ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. ver. 9 and ch. ii. 16.

Deceiving ( $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ). Properly, leading astray. See on $\pi \lambda a ́ v o \iota s ~ s e d u c i n g, ~ 1 ~ T i m . ~ i v . ~ 1 . ~ . ~$
14. Hast been assured of ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \rho$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Quite often in LXX. So 2 Sam. vii. 16, shall be established (of the house of David) : Ps. lxxvii. 37, steadfast in his covenant.
15. From a child (á àò $\beta$ pé́oovs). Mostly in Luke. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Only here in Pastorals. See on 1 Pet. ii. 2. Comp. Mk. ix. 21, éк $\pi a \iota \delta \delta^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ from a child.

The holy Scriptures (iepà $\gamma \rho \alpha^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau a$ ). Note particularly the absence of the article. $\Gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a \tau a$ is used in N. T. in several senses. Of characters of the alphahet (2 Cor. iii. 7; Gal. vi. 11) : of a document (L. xvi. 6, take thy bill): of epistles (Acts xxviii. 21): of the writings of an author collectively (J. v. 47) : of learning (Acts xxvi. 24, $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a ~ m u c h ~$
 read (Isa. xxix. 11, 12). The Holy Scriptures are nowhere called iepà $\gamma \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau a$ in N. T. In LXX, $\gamma \rho \alpha^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau a$ is never used of sacred writings of any kind. Both Josephus and Philo use $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ i $\in \rho a ̀$ à $\gamma \rho \alpha \alpha_{\mu \mu} \mu \tau \alpha$ for the O. T. Scriptures.* The

[^118]words here should be rendered sacred learning. The books in the writer's mind were no doubt the O. T. Scriptures, in which Timothy, like every Jewish boy, had been instructed; but he does not mean to designate those books as i i $\rho$ à $\gamma \rho \alpha^{\prime} \mu$ дata. He means the learning acquired from Scripture by the rabbinic methods, according to which the O . T. books were carefully searched for meanings hidden in each word and letter, and especially for messianic intimations. Specimens of such learning may be seen here and there in the writings of Paul, as 1 Cor. ix. 9 f. ; x. 1 f. ; Gal. iii. 16 f. ; iv. 21 f . In Acts iv. 13, the council, having heard Peter's speech, in which he interpreted Ps. cxviii. 22 and Isa. xxviii. 16 of Christ, at once perceived that Peter and John were $\dot{a} \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a r o$, , not versed in the methods of the schools. Before Agrippa, Paul drew the doctrine of the Resurrection from the O. T., whereupon Festus exclaimed, "much learning ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀$ र $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a \tau a$, thy acquaintance with the exegesis of the schools) hath made thee mad" (Acts xxvi. 24). To Agrippa, who was "expert in all customs and questions which are among the Jews" (Acts xxvi. 3), the address of Paul, a. pupil of Hillel, was not surprising, although he declared that Paul's reasoning did not appeal to him. In J. vii. 15, when Jesus taught in the temple, the Jews wondered, and said : "How knoweth this man letters?" That a Jew should know the Scriptures was not strange. The wonder lay in the exegetical skill of one who had not been trained by the literary methods of the time.

To make thee wise ( $\sigma \epsilon \sigma 0 \phi / \sigma a l$ ). Only here and 2 Pet. i. 16. See note there on cunningly devised. To give thee understanding of that which lies behind the letter ; to enable thee to detect in the O . T. books various hidden allusions to Christ ; to draw from the O.T. the mystery of messianic salvation, and to interpret the O. T. with Christ as the key. This gives significance to the following words, through faith which is in Christ Jesus. Jesus Christ was the key of Scripture, and through faith in him Scripture became a power unto salvation. The false teachers also had their learning,
but used it in expounding Jewish fables, genealogies, etc. Hence, their expositions, instead of making wise unto salvation, were vain babblings; profane and old wives' fables (1 Tim. iv. 7; 2 Tim. ii. 16). Const. through faith, etc., with make wise, not with salvation.
16. All Scripture ( $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ ypaф̀̀). Better, every Scripture, that is, every passage of Scripture. Scripture as a whole is
 $\Gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ is the single passage, usually defined by this, or that, or the, or which saith.*

Is given by inspiration of God ( $\theta$ cóт $\nu \in v \sigma \tau o s$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. From $\theta$ eòs God and $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ to breathe. God-breathed. The word tells us nothing of the peculiar character or limits of inspiration beyond the fact that it proceeds from God. In construction omit is, and rend. as attributive of ypaф̀ every divinely-inspired Scripture.

And is profitable (каì $\left.\dot{\omega} \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \iota \mu о \varsigma\right) . ~ A c c o r d i n g ~ t o ~ A . ~ V ., ~$ кai and is merely the copula between two predicates of yoapウ. It is divinely-inspired and is profitable. According to the interpretation given above, rai has the force of also. Every divinely-inspired Scripture is, besides being so inspired and for that reason, also profitable, etc. ' $\Omega \phi$ é $\lambda \iota \mu o s$ profitable, Past ${ }^{0}$. See on 1 Tim. iv. 8.

For doctrine ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i ́ a \nu)$. Better, teaching. Comp. to make thee wise, ver. 15.

Reproof ( $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu o ́ \nu)$. Better, conviction. N. T. ${ }^{\mathbf{o}}$. ${ }^{\mathbf{o}}$ Class. Comparatively frequent in LXX, mostly in the sense of rebuke: sometimes curse, punishment. See Ps. of Sol. x. 1, but the reading is disputed with é $\lambda$ é $\gamma \chi \varphi$. See on the verb è $\lambda$ ér $\chi^{\epsilon \iota \nu}$, J. iii. 20.

[^119]Correction (é $\pi$ a $\alpha \dot{\partial} \rho \theta \omega \sigma \tau \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Twice in LXX. Restoring to an upright state (oboOós erect); setting right.

Instruction (Taidelà). Better, chastisement or discipline. See on Eph. vi. 4. In LXX mostly correction or discipline, sometimes admonition. Specially of God's chastisement by means of sorrow and evil.
17. Perfect (ä $\rho \tau \iota o s$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Rev. complete; but the idea is rather that of mutual, symmetrical adjustment of all that goes to make the man : harmonious combination of different qualities and powers. Comp. кaтáptıбıs perfecting, 2 Cor. xiii. 9 : кaтapтьбرós perfecting (as accomplished), Eph. iv. 12: катартíal make perfect or bring into complete adjustment, Heb. xiii. 21.

Thoroughly furnished (é $\xi \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \dot{v} \nu o \varsigma)$. The same root as äprıos. It fills out the idea of äp $\quad$ oos ; fitted out. Only here and Acts xi. 5 (note). ${ }^{\circ}$ Class.

Unto all good works ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ e ́ p \gamma o \nu ~ a ́ r a \theta o ̀ \nu) . ~ M o r e ~ c o r-~$ rectly, every good work. Any writing which can produce such profitable results vindicates itself as inspired of God. It is to be noted that the test of the divine inspiration of Scripture is here placed in its practical usefulness.

## CHAPTER IV.

1. I charge ( $\delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau u ́ \rho o \mu a \imath)$. See on 1 Tim. v. 21.
 appearing," è $\pi \iota \phi a ́ v \epsilon \iota a \nu$ thus depending on $\delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho o \mu a \iota$, and the accusative being the ordinary accusative of conjuration, with which by must be supplied. The A.V. follows the reading кãà at. For èmıфávela appearing, see on 1 Tim. vi. 14; 2 Th. ii. 8. For $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ía kingdom, see on L. vi. 20.
2. Be instant $\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \eta \theta_{l}\right)$. Better, be ready. Once in Paul, 1 Th. v. 3. Frequent in Luke and Acts. Lit. stand by, be at hand, be present. To come suddenly upon, L. ii. 38. Hence, be ready. Instant signifies urgent, importunate, persevering. Lat. instare to press upon. Thus Latimer, "I preached at the instant request of a curate." Su N. T., Rom. xii. 12, "Continuing instant in prayer."

In season (єن̉火a( $\rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ ). Only here and Mk. xiv. 11. LXX once, Sir. xviii. 22. Comp. єن̉каıрєî̀ to have leisure or opportunity, Mk. vi. 31; 1 Cor. xvi. 12: eủkalpía opportunity, Matt. xxvi. 16 : єひ̈кaıpos seasonable, convenient, Mk. vi. 21; Heb. iv. 16.

Out of season (áкаіршs). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX once, Sir. xxxv. 4. Comp. àкає $\rho \in і$ î $\theta$ aı to lack opportunity, Philip. iv. 10. Timothy is not advised to disregard opportuneness, but to discharge his duty to those with whom he deals, whether it be welcome or not.
 on 1 Tim. v. 20 and J. iii. 20. In Paul, 1 Cor. xiv. 24; Eph. v. 11, 13. Comp. è $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ conviction, ch. iii. 16.

Rebuke ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau(\mu \eta \sigma o \nu)$. In Pastorals only here. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Mostly in the Synoptic Gospels, where it is frequent. It has two meanings : rebuke, as Matt. viii. 26 ; L. xvii. 3, and charge, as Matt. xii. 16 ; xvi. 20, commonly followed by " y a that or $\lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ saying (Matt. xx. 31; Mk. i. 25; iii. 12; viii. 30; L. iv. 35), but see L. ix. 21. The word implies a sharp, severe rebuke, with, possibly, a suggestion in some cases of impending penalty ( $\tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ); charge on pain of. This might go to justify the rendering of Holtzmann and von Soden, threaten. To charge on pain of penalty for disobedience implies a menace, in this case of future judgment.

Exhort (таракá $\lambda_{\epsilon} \sigma o \nu$ ). See on consolation, L. vi. 24; comfort, Acts ix. 31. Tischendorf changes the order of the
three imperatives, reading ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \xi \xi^{\prime} \nu, \pi a \rho a \kappa a ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma o \nu, \dot{e} \pi \iota \tau i \mu \eta \sigma o \nu$. In that case there is a climax : first convict of error, then, exhort to forsake error, finally threaten with the penalty of persistence in error.

With all longsuffering and doctrine (è $\bar{\pi} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \quad \mu a \kappa \rho o \theta \nu \mu i a$ $\kappa a i ̀ \delta i \delta a \chi \hat{\eta})$. Пáбך, every possible exhibition of longsuffering, etc. For doctrine rend. teaching. The combination is suggestive. Longsuffering is to be maintained against the temptations to anger presented by the obstinacy and perverseness of certain hearers ; and such are to be met, not merely with rebuke, but also with sound and reasonable instruction in the truth. So Calvin: "Those who are strong only in fervour and sharpness, but are not fortified with solid doctrine, weary themselves in their vigorous efforts, make a great noise, rave, . . . make no headway because they build without foundation." Men will not be won to the truth by scolding. "They should understand what they hear, and learn to perceive why they are rebuked " (Bahnsen). $\Delta i \delta a \chi \eta$ teaching, only here and Tit. i. 9 in Pastorals. The usual word is $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i ́ a$. Paul uses both.
3. For ( $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ). Ground for the preceding exhortations in the future opposition to sound teaching.

Endure (ả $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ égovtal). Only here in Pastorals. Mostly in Paul. Comp. Acts xviii. 14; 2 Cor. xi. 4 ; Heb. xiii. 22.
 ful teaching. The A. V. overlooks the article which is important. The teaching plays a prominent part in these Epistles, and signifies more than teaching in general. See on 1 Tim. i. 10.

Shall they heap to themselves teachers ( $\dot{\varepsilon} a v \tau o \hat{\imath} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \omega \rho \epsilon \dot{\prime}-$ бovaı» $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda o v s)$. A vigorous and graphic statement. 'E $\pi \iota \sigma \omega \rho \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ to heap up, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. $\sigma \in \sigma \omega \rho \in \nu \mu$ éva laden, ch. iii. 6. The word is ironical ; shall invite teachers en
masse.* In periods of unsettled faith, scepticism, and mere curious speculation in matters of religion, teachers of all kinds swarm like the flies in Egypt. The demand creates the supply. The hearers invite and shape their own preachers. If the people desire a calf to worship, a ministerial calf-maker is readily found. "The master of superstition is the people, and in all superstition wise men follow fools" (Bacon, Ess. xvii).

Having itching ears ( $\kappa \nu \eta \theta \dot{\theta}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ à $\left.\kappa \circ \eta^{\prime} \nu\right)$. Or, being tickled
 itching. Hesychius explains, "hearing for mere gratification." Clement of Alexandria describes certain teachers as "scratching and tickling, in no human way, the ears of those who eagerly desire to be scratched" (Strom. v). Seneca says: "Some come to hear, not to learn, just as we go to the theatre, for pleasure, to delight our ears with the speaking or the voice or the plays" (Ep. 108). 'Aкoŋ', A. V. ears, in N. T. a report, as Matt. iv. 24; xiv. 1; xxiv. 6 : in the plural, ears (never ear in singular), as Mk. vii. 35; L. vii. 1: hearing, either the act, as Acts xxviii. 26 ; Rom. x. 17, or the sense, 1 Cor. xii. 17, here, and ver. 4.
 vovtai). More correctly, will turn aside. The passive has a middle sense. For fables see on 1 Tim. i. 4.
5. Watch thou ( $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \hat{\eta} \phi \epsilon$ ). See on 1 Th. v. 6, and on $\dot{a} \nu a \nu \eta \dot{\prime} \psi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ recover, 2 Tim. ii. 26.

Endure afflictions (какота́ $\theta$ Пбо⿱) . Or suffer hardship. See on ch. ii. 9, and comp، ch. iv. 5 .

Of an evangelist ( $\epsilon \dot{u} a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v})$. Here, Acts xxi. 8 and Eph. iv. 11. In the last passage, a special function, with

[^120]apostles，prophets，pastors，and teachers．A travelling minis－ ter．whose work was not confined to a particular church．So Philip，Acts viii．5－13，26－40．A helper of the apostles．An apostle，as such，was an evangelist（ 1 Cor．i．17），but every evangelist was not an apostle．In The Teaching of the Twelve Apostles（about 100 A．D．）it is prescribed that an apostle shall not remain in one place longer than two days，and that when he departs he shall take nothing with him except enough bread to last until his next station（ch．xi）．

Make full proof of thy ministry（ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ סaко⿱亠䒑ía $\sigma o v \pi \lambda \eta \rho o-$ $\phi o ́ \rho \eta \sigma o v)$ ．Better，fulfil or fully perform．In Pastorals only here and ver．17．See on L．i．1．In LXX once，Eccl． viii．11，is fully persuaded．Only in this passage in the active


 the course，ver．7．For dıaкovíap ministry，see on 1 Tim．i． 12.
 סomal）．I，emphatic contrast with $\sigma \grave{v}$ thou，ver．5．Already． What he is now suffering is the beginning of the end．$\Sigma \pi \pi^{\prime} \nu$－ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ to be poured out as a libation，only here and Philip． ii． 17 （note）．In the active voice quite often in LXX．

Departure（ $\dot{a} \nu a \lambda v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma) . ~ N . T . ~ o ~ . ~ º ~ L X X . ~ C o m p . ~ a ̀ ~ a j \lambda \hat{v} \sigma a l$ to depart，Philip．i．23．The figure is explained by some of loosing a ship from its moorings；by others of breaking camp．In Philippians the latter is the more probable ex－ planation，because Paul＇s situation in the custody of the Praetorians at Rome would naturally suggest a military metaphor，and because he is habitually sparing of nautical metaphors．Comp． 2 Cor．v．1，and Clement of Rome，ad Corinth．xliv：＂Blessed are the presbyters who have gone before，seeing that their departure（ává $\lambda v \sigma \iota \nu$ ）was fruitful and ripe．＂

7．I have fought a good fight（тò̀ $\kappa a \lambda \grave{\partial} \nu a \dot{a} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu a \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \iota \sigma \mu a \iota)$ ． For $a$ good fight rend．the good fight．For the phrase，see
on 1 Tim. vi. 12. Comp. Philip. i. 27, 30; 1 Cor. ix. 25; Col. ii. 1 ; 1 Th. ii. 2; Eph. vi. 11 ff.

Course ( $\delta \rho o \rho^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu$ ). Metaphor from the race-course. Only here and Acts xiii. 25 ; xx. 24 : comp. 1 Cor. ix. 24 ; Gal. ii. 2; v. 7; Rom. ix. 16 ; Philip. ii. 16; iii. 12-14.

I have kept the faith ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi / \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For $\tau \eta \rho \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$ to keep, see on 1 Tim. v. 22 ; vi. 14.
8. Henceforth ( $\lambda o \iota \pi \grave{\partial} \nu)$. Lit. as to what remains. $\Lambda o \iota \pi \grave{v} v$ or tò $\lambda o \iota \pi o ̀ \nu$ either finally, as 2 Cor. xiii. 11 ; or henceforth as here, Mk. xiv. 41; 1 Cor. vii. 29 , Heb. x. 13 : or for the rest, besides, as 1 Th. iv. 1 (note); 2 Th. iii. 1.

There is laid up (àтóкє七тat). Or laid away. In Pastorals only here. In Paul, see Col. i. 5 (note). L. xix. 20 of the pound laid up in a napkin.
 The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. See on $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ avov̂tal is crowned, ch. ii. 5. Rend, the crown.

Judge (кр८ти́s). Comp. ver. 1. Mostly in Luke and Acts. ${ }^{0} \mathrm{P}$. Only here in Pastorals. Applied to Christ, Acts x. $42 \cdot$ Jas. v. 9 ; to God, Heb. xii. 23 ; Jas. iv. 12.
 pels. It may mean to give over or away, as Matt. xxvii. 58; Acts $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} 8$; Heb. xii. 16: or to give back, recompense, as here, Matt. vi. 4, 6, 18; Rom. ii. 6.

 à̉тov̂). For liove rend. have loved. Appearing, Christ's second coming : see on 1 Tim. vi. 14; 2 Th. ii. 8. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Some have interpreted appearing as Christ's first
coming into the world, as ch. i. 10; but the other sense is according to the analogy of 1 Cor. ii. 9 ; Philip. iii. 20 ; Heb. ix. 28.
9. Do thy diligence ( $\sigma \pi o v \delta^{2} \alpha \sigma o \nu$ ). Earnestly endeavour. See on ch. ii. 15, and comp. ch. i. 3. Do diligence and give diligence (2 Pet. i. 10) are old English phrases. So Chaucer :

> 'And night and day dide ever his diligence Hir for to please."

Manciple's T. 141.
"And ech of hem doth al his diligence To doon un-to the feste reverence."

Clerke's T. 195
10. Demas. A contraction of Demetrius or Demarchus. He is mentioned Col. iv. 13 and Philem. 24. It is supposed that he was a Thessalonian. On leaving Paul he went to Thessalonica; and in Philemon his name is mentioned next to that of Aristarchus the Thessalonian. That no epithet is attached to his name in Col. iv. 14 (comp. "Luke the beloved physician") may be a shadow of Demas's behaviour mentioned here, in case Colossians was written later than 2d Timothy.

Hath forsaken (e่ $\gamma \kappa a \tau \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ ). In Pastorals here and ver. 16. See on 2 Cor. iv. 9. The compounded preposition $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ indicates a condition or circumstances in which one has been left, as the common phrase left in the lurch. Comp. Germ. im Stiche.

Having loved ( $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a s$ ). The participle is explanatory, because he loved.

This present world ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \nu v ̂ \nu ~ a i ̂ \omega \nu a)$ ). See on 1 Tim. vi. 17. Contrast love his appearing, ver. 8.

Crescens (K $К \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \varkappa \eta$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Unknown.
Galatia (Гaдatíav). Most probably Galatia. See Introd. to Galatians. Eusebius ( $\boldsymbol{H} . \boldsymbol{E}$. iii. 4) says: "Paul testifies
that Crescens was sent to Gaul (Гadतlav)." Tischendorf adopts this reading.

Dalmatia ( $\Delta a \lambda \mu a \tau l a \nu$ ). Part of the country known generally as Illyricum, along the eastern coast of the Adriatic. See Rom. xv. 19.
11. Luke. See Introd. to Luke. His connection with Paul appears first in Acts xvi. 10. He remained at Philippi after Paul's departure, and was there seven years later, when Paul revisited the city (Acts xx. 5, 6). He accompanied Paul to Jerusalem (Acts xxi. 15), after which we lose sight of him until he appears at Caesarea (Acts xxvii. 2), whence he accompanies Paul to Rome. He is mentioned Col. iv. 14 and Philem. 24.

Take (aj $\omega a \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ). In N. T. mostly in Acts. See on Acts xxiii. 31, and comp. Acts xx. 13, 14.

Mark. Mentioned Col. iv. 10; Philem. 24; 1 Pet. v. 13. Probably John Mark (Acts xii. 12, 25 ; xv. 37), called the cousin of Barnabas (Col. iv. 10). The first mention of him since the separation from Paul (Acts xv. 39) occurs in Colossians and Philemon. He is commended to the church at Colossae. In 1st Peter he sends salutations to Asia. In both Colossians and Philemon his name appears along with that of Demas. In Colossians he is named shortly before Luke and along with Aristarchus who does not appear here. He (Mark) is about to come to Asia where 2d Timothy finds him. The appearance in Colossians of Aristarchus with Mark and of Demas with Luke is probably the point of connection with the representation in 2 d Timothy.

Profitable for the ministry ( $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \pi o s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta \iota a \kappa o \nu i ́ a \nu) . ~$ 'Eú $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau$ os profitable, only here, ch. ii. 21, Philem. 11. For for the ministry rend. for ministering or for service, and see. on 1 Tim . i. 12.
12. Tychicus. A comparatively uncommon name in N.T., but found in inscriptions of Asia Minor and on Asiatic coins. He is mentioned Acts xx. 4, 5 ; Eph. vi. 21 ; Col. iv. 7. In Acts $x x .4$ he is described as a native of proconsular Asia.
13. The cloak ( $\left.\phi \in \lambda o{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu\right)$.* Hesychius, however, explains as a $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma$ óко $\mu о \nu$, originally a case for keeping the mouthpieces of wind-instruments; thence, generally, a box. $\Gamma \lambda \omega \sigma$ бо́коноу is the word for the disciples' treasury-chest (bag, J. xii. 6). Also a box for transporting or preserving parchments. Specimens have been found at Herculaneum. In LXX, 2 Sam. vi. 11, the ark of the Lord (but the reading varies): in 2 Chron. xxiv. 8, the chest placed by order of Joash at the gate of the temple, to receive contributions for its repair. Joseph. Ant. vi. 1, 2, of the coffer into which the jewels of gold were put for a trespass-offering when the ark was sent back (1 Sam. vi. 8). Phrynicus $\dagger$ defines it as "a receptacle for books, clothes, silver, or anything else." Фat$\lambda$ óv $\overline{\text { s }}$ or $\phi a \iota \nu o ́ \lambda \eta s$ a wrapper of parchments, was translated figuratively in Latin by toga or paenula "a cloak," sometimes of leather; also the wrapping which a shopkeeper put round fish or olives; also the parchment cover for papyrus rolls. Accordingly it is claimed that Timothy is here bidden to bring, not a cloak, but a roll-case. So the Syriac Version. There seems to be no sufficient reason for abandoning the translation of A.V.

Carpus. Not mentioned elsewhere.
The books ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{i ́ a}$ ). $\mathbf{B}\left(\beta \lambda_{\lambda} o s\right.$ or $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o \nu$ was the term most widely used by the Greeks for book or volume. The usual derivation is from $\beta$ v́ $\beta$ خ os the Egyptian papyrus. Comp. Lat. liber "the inner bark of a tree," also "book." $\ddagger$ Pliny

[^121](Nat. Hist. xiii. 11) says that the pith of the papyrus plant was cut in slices and laid in rows, over which other rows were laid crosswise, and the whole was massed by pressure. The name for the blank papyrus sheets was $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta s$ (charta) paper. See on 2 J. 12. Timothy is here requested to bring some papyrus documents which are distinguished from the vellum manuscripts.

Parchments ( $\mu \epsilon \mu \beta \rho \dot{d} \nu a s)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Manuscripts written on parchment or vellum. Strictly speaking, veilum was made from the skins of young calves, and the common parchment from those of sheep, goats, or antelopes. It was a more durable material than papyrus and more expensive. The Latin name was membrana, and also pergamena or pergamina, from Pergamum in Mysia where it was extensively manufactured, and from which it was introduced into Greece. As to the character and contents of these documents which Timothy is requested to bring, we are of course entirely ignorant.*
14. Alexander the coppersmith. Comp. 1 Tim. i. 20, and Acts xix. 33. The same person is probably meant in all three cases.
 shewed me much ill-treatment. Comp. 1 Tim. i. 16.

May the Lord reward (árroঠف́vet). More correctly shall reward. A. V. follows the reading $\dot{a} \pi \pi \delta \dot{\varphi} \eta$.
15. Greatly withstood ( $\lambda$ là à àté $\sigma \tau \eta$ ). Comp. ch. iii. 8, and Gal. ii. 11. This may refer to the occurrences at Ephesus (Acts xix. 33), or to Alexander's attitude during Paul's trial. The former is more probable. Níav greatly, not in Paul, except in the compound $\dot{i \pi \epsilon \rho} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ la, 2 Cor. xi. 5 ; xii. 11. Only here in Pastorals. Mostly in Synoptic Gospels.

[^122] 'Atoдoría defence in a judicial trial. Comp. Acts xxv. 16. Also against private persons, as 1 Cor. ix. 3; 2 Cor. vii. 11. Defence of the gospel against its adversaries, as Philip. i. 7, 16; comp. 1 Pet. iii. 15 (note). It is impossible to decide to what this refers. On the assumption of a second imprisonment of Paul (see Introduction) it would probably refer to a preliminary hearing before the main trial. It is not improbable that the writer had before his mind the situation of Paul as described in Philip. i, since this Epistle shows at many points the influence of the Philippian letter. It should be noted, however, that à àoдoría in Philip. i. 7, 16, has no specific reference to Paul's trial, but refers to the defence of the gospel under any and all circumstances. In any case, the first Roman imprisonment cannot be alluded to here. On that supposition, the omission of all reference to Timothy's presence and personal ministry at that time, and the words about his first defence, which must have taken place before Timothy left Rome (Philip. ii. 19-23) and which is here related as a piece of news, are quite inexplicable.

Stood with me ( $\pi a \rho \in \gamma \in \dot{\nu} \in \tau o$ ). As a patron or an advocate. The verb mostly in Luke and Acts : once in Paul, 1 Cor. xvi. 3: cnly here in Pastorals. It means to place one's self beside; hence, to come to, and this latter sense is almost universal in N . T. In the sense of coming to or standing by one as a friend, only here.

Be laid to their charge (au̇ooîs גoyırөєín). Mostly in Paul: only here in Pastorals. See on Rom. iv. 3, 5; 1 Cor. xiii. 5.
17. Strengthened ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \delta \nu \nu \dot{a} \mu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu)$. See on 1 Tim. i. 12.
 excellence), the gospel message. Usually with a defining word, as of Jonah; of Jesus Christ; my preaching; ourpreaching. Absolutely, as here, 1 Cor. i. 21; Tit. i. 3.

Might be fully known（ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho \eta \theta \hat{p})$ ．See on ver． 5 ． Lit．might be fulfilled；fully carried out by being proclaimed before rulers in the capital of the world．Comp．Rom． xv． 19 ；Acts xxiii． 11 ；xxviii． 31 ；Philip．i．12－14．

Out of the mouth of the lion（èк $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a t o s ~ \lambda$ éo $о \tau 0 \varsigma$ ）． Figurative expression for danger of death．Comp． 1 Cor． xv．32．As usual，all manner of special references have been imagined：the lions of the amphitheatre；Nero；the chief accuser；the Jews；the Devil．
 design and attempt against him and his work．Mounpós evil cannot be limited to evil on its active side．See on 1 Cor．v．13．The word is connected at the root with $\pi$ éver $\theta a \iota$ to be needy，and moveiv to toil；and this connection opens a glimpse of that sentiment which associated badness with a poor and toiling condition．The word means originally full of or oppressed by labours；thence，that which brings annoy－ ance or toil．Comp．ì $\mu$ épa rovqpá evil day，Eph．v．16； vi． 13 ：ë $\lambda \kappa 0$ s то⿱亠䒑pò̀ a grievous sore，Apoc．xvi． 2.
 phrase N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．＇Etrovpávios heavenly only here in Pastorals． Mostly in Paul and Hebrews．Heavenly kingdom，here the future，glorified life，as 1 Cor．vi．9， 10 ；xv． 50 ；Luke xiii．29．In the same sense，kingdom of Christ and of God， Eph．v．5；kingdom of their Father，Matt．xiii． 43 ；my F＇ather＇s kingdom，Matt．xxvi． 29 ；kingdom prepared for you， Matt．xxv．34；eternal kingdom of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ， 2 Pet．i． 11.
 lar only here and Tit．iii． 15.

Prisca and Aquila．They appear in Corinth，Acts xviii． 2，3；in Ephesus，Acts xviii．18， 26 ； 1 Cor．xvi． 19.

Onesiphorus. Profit bringer. Comp. ch. i. 16. One of the punning names so common among slaves. Comp. Chresimus, Chrestus, Onesimus, Symphorus, all of which signify useful, or helpful.
20. Erastus. In Acts xix. 22, sent by Paul with Timothy to Macedonia from Ephesus. In Rom. xvi. 23, the citytreasurer who sends salutations. He cannot be certainly identified with the one mentioned here. The writer merely selects names of well-known companions of Paul.

Trophimus. See Acts xx. 4; xxi. 9.
Sick (áa $\theta \epsilon \nu o \hat{v} \nu \tau a$ ). By Paul mostly in a moral sense, as weak in the faith, Rom. iv. 19; the law was weak, Rom. viii. 3 ; the weak brother, 1 Cor. viii. 11. Of bodily sickness, Philip. ii. 26, 27.
21. Eubulus, Pudens, Linus, Claudia. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.
22. The Lord Jesus Christ be with thy spirit. Omit Jesus Christ. The closing benediction only here in this form.

## THE EPISTLE TO TITUS.

## CHAPTER 1.

1. An apostle - according to the faith of God's elect, etc. The norm of the apostolate in each of the three Epistles is unique, and not Pauline. In 1 Tim., according to the commandment of God: in 2 Tim., according to the promise of life in Christ Jesus. Katà according to, not for the faith, but corresponding to the norm or standard of faith which is set for God's elect.

And acknowledging of the truth ( $\kappa a \dot{\jmath} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta-$ $\theta$ eías). For acknowledging rend. knowledge. For the phrase, see on 1 Tim. ii. 4. Governed, like $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ faith, by $\kappa a \tau a ̀$. The writer is an apostle according to the faith of God's elect, and according to the truth which is contained in the faith, as that truth is intelligently apprehended and held.
 ing to godliness. Comp. 1 Tim. vi. 3. This addition describes the peculiar and essential character of the truth which is held and known by God's elect, namely, that it is concerned with the fear and obedience of God - all that constitutes true piety. See on 1 Tim. i. 10.
 Const. with Apostle, ver. 1.* 'Emi resting upon.

[^123] Once in LXX, Wisd. vii. 17. Comp. Rom. iii. 4; Heb. vi. 18. Paul expresses the idea positively, by ${ }^{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}$ 's truthful, Kom. iii. 4.

Before the world began ( $\pi \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ai $\omega \nu \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ ). Lit. before eternal times. Before time began to be reckoned by aeons. See on 2 Tim. i. 9, and additional note on 2 Th. i. 9 .
3. In due times (naıpoîs ioíocs). Better, in his (or its) own seasons. See on 1 Tim. ii. 6.

Through preaching (ė̀ $\left.\kappa \eta \rho v r^{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota\right)$. Rather, in a proclamation. See on 2 Tim. iv. 17.

Which is committed unto me ( $\hat{0}$ ध̀ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega})$. Better, wherewith I was intrusted. See on 1 Tim. i. 11.
4. Own ( $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma^{\prime}(\varphi)$. See on 1 Tim. i. 2.

According to the common faith (кãà кoı $\bar{\eta} \nu \pi i \bar{\sigma} \tau \iota \nu$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Kocvós common, usually in contrast with $\kappa$ кäapós pure or árlos holy, as Acts x. 14; xi. 8; Apoc. xxi. 27. In the sense of general as here, Acts ii. 44 ; iv. 32 ; Jude 3. Comp. 2 Pet. i. 1. The "catholic" faith. Katà according to, as ver. 1.
5. In Crete. Crete is one of the largest islands in the Mediterranean. By the mythological writers it was called Aeria, Doliché, Idaea, Telchinia. According to tradition, Minos first gave laws to the Cretans, conquered the Aegean pirates, and established a navy. After the Trojan war the principal cities of the island formed themselves into several republics, mostly independent. The chief cities were Cnossus, Cydonia, Gortyna, and Lyctus. Crete was annexed to the Roman Empire b.c. 67. About Paul's visiting the island we have no information whatever beyond the hints in this Epistle. There is no absolute proof that Paul was ever there
before the voyage to Rome. Although on that voyage some time appears to have been spent at Crete, there is no notice of Paul having received any greeting from the members of the Christian churches there. According to this Epistle, Paul and Titus had worked there together. Paul went away, and left Titus to organise the churches founded by himself. He sent this letter by Zenas and Apollos (iii. 13), and announced in it the coming of Artemas or of Tychicus. On their arrival Titus was to join Paul at Nicopolis, where Paul was proposing to winter.

Shouldst set in order (è $\pi \iota \delta \iota o \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta)$. . N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Lit. to set straight besides or farther; that is, should arrange what remained to be set in order after Paul's departure. Used by medical writers of setting broken limbs or straightening crooked ones. $\Delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ reformation, Heb. ix. 10 : $\delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \mu a$ corrcction, Acts xxiv. 3.
 appoint or constitute. In Paul only Rom. v. 19. For the sense here comp. Matt. xxiv. 45, 47; L. xii. 14 ; Acts vi. 3. The meaning of the injunction is, that Titus should appoint, out of the number of elderly men of approved Christian reputation, certain ones to be overseers (èтícoотol) of the churches in the several cities. The eldership was not a distinct church office. See on 1 Tim. v. 1.

I had appointed ( $\left.\delta \iota \epsilon \tau a \xi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu\right)$. Better, I gave thee charge. Mostly in Luke and Acts.
6. Faithful children ( $\tau \in ́ \kappa \nu a \pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́$ ). Better, believing children; or, as Rev., children that believe. Comp. 1 Tim. iii. 4.
 in accusation of profligacy. For катәүорía see on 1 Tim. v. 19. 'A $\sigma \omega \tau$ ía, lit. unsavingness; hence, dissoluteness, profigacy. Comp. L. xv. 13, of the prodigal son, who lived unsavingly (árótтюs). Only here, Eph. v. 18, and 1 Pet. iv. 4 (note).
 Rend. the bishop. It will be observed that the qualifications of the eld'ers are fixed by those of the bishop. Appoint elders. who shall be unaccused, etc., for the bishop must be unaccused, etc. The overseers must have the qualifications of approved presbyters.

Steward of God ( $\theta_{\epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}}$ oiкодó $\mu о \nu$ ). Comp. 1 Cor. iv. 1, 2 ; 1 Pet. iv. 10 ; and see on Rom. xvi. 23 ; L. xvi. 1. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\mathbf{o}}$.

Selfwilled ( $a \dot{u} \theta a^{\prime} \delta \eta$ ). Only here and 2 Pet. ii. 10 (note).
Soon angry (obpliov). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Rarely in LXX and Class. Irascible.
8. A lover of hospitality ( $\phi\left\langle\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \xi \in \nu o \nu\right.$ ). Better, hospitable. See on 1 Tim. iii. 2.

A lover of good men ( $\phi \iota \lambda a ́ \gamma a \theta o \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Better, lover of good.

Temperate (é $\gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\eta}$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Originally, having power over; possessed of; hence, controlling, keeping in hand. 'Еүкра́тєєa temperance, Acts xxiv. 25 ; Gal. v. 23 ; 2 Pet. i. 6. 'Eүкратє́́є $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oal to contain one's self, } 1 \text { Cor. vii. } 9 \text {; ix. } 25 . ~\end{aligned}$
 In Paul, 1 Th. v. 14 (note).

The faithful word ( $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ 入óyou). The trustworthy, reliable word. Comp. 1 Tim. i. 15 (note).

As he hath been taught (катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta i \delta a \chi \grave{\eta} \nu)$. Lit. according to the teaching. Const. with word. Agreeing with the apostolic teaching. For $\delta \delta \delta a \chi \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$ teaching see on 2 Tim. iv. 2.

May be able by sound doctrine both to exhort ( $\delta v v a r o ̀ s$

" may be able both to exhort in the sound teaching." For סvvatòs able or powerful, see on 2 Tim. i. 12. Used by Paul in the phrase $\epsilon i$ duvaróv if it be possible, Rom. xii. 18; Gal. iv. 15 : tò סvvatóv that which is possible, Rom. ix. 22 : of God, Rom. iv. 21 ; xi. 23 : of men, in the ethical sense, Rom. xv. 1 ; 2 Cor. xii. 10 ; xiii. 9.

Convince ( $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu)$. Better, convict. See on J. iii. 20, and $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu, 2$ Tim. iii. 16.
 here and ch. ii. 9. Once in Paul, Rom. x. 21, cit. Mostly in Luke and Acts. Gainsay, Angl. Sax. gegn (Germ. gegen) "against," and "say." Wiclif, L. xxi. 15: "For I schal gyue to you mouth and wysdom, to whiche alle youre aduersaries schulen not mowe agenstonde, and agenseye."
 See on vain jangling, 1 Tim. i. 6.
 on $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu a t a t a ̂ \nu ~ t o ~ d e c e i v e, ~ G a l . ~ v i . ~ 3 . ~$

They of the circumcision (oi èк $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \mu \hat{\jmath} \varsigma$ ). The phrase only here in Pastorals. 'Oı $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \hat{\eta}$, Acts x. 45; xi. 2 ; Rom. iv. 12 ; Gal. ii. 12; Col. iv. 11. 'There can be no doubt of the presence of Jews in Crete. Tacitus (Hist. v. 2) even makes the absurd statement that the Jews were Cretan exiles; and that from their residence in the vicinity of the Cretan Mount Ida they were called Idaei, whence Judaei. There appears to have been some confusion between the Palestinians and the Philistines - the Cherethim or Cherethites, who, in Ezek. xxv. 16 ; Zeph. ii. 5 are called in LXX K $\rho \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$. Jews were in the island in considerable numbers between the death of Alexander and the final destruction of Jerusalem. In 1 Macc. $x v .23$ the Cretan city of Gortyna is mentioned among the places to which letters were written by Lucius, the Roman consul, on behalf of the Jews, when Simon Maccabaeus
renewed the treaty which his brother Judas had made with Rome. Josephus (Ant. xvii. 12, 1 ; Bell. Jud. ii. 7, 1) says that Herod's pseudo-son Alexander imposed on the Cretan Jews on his way to Italy. Philo (Leg. ad Cai. § 36) makes the Jewish envoys say to Caligula that all the principal islands of the Mediterranean, including Crete, were full of Jews.
11. Whose mouths must be stopped (oûs $\delta \in i \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau o \mu i \zeta$ $\epsilon \omega \nu)$. Lit. whom it is necessary to silence. ' $\mathrm{E} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .^{\circ}$ LXX. Originally, to put something into the mouth, as a bit into a horse's mouth. 'E $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta \mu \iota o \nu$ is the stop of a water-pipe or of a hydraulic organ. Comp. фı $\mu$ ô̂̀ to muzzle, 1 Tim. v. 18.
 is explanatory of must ; inasmuch as they, etc. For subvert rend. overthrow. See on 2 Tim. ii. 18.

Houses (ойкоия). Families.
12. One of themselves ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \xi \operatorname{av} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$. 'A $u \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ refers to the gainsayers, vv. 9, 10. Tis refers to Epimenides, contemporary with Solon, and born in Crete b.c. 659. A legeud relates that, going by his father's order in search of a sheep, he lay down in a cave, where he fell asleep and slept for fifty years. He then appeared with long hair and a flowing beard, and with an astonishing knowledge of medicine and natural history. It was said that he had the power of sending his soul out of his body and recalling it at pleasure, and that he had familiar intercourse with the gods and possessed the power of prophecy. He was sent for to Athens at the request of the inhabitants, in order to pave the way for the legislation of Solon by purifications and propitiatory sacrifices, intended to allay the feuds and party-discussions which prevailed in the city. In return for his services he refused the Athenians' offers of wealth and public honours, and asked only a branch of the sacred olive, and a decree of perpetual friendship
between Athens and his native city. He is said to have lived to the age of 157 years, and divine honours were paid him by the Cretans after his death. He composed a Theogony, and poems concerning religious mysteries. He wrote also a poem on the Argonautic Expedition, and other works. Jerome mentions his treatise On Oracles and Responses, from which the quotation in this verse is supposed to have been taken. According to Diogenes Laertius (i. 10) Epimenides, in order to remove a pestilence from Athens, turned some sheep loose at the Areopagus, and wherever they lay down sacrificed to the proper god : whence, he says, there are still to be found, in different demes of the Athenians, anonymous altars. Comp. Acts xvii. 22, 23.*

The Cretans, etc. The words K $\bar{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ res - $\dot{a} \rho \gamma a \boldsymbol{i}$ form a hexameter line.

Always (ảe $\dot{i}$ ). Habitually.
Liars ( $\psi \in \hat{v} \sigma \tau a \iota)$. In Pastorals here and 1 Tim.i.10. Once in Paul, Rom. iii. 4. Mostly in John. The Cretan habit of lying passed into a verb, крๆтl组 to speak like a Cretan = to lie: also into a noun, крๆтьб ós $^{\text {Cretan }}$ behaviour $=$ lying. Similarly, the licentiousness of Corinth appeared in the verb
 a $\quad$ चи́s a whoremonger. Comp. Ov. Artis Amat. i. 296.

> " non hoc, centum quae sustinet urbes Quamvis sit mendax, Creta negare potest."
> "Crete, which a hundred cities doth maintain, Cannot deny this, though to lying given."



Evil beasts (какà $\eta_{\eta \rho \prime ́ a) . ~ R u d e, ~ c r u e l, ~ a n d ~ b r u t a l . ~}^{\text {a }}$

[^124][CH. I.
Slow-bellies ( $\gamma$ a $\tau \tau \notin \rho \epsilon \dot{a} \dot{a} \rho \gamma a l$ ). Better, idle-bellies. Rev. gives the correct idea, idle gluttons. They are so given togluttony that they are mere bellies. Comp. Philip. iii. 19. $\Gamma a \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$, elsewhere in N. T. always in connection with childbearing. So mostly in LXX, but in a few instances as here. See Job xx. 23 ; Ps. xvi. 14 ; Sir. xxxvii. 5. In Job xx. 14 as the rendering of powels. 'Apyós idle, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. However such words may have befitted the pagan seer, it is not pleasant to regard them as taken up and indorsed by the great Christian apostle, who thus is made to stigmatise as liars, beasts, and gluttons a whole people, among whom he had himself so successfully laboured that several churches had been founded in a short time. They are strange words from a venerable Christian minister to a younger minister to whom he had intrusted the care of those very souls; and, in any case, are superfluous, as addressed to one who must have known the characteristics of the Cretans quite as well as the writer himself.
13. Sharply (áтотó $\mu \omega \varsigma$ ). Only here and 2 Cor. xiii. 10 (note). Paul has ámoтoнla severity, Rom. xi. 22 (note). LXX, à aотó $\mu \omega$ s severely, only Wisd. v. 22 ; ámotó $\mu \mathrm{os}$ severe (not in N. T.), Wisd. v. 20 ; xi. 10 ; xii. 9. From à àoтє́ $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ to cut off. It signifies abrupt, harsh, summary dealing.
14. Not giving heed ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ). Reprove sharply, that they may be sound in the faith, and may show their soundness by not giving heed, etc. See on 1 Tim. i. 4.

To Jewish fables ('Iovסaïкoîs $\mu$ v́Oocs). See on 1 Tim. i. 4. Note Jewish. The nature of these we do not know.

Commandments of men (è $\nu \tau 0 \lambda a \hat{i} s \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\rho} \pi \omega \nu$ ). See on 1 Tim. vi. 14. Comp. Col. ii. 22. Prescriptions concerning abstinence from meats, marriage, etc. The men are probably those of the circumcision, ver. 10. What they teach theoretically, by means of the myths, they bring to bear practically, by means of their precepts.

That turn from the truth ( $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \epsilon a \nu$ ). Coinp. 2 Tim. iv. 4, where the truth and fables appear in contrast.
15. Unto the pure ( тоîs caӨapoîs). The pure in heart and conscience. See 2 Tim. i. 3.

All things are pure. Comp. 1 Tim. iv. 4,5; Acts $\mathbf{x . ~} 15$; Mk. vii. $15,18,19$; 1 Cor. x. 26,30 ; Rom. xiv. 20. The aphorism is suggested by the commandments of men, ver. 14.

Unto them that are defiled ( $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu є \mu L a \mu \mu$ évoıs). Only here in Pastorals. See also J. xviii. 28 (note); Heb. xii. 15; Jude 8. Only in J. xviii. 28 in a ceremonial sense. Elsewhere of moral pollution.

Nothing is pure. Their moral pollution taints everything with its own quality. The purest things become suggestors and ministers of impurity.
 see on Rom. vii. 23 : for $\sigma v \nu \epsilon i ́ \delta \eta \sigma t s$, on 1 Pet. iii. 16.
 2 Cor. ix. 13, and comp. 1 Tim. vi. 12. Not loudly and publicly profess (as Huther), but confess as opposed to deny (J. i. 20); comp. Heb. xi. 13; Rom. x. 9, 10.

Abominable ( $\beta \delta \in \lambda \nu \kappa \tau o i)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ}$ Class. LXX, Prov. xvii. 15 ; Sir. xli. 5 ; 2 Macc. i. 27. See on $\beta \delta \dot{́} \lambda \cup \gamma \mu a$ abomination, Matt. xxiv. 15, and comp. Apoc. xvii. 4, 5 ; xxi. 27. The kindred verb $\beta \delta \in \lambda u ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a b h o r, ~ R o m . ~ i i . ~ 22 ; ~ A p o c . ~$ xxi. 8.

Reprobate (á $\delta \dot{o} \kappa \iota \mu o 九$ ). See on Rom. i. 28 ; 1 Cor. ix. 27, and comp. 2 Tim. iii. 8. The phrase reprobate unto every good work, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.

## CHAPTER II.

1. Speak thou ( $\lambda a^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ). See on Matt. xxviii. 18; J. viii. 26.

Become ( $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ ). Originally, to stand out; be conspicuous. Thus Homer, Od. viii. 172: $\mu \epsilon \tau$ d̀ סè $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ ảypoцévoloıl he is - conspicuous among those who are assembled. Eurip. Hel. 215; Zev̀s $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu$ סi' aitépos Zeus shining clearly through the aether. Hence, to become conspicuously fit; to become; beseem. In
 (Matt. iii. 15); $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ it becometh (Eph. v. 3); $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$ it became (Heb. ii. 10). With a subject nominative, 1 Tim. ii. 10; Heb. vii. 26.
2. Aged men ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta u ́ \tau a s)$. Only here, L. i. 18; Philem. 9. To be understood of natural age, not of ecclesiastical position. Note that 1 Tim. iii, in treating of church officers, deals only with Bishops and Deacons. Nothing is said of Presbyters until ch. v, where Timothy's relations to individual members of the church are prescribed. These church members are classified in this and the following verses as old men, old women, younger men, servants. In LXX $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́r $\eta$ s is occasionally interchanged with $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} s$ ambassador. See 2 Chron. xxxii. 31 ; 1 Macc. xiii. 21 ; xiv. 21,22 ; 2 Mace. xi. 34.
3. Aged women ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \hat{t} \tau \delta a \varsigma$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. See on $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$ $\beta$ útepal, 1 Tim. v. 2.
 apparel, 1 Tim. ii. 9. It means, primarily, condition or state. Once in LXX, 3 Macc. v. 45 , катáбт $\eta \mu a \mu a \nu \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \delta s$ the maddened state into which the war-elephants were excited. Hence the state in which one habitually bears himself - his deportment or demeanour.

As becometh holiness (iєporp $\rho \pi \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, 4 Macc. ix. 25; xi. 20. In the Theages (wrongly ascribed
 your son (Theages) an honorable and reverend name (122 D). It means beseeming a sacred place, person, or matter. Thus Athenaeus, vii, of one who had given a sacred banquet, says that the table was ornamented iepotpeтé $\sigma \tau a \tau a$ in a manner most appropriate to the sacred circumstances. The meaning here is becoming those who are engaged in sacred service. This is the more striking if, as there is reason to believe, the $\pi \rho \in \sigma$ Bútioss represented a quasi-official position in the church. See on 1 Tim. v. 3, and comp. 1 Tim. ii. 10; Eph. v. 3.*

False accusers ( $\delta \iota a \beta_{o} \lambda^{\prime}$ ovs). Better, slanderers. See on Matt. iv. 1, and 1 Tim. iii. 6, 11.

Given to much wine ( $\left.o \neq \nu \varphi \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \delta_{\epsilon} \delta o v \lambda \omega \mu e ́ v a s\right)$. More correctly, enslaved to much wine. The verb only here in Pastorals. Comp. 1 Tim. iii. 8.

Teachers of good things ( $\left.\kappa a \lambda o \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda^{2} o v s\right) . ~ N . T .{ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class.
4. They may teach ( $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu$ ( $\zeta \omega \sigma \iota$ ). Better, school or train. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The verb means to make sane or sober-minded; to recall a person to his senses; hence, to moderate, chasten, discipline.

To love their husbands, to love their children ( $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu$ סpous cíval, фıдoтéкvovs). Lit. to be husband-lovers, childrenlovers. Both adjectives N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{0}$ LXX. $\Phi^{\prime} \lambda a \nu \delta \rho o s ~ i n ~ C l a s s . ~$ not in this sense, but loving men or masculine habits; lewd. In the better sense often in epitaphs. An inscription at Perga-

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ ё $\tau \eta \lambda^{\prime}$ Julius Bassus to Otacilia Polla my sweetest wife, who loved her husband and children and lived with me blamelessly for thirty years.

[^125]5. Keepers at home (oikovpyoús). Wrong. Rend. workers at home. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. ${ }^{*}$

Good (áyafás). Not attributive of workers at home, but independeut. Rend. kindly. The mistress of the house is to add to her thrift, energy, and strict discipline, benign, gracious, heartily kind demeanour. Comp. Matt. xx. 15; 1 Pet. ii. 18; Acts ix. 36. See on Acts xi. 24 ; Rom. v. $7 . \dagger$

Obedient (ítoтaбनouévas). Better, subject or in subjection. Frequent in Paul, but not often in the active voice. See on Jas. iv. 7; Rom. viii. 7; Philip. iii. 21; and comp. 1 Cor. xiv. 34 ; Eph. v. 22 ; Col. iii. 18.
7. In all things ( $\left.\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau a\right)$. Lit. concerning all things. The exact phrase, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For analogous use of $\pi$ epi comp. L. x. 40, 41 ; Acts xix. 25 ; 1 Tim. i. 19 ; vi. 4, 21; 2 Tim. iii. 8 .

Shewing thyself ( $\sigma \epsilon a \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \varsigma$ ). See on 1 Tim. i.4. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\text {. }}$. but occurs in Class., as, to show one's self holy or righteous; wise or skilful; тapé $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ éavtò̀ $\sigma \pi a ́ \nu-$ ıo to make himself scarce. $\ddagger$

Incorruptness (ảфӨopiav). Const. with shewing. N. T. ${ }^{\mathbf{0}}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. LXX once, Hag. ii. 18. Omit sincerity.
8. Sound speech ( $\lambda$ ójov $\dot{v} \gamma(\hat{\eta})$ ). ' $\Upsilon \gamma \iota \eta^{\prime}$ 's sound, only here in Pastorals. The usual form is the participle, as iveatyóv$\tau \omega \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu, 2$ Tim. i. 13 ; íyıaìova九 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s, 1$ Tim. vi. 3.

[^126]That cannot be condemned (àкazáy $\nu \omega \sigma \tau o \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{0}$ Class. See 2 Macc. iv. 47.

He that is of the contrary part ( $\dot{\delta} \dot{\in} \xi \in \dot{\epsilon} v a \nu \tau i a s$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. See Mk. xv. 39. The heathen opposer is meant. Comp. blasphèmed, ver. 5, and 1 Tim. vi. 1. 'Evavtios contrary, in Paul only 1 Th. ii. 15.

May be ashamed ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho a \pi \hat{\eta}$ ). Only here in Pastorals. In Paul, 1 Cor. iv. 14; 2 Th. iii. 14, see notes on both, and on Matt. xxi. 37.

Evil thing ( $\phi a \hat{u} \lambda o \nu$ ). Only here in Pastorals. In Paul, Rom. ix. 11; 2 Cor. v. 10. See on J. iii. 20.
 ovs eival). Wrong. Const. in all things with to be in subjection. Note the position of $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ in 1 Tim. iii. 11; iv. 15; 2 Tim. ii. 7; iv. 5, and comp. ímaкоv́єı катà $\pi$ ávтa obey in all things, Col. iii. 20, 22; and $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi о \tau а ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota-\epsilon ो \nu \pi a \nu \tau '}$ is subject in everything, Eph. v. 24. 'Evápertos well pleasing, only here in Pastorals. Almost exclusively in Paul. See also Heb. xiii. 21. 'Evapéctos acceptably, Heb. xii. 28.
10. Purloining ( $\left.\nu 0 \sigma \phi \iota \zeta_{0} \mu \not ́ v o u s\right)$. Only here and Acts v. 2, 3. LXX, Josh. vii. 1; 2 Macc. iv. 32. Often in Class. From vó $\sigma \phi \iota$ apart. The fundamental idea of the word is to put far away from another; to set apart for one's self; hence to purloin and appropriate to one's own use. Purloin is akin to prolong: prolongyn or purlongyn "to put fer awey." Old French, porloignier or purloignier.

Shewing all good fidelity ( $\pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu$ $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu \delta \iota \iota \kappa \nu \nu \mu e ́ v o s$ $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \nu)$. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{0}$. This is the only instance in N. T. of á ${ }^{2}$ aOós with míctıs.

Adorn the doctrine ( $т \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a \nu ~ к о \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\text {o }}$. For $\kappa o \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ adorn, see on 1 Tim. ii. 9.

11．This teaching or doctrine which is to be adorned by the lives of God＇s servants－the teaching of the gospel－is now stated in vv．11－15．

The grace of God（ $\dot{\eta} \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o \hat{\nu} \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}$ ）．A common Pauline phrase．The exact phrase only here in Pastorals．It is the ultimate ground of salvation．Comp． 2 Tim．i． 9 ；Eph．ii．5，8； Gal．i． 15.

That bringeth salvation（ $\sigma \omega \tau$ njpıos）．Lit．saving．N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ． Const．with $\chi^{a} \rho \iota s$ grace．The saving grace of God．

Hath appeared（ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{a}^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ）．Only in Pastorals，Luke，and Acts．In the active voice，to bring to light，show．See on モ̇ $\pi \iota \phi \dot{\partial} \nu \epsilon \iota a \quad$ appearing， 1 Tim．vi． 14.

To all men．Const．with that bringeth salvation，not with hath appeared．The grace of God which is saving for all men．Comp． 1 Tim．ii． 4.

12．Teaching（ $\pi a \iota \delta \delta^{\prime} o v \sigma a$ ）．Better，instructing or train－ ing．The saving economy of God is educative．Comp．Heb． xii．4－11，and see on 1 Tim．i． 20.

Ungodiness（á $\sigma \epsilon \in \operatorname{\beta \epsilon La\nu }$ ）．In Pastorals only here and 2 Tim．ii．16．The contrary of $\epsilon \boldsymbol{j} \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \beta \epsilon \iota a$ ，for which see on 1 Tim．ii． 2.
 Koorucoos worldly，only here and Heb．ix．1．On the ethical sense in кó⿱⿲㇒丨丶㇒⿴囗⿱一一儿丶os the world，see on Acts xvii．24，and J．i． 9.

13．Looking for（ $\left.\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \in \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha\right)$ ）．In Pastorals only here． Comp．Mk．xv． 43 ；L．ii． 25 ；xii． 36 ．In this sense not in Paul．Primarily，to receive to one＇s self，admit，accept．So L．xv． 2 ；Rom．xvi． 2 ；Philip．ii．29．That which is ac－ cepted in faith，is awaited expectantly．

That blessed hope（ $т \grave{\eta} \nu \mu a \kappa \alpha \rho i ́ a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a$ ）．The phrase N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．Macápıos blessed，very often in the Gospels．See on

Matt. v. 3. In Pastorals, with the exception of this passage, always of God. In Paul, only of men, and so usually in the Gospels. 'Entiסa hope, the object of hope. Why the hope is called blessed, appears from 2 Tim. iv. 8 ; Philip. iii. 20, etc. Comp. Jude 21, and 1 Pet. i. 13.
 K $a i$ is explanatory, introducing the definition of the character of the thing hoped for. Looking for the object of hope, even the appearing, etc. Glorious appearing is a specimen of the vicious hendiadys by which the force of so many passages has been impaired or destroyed in translation. Rend. appearing of the glory.

Of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ ( $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o v ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o u ̂$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v})$. For Jesus Christ rend. Christ Jesus. Méras great with God, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, but often in LXX. According to A.V. two persons are indicated, God and Christ. Rev. with others rend. of our great God and Saviour Christ Jesus, thus indicating one person, and asserting the deity of Christ. I adopt the latter, although the arguments and authorities in favour of the two renderings are very evenly balanced.*
14. Gave himself for us ( $\epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} a \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{~} \pi \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. See on 1 Tim. ii. 6, and comp. Gal. i. 4.' ' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \grave{\rho} \rho$ on behalf of; not instead of.

[^127]Might redeem ( $\lambda \tau \tau \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{q}_{\tau a l}$ ). Only here, L. xxiv. 21; 1 Pet. i. 18. See on 1 Tim. ii. 6. Neither $\lambda$ úcpov ransom, $\lambda$ úт $\rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ redemption, nor $\lambda u \tau \rho \omega \tau$ ท́s redeemer occur in Paul. He has the figure of purchase (áyo $\dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, é $\xi a r o \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ), 1 Cor. vi. 20 ; vii. 23 ; Gal. iii. 13 ; iv. 5. Comp. Apoc. v. 9 ; xiv. 3,$4 ; 2$ Pet. ii. 1.

Iniquity (ávouías). Only here in Pastorals. Lit. lawlessness. See on 1 J. iii. 4.

Might purify ( $\kappa a \theta a \rho i \sigma y$ ). In Pastorals only here. Mostly in Synoptic Gospels and Hebrews. In Paul, 2 Cor. vii. 1; Eph. v. 26. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Often in LXX.

A peculiar people ( $\lambda$ aò̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ ). Laós people only here in Pastorals. In Paul ten times, always in citations. Most frequently in Luke and Acts; often in Hebrews and Apocalypse. Mepıov́oios N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. A few times in LXX, always with $\lambda$ aoós. See Ex. xix. 5; xxiii. 22; Deut. vii. 6; xiv. 2 ; xxvi. 18. The phrase was originally applied to the people of Israel, but is transferred here to believers in the Messiah - Jews and Gentiles. Comp. 1 Pet. ii. 10. Пepıoú$\sigma \omega o s$ is from the participle of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i v a l ~ t o ~ b e ~ o v e r ~ a n d ~ a b o v e: ~: ~$ hence тєрьovaía abundance, plenty. Пєplav́roos also means. possessed over and above, that is, specially selected for one's own ; exempt from ordinary laws of distribution. Hence correctly represented by peculiar, derived from peculium, a private purse, a special acquisition of a member of a family distinct from the property administered for the good of the whole family. Accordingly the sense is given in Eph. i. 14, where believers are said to have been sealed cis ámo入úт $\rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi о \iota \eta=\epsilon \omega$ with a view to redemption of possession, or redemption which will give possession, thus $=$ acquisition. So 1 Pet. ii. 9, where Christians are styled $\lambda$ aòs $\epsilon i s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o i \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ a people for acquisition, to be acquired by God as his peculiar possession. Comp. 1 Th. v. 9 ; 2 Th. ii. 14, and тєคıтоєєَ̂ $\theta a \iota$ to acquire, Acts xx. 28. The phrase каӨapi彡єı $\lambda$ aòे to purify the people, in LXX, Neh. xii. 30; Judith xvi. 18.

Zealous ( $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \nu) . \quad$ Lit. a zealot. Comp. Acts xxi. 20 ; xxii. 3; 1 Pet. iii. 13. Only here in Pastorals. In Paul, 1 Cor. xiv. 12 ; Gal. i. 14. For the word as a title, see on the Canaanite, Matt. x. 4, and Mk. iii. 18.

Authority (ė $\pi \uparrow \tau a \gamma \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{~s})$. See on 1 Tim. i. 1.
Despise ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \rho o v \epsilon i \tau \omega$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Occasionally in Class. From $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ beyond, $\phi \rho o v \in i ̀ \nu$ to be minded. To set one's self in thought beyond; hence contemn, despise. Comp. 1 Tim. iv. 12. The exhortation is connected with authority. Titus is to claim respect for his office and for himself as bearing it.

## CHAPTER III.

 2 Tim . ii. 14, and on $\dot{\nu} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ reminding, 2 Tim . i. 5.
 Principalities which are authorities. 'A $\rho \chi$ ' beginning $=$ that which begins: the leader, principality. See on Col. i. 16; Jude 6; Acts x. 11. Only here in Pastorals. 'E ${ }^{\prime}$ ovaía right, authority. See on Mk. ii. 10; J. i. 12; Col. i. 16. Only here in Pastorals. For the combination principalities and powers, see on L. xx. 20.

To obey magistrates ( $\pi \epsilon \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon i v$ ). Comp. Acts v. 29, 32 ; xxvii. 21. See on Acts v. 29. The idea of magistrates is contained in the word itself; but it is quite proper to render as Rev. to be obedient. Rare in LXX.
 eival). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. 'Eтoí $о$ s ready, only here in Pastorals. Comp. étot $\mu a \sigma l a$ readiness or preparation, Eph. vi. 15 (note).
2. No brawlers (ả $\mu \dot{a} \chi o u s)$. Better as Rev., not to be contentious. See on 1 Tim. iii. 3. Past ${ }^{0}$.
3. Divers-pleasures ( $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu a i ̂ s ~ \pi o \iota \kappa i \lambda a u s) . ~ ' H \delta o v ' ~ p l e a s-~$ ure, only here in Pastorals. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. See on Jas. iv. 1. For токкìals divers, see on 2 Tim. iii. 6.

Malice (какía). Only here in Pastorals. See on Jas. i. 21. In N. T. какia is a special form of vice, not viciousness in general, as Cicero, Tusc. iv. 15, who explains by "vitiositas, a viciousness which includes all vices." Calvin, on Eph. iv. 32 , defines as "a viciousness of mind opposed to humanity and fairness, and commonly styled malignity." The homily ascribed to Clement of Rome, describes какía as the forerunner ( $\pi \rho \circ o \delta a i \pi \sigma \rho o \nu$ ) of our sins (x). Malice is a correct translation.
4. Kindness ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau$ ót $\boldsymbol{s}$ ). Only here in Pastorals. Elsewhere only in Paul. See on Rom. iii. 12, and on easy, Matt. xi. 30.

Love ( $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i{ }^{\prime}$ ). Love is too vague. It is love toward men ; comp. ver. 2. Only here and Acts xxviii. 2: $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu-$ $\theta \rho \omega ́ т \omega s$ kindly, Acts xxvii. 3 (note). While it cannot be asserted that the heretical characteristics noted in the Pastoral Epistles point collectively to any specific form of error, it is true, nevertheless, that certain characteristics of the economy of grace are emphasised, which are directly opposed to Gnostic ideas. Thus the exhortation that supplications be made for all men, supported by the statement that God wills that all men should be saved and come to the knowledge of the truth ( 1 Tim. ii. 1,4 ), is in the teeth of the Gnostic distinction between men of spirit and men of matter, and of the Gnostic
 for a limited, intellectual class. To the same effect is the frequent recurrence of all, for all, in connection with the saving and enlightening gifts of God ( 1 Tim. ii. 6; iv. 10 ; vi. 13; Tit. ii. 11). So here : not only has the saving grace of God appeared unto all (ch. ii. 11), but it has revealed itself as kindness and love to man as man.
5. Not by works of righteousness which we have done

works, those namely in righteousness, which we did. The thought is entirely Pauline. 'E $\xi \not{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, strictly, out of, in consequence of works. ' $\mathrm{E} \nu \delta^{\prime} \kappa a \iota o \sigma v \nu_{\eta}$ in the sphere of righteousness; as legally righteous men. Comp. Eph. ii. 9. We did: emphatic. Comp. Rom. x. 5 ; Gal. iii. 10, 12; v. 3.
 only 1 Pet. i. 3. Comp. Rom. xv. 9 ; Eph. ii. 4 ; Jude 21.

By the washing of regeneration ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \lambda o v \tau \rho o \hat{v} \pi a \lambda \iota \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma-$ ias). ムovtpóv only here and Eph. v. 26. It does not mean the act of bathing, but the bath, the laver. Ma入ıvyєvéia only here and Matt. xix. 28, where it is used of the final restoration of all things. The phrase laver of regeneration distinctly refers to baptism, in connection with which and through which as a medium regeneration is conceived as taking place. Comp. Rom. vi. 3-5. It is true that nothing is said of faith; but baptism implies faith on the part of its recipient. It has no regenerating effect apart from faith; and the renewing of the Holy Spirit is not bestowed if faith be wanting.

Renewing (ảעaкaıעஸ́бడตs). Only here and Rom. xii. 2. Comp. 2 Cor. v. 17. Paul has ảvakauvồv to renew, 2 Cor.
 'Avakauvi $\xi \in \nu$ to renew, only Heb. vi. 6. The connection of the genitive is disputed. Some make it dependent on $\lambda_{\text {out }}$ ôv bath, so that the bath of baptism is conceived as implying regeneration and renewing of the Holy Spirit. Others construe with renewing only, à $\nu a \kappa а \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ s being dependent on $\delta_{i}$; through the laver of regeneration and (through) the renewing, etc. The former seems the more probable. The phrase renewing of the Holy Spirit only here. In N. T. the Spirit or the Holy Spirit is joined in the genitive with the following words: comfort, joy, power, love, demonstration, manifestation, earnest, ministration, fellowship, promise, fruit, unity, sword, sanctification.
6. Shed ( $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \in ́ \chi \in \epsilon \nu\right)$. Or poured forth. Only here in Pastorals. Most frequent in Apocalypse. The pouring out of
the Spirit is an O. T. metaphor. See Joel iii. 1, 2, cit. in Acts ii. 17, 18 ; Zech. xii. 10. In Paul the verb occurs but once, of shedding blood, Rom. iii. 15, cit.
7. Being justified ( $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \omega \theta$ évtєs). In Pastorals only here and 1 Tim. iii. 16 (note). See Introd. vi. Justification is conceived as taking place before the outpouring of the Spirit.
 Christ. See Acts xv. 11; 2 Cor. viii. 9; xiii. 14; Rom.v. 6; Gal. i. 6.

We should be made heirs ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu о \dot{\prime} \mu о \iota \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). K $\lambda \eta \rho о-$ ро́ $\mu$ os heir only here in Pastorals. A favourite idea of Paul. See Rom. iv. 13 ; viii. 17 ; Gal. iii. 29. Heirship of eternal life is the result of justification. So, clearly, Rom. v. It is. attested and confirmed by the Holy Spirit. 2 Cor. v. 5; Eph. i. 14.

According to the hope of eternal life ( $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ aicuiou). Const. of eternal life with heirs, and rend. heirs of eternal life according to hope. Comp. Rom. iv. 18; v. 2; viii. 24; Gal. v. 5; Col. i. 5, 27 ; Tit. i. 2; 1 Pet. i. 3; 1 J. iii. 2, 3.
8. Affirm constantly ( $\delta \iota a \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l$ ). Past ${ }^{0}$. See on 1 Tim. i. 7. Constantly, not continually, but uniformly and consistently. So Book of Common Prayer, "Collect for Saint. John Baptist's Day," "and after his example constantly speak the truth." Rend. affirm steadfastly.

Might be careful ( $\phi \rho o \nu \tau i \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\text {o }}$. Quite often in LXX. Frequent in Class. To think or consider; hence to take careful thought, ponder, be anxious about.

To maintain ( $\pi \rho 0 i i^{*} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ). Mostly in Pastorals, and. usually in the sense of ruling, as Rom. xii. 8; 1 Th.. v. 12; 1 Tim. iii. 4, 5. The sense here is to be forward in.*

[^128]Profitable ( $\omega \phi$ é $\lambda \iota \mu a)$. Past ${ }^{0} .{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Comp. 1 Tim. iv. 8; 2 Tim. iii. 16.
9. Foolish questions, etc. See on 2 Tim. ii. 23. For genealogies see on 1 Tim. i. 4.

Strivings about the law ( $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \chi \alpha{ }^{\text {s }}$ ро $\mu \kappa \kappa \grave{s}$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. 1 Tim. i. 7. Nouıкós mostly in Luke. Everywhere except here a lawyer, with the article or $\tau i s$.

Unprofitable (à $\alpha \omega \phi \in \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\varsigma}) . \quad$ Only here and Heb. vii. 18.
Vain ( $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \omega \iota$ ). Only here in Pastorals. Twice in Paul, 1 Cor. iii. 20, cit. ; xv. 17 (note). Very frequent in LXX. The sense is aimless or resultless, as $\mu$ átaios єủx $\mathfrak{\eta}$ a prayer which cannot obtain fulfilment. The questions, genealogies, etc., lead to no attainment or advancement in godliness.
 talkers, ver. 10 : $\mu a t a a_{t \eta} \eta$ vanity, Rom. viii. 20; Eph. iv. 17 : е́ $\mu a \tau a \iota \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \sigma a \nu$ were made vain, Rom. i. 21: $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ in vain, Matt. xv. 9.
七кós heretical, N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For aípecıs heresy see on 1 Pet. ii. 1.
 vi. 4 (note). See on $\nu o v \theta \epsilon \tau \in i ̂ ̀ ~ t o ~ a d m o n i s h, ~ A c t s ~ x x . ~ 31 . ~$
11. Is subverted (ėॄé $\sigma \rho \alpha \pi \tau a i$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. More than turned away from the right path : rather, turned inside out. Comp. LXX, Deut. xxxii. 20.

Sinneth ( $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota$ ). See on 1 J. i. 9 ; Matt. i. 21, and trespasses, Matt. vi. 14.

[^129]Condemned of himself (aúzoката́крıтоя). Better as Rev., self-condemned. N. T. ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Class}$.
12. Nicopolis. There were several cities of this name, one in Cilicia, one in Thrace, and one in Epirus. It is uncertain which one is meant here.

To winter (тарахєı $\mu$ á $\sigma a$ ). Comp. Acts xxvii. 12; xxviii. 11; 1 Cor. xvi. 6. The noun mapaxєı $\mu a \sigma$ ia wintering, Acts xxvii. 12.
12. Ours ( $\dot{\eta} \mu$ étepoı). Our brethren in Crete.
 N. T. ${ }^{0}$. With reference to whatever occasion may demand them.

Unfruitful (äкартоь). Only here in Pastorals. In Paul, 1 Cor. xiv. 14 ; Eph. v. 11. Not only in supplying the needs, but in cultivating Christian graces in themselves by acts of Christian service.
 $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota)$. Better, in faith. The phrase N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Фı入єì to love, only here in Pastorals, and in Paul, only 1 Cor. xvi. 22. See on áyám $\eta$ love, Gal. v. 22. Const. in faith with that love us.

LISTS OF WORDS FOUND ONLY IN THE PASTORAL EPIS－ TLES，AND IN THE PASTORAL EPISTLES AND NOT IN PAUL＇S WRITINGS．

| Pastorals only． |  | pastorals and not in paul． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\dot{\alpha}$ ало́ঠєктоs | aitía |  |
|  |  | $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ | е̇ктре́тонаи |
| ${ }^{\mathbf{a}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma^{\prime}$ | $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \rho i \zeta \omega$ | $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \tau \rho \in ́ \pi \omega$ |  |
|  |  | ävou | $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \tau$ |
|  |  | $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ ávo $\mu \alpha$ | $\dot{\bar{\epsilon}} \mu \pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} \bar{\omega}$ |
| aidós |  | а่ขvто́та．sтоs |  |
| aipєтıко́s |  | $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \phi \in \lambda \eta^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | ¢́ $\ddagger$ йкогта |
| ail $\chi$ рокєр $\chi^{\prime}$＇s |  |  | є̇тако入оиӨ＇є ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| аккоíp ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ | $\alpha \dot{\sim} \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ | $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\pi} \omega$ |  |
| $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau 0 ¢$ | аข่токата́крıтоs |  |  |
|  | $\dot{a} \phi \theta 0 \rho i ́ a ~$ | d， $\boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ós | е̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda$ ¢́ооац |
| ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \omega$ |  |  | є̇пиякоти́ |
|  | $\dot{\alpha} \psi \in v \delta \gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ | д̀pvéouaı | є̇лíттарац |
| ${ }^{\dot{\alpha}} \mu \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ |  |  | є่̇ $\pi \iota \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ |
|  | $\beta \alpha \theta \mu$ ós |  | е̇ $\pi \iota \tau<\mu$ á $\omega$ |
| àvá入voıs | $\beta \delta \in \lambda$ ккто́s |  |  |
|  | $\beta$ ¢́̇ $\lambda \tau$ וov | ảXápıбтos |  |
|  | $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho$ ós |  | є ่̇коíp $\omega$ s |
|  |  | $\beta \epsilon \beta^{\prime} \beta \eta \lambda$ оо |  |
| $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho$ офо́vos |  | $\beta$ ios |  |
| àvє̧íкакоя | $\gamma \in \nu \in a \lambda о \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ía |  |  |
| $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \pi \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi \chi{ }^{\nu \nu \tau 0}$ | \％óns |  |  |
| $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \pi i \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau 0 ¢$ | $\gamma \rho a \omega$ ¢ $\chi^{\prime}$ | $\beta \rho$ ¢́qоя | $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \epsilon \in \omega$ |
|  |  | $\beta v \theta i \zeta \omega$ | $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma o \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ |
| àvórtos | үvvaıкápıov | $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | ض̇סov ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| ả̀тıঠtaтi $\theta \in \mu \alpha \iota$ à $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ i $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma \iota ร}$ | $\delta \epsilon i \lambda i a$ |  | $\dot{\eta} \sigma$ र́xos |
| ảvтi入vт ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\delta_{\text {¢ }} \alpha \beta \in \beta$ aıóopat | $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta$ s | Өпрíov |
| алтаі́סєчтоз | Stáßo入os，as adj． | סımגóos | $\theta_{\nu}^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$ |
| àл́́pavtos | Stá $\gamma \omega$ | боо́цо |  |
|  | $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \eta$ | $\delta \nu v a ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ s | i $\mu$ atı |

PASTORALS ONLY．

| $\delta \omega \tau \rho о ф \eta^{\prime}$ | катабто入ך |
| :---: | :---: |
| ঠıঠактıко́s |  |
| Sídoyos | $\kappa а \tau а ф \theta \epsilon i ́ p \omega$ |
| $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \tau \eta$ s | $\kappa \alpha v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota a ́ \zeta о \mu a \ell$ кєขoф $\omega v i ́ a$ |
| ¢́үкрати́s | $\kappa \nu \eta^{\prime} \theta \omega$ |
| Ėठраímua | коıv $\omega$ ¢ıко́s |
| ${ }_{\text {ér }} \times$ \％ovos | ко́б儿ios |
|  | $\kappa o \sigma \mu i \omega^{\prime}$（alt．for |
| є́кЦぞтךбıs | $\kappa<\sigma \mu\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)$ |
| є̇котрє́фораи |  |
|  | $\lambda о$ оонахе́ $\omega$ |
| ėdequós | doүoraхía |
| ย̇ขరข́v\％ |  |
|  | $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \mu \mu \eta$ |
|  | $\mu а т а \iota o \lambda o \gamma i \alpha$ |
| érayүél入oual in | $\mu a \tau a c o \lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ |
| sense of pro－ | $\mu \in \mu \beta$ páva |
| fessing | $\mu \in \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota_{s}$ |
| є̇ $\pi$ avóp $\theta \omega \sigma \iota s$ | $\mu \eta \delta$ ¢́тотє |
| єтаркє́ш | $\mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \lambda \stackrel{\uparrow}{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ |
| $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \iota о \rho$ Oów | $\mu$ мооóopat |
| єлтіоркоя |  |
| $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega$ | ขєóфvтos |
|  | $\nu \in \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa$ о́s |
| ย̇лเธ | $\nu \eta \phi a ́ \lambda c o s$ |
|  | vo迫 $\omega^{\prime}$ |
| є 3 ¢єтádotos | ขoбé $\omega$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| ${ }_{\eta}^{*} \rho \in \mu$ Os |  |
|  | оікодєбтотє́ $\omega$ |
| $\theta \epsilon$ о́тvєvбтоs | oikovp\％ós |
| $\theta$ өобє́ $\beta$ ¢єа | о́ $\mu о \lambda о \gamma о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega$ s ópílos |
| i $¢ \rho 0 \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \underline{\eta}$ ¢ | óp $\theta$ огоцє́ف |
| ＇Tovסаїко́s |  |
|  | $\pi а р а \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ |
| ка入обtঠáбкалоя | тápotvos |
| ката入є́үоцає | $\pi а \tau \rho о \lambda \underline{\varphi} \eta \boldsymbol{s}$ |
| ката́бтๆра |  |

PASTORALS AND NOT IN PAUL．

$\lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \omega$
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$
入íav
доtסорía тробє́рхоцає
$\lambda \nu \tau \rho о ́ о \mu \propto є \quad \pi р о \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi ш$
$\pi \rho o \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega$
тикขós
$\sigma o \phi i \zeta \omega$
бтата入á $\omega$
бтєрєós
бтєфа⿱о́㇒ $\omega$
$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$
$\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota к o ́ s$
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma v ́ v \eta$

тáXeıov
$\dot{v} \beta \rho i ́ \zeta \omega$
ن́yuív $\omega$

$\dot{\boldsymbol{u} \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \dot{\gamma} \sigma \omega}$
ข่то́ $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$
v゙бтєроs
$\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i ́ a$
фı入áp $\gamma$ v $\rho o s$
$\phi \backslash \lambda o ́ \xi \in v o s$


These lists have been made directly from Moulton and Geden's Concordance.

## A LIST OF PHRASES WHICH OCCUR ONLY IN THE PASTORAL EPISTLES.

 i. 1.

ро́роя кєìтає, 1 Tim. i. 9.

 $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}, 1$ Tim. i. 11.
ó $\mu$ кка́pıos $\theta$ єòs, 1 Tím. i. 11.
tıбтòs ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s, 1$ Tim. i. 15.
$\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta o \chi \eta ̂ s ~ a ̈ \xi l o s, 1$ Tim. i. 15.
 i. 19.
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu, 1$ Tim. ii. 1.


ii. 4.

кацроîs iסíoss, 1 Tim. ii. 6.
$\delta_{\iota} \delta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda$ os ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (of Paul), 1 Tim. ii. 7.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ каì ả $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i a ̣, 1$ Tim. ii. 7.
émaípovтєs ó óiovs $\chi \in i \hat{\rho}$ as, 1 Tim. ii. 8.
$\chi^{\omega \rho i s}$ ò $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s \kappa \alpha i \delta_{\iota} \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega \hat{\nu}, 1$ Tim. ii. 8.

$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota \pi \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} v \epsilon i \mathbf{S}$ крípa, 1 Tim. iii. 6.
 iii. 9.
oíkos $\theta$ єov̂ (of the church), 1 Tim. iii. 15.
 1 Tim. iii. 15.
 1 Tim. iii. 16.
èv v́gтépors кalpoîs, 1 Tim. iv. 1.
$\dot{a} \phi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}, 1$ Tim. iv. 1.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i a \sim \nu$ Є̈ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu, 1$ l'im. iv. 8.
$\nu \hat{v} \nu$ (with an article and adjectively, as $\delta$ o vivv aićv ; $\zeta \omega \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ v i v v), ~ 1 ~ T i m . ~$ iv. 8 ; vi. 7.
${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} v \tau \omega s$ (with an article and adjectively, as $\tau$ às öv $\left.\tau \tau \omega \chi^{\prime} \not{ }^{\prime} \rho a s\right), 1$ Tim. v. 3.
á $\mu 0 \iota \beta \grave{\alpha} s$ àmodı $\delta o ́ v a l, 1$ Tim. v. 4.
е́хє८้ крíma, 1 Tim. v. 12.

$\chi \omega \rho i s$ трокрíцатоs, 1 Tim. ซ. 21.
 vi. 3.
 vi. 3.

 vi. 12.
 vi. 12.
 vi. 13.

סvváotivs (of God), 1 Tim. vi. 15.
oí куplєv́ovtєs for кúptot, 1 Tim. vi. 15.

àтобтólos кала̀ —, 1 Tim. i. 1; 2 Tim. i. 1; Tit. i. 1.

à $\pi$ ò $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu, 2$ Tim. i. 3.
 2 Tim. i. 3.

## PHRASES OCCURRING ONLY IN PASTORAL EPISTLES.


$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ á $\gamma^{\prime} \alpha, 2$ Tim. i. 9.
$\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu$ ai $\omega \nu i \omega \nu, 2$ Tim. i. 9; Tit. i. 2.
 ii. 10.

$\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda c o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂, ~ 2 ~ T i m . ~ i i . ~ 19 . ~$

тои̂то $\begin{array}{r}\text { ív} \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon, ~ \\ 2\end{array}$ Tim. iii. 1.
ठ七ஸ́кєเv (in sense of persecute), 2 Tim.
iii. 12.
 iii. 13.
í $\rho$ à $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau a, 2$ Tim. iii. 15.
 2 Tim. iv. 3.

 iv. 8.

2 Tim. iv. 8.
maparivoual (in the sense of standing by as a friend), 2 Tim. iv. 16.

бєavтòv $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \notin \sigma \theta a l$, Tit. ii. 7.
ó є́ $\xi$ évavtías, Tit. ii. 8.
 Tit. ii. 10.


кобцккаі і̀ $\pi t \theta v \mu i ́ a t$, Tit. ii. 12.
$\stackrel{\ominus}{\eta}$ макарі́а є̇ $\lambda \pi i ́ s$, Tit. ii. 13.




## THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS.

## INTRODUCTION.

"Who wrote the Epistle God only knows." Such was the verdict of Origen, and modern criticism has gotten no farther. That it is not the work of Paul is the almost unanimous judgment of modern scholars. Its authenticity as a Pauline writing has been challenged from the earliest times. In the Eastern church, both Clement and Origen regarded the Greek Epistle as Paul's only in a secondary sense; Clement holding that it was written by Paul in Hebrew and translated by Luke. Origen knew only that some held Clement of Rome and some Luke to be the author. Its position and designation in the Peshitto Version shows that it was regarded as not strictly one of Paul's epistles, but as an appendix to the collection. Eusebius's testimony is inconsistent. He holds a Hebrew original, and a translation by Clement, and cites the letter as Pauline (H.E. 38). Again, he expressly classifies it with antilegomena (vi. 13); but in iii. 25 he evades the question, naming the Pauline Epistles as homologumena, but without stating their number.

In the West the epistle was known to Clement of Rome, who frequently quotes it, but without naming the author. The Pauline authorship was expressly denied by Hippolytus : the Muratorian Canon does not mention it, and reckons only seven churches to which Paul wrote: Tertullian in Africa apparently knew nothing of a Pauline Epistle to the Hebrews, but spoke of an Epistle of Barnabas to the Hebrews. It was not recognised by Cyprian. From the fourth century its canonical authority was admitted in the West, partly on
the assumption of its Pauline authorship; but the influence of the earlier suspicion remained, and Jerome declared that the custom of the Latins did not receive it as St. Paul's. Augustine agreed substantially with Jerome. It was authorised as canonical by two councils of Carthage (397, 419 A.d.); but the language of the former council was peculiar: "Thirteen Epistles of Paul, and one of the same to the Hebrews." The decree of the latter council was "fourteen Epistles of Paul."

From this time the canonical authority and authorship of the epistle were generally accepted until the age of the Reformation, when the old doubts were revived by Cajetan and Erasmus. The council of Trent (1545-1563) decreed fourteen Pauline Epistles; yet different views have been current among Roman Catholic theologians, as Bellarmine, Estius, and others. Luther denied the Pauline authorship, and placed the epistle along with James, Jude, and the Apocalypse, after "the right-certain, main books of the New Testament." Melanchthon treated it as anonymous. The Magdeburg Centuriators (1559-1574) denied that it was Paul's, as did Calvin. Under Beza's influence it was separated from the Pauline letters in the Gallican Confession (1571). The Belgic and Helvetic Confessions declared it Pauline. The hypothesis of the Pauline authorship was conclusively overthrown by Bleek in 1868.

The conclusion of modern scholarship rests upon:
(1) The Style and Diction. - While Paul's style is marked by frequent irregularities, anacolutha, unclosed parentheses, and mixed metaphors, this epistle is written in a flowing, symmetrical, and artistically elaborated style. The difference is as marked as that between a chapter of Gibbon and one of Sartor Resartus. The rhetorical art of Hebrews appears in the careful arrangement of the words, the rhythmical structure of sentences, and the sonorous compounds. The paragraphs are sometimes arranged in a regular series of premises and conclusions, with parentheses which do not lose their connection with the main topic, while the whole is developed in regular sequence, without anacolutha.
(2) The Methods of Thought and the Points of View. - These differ from those of the Pauline Epistles. The two do not materially disagree. They reach, substantially, the same conclusions, but by different processes and from different positions. The points of emphasis differ. Topics which, in the Pauline letters, are in the foreground, in Hebrews fall into the shade or are wholly passed over. (a) The conception of faith. In Paul, faith is belief in Jesus Christ as a means of justification, involving a sharp opposition to the works of the law as meriting salvation. In Hebrews, faith is trust in the divine promises as distinguished from seeing their realisation, a phase of faith which appears rarely in Paul. - Both agree that faith is the only true medium of righteousness; but Hebrews sets forth two great factors of faith, namely, that God is, and that he is a rewarder of them which diligently seek him.
(b) The mode of presenting the contrast between the covenant of works and the covenant of grace through faith. Both Paul and the author of Hebrews recognise a relation and connection between the two covenants. The one prefigures and prepares the way for the other. The Christian church is "the Israel of God," "the people of God," "the seed of Abraham." Both teach that forgiveness of $\sin$ and true fellowship with God cannot be attained through the law, and that Christianity represents the life-giving Spirit, and Judaism the letter which killeth. Both assert the abrogation of the old covenant by Christ. Paul, however, views Judaism almost entirely as a law to be fulfilled by men; while our writer regards it as a system of institutions designed to represent a fellowship between God and his worshippers. Paul, accordingly, shows that the law cannot put man into right relation with God, because man cannot fulfil it; while Hebrews shows that the institutions of the old covenant cannot, by reason of their imperfection, establish a real fellowship with God. To Paul, the reason why the old covenant did not satisfy lay, not in the law, which "is holy and just and good," but in the relation of man to the law, as unable to fulfil its demands. It cannot effect justification, and it works to make man con-
scious of his sin, and to drive him to the true source of righteousness. To our writer the reason is to be sought in the fact that the atoning and purifying institutions of the law cannot remove the sins which prevent fellowship with God.

From Paul's point of view he might have been expected to show that, in the Old Testament economy, it devolved on the sacrificial institution, centred in the high-priesthood, to meet the want which was not met by legal obedience. To his assertion that men could not fulfil the demands of the law, it might have been answered that the sacrifices, not in being works of the law, but in being ordained by God himself as atonements for sin, changed men's defective righteousness into a righteousness which justified them before God. But Paul does not meet this. He nowhere shows the insufficiency of the Old Testament sacrifices. He does not treat the doctrine of the high-priesthood of Christ. He regards the system of sacrifices less as a divinely-ordained means of atonement than as a work performed by men, and therefore in the line of other works of the law.

This gap is filled by the writer to the Hebrews, in showing that the ceremonial economy did not and could not effect true fellowship with God. He, no doubt, perceived as clearly as Paul that the observance of the ritual was of the nature of legal works; but he speaks of the ritual system as only a. presumed means of grace intended to define and enforce the idea of fellowship with God, and to give temporary comfort to the worshipper, but practically impotent to institute and maintain such fellowship in any true and deep sense. Therefore he emphasises the topic of the priesthood. He dwells on the imperfect and transient nature of the priestly office : he shows that the Levitical priesthood was only a foreshadowing of a better and permanent priesthood. Christ as the great high priest, who appears nowhere in the Pauline Epistles, is the central figure in the Epistle to the Hebrews. He treats of the ritual system and its appliances as mere types of an enduring reality : he characterises the whole body of Levitical ordinances and ceremonies as fleshly; and through all runs the one, sad note, accentuated again and again,
"they can never take away sins:" "they can never make the comers thereunto perfect:" "they are mere ordinances of the flesh, imposed until the time of reformation."
(c) The view of the condition in which the subject of the law's dominion is placed. To Paul it is a condition of bondage, because the law is a body of demands which man must fulfil (Rom. vii.). To our writer it is a condition of unsatisfied longing for forgiveness and fellowship, because of the insufficiency of the ritual atonement. Accordingly, Hebrews points to the satisfaction of this longing in Christ, the great high priest, perfecting by one offering those who are being sanctified, purging the conscience from dead works to serve the living God. Paul points to the fact that Christ has put an end to the tyranny of the law, and has substituted freedom for bondage. The conception of freedom does not appear in
 in the epistle.
(d) The doctrine of the resurrection of Christ. This emerges everywhere in Paul's epistles. There is but one allusion to it in Hebrews (xiii. 20), although it is implied in the doctrine of Christ's high-priesthood, he being a priest "according to the power of an indissoluble life " (vii. 16).
(e) The Gentiles. There is no mention of the Gentiles in relation to the new covenant, a topic which constantly recurs in Paul.
( $f$ ) Sin. Sin is not treated with reference to its origin as by Paul. The vocabulary of terms for sin is smaller than in the Pauline writings.
(g) Repentance. The denial of the possibility of repentance after a lapse (vi. 4-6, comp. x. 26-29) is not Pauline.
(3) The Use of Divine Titles. - Kúplos lord, very common in Paul, is comparatively rare in Hebrews. Similarly, 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ s$ Xpıotós Jesus Christ, which occurs thirty times in Romans alone. X $\boldsymbol{\rho} \iota \sigma \tau{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{I} \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{v} \varsigma$, which is characteristically Pauline, does not appear at all, neither does $\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ saviour, which is found in Ephesians and Philippians.
(4) The General Scheme of Treatment. -This is broader than that of Paul, viewing man not only in his
relation to the law, but to God's original ideal, and to the harmony with God's entire economy in nature and revelation. Man, nature, history, alike illustrate the incarnation. The Son of God, through whom the worlds were made, is the heir of all things, and, as creator and heir, interprets all life. He not only creates, but bears on all things by the word of his power toward the consummation-complete harmony with the divine archetype. As high priest he makes God and man at one in every sphere of being. He stands for the solidarity of humanity. He is not perfected without the community of sons (xi. 40). He is himself a son, a partaker of human nature.

With Paul, the law is chiefly a law of ordinances to be replaced by the gospel. It is abolished in Christ. It cannot be perfectly observed. It generates the knowledge of sin. It cannot generate righteousness. Christianity is a manifestation of the righteousness of God apart from the law. Faith is counted for righteousness to him that worketh not but believeth. The law works wrath, and is unto death. It is subsidiary, with a special view to the concrete development of $\sin$.

Equally our epistle shows the insufficiency of the law to reconcile men to God, but in a different way. Paul emphasises the substitution of the gospel for the law: Hebrews the germ of a saving economy contained in the law, and the necessity of its development by the gospel. Paul does not overlook the fact that the law was our pedagogue to bring us to Christ, but he does not show how, as our writer does. The latter emphasises the unity of the divine plan, shows how the Levitical institutions pointed forward to Christ, and how the heavenly archetype was foreshadowed in the ritual system. With all Paul's strong assertion of the holiness of the law, he never dwells on it with the sad tenderness for the vanishing system which marks the Epistle to the Hebrews. With Paul the break with the law was sharp and complete. The law, as a champion of which he had been a persecutor of Christ, is thrown into sharp relief against Christ and the gospel. With James and Peter the case was different. It would not be strange if some writing should issue from their circle as "the last voice of the apostles of the circumcision," contem-
plating with affectionate sympathy that through which they had been led to see the meaning of the gospel, and finding in it "a welcome, though imperfect source of consolation, instead of a crushing burden, as in Paul's case" (Westcott).
(5) The Personal Authority of the Writer is wholly in the Background. - This is in marked contrast with the epistles of Paul. He appears to place himself in the second generation of believers to whom the salvation preached by Christ had been certified by ear-witnesses; while Paul refuses to be regarded as a pupil of the apostles, and claims to have received the gospel directly from the Lord, and to have been certified of it by the Spirit.

If Paul was not the author, who was? One claim is about as good as another, and no claim has any substantial support. That of Apollos is founded solely upon Acts xviii. 24 f .; 1 Cor. i. 12 ; ii. 4 ff . The most that can be deduced from these is that Apollos might have written it. There is no evidence that he wrote anything, and that he was learned and mighty in the Scriptures might easily have been true of others. Some modern critics incline to Barnabas, on the strength of the words of Tertullian alluded to above, but this is as unsatisfactory as the rest.

As regards the destination of the epistle, we are equally in the dark. By ecclesiastical writers from the earliest time it is cited under the title to the H.brews, a fact which is entitled to some weight. It is evidently addressed to a definite circle of readers, and that circle could hardly have been a mixed church of Jews and Gentiles, since it would have been impossible in that case for the letter to avoid allusions to the relations between the two, whereas it contains no allusion to Gentile Christians.

An hypothesis which has obtained considerable currency in modern criticism is, that the epistle was not addressed to Jewish Christians at all, but to Gentile Christians, as a warning against relapsing into heathenism, by showing them from the Old Testament the superiority of Christianity to Judaism.*

[^130]But this hypothesis presents formidable difficulties. This would seem to be a roundahout way of impressing Gentiles with the superior claims of Christianity. It would appear to have been the more natural course to institute a direct comparison between Christianity and paganism. See on ch. xiii. 7-15.

It is true that Gentile Christians were familiar with the Old Testament, and that Paul's epistles to Gentile readers contain frequent allusions to it; and, further, that Clement of Rome, in his epistle to the Gentile church at Corinth, makes much use of the Epistle to the Hebrews, and cites freely from the Old Testament. But to illustrate one's thoughts and arguments by occasional references to the Old Testament is a very different thing from drawing out an elaborate argument on the basis of a contrast between a new and an older order, designed to show, not only that the new is superior to the old, but that the new is enfolded in the old and developed from it. To this there is no parallel in the New Testament in writings addressed to Gentiles. It would have been superfluous to prove, as this epistle does, that the old order did not satisfy. The Gentiles never supposed that it did.

Moreover, in almost every case of Paul's allusion to the Jewish institutions, the reference is called out by some feature of the Mosaic economy which lay directly in his track and compelled him to deal with it. Thus, in Romans, he is forced to discuss the doctrine of salvation by faith with reference to the Jewish doctrine of salvation by the works of the law. The Galatians had been tempted by Judaising emissaries to return to the law of circumcision. In Corinth, Paul's authority and teaching had been assailed by Jewish aggressors. In Philippians we have no allusion to the law until the writer comes to deal with "the dogs," "the evil workers," "the concision." In Colossians, Jewish ceremonialism is a distinct factor of the heresy which is attacked; but nowhere in Paul's epistles is there a didactic development of a thesis from the point of view of the Old Testament economy collectively.

The same remarks will apply to the case of Clement of Rome. In his Epistle to the Corinthians there are about twenty allusions to the Epistle to the Hebrews or quotations from it. Two of these relate to the majesty of God; one to Christ as high priest; in two or three there is a mere imitation of the phraseology of Hebrews, and the most of the passages are practical exhortations to the cultivation of moral virtues, enforced by allusions to the Old Testament worthies. Any of these passages might have occurred in an address to either Jews or Gentiles. They prove nothing as to the point in question. If we did not know from other sources that Clement's epistle was addressed to a Gentile church, we could not infer that fact from these quotations and allusions. Moreover, Clement's fondness for the Old Testament and the Epistle to the Hebrews is easily explained, if, as there is very good reason for believing, Clement himself was of Jewish origin, a Hellenist.*

The whole argument of the Epistle to the Hebrews is technically Jewish, and not of a character to appeal to Gentile readers. The argument, for example, for the superiority of Christ to the angels, would have much force addressed to Jews, since the doctrine of the communication of the Mosaic revelation through the ministration of angels was a familiar tradition. Between the writer and Jewish readers there would be no question as to the angelic mediation of the Sinaitic legislation; but the point would have no interest and no pertinency for the average Gentile. The Jew would readily apprehend that no theophany is a direct manifestation of God to the physical sense. The Gentile mode of thought would be the other way. The Jew would understand that angels were the administrators of the old covenant, and would instinctively catch the turn of the whole argument to the effect that with the exaltation of Christ the angelic sway of the old dispensation ceased.

The same thing might be said of the doctrine of the highpriesthood of Christ. If this was a point to make with Gen-

[^131]tiles, it is strange that Paul nowhere alludes to it; and what did the Gentile care about Melchisedec or the relation of Christ's priesthood to his?

It is indeed true that, in the practical warnings of the epistle, nothing is directly said about apostasy to Judaism; but the admonitions are enforced by distinctively Jewish references, as, for example, the warning against failure to enter into God's rest, which is pointed by the example of the Israelites in failing to enter Canaan. Would a writer have said to a Gentile convert that, in case of his committing wilful sin, there was no expiation for him? But he might properly say to a Jewish Christian, who was tempted to return to Judaism : "If you abandon Christ, and return to Judaism, you have no more sacrifice for sins. Your whole system of Levitical sacrifices is abolished. It is Christ or nothing."

It is very strongly urged that the warning against departing from the living God (iii. 12) might very properly be given to Gentiles as against a relapse into heathenism, while it would be utterly inappropriate to a Jewish Christian, because the living God is common to both Jews and Christians; and a relapse into Judaism could not, therefore, be a departure from the living God. But the objection overlooks the intent of the whole epistle, which is to show that the living God of the Jewish economy has revealed himself in the Christian economy, thereby superseding the former. It is the God of the Christian dispensation who is commended to the readers; the living God under a new and grander manifestation of life. God who spake by the prophets, now speaks by his Son, the effulgence of his glory and the very image of his substance. To go back to.the old economy of types and shadows, the economy of partial access to God, would be literally to depart from the living God. It would be, practically, to deny him as a living God, by denying all development and expansion in his revelation of his own life, and confining that revelation to the narrow limits of the Mosaic system ; in other words, to identify the living God with the dead system. To depart from Christ, the Life, and
to seek the God of the Old Testament revelation, would be to fall back from a living to a dead God.

Again, it is claimed that the words at the beginning of Chapter VI. could not be properly addressed to Jewish Christians: that only a heathen would need to lay such a foundation on his first acceptance of Christ. On the contrary, all the points here enumerated would have had to be expounded to a Jew on becoming a Christian. See notes on that passage.

A still more difficult question is the local destination of the epistle. By those who supposed it to be the work of Paul, attempts were made to place this destination within the circle of Paul's recorded missionary labours; and it was accordingly assigned to almost every place visited or supposed to have been visited by him, - Macedonia, Corinth, Antioch, Spain, etc.

A plausible hypothesis assigned its destination to Jewish Christians in Alexandria. This was based on the fact that the Muratorian Canon (170-210), while omitting Hebrews, notes an Epistle to the Alexandrians (Ad Alexandrinos). It was argued that, since the Canon contains a list both of Paul's genuine epistles and of those falsely ascribed to him, and since Hebrews is not mentioned, the Alexandrian epistle can mean only the Epistle to the Hebrews. It was further urged that Alexandria had, next to Jerusalem, the largest resident Jewish population in the world, and that at Leontopolis in Egypt was another temple, with the arrangements of which the notices in Hebrews corresponded more nearly than with those of the Jerusalem temple.* Moreover, the Alexandrian character of the phraseology of the epistle was supposed to point to Alexandrian readers.

But, (a) We have no positive history of the church in Egypt in apostolic times. (b) Although there are numerous notices of the epistle by early Alexandrian writers, there is no hint of its having been addressed to their own church. (c) In the Muratorian Canon the Epistle to the Alexandrians is distinctly stated to be a forgery in the name of Paul.

[^132](d) It cannot be shown that the temple at Leontopolis exercised the same power over the Alexandrian Jews as the temple at Jerusalem did over the Palestinian Jews. Even in Egypt the Jerusalem temple was recognised as the true centre of worship. Moreover, the Christian church at Alexandria was a mixed church. (e) The furniture of the temple at Jerusalem was more like that of the tabernacle described in Hebrews than that of the Egyptian temple.

A widely-accepted view is that the epistle was addressed to Jewish Christians in Palestine and Jerusalem. Unmixed Jewish-Christian churches were to be found nowhere else; and only there would there be likely to exist that attachment to the old worship which is assumed in the epistle, while it treats only incidentally of those rites to which, in the Dispersion, the greatest importance was naturally assigned - ablutions, etc. The claim that the epistle was addressed to Rome involves a mixed church. The Roman church became more Gentile after Paul's residence in Rome. On the assumption that Jewish Christians were addressed, it is difficult to account for the Roman destination, unless the letter was intended for a distinct circle of Jewish Christians in Rome, which is not impossible. That the epistle was used by Clement proves
 from Italy salute you might seem to point to Rome as the residence of the parties saluted; but that is by no means certain. The meaning of the expression must first be settled. It may mean "those in Italy send greeting from Italy," or, "those who are from Italy (whose home is there, but who are now with me) send greeting to you (whoever may be addressed)." The latter meaning is the more probable; but on that supposition the words afford no reliable indication of the residence of those addressed. They mean merely that certain Italians in the writer's company greet the writer's correspondents, who may have been in Palestine, Asia, or Egypt.*

[^133]The Palestinian hypothesis is not free from difficulty. It appears, at first sight, unlikely that the author would have written in Greek to Palestinian Jewish Christians, whose language was Aramaic, and would have used the Septuagint exclusively in citations from the Old Testament. Nevertheless, Greek was understood and spoken in Palestine: many Greek-speaking Jews resided in Jerusalem (Acts vi. 9), and there were in that city synagogues of the Cyrenians and Alexandrians, in which Greek and the Septuagint would certainly be used. The Hellenists were numerous and influential enough to carry their point in the matter of ministration to their widows (Acts vi. 1 ff.). Finally, it is not impossible that the writer of the epistle was not sufficiently acquainted with Aramaic to write effectively in that language.

The decisive settlement of the date of the epistle is practically given up by critics. The most that can be done is to try and fix approximately the limits within which the composition was possible.* Only one point is definitely fixed. It must have been written before Clement's Epistle to the Corinthians (95). If addressed to Jewish Christians, or indeed to Gentiles, it is highly probable that it was written before the destruction of Jerusalem (70), since it is most unlikely that the writer would have omitted all allusion to an event which furnished such a striking confirmation of his teaching. This probability would be strengthened if it could be proved that the Jewish sacrifices were still being offered at the time when the epistle was composed: but this cannot be conclusively

[^134]shown. The use of the present tense in viii. 4 ff. ; ix. 6, 9 ; x. 1 ff. ; xiii. 10 ff ., is not decisive. Attempts to identify the persecution alluded to in $\mathbf{x .} 2$ are the merest guess-work. To refer it to the Neronian persecution (64) is to assume that it was addressed to Rome, and is, therefore, to beg the question. The reference of $\mathbf{x .} 36$ and xii. 3 to the persecution of Domitian (95), is utterly without foundation, to say nothing of the fact that it is not certain that those two passages refer to persecution at all. Against a date near 95 is the use of the epistle by Clement, unless the Roman address can be proved. Otherwise, some time would be required for it to obtain such currency and recognition as would account for Clement's familiarity with it. Against a very late date is also the fact that Timothy appears as an active evangelist, which could hardly have been the case if the letter was written as late as 90 . Against a very early date is the admitted fact that a second generation of Christians is addressed ; and that the references to persecution apparently point to a comparatively distant time. If we are to lay stress on the omission of all reference to the destruction of Jerusalem, as I think we must do, it seems to me that the epistle was written not far from 67.

There is no reason for disputing the author's acquaintance with the writings of Paul, as there is none for asserting his dependence upon them. There are lexical resemblances and resemblances in thought and phrasing, but nothing to show that the writer of Hebrews drew upon Paul to any considerable extent. The coincidences with Galatians which are pointed out are superficial, and may be fairly traced to common Jewish ideas with which both writers were familiar. As to Romans, Ephesians, and Corinthians, the resemblances are, in a number of cases, due to quotation from the same source; in other cases they occur in warnings from the example of the Israelites; in others again there is a coincidence of a current phrase, such as "if God permit," which any author might use. In some other instances cited the resemblance is too remote to be significant.

As to the influeuce of Philo, we may freely admit the evidences of the writer's Alexandrian training, and the possibility,
perhaps probability, of his acquaintance with Philo's writings.* The epistle does exhibit certain points of resemblance to Philo, such as similar forms of quotation, similar use of Old Testament passages and narratives, and statements like those of Philo, such as those respecting the sinlessness of the LogosPriest, the heavenly home of the patriarchs, and the dóyos то $\mu$ és the dividing word (iv. 12) : but Philo's meaning differs radically from that of the epistle. Our writer's Christology has no affinity with that of Philo. On certain leading topics, such as the two ages of the world, the mediation of the law by angels, the Sabbath-rest, the heavenly sanctuary, and the heavenly Jerusalem, he exhibits more affinity with Palestinian than with Alexandrian thought. The most that can be claimed is that the Epistle to the Hebrews returns echoes of Philo, and exhibits formal and limited resemblances to him. $\dagger$
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## THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS.

## CHAPTER I.

Theme of the Epistle. - God has given a revelation of salvation in two stages. The first was preparatory and transient, and is completed. The second, the revelation through Jesus Christ, is final. The readers who have accepted this second revelation are warned against returning to the economy of the first.

1. God. Both stages of the revelation were given by God.

At sundry times ( $\pi о \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \varsigma)$. Rend. in many parts. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$, but $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \eta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ Wisd. vii. 22. In the first stage of his revelation, God spake, not at once, giving a complete revelation of his being and will; but in many separate revelations, each of which set forth only a portion of the truth. The truth as a whole never comes to light in the O. T. It appears fragmentarily, in successive acts, as the periods of the Patriarchs, Moses, the Kingdom, etc. One prophet has one, another another element of the truth to proclaim.

In divers manners ( $\pi o \lambda v \tau \rho o \pi \omega \hat{\varsigma}$ ). Rend. in many ways. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, 4 Macc. iii. 21. This refers to the difference of the various revelations in contents and form. Not the different ways in which God imparted his revelations to the prophets, but the different ways in which he spoke by the prophets to the fathers : in one way through Moses, in another through Elijah, in others through Isaiah, Ezekiel, etc. At the founding of the Old Testament kingdom of God, the
character of the revelation was elementary: later it was of a character to appeal to a more matured spiritual sense, a deeper understanding and a higher conception of the law. The revelation differed according to the faithfulness or unfaithfulness of the covenant-people. Comp. Eph. iii. 10, the many-tinted wisdom of God, which is associated with this passage by Clement of Alexandria (Strom. i. 4, 27). "Fitly, therefore, did the apostle call the wisdom of God manytinted, as showing its power to benefit us in many parts and in many ways."

Spake ( $\left.\lambda a \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \varsigma\right)$. See on Matt. xxviii. 18. Often in the Epistle of the announcement of the divine will by men, as vii. 14; ix. 19: by angels, as ii. 2: by God himself or Christ, as ii. 3; v. 5; xii. 25. In Paul, almost always of men : once of Christ, 2 Cor. xiii. 3 : once of the Law, personified, Rom. iii. 9 .

In time past ( $\pi d^{d} \lambda a \iota$ ). Better, of old. The time of the Old Testament revelation. It indicates a revelation, not only given, but completed in the past.

Unto the fathers ( $\tau 0 i ̂ \mathrm{~s}$ тa $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Thus absolutely, J. vii. 22 ; Rom. ix. 5; xv. 8. More commonly with your or our.

By the prophets (è $\nu$ тoîs $\pi \rho о \phi \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau a \iota s$ ). Rend. "in the prophets," which does not mean in the collection of prophetic writings, as J. vi. 45 ; Acts xiii. 40, but rather in the prophets themselves as the vessels of divine inspiration. God spake in them and from them. Thus Philo: "The prophet is an interpreter, echoing from within ( $\epsilon \nu \delta o \theta \epsilon \nu$ ) the sayings of God" (De Praemiis et Poenis, § 9)
 Lit. at the last of these days. The exact phrase only here;
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ at the last of the days, Num. xxiv. 14; Deut. iv. 30;

Jer. xxiii. 20 ; xxv. 18 ; Dan. x. 14. The writer conceives the history of the world in its relation to divine revelation as falling into two great periods. The first he calls ai $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a \iota$ aũtal these days (i. 2), and ó кalpòs ò èveбт $\eta \kappa \omega$ ós the present season (ix. 9). The second he describes as кaıoòs $\delta \iota \rho \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s the season of reformation (ix. 10), which is $\dot{\delta} \kappa a \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a$ the city to come (xii. 14). The first period is the period of the old covenant; the second that of the new covenant. The second period does not begin with Christ's first appearing. His appearing and public ministry are at the end of the first period but still within it. The dividing-point between the two periods is the $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda e a$ tov aiôvos the consummation of the age, mentioned in ix. 26. This does not mean the same thing as at the last of these days (i. 2), which is the end of the first period denoted by these days, but the conclusion of the first and the beginning of the second period, at which Christ appeared to put away sin by the sacrifice of himself. This is the end of the кalpòs éve $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$ 's the present season: this is the limit of the validity of the old sacrificial offerings : this is the inauguration of the time of reformation. The phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\alpha} \tau o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ therefore signifies, in the last days of the first period, when Christ was speaking on earth, and before his crucifixion, which marked the beginning of the second period, the better age of the new covenant.

Hath spoken unto us (è $\lambda \dot{a} \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu)$. Rend. spake, referring to the time of Christ's teaching in the flesh. To us God spake as to the fathers of old.

By his son (èv viê). Lit. in a son. Note the absence of the article. Attention is directed, not to Christ's divine personality, but to his filial relation. While the former revelation was given through a definite class, the prophets, the new revelation is given through one who is a son as distinguished from a prophet. He belongs to another category. The revelation was a son-revelation. See ch. ii. 10-18. Christ's high
priesthood is the central fact of the epistle, and his sonship is bound up with his priesthood. See ch. v. 5. For a similar use of viós son, without the article, applied to Christ, see ch. iii. 6 ; v. 8 ; vii. 28.

Whom he hath appointed heir of all things ( $\hat{\delta} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o ́ \mu o \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \nu)$. For ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ appointed, see on J. xv. 16. For $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mu$ оs heir, see on inheritance, 1 Pet. i. 4 ; and comp. on Christ as heir, Mk. xii. 1-12. God eternally predestined the Son to be the possessor and sovereign of all things. Comp. Ps. lxxxix. 28. Heirship goes with sonship. See Rom. viii. 17; Gal. iv. 7. Christ attained the messianic lordship through incarnation. Something was acquired as the result of his incarnation which he did not possess before it, and could not have possessed without it. Equality with God was his birthright; but out of his human life, death, and resurrection came a type of sovereignty which could pertain to him only through his triumph over human sin in the flesh (see ver. 3), through his identification with men as their brother. Messianic lordship could not pertain to his preincarnate state: it is a matter of function, not of inherent power and majesty. He was essentially Son of God ; he must become Son of man.

By whom also he made the worlds ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ oṽ кal émoin $\sigma \in \nu$ $\tau o \grave{s}$ aī̂vas). $\Delta \iota a ̀$ commonly expresses secondary agency; but, in some instances, it is used of God's direct agency. See 1 Cor. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 1 ; Gal. iv. 7. Christ is here represented as a mediate agency in creation. The phrase is, clearly, coloured by the Alexandrian conception, but difters from it in that Christ is not represented as a mere instrument, a passive tool, but rather as a coöperating agent. "Every being, to reach existence, must have passed through the thought and will of the Logos" (Godet); yet "the Son can do nothing of himself but what he seeth the Father doing" (J. v. 19). With this passage Col. i. 16 should be studied. There it is said that all things, collectively ( $\tau$ à $\pi a \dot{\prime} \nu \tau a$ ), were created in

former expression enlarges and completes the latter. $\Delta{ }^{\prime}$ au̇tồ represents Christ as the mediate instrument. 'E $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ aù $\overline{\hat{\omega}}$ indicates that "all the laws and purposes which guide the creation and government of the universe reside in him, the Eternal Word, as their meeting-point." * Comp. J. i. 3; 1 Cor. viii. 6. For tov̀s aîwas the worlds, see additional note on 2 Th. i. 9. Rend. for by whom also he made, by whom he also made. The emphasis is on made, not on worlds: on the fact of creation, not on what was created. In the writer's thought heirship goes with creation. Christ is heir of what he made, and because he made it. As $\pi \alpha \alpha^{2} \tau \omega \nu$, in the preceding clause, regards all things taken singly, aî̀vas regards them in cycles. 'A $\iota \hat{\omega} \nu a s$ does not mean times, as if representing the Son as the creator of all time and times, but creation unfolded in time through successive aeons. All that, in successive periods of time, has come to pass, has come to pass through him. Comp. 1 Cor. x. 11 ; Eph. iii. 21 ; Heb. ix. 26 ; 1 Tim. i. 17 ; LXX, Tob. xiii. 6, 10; Ecel. iii. 11. See also Clement of Rome, Ad Corinth. xxxv, ó $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi a \tau \grave{\rho} \rho$ $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ aínvov the Creator and Father of the ages. Besides this expression, the writer speaks of the world as кó $\mu \mu$ (iv. 3;

3. Being ( $\stackrel{\omega}{\nu})$. Representing absolute being. See on J.i. 1. Christ's absolute being is exhibited in two aspects, which follow:
 Of God's glory. For brightness rend. effulgence. 'Amaúraテرa, N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, only Wisd. vii. 26. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. It is an Alexandrian word, and occurs in Philo. $\dagger$ Interpretation is divided between effulgence and reflection. $\ddagger$ Effulgence or outraying

[^136]accords better with the thought of the passage; for the writer is treating of the preincarnate Son; and, as Alford justly remarks, "the Son of God is, in this his essential majesty, the expression and the sole expression of the divine light; not, as in his incarnation, its reflection." The consensus of the Greek fathers to this effect is of great weight. The meaning then is, that the Son is the outraying of the divine glory, exhibiting in himself the glory and majesty of the divine Being. "God lets his glory issue from himself, so that there arises thereby a light-being like himself" (Weiss). $\Delta$ óga glory is the expression of the divine attributes collectively. It is the unfolded fulness of the divine perfections, differing from $\mu o \rho \phi \grave{\eta} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ form of God (Philip. ii. 6), in that $\mu \circ \rho \phi \grave{\eta}$ is the immediate, proper, personal investiture of the divine essence. $\Delta o \xi \alpha$ is attached to deity : $\mu o \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$ is identified with the inmost being of deity. $\Delta o^{\prime} \xi a$ is used of various visible displays of divine light and splendour, as Exod. xxiv. 17 ; Deut. v. 24 ; Exod. xl. 34 ; Num. xiv. 10 ; xv. 19, 42 ; Ezek. x: 4 ; xliii. 4,5 ; i. 28 ; iii. 23 ; Lev. ix. 23, etc. We come nearer to the sense of the word in this passage in the story of Moses's vision of the divine glory, Exod. xxxiii. 18-23; xxxiv. 5, 7.

The express image of his person ( $\chi а р а к т \grave{\eta} \rho$ т $\hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\mathbf{i} \pi о \sigma-~}$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ à̀ $\tau \hat{v}$ ). Rend. the very image (or impress) of his sub-
 which stands underneath; foundation, ground of hope or confidence, and so, assurance itself. In a philosophical sense, substantial nature; the real nature of anything which underlies and supports its outward form and properties. In N. T., 2 Cor. ix. 4 ; xi. 17 ; Heb. iii. 14 ; xi. 1 , signifying in every instance ground of confidence or confidence. In LXX, it represents fifteen different words, and, in some cases, it is hard to understand its meaning, notably 1 Sam . xiii. 21. In Ruth i. 12 ; Ps. xxxvii. 8; Ezek. xix. 5, it means ground of hope: in Judg. vi. 4; Wisd. xvi. 21, sustenance : in Ps. xxxviii. 5 ;

[^137]exxxviii. 15, the substance or material of the human frame: in 1 Sam. xiii. 23 ; Ezek. xxvi. 11, an outpost or garrison: in Deut. xi. 6 ; Job xxii. 20, possessions. The theological sense, person, is later than the apostolic age. Here, substantial
 inseribe, originally a graving-tool; also the die on which a device is cut. It seems to have lost that meaning, and always signifies the impression made by the die or graver. Hence, mark, stamp, as the image on a coin (so often) which indicates its nature and value, or the device impressed by a signet. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, Lev. xiii. 28; 2 Macc. iv. 10; 4 Macc. xv. 4. The kindred $\chi$ ápar $\mu a$ mark, Acts xvii. $\cdot 29$; Apoc. xiii. 16, 17. Here the essential being of God is conceived as setting its distinctive stamp upon Christ, coming into definite and characteristic expression in his person, so that the Son bears the exact impress of the divine nature and character.

And upholding all things ( $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a$ ). Rend. maintaining. Upholding conveys too much the idea of the passive support of a burden. "The Son is not an Atlas, sustaining the dead weight of the world" (quoted by Westcott). Neither is the sense that of ruling or guiding, as Philo (De Cherub. § xi), who describes the divine word as "the steersman and pilot of the all." It implies sustaining, but also movement. It deals with a burden, not as a dead weight, but as in continual movement; as Weiss puts it, "with the all in all its clanges and transformations throughout the aeons." It is concerned, not only with sustaining the weight of the universe, but also with maintaining its coherence and carrying on its development. What is said of God, Col. i. 17, is
 all things (collectively, the universe) consist or maintain their coherence in him. So the Logos is called by Philo the bond ( $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \grave{o} s$ ) of the universe; but the maintenance of the coherence implies the guidance and propulsion of all the parts to a definite end. All things ( $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ ) collectively considered; the universe ; all things in their unity. See ch. ii. 10; Rom. viii. 32 ; xi. 36 ; 1 Cor. viii. 6 ; Eph. i. 10 ; Col. i. 16.

By the word of his power ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu \mu \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta \nu \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \dot{u} \tau 0 \hat{\imath}$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$., but comp. L. i. 37 , and see note. The word is that in which the Son's power manifests itself. 'Avtoú his refers to Christ. Nothing in the context suggests any other reference. The world was called into being by the word of God (ch. xi. 3), and is maintained by him who is "the very image of God's substance."

When he had by himself purged our sins (кa0apıбرòv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Omit by himself; * yet a similar thought is implied in the middle voice, mot $\eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$, which indicates that the work of purification was done by Christ personally, and was not something which he caused to be done by some other agent. Purged, lit. having made purification. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, Job vii. 21. Käapıб ${ }^{\prime}$ 's purification occurs in Mark, Luke, John, 2d Peter, ${ }^{\circ}$ P., and only here in Hebrews. The verb $\kappa a \theta a \rho / \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to purify is not often used in N. T. of cleansing from $\sin$. See 2 Cor. vii. $1 ; 1$ J.i. 7, 9. Of cleansing the conscience, Heb. ix. 14. Of cleansing meats and vessels, Matt. xxiii. 25, 26 ; Mk. vii. 19 ; Acts x. 15; xi. 9. Of cleansing the heart, Acts xv. 9. The meaning here is cleansing of sins. In the phrase "to cleanse from sin," always with àrò from. In carrying on all things toward their destined end of conformity to the divine archetype, the Son must confront and deal with the fact of sin, which had thrown the world into disorder, and drawn it out of God's order. In the thought of making purification of sins is already foreshadowed the work of Christ as high priest, which plays so prominent a part in the epistle.

Sat down on the right hand of the majesty on high ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{r} \theta-$
 ch. viii. 1; x. 12 ; xii. 2; Eph. i. 20 ; Apoc. iii. 21. The verb denotes a solemn, formal act; the assumption of a position of dignity and authority. The reference is to Christ's ascension. In his exalted state he will still be bearing on all

[^138]things toward their consummation, still dealing with sin as the great high priest in the heavenly sanctuary. This is elaborated later. See ch. viii ; ix. 12 ff . Meya入んov́v majesty, only here, ch. viii. 1; Jude 25 . Quite often in LXX. There is suggested, not a contrast with his humiliation, but his resumption of his original dignity, described in the former part of this verse. ${ }^{'} \mathbf{E} \nu \dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda o i s$, lit. in the high places. Const. with sat down, not with majesty. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, Ps. xcii. 4 ; cxii. 5. 'E $\nu$ toîs $\dot{i} \psi i \sigma \pi o u s$ in the highest (places), in the Gospels, and only in doxologies. See Matt. xxi. 9 ; Mk. xi. 10 ; L. ii. 14 . ' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ toîs émoupavioıs in the heavenly (places), only in Eph. See i. 3, 20; ii. 6; iii. 10; vi. 12.
4. The detailed development of the argument is now introduced. The point is to show the superiority of the agent of the new dispensation to the agents of the old - the angels and Moses. Christ's superiority to the angels is first discussed.

Being made so much better than the angels (qoooúte $\kappa \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \dot{\jmath} \lambda \omega \nu)$. The informal and abrupt introduction of this topic goes to show that the writer was addressing Jewish Christians, who were familiar with the prominent part ascribed to angels in the O. T. economy, especially in the giving of the law. See on Gal. iii. 9. For being made, rend. having become; which is to be taken in close connection with sat down, etc., and in contrast with $\begin{gathered}\nu \\ \nu \\ \text { being, }\end{gathered}$ ver. 3. It is not denied that the Son was essentially and eternally superior to the angels; but his glorification was conditioned upon his fulfilment of the requirements of his human state, and it is this that is emphasised. After having passed through the experience described in Philip. ii. 6-8, he sat down on the right hand of the divine majesty as messianic sovereign, and so became or proved to be what in reality he was from eternity, superior to the angels. Toooúto-ö $\sigma \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ so much-as. Never used by Paul. K $\rho \epsilon i \neq \tau \omega \nu$ better, superior, rare in Paul, and always neuter and adverbial. In Hebrews thirteen times. See also 1 Pet. iii. 17 ; 2 Pet. ii. 21. Often voL. Iv. -25
in LXX. It does not indicate here moral excellence, but dignity and power. He became superior to the angels, resuming his preincarnate dignity, as he had been, for a brief period, less or lower than the angels (ch. ii. 7). The superiority of Messiah to the angels was affirmed in rabbinical writings.

He hath by inheritance obtained ( $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o ́ \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ). More neatly, as Rev., hath inherited, as a son. See ver. 2, and comp. Rom. viii. 17. For the verb, see on Acts xiii. 19, and 1 Pet. i.4.

More excellent ( $\delta \iota a \phi о \rho \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu)$. $\Delta \iota a ́ \phi o \rho o s$ only once outside of Hebrews, Rom. xii. 6. The comparative only in Hebrews. In the sense of more excellent, only in later writers. Its earlier sense is different. The idea of difference is that which radically distinguishes it from $\kappa \rho e i \tau \tau \omega \nu$ better. Here it presents the comparative of a comparative conception. The Son's name differs from that of the angels, and is more different for good.

Than they ( $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aủzovs). Lit. beside or in comparison with them. Mapà, indicating comparison, occurs a few times in Luke, as iii. 13; xiii. 2; xviii. 4. In Hebrews always to mark comparison, except xi. 11, 12.
5. The writer proceeds to establish the superiority of the Son to the angels by O. T. testimony. It is a mode of argument which does not appeal strongly to us. Dr. Bruce suggests that there are evidences that the writer himself developed it perfunctorily and without much interest in it. The seven following quotations are intended to show the surpassing excellence of Christ's name as set forth in Scripture. The quotations present difficulty in that they appear, in great part, to be used in a sense and with an application different from those which they originally had. All that can be said is, that the writer takes these passages as messianic, and applies them accordingly; and that we must distinguish between the doctrine and the method of argumentation peculiar to the time and people. Certain passages in Paul are open to the same objection, as Gal. iii. 16 ; iv. 22-25.

To which ( $\tau(\nu \iota$ ). Note the author's characteristic use of the question to express denial. Comp. ver. 14; ii. 3; iii. 17 ; vii. 11; xii. 7.

First quotation from Ps. ii. 7. The Psalm is addressed as a congratulatory ode to a king of Judah, declaring his coming triumph over the surrounding nations, and calling on them to render homage to the God of Israel. The king is called Son of Jahveh, and is said to be "begotten" on the day on which he is publicly recognised as ling. Words of the same Psalm are quoted Acts iv. 25, and these words Acts xiii. 33.

Thou art my Son. Note the emphatic position of viós son. See on ver. 4. In the O. T. son is applied to angels collectively, but never individually. See Ps. xxix. 1; lxxxix. 6. Similarly, son is applied to the chosen nation, Ex. iv. 22 ; Hos. xi. 1, but to no individual of the nation.

Have I begotten ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \in \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). Recognised thee publicly as sovereign; established thee in an official sonship-relation. This official installation appears to have its N. T. counterpart in the resurrection of Christ. In Acts xiii. 33, this is distinctly asserted ; and in Rom. i. 4, Paul says that Christ was "powerfully declared" to be the Son of God by the resurrection from the dead. Comp. Col. i. 18; Apoc. i. 5.*

Second quotation, 2 Sam. vii. 14. The reference is to Solomon. David proposes to build a temple. Nathan tells him that this shall be done by Solomon, whom Jahveh will adopt as his son. In 2 Cor. vi. 18, Paul applies the passage to followers of the Messiah, understanding the original as referring to all the spiritual children of David.

[^139]A father-a son ( $\epsilon i s \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a-\epsilon i s v i o v)$. Lit. for or as a father-son. This usage of eis mostly in O. T. citations or established formulas. See Matt. xix. 5; L. ii. 34; Acts xix. 27 ; 1 Cor. iv. 3.
6. Third quotation, marking the relation of angels to the Son.

And again, when he bringeth in, etc. (ỗ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \alpha \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ ci $\sigma a-$ $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta$ ). Const. again with bringeth in. "When he a second time bringeth the first-begotten into the world." Referring to the second coming of Christ. Others explain again as introducing a new citation as in ver. 5; but this would require the reading $\pi a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ö $\sigma a \nu$ and again, when. In Hebrews, $\pi a^{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu$, when joined to a verb, always means a second time. See v. 12; vi. 1, 2. It will be observed that in this verse, and in vv. 7, 8, God is conceived as spoken of rather than as speaking; the subject of $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \epsilon$ saith being indefinite. This mode of introducing citations differs from that of Paul. The author's conception of the inspiration of Scripture leads him to regard all utterances of Scripture, without regard to their connection, as distinct utterances of God, or the Holy Spirit, or the Son of God; whereas, by Paul, they are designated either as utterances of Scripture in general, or of individual writers. Very common in this Epistle are the expressions, "God saith, said, spake, testifieth," or the like. See ch. ii. 11, 13; iii. 7; iv. 4, 7; vii. 21; x. 5, 8, 15, 30. Comp. with these Rom. i. 17 ; ii. 24 ; iv. 17 ; vii. 7 ; ix. 13 ; x. $5,16,20,21$; xi. 2. "Orav єíaráry whenever he shall have brought. The event is conceived as occurring at an indefinite time in the future, but is viewed as complete. Comp. J. xvi. 4 ; Acts xxiv. 22. This use of of $7 a \nu$ with the aorist subjunctive never describes an event or series"of events as completed in the past.

The first-begotten (тò ппрштóтокод). Mostly in Paul and Hebrews. Comp. Rom. viii. 29; Col. i. 15, 18; Apoc. i. 5. Movayevís only-begotten (J. i. 14, 18; iii. 16, 18; 1 J. iv. 9,
never by Paul) describes the unique relation of the Son to the Father in his divine nature: трштóтокоs first-begotten describes the relation of the risen Christ in his glorified humanity to man. The comparison implied in the word is not limited to angels. He is the first-born in relation to the creation, the dead, the new manhood, etc. See Col. i. 15, 18. The rabbinical writers applied the title first-born even to God. Philo (De Confus. Ling. § 14) speaks of the Logos as $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ óyovos or $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ útatos viós the first-born or eldest son.

And let all the angels of God worship him ( $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma-$
 ship mostly in the Gospels, Acts, and Apocrypha. In Paul only 1 Cor. xiv. 25. Very often in LXX. Originally, to kiss the hand to: thence, to do homage to. Not necessarily of an act of religious reverence (see Matt. ix. 18; xx. 20), but often in N. T. in that sense. Usually translated worship, whether a religious sense is intended or not: see on Acts x. 25. The quotation is not found in the Hebrew of the O. T., but is cited literally from LXX, Deut. xxxii. 43. It appears substantially in Ps. xcvi. 7. For the writer of Hebrews the LXX was Scripture, and is quoted throughout without regard to its correspondence with the Hebrew.
7. Fourth quotation, Ps. ciii. 4, varies slightly from LXX in substituting a flame of fire for flaming fire.

Who maketh his angels spirits (ó moı̂̀ע toùs à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda o u s$ aùtoû $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau a)$. For spirits rend. winds.* This meaning is supported by the context of the Psalm, and by J. iii. 8. $\Pi \nu \in \hat{\mu} \mu a$ oftén in this sense in Class. In LXX, 1 K. xviii. 45 ; xix. 11 ; 2 K. iii. 17 ; Job i. 19. Of breath in N. T., 2 Th. ii. 8; Apoc. xi. 11. In Hebrew, spirit and wind are synonymous. The thought is according to the rabbinical idea of

[^140]the variableness of the angelic nature. Angels were supposed to live only as they ministered. Thus it was said: "God does with his angels whatever he will. When he wishes he makes them sitting : sometimes he makes them standing: sometimes he makes them winds, sometimes fire." "The subjection of the angels is such that they must submit even to be changed into elements." "The angel said to Manoah, 'I know not to the image of what I am made; for God changes us each hour: wherefore then dost thou ask my name? Sometimes he makes us fire, sometimes wind.'" The emphasis, therefore, is not on the fact that the angels are merely servants, but that their being is such that they are only what God makes them according to the needs of their service, and are, therefore, changeable, in contrast with the Son, who is ruler and unchangeable. There would be no pertinency in the statement that God makes his angels spirits, which goes without saying. The Rabbis conceived the angels as perishable. One of them is cited as saying, "Day by day the angels of service are created out of the firestream, and sing a song, and disappear, as is said in Lam. iii. 23, 'they are new every morning.'" For $\lambda$ etrovpyoùs ministers, see on ministration, L. i. 23, and ministered, Acts xiii. 2.
8. Fifth quotation, Ps. xlv. 7, 8. A nuptial ode addressed to an Israelitish king. The general sense is that the Messiah's kingdom is eternal and righteously administered.
 vocative, although the translation of the Hebrew is doubtful. The following renderings have been proposed: "thy throne (which is a throne) of God": "thy throne is (a throne) of God ": "God is thy throne." Some suspect that the Hebrew text is defective.
 aeon of the aeon.* See additional note on 2 Th. i. 9.

[^141] Rend．the sceptre．The phrase N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．${ }^{\circ}$ LXX．＇Euもúr $\boldsymbol{s}$ ， lit．straightness，N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．It occurs in LXX．

9．Iniquity（ádoulav）．Lit．lawlessness．
Hath anointed（ $\left.{ }^{( } \chi \chi \rho / \sigma \epsilon \nu\right)$ ．See on Christ，Matt．i．1．The ideas of the royal and the festive unction are combined． The thought includes the royal anointing and the fulness of blessing and festivity which attend the enthronement．

Oil of gladness（ë $\lambda a \iota o \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \lambda \lambda \iota a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s)$ ．The phrase N．T．${ }^{0}$ ． ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX．＇Aya入入la⿱宀㠯s exultant joy．Comp．L．i． 44 ；Acts ii． 46 ，and the verb $\mathfrak{a} \gamma a \lambda \lambda \iota a ̂ \sigma \theta a l$ ，Matt．v． 12 ；L．x． 21 ，etc． The noun only here in Hebrews，and the verb does not occur．

Fellows（ $\mu \epsilon \tau$＇́oovs）．With exception of L．v．7，only in Hebrews．Lit．partakers．In the Psalm it is applied to other kings：here to angels．

10．Sixth quotation（10－12），exhibiting the superior dig－ nity of the Son as creator in contrast with the creature． Ps．ci．26－28．The Psalm declares the eternity of Jahveh．

And－in the beginning（ $\kappa a i$－$\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ）．And connects what follows with unto the Son he saith，etc．，ver．8．Kat＇ áp a às in the beginning，N．T．${ }^{0}$ ．Often in Class．，LXX only Ps．cxviii．152．The more usual formula is $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{p}$ or $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta$ ๆ．

Hast laid the foundation（ $\left.{ }^{\prime} \theta \varepsilon \mu \in \lambda i \omega \sigma a s\right)$ ．Only here in Hebrews．In Paul，Eph．iii．18；Col．i． 23.

11．They（av̇тoi）．The heavens：not heaven and earth．
Remainest（ $\delta \iota a \mu$ évels）．Note the present tense ：not shalt remain．Permanency is the characteristic of God in the absolute and eternal present．
12. Vesture ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta o ́ \lambda a \iota o \nu$ ). Only here and 1 Cor. xi. 5. From $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ to throw around: a wrapper, mantle.

Shalt thou fold them up ( $\dot{\lambda} \lambda i \xi \epsilon \iota s$ av̇тov́s). Rather, roll them up. A scribal error for à $\lambda \lambda \dot{a} \xi \in \epsilon \varsigma s h a l t ~ c h a n g e . ~ A f t e r ~$ these words the LXX repeats $\dot{\omega}$ s íátoov as a garment from ver. 11.

Shall not fail (oủィ èィл $\epsilon$ í $\psi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Shall not be ended. With this exception the verb only in Luke's Gospel. See L. xvi. 9 ; xxii. 32 ; xxiii. 45 . Very frequent in LXX.
13. Seventh quotation, Ps. cix. No one of the angels was ever enthroned at God's right hand.

Sit ( $\kappa \alpha ́ \theta o u$ ). Or be sitting, as distinguished from $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\theta} \theta_{\iota \sigma \epsilon \nu}$, ver. 3, which marked the act of assuming the place.

On my right hand ( $\epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \nu \nu \nu \hat{\nu}$ ). Lit. "from my right hand." The usual formula is $\epsilon \nu \delta \in \xi(a$. The genitive indicates moving from the right hand and taking the seat. The meaning is, "be associated with me in my royal dignity." Comp. Dan. vii. 13, 14, and the combination of the Psalm and Daniel in Christ's words, Mk. xiv. 62. Comp. also Matt. xxiv. 30; Acts ii. 34; 1 Cor. xv 25; 1 Pet. iii. 22.
14. Ministering spirits ( $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau ́ \mu a \tau a)$. Summing up the function of the angels as compared with Christ. Christ's is the highest dignity. He is co-ruler with God.. The angels are servants, appointed for service to God for the sake of ( $\delta \iota \grave{a}$ ) the heirs of redemption. $\Lambda \in \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \iota \kappa a ̀ m i n i s t e r-~$ ing, N. T. ${ }^{0}$. See on ministers, ver. 7.

## CHAPTER II.

The opening words of this chapter illustrate the writer's habit of introducing his practical exhortations into the body of his argument, unlike Paul, who defers them until the end. Comp. ch. iii. 7-19; v. 11.
 revelation superior to that of the old dispensation, and given to you through one who is superior to the angels.

To give the more earnest heed ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau \in ́ \rho \omega s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \nu)$. Lit. to give heed more abundantly. Прoनé $\chi \epsilon \downarrow$ to give lued, lit. to hold (the mind) to. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. The full phrase in Job vii. 17. Mostly in Luke, Acts, and the Pastorals. See on 1 Tim. i. 4. $\Pi_{\varepsilon \rho L \sigma \sigma o \tau e ́ \rho \omega s ~ m o r e ~ a b u n d a n t l y, ~ i n ~ H e b r e w s ~ o n l y ~ h e r e ~ a n d ~}^{\text {a }}$ xiii. 19 : elsewhere only in Paul.
 Lit. to the things which were heard, that is, from the messengers
 of hearing, ch. iv. 2; 1 Th. ii. 13. 'Evayزé $\lambda \iota o \nu$ gospel does
 tidings, only twice.

We should let them slip ( $\pi a \rho a \rho \nu \hat{\omega} \mu e \nu$ ). Rend. should drift past them. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. From mapà by and $\dot{\rho \in i v}$ to flow. Of the snow slipping off from the soldiers' bodies, Xen. Anab. iv. 4, 11: of a ring slipping from the finger, Plut. Amat. 754 : see also LXX, Prov. iii. 21, and Symmachus's rendering of Prov. iv. 21, "let not my words flow past ( $\pi$ aрappu $\eta \sigma a ́ \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ ) before thine eyes." The idea is in sharp contrast with giving earnest heed. Lapse from truth and goodness is more often the result of inattention than of design. Drifting is a mark of death : giving heed, of life. The log drifts with the tide: the ship breasts the adverse waves, because some one is giving earnest heed.
2. The word spoken by angels (o $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu \lambda a \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s)$. The Mosaic legislation which was conveyed through the mediation of angels. Comp. Deut. xxxiii. 2; Acts vii. 38, 53 ; Gal. iii. 19, on which see note. The agency of angels indicates the limitations of the legal dispensation; its character as a dispensation of the flesh. Hence its importance in this discussion. The abolition of the old limitations is the
emancipation of man from subordination to the angels. The O . T. is made to furnish proof that such subordination is inconsistent with man's ultimate destiny to sovereignty over all creation.

Was steadfast (èjévéo $\beta$ éßalos). Rend. proved sure: realised itself in the event as securely founded in the divine holiness, and eternal in its principles and obligations. Comp. Matt. v. 18.

Transgression and disobedience ( $\pi а р a ́ \beta a \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi а р а к о \grave{\eta})$. Mapáßacıs is a stepping over the line; the violation of a positive divine enactment. See on Rom. ii. 23. Пapaкò̀, only in Paul and Hebrews, is a disobedience which results from neglecting to hear; from letting things drift by. It is noticeable how often in O.T. obedience is described as hearing, and disobedience as refusing to hear. See Ex. xv. 26 ; xix. 5, 8 ; xxiii. 22 ; Josh. i. 18 ; Isa. xxviii. 12; xxx. 9; Jer. xi. 10 ; xxxii. 23 ; xxxy. 16. Comp. Acts vii. 57.

A just recompense of reward (eैvסıкоу $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi o \delta u \sigma i ́ a \nu)$. "Eyducos just, only here and Rom. iii. 8. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, quite frequent in Class., but mainly in poetry. The meaning is substantially the same as dícalos as it appears in the familiar
 єıv I am right to punish, that is, I have a right, etc., right or justice being regarded as working within a definite circle. MıoӨatodocía recompense only in Hebrews. Comp. x. 35; xi. 26. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class., where the word is $\mu \iota \sigma \theta o \delta o \sigma i ́ a . ~ F r o m ~$ $\mu \imath \sigma \theta$ ós wages and ámooióóva to pay off or discharge. The reference is, primarily, to the punishments suffered by the Israelites in the wilderness. Comp. ch. iii. 16; x. 28; 1 Cor. x. 5, 6.
3. How shall we escape ( $\pi \hat{\omega} \hat{\varsigma} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \mathrm{e} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \in v \xi \dot{\delta} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). The rhetorical question expressing denial. We is emphatic. We, to whom God has spoken by his Son, and who, therefore, have so much the more reason for giving heed. 'Eкфєv乡ó $\mu \epsilon \theta a$, lit.
flee out from. The English escape conveys the same idea, but contains a picture which is not in the Greek word, namely, to slip out of one's cape, ex cappa, and so get away. Comp. French échapper. In Italian we have scappare "to escape," and also incappare "to fall into a snare," and incappuciare "to wrap up in a hood or cape; to mask."

If we neglect ( $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s)$. Lit. having neglected. Rare in N. T., ${ }^{\circ}$ P. Comp. Matt. xxii. 5 ; 1 Tim. iv. 14. The thought falls in with drift past, ver. 1.

Salvation ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} a^{2}$ ). Characterising the new dispensation, as the word (ver. 2) characterises the old. Not the teaching or word of salvation, but the salvation itself which is the gift of the gospel, to be obtained by purification from sin through the agency of the Son (ch. i. 3).

Which ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Explanatory. A salvation which may be described as one which was first spoken by the Lord, etc.

At the first began to be spoken ( $\dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda a \beta o \hat{v} \sigma a \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \sigma-$ Aal). Lit. having taken beginning to be spoken. Rend. which, having at the first been spoken. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.

By the Lord ( $\delta i \grave{a} \tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa v \rho i o v$ ). Const. with á $\rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu \lambda a \beta o v ̂ \sigma a$, not with $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$. It is the beginning, not the speaking which is emphasised.

Was confirmed ( ${ }^{\epsilon} \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega \dot{\theta} \eta$ ). It was sure ( $\beta \in \dot{\beta} \beta a l o s$ ) even as was the word spoken by angels (ver. 2), and it was confirmed, proved to be real, by the testimony of ear-witnesses.

By them that heard ( $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \kappa \kappa v \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). We heard it (ver. 1) from those who heard, the immediate followers of the Lord. The writer thus puts himself in the second generation of Christians. They are not said to have heard the gospel directly from the Lord. Paul, on the other hand, claims that he received the gospel directly from Christ (Gal. i. 11).
4. God also bearing them witness ( $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \mu a \rho \tau v \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau o s$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ). The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$ : ov́v along with other witnesses: $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ giving additional testimony : $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ to bear witness.

With signs and wonders ( $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon$ íoss $\tau \epsilon \kappa а \grave{\imath} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma \iota \nu)$. A very common combination in N. T. See Matt. xxiv. 24; Mk. xiii. 22 ; J. iv. 48 ; Acts ii. 43 ; 2 Cor. xii. 11, etc. See on Matt. xxiv. 24.

Divers miracles ( $\pi ⿰ 丿 \kappa$ íi $\lambda a \iota s ~ \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu)$. Rend. powers. Nodoubt these include miracles, see Acts ii. 22; 2 Cor. xii. 12; but powers signifies, not the miraculous manifestations, as signs. and wonders, but the miraculous energies of God as displayed in his various forms of witness.

Gifts ( $\mu \epsilon \rho / \sigma \mu \circ i \mathrm{~s})$. Rend. distributions or impartations.
Of the Holy Ghost. The genitive is objective: distributions of the one gift of the Holy Spirit in different measure and in different ways. Comp. 1 Cor. xii. 4-11.
 willing: his act of will. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Const. with distributions. The Spirit was imparted and distributed as God willed. The hortatory digression ends here. The subject of the Son's superiority to the angels is resumed.
5. The writer's object is to show that the salvation, the new order of things inaugurated by Christ, is in pursuance of the original purpose of creation, to wit, that universal dominion was to pertain to man, and not to angels. The great salvation means lordship of the world to be. This purpose is carried out in Christ, who, in becoming man, became temporarily subject to the earthly dispensation of which angels were the administrators. This was in order that he might acquire universal lordship as man. Being now exalted above angels, he does away with the angelic administration, and, in the world to come, will carry humanity with him to
the position of universal lordship. This thought is developed by means of Ps. viii. Having set Christ above the angels, the writer must reconcile that claim with the historical fact of Christ's humiliation in his incarnate state. The Psalm presents a paradox in the antithesis of lower than the angels and all things under his feet. From the Psalm is drawn the statement of a temporary subordination of Christ to angels, followed by his permanent exaltation over them.

Hath - put in subjection ( $\dot{i} \pi \epsilon \in \tau a \xi \in \nu$ ). The word suggests an economy; not merely subjecting the angels, but arranging or marshalling them under a new order. See 1 Cor. xv. 27, 28 ; Eph. i. 22; Philip. iii. 21.

The world to come ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oinoov $\mu \in ́ \nu \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a \nu$ ). See on ch. i. 2. For $\dot{\eta}$ oikounévך the inhabited (land or country) see on L. ii. 1. The world to come means the new order of things inaugurated by the sacrifice of Christ.
6. In a certain place ( $\pi 0$ ú). Only here and ch. iv. 4, signifying indefinite quotation. It does not mean that the writer is ignorant of the author or of the place, but assumes that the readers know it, and that it is a matter of no moment who said it or where it is written.

Testified ( $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu a \rho \tau v \rho a ́ \tau o$ ). Mostly in Luke and Acts. Only here in Hebrews. In Paul only in 1st Thessalonians. See on 1 Th. ii. 12. It implies a solemn, earnest testimony.

What is man. The Hebrew interrogation, what, what kind of, implies "how small or insignificant" compared with the array of the heavenly bodies; not "how great is man."

The son of man. Hebrew son of Adam, with a reference to his earthly nature as formed out of the dust. Very often in Ezekiel as a form of address to the prophet, LXX, viè à $\nu \theta \rho \omega^{\prime}-$ mov son of man. The direct reference of these words cannot be to the Messiah, yet one is reminded that the Son of man was Christ's own title for himself.

Visitest (è $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa$ ént $\eta$ ). The primary sense of the verb is to look upon; hence, to look after or inspect; to visit in order to inspect or help. Similarly the Latin visere means both to look at and to visit. An émíккотоs is an overlooker, and é $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \grave{\eta}$ is visitation. The verb only here in Hebrews, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$., very often in LXX. See on Matt. xxv. 36. Here in the sense of graciously and helpfully regarding; caring for.

Thou madest him a little lower than the angels ( $\dot{\eta} \lambda a \dot{d}-$
 some little time make him lower than the angels. 'Enautoû̀ to make less or inferior, only here, ver. 9, and J. iii. 30. Often in LXX (principally Sirach). B $\rho a \chi^{\prime} \tau \iota$, the Hebrew as A.V. a little; of degree. The LXX translators interpreted it, apparently, of time, "for some little time." Although there is precedent for both meanings in both Class. and N. T., the idea of time better suits the whole line of thought, and would probably, as Robertson Smith observes, have appeared to aGreek reader the more natural interpretation. For this sense see Isa. lvii. 17; Acts $v .34$. He who has been described as. superior to the angels, was, for a short time, on the same plane with man, and identified with an economy which was under the administration of angels. This temporary subordination to angels was followed by permanent elevation
 Elohim is used in a wide sense in O. T. : see, for instance, Ps. lxxxii. 6, where God addresses the judges by that title, and declares that he himself called them to their office and gave them their name and dignity. Comp. J. x. 34 and Ps. xxix. 1, LXX vioì $\theta$ धov̂ sons of God, A.V. mighty. The LXX translators understand it, not as representing the personal God, but that which is divine, in which sense it would be appropriate to angels as having divine qualities.
8. For ( $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ). Explanatory. Thou hast put all things in subjection under his feet, that is to say, nothing is excepted.
 "unsubjected to him." The adjective only here and 1 Tim. i. 9 ;

Tit. i.6. But this ideal is not yet a reality. We see not yet all things subjected to him, but we do see the germinal fulfilment of the prophecy in Jesus' life, suffering, and death.
9. Jesus - made a little lower, etc. Repeated from ver. 7. To be subordinated to the angels is the same as being "made under the law," Gal. iv. 4. In that chapter Paul shows that the law under which the church in its state of pupilage was kept (Gal. iii. 23 ; iv. 3) was instituted through the mediation of angels (Gal. iii. 19). Then, as interchangeable with under the law, Paul has "enslaved under the elements (ínò tà $\sigma \tau o(\chi \epsilon i a)$ of the world" (Gal. iv. 3, 9). These elements are elemental forces or spirits, as appears from a correct interpretation of Col. ii. 8, 20.* The subjection to elemental spirits is only another form of subjection to the angels of the law, and our author uses this doctrine to show the mutable nature of angels in contrast with the immutable perfection of the Son (see ch. i. 7, 8). This accords with the Epistle to the Colossians which deals with the heresy of angel-worship, and in which the worship of angels is represented as connected with the service of elemental or cosmic forces. Very striking is Col. ii. 15. When the bond of the law was rendered void in Christ's crucifixion, that ministry of angels which waited on the giving of the law was set aside by God ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \kappa-$ $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ having stripped off), revealing Christ as the head of every principality and power. God made a show or display of them ( $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ) as subordinate and subject to Christ. He thus boldly (èv $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a)$, by a bold stroke, put his own chosen ministers in subjection before the eyes of the world. See on Col. ii. 15. The use of the human name, Jesus, at this point, is significant. In this epistle that name usually furnishes the key to the argument of the passage in which it occurs. See ch. iii. 1; vi. 20 ; xii. 2.

[^142]For the suffering of death crowned with glory and
 $\mu e ́ v o \nu)$. The usual interpretation connects for the suffering of death with made lower than the angels, meaning that Jesus was subordinated to the angels for the suffering of death. But for the suffering of death should be connected with crowned, etc. $\Delta \iota a ̀$ should be rendered because of. Jesus was crowned with glory and honour because of the suffering of death. Christ's exaltation and preëminence over the angels was won through humiliation and death. For crowned, see on 2 Tim. ii. 5. Exaltation was the logical result of Christ's humiliation (comp. Philip. ii. 9), not simply its recompense (comp. Matt. xxiii. 12; L. xiv. 11; xviii. 14). He was glorified in humiliation. "The humiliation is only the glory not yet begun." *

By the grace of God ( $\chi$ á $\rho \iota \tau \iota \theta_{\epsilon 0 \hat{v}) \text {. God manifested his }}$ grace in giving Christ the opportunity of tasting death for every man, and so abolishing death as a curse. The same thought of glory in humiliation is expressed in J. i. 14. To be called to the office of "apostle and high-priest of our confession" (ch. iii. 1), an office which involved personal humiliation and death, was to be "crowned with glory and honour," and was a signal token of God's favour. Note J. xii. 23, 28; xii. 31,32 , in which Jesus speaks of his approaching passion as itself his glorification. Comp. Heb. iii. 3. It was desirable to show to Jews who were tempted to stumble at the doctrine of a crucified Messiah (Gal. iii. 13), that there was a glory in humiliation. $\dagger$

Should taste death ( $\gamma \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta \tau a \iota$ Oavárov). The phrase is found several times in the Gospels, as Matt. xvi. 28; Mk.ix.1; L. ix. 27 ; J. viii. $52 . \quad$ See on L. ix. 27 ; J. viii. 52.

[^143]The following statement justifies the bold assertion of ver. 9 . With a view to the recoil of Jewish readers from the thought of a suffering Messiah (1 Cor. i. 23), the writer will show that Jesus' suffering and death were according to the divine fitness of things.
10. It became (eै $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$ ). Not logical necessity ( $\delta \epsilon i ̂$, ver. 1), nor obligation growing out of circumstances ( $\omega \phi \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu$, ver. 17), but an inner fitness in God's dealing. Dr. Robertson Smith observes: "The whole course of nature and grace must find its explanation in God; and not merely in an abstract divine arbitrium, but in that which befits the divine nature."

For whom - by whom ( $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ ö $\nu$ - $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ovi). For whom, that is, for whose sake all things exist. God is the final cause of all things. This is not $=\epsilon$ is aùtò̀ $\tau$ à mávia unto whom are all things, Rom. xi. 36; which signifies that all things have their realisation in God; while this means that all things have their reason in God. By whom, through whose agency, all things came into being. On sià applied to God, see on ch.i.2. These two emphasise the idea of fitness. It was becoming even to a God who is the beginning and the end of all things.

In bringing many sons unto glory ( $\pi o \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ v i o u ̀ s ~ c i s ~ \delta o ́ \xi a \nu ~$ $\dot{a}$ 'yayóvta). Coust. bringing with him; ${ }^{*}$ not with captain, which would mean "to perfect the captain, etc., as one who led many sons, etc." 'A $\mathbf{y}$ ayóvia is not to be explained who had brought, or after he had brought, with a reference to the O. T. saints, "after he had brought many O. T. sons of God unto glory"; but rather, bringing as he did, or in bringing, as A.V. $\dagger$ Many sons, since their leader himself was a son. Unto glory, in accordance with the glory with which he himself had been crowned (ver. 9). The glory is not dis-

[^144]tinguished from the salvation immediately following. For the combination salvation and glory see 2 Tim. ii. 10; Apoc. xix. 1.

To make perfect ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ ). Lit. to carry to the goal or consummation. The "perfecting" of Jesus corresponds to his being "crowned with glory and honour," although it is not a mere synonym for that phrase; for the writer conceives the perfecting not as an act but as a process. "To make perfect" does not imply moral imperfection in Jesus, but only the consummation of that human experience of sorrow and pain through which he must pass in order to become the leader of his people's salvation.

The captain of their salvation ( $\tau \grave{o} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s$ $\boldsymbol{a}$ ว̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Comp. Acts v. 31. 'A $\rho \chi \eta \gamma$ òs captain, quite frequent in LXX and Class. Rev. renders author, which misses the fact that the Son precedes the saved on the path to glory. The idea is rather leader, and is fairly expressed by captain.
11. In order to bring many sons unto glory, Christ assumes to them the relation of brother.

He that sanctifieth ( $\dot{o} \dot{a} \gamma \iota a^{\prime} \zeta \omega \nu$ ). Sanctification is the path to glorification. Comp. Heb. x. 14.

Of one ( $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \dot{\varepsilon} v o ̀ s)$. Probably God, although the phrase may signify of one piece, or of one whole. Jesus and his people alike have God for their father. Therefore they are brethren, and Christ, notwithstanding his superior dignity, is not ashamed te call them by that name.
12. This acknowledgment as brethren the writer represents as prophetically announced by Messiah in Ps. xxii. 22. The Psalm is the utterance of a sufferer crying to God for help in the midst of enemies. The Psalmist declares that God has answered his prayer, and that he will give public thanks therefor.

Unto my brethren ( $\tau 0 i \bar{s} \dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi o i ̂ s \mu o v$ ). His brethren in the worshipping assembly. This is applied by our writer to the human brotherhood at large, and Christ is represented as identifying himself with them in thanksgiving.

Will I sing praise unto thee ( $\dot{\psi} \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon$ ). Rare in N. T. Matt. xxvi. 30 ; Mk. xiv. 26 ; Acts xvi. 25. Lit. hymn thee. Often in the Greek liturgies.
13. I will put my trust, etc. Isa. viii. 17, 18. The passage occurs in an invective against the people's folly in trusting to any help but God's during the Syro-Israelitish war under Ahaz. The prophet is commanded to denounce those who trusted to soothsayers and not to God, and to bind and seal God's testimony to the righteous party who maintained their confidence in him - a party comprising the disciples of Isaiah, and in whom lies the prophet's hope for the future of Israel. Isaiah declares his own faith in God, and announces that he and his children have been appointed as living symbols of the divine will, so that there is no need of applying to necromancers. The names of the children are Shear-jashub a remnant shall return, and Maher-shalal-hash-baz haste-spoil-hurry-prey. These names will teach Israel that Assyria will spoil Damascus and Samaria; and that, in the midst of foreign invasion, God will still be with Judah, and will make a nation of the remnant which the war shall leave. The prophet and his children are thus omens of the nation's fortunes. The children were babes at this time, and "the only unity which existed among them was that which exists between every father and his children, and that which resulted from their belonging to the same prophetic household and all bearing symbolic names (without knowledge of the fact on the part of the children)."* Our writer ignores the historical sense of the words, takes a part of a sentence and puts a messianic meaning into it, inferring from it the oneness of Jesus and his people, and the necessity of his assuming their nature in order to be one with them. He treats the two parts of the

[^145]passage separately, emphasising in the first part Messiah's trust in God in common with his human brethren, and inserting érc̀ $I$ into the LXX text in order to call special attention to the speaker as Messiah. In the second part, he expresses the readiness of himself and his children to carry out God's will.
14. The children ( $\tau \frac{a}{a} \pi a \iota \delta i a$ ). Children of men, the subjects of Christ's redemption.

 flesh and blood the correct text reads blood and flesh. In rabbinical writers a standing phrase for human nature in contrast with God.

Likewise ( $\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega s$ ). Rend. in like manner. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Expressing general similarity. He took his place alongside ( $\pi a \rho a)$ ) and near ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ oós) : near by.

Took part ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \in \nu$ ). The verb only in Hebrews and Paul. The distinction between it and кєкоьขळ́vŋкєь were partakers is correctly stated by Westcott; the latter marking the characteristic sharing of the common fleshly nature as it pertains to the human race at large, and the former signifying the unique fact of the incarnation as a voluntary acceptance of humanity.

He might destroy (кãapү ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ ). Rend. bring to nought. See on cumbereth, L. xiii. 7, and make of none effect, Rom. iii. 3. The word occurs 27 times in N. T., and is rendered in 17 different ways in A. V.

Him that had the power of death (тò̀ тò крáros ${ }^{\text {é } \chi o \nu \tau a}$
 ion of death, a sovereignty of which death is the realm. Comp. Rom. v. 21, "Sin reigned in death."

That is the devil. An explanation has been sought in the Jewish doctrine which identified Satan with Sammaël,
the angel of death, who, according to the later Jews, tempted Eve. This is fanciful, and has no value, to say nothing of the fact that Michael and not Sammaël was the angel of death to the Israelites. The O. T. nowhere identifies Satan with the serpent in Eden. That identification is found in Wisd. ii. 24, and is adopted Apoc. xii. 9. The devil has not power to inflict death, nor is death, as such, done away by the bringing of the devil to nought. The sense of the passage is that Satan's dominion in the region of death is seen in the existence and power of the fear of death as the penalty of sin (comp. through fear of death, ver. 15). The fear of death as implying rejection by God is distinctly to be seen in O. T. It appears in the utterances of many of the Psalnists. There is a consciousness of the lack of a pledge that God will not, in any special case, rise up against one. Along with this goes the conception of Satan as the accuser, see Zech. iii. This idea may possibly give colouring to this passage. Even before death the accuser exercises sway, and keeps God's people in bondage so long as they are oppressed with the fear of death as indicating the lack of full acceptance with God. How strongly this argument would appeal to Hebrew readers of the Epistle is clear from rabbinical theology, which often speaks of the fear of death, and the accuser as a constant companion of man's life. Jesus assumes the mortal flesh and blood which are subject to this bondage. . He proves himself to be both exempt from the fear of death and victorious over the accuser. He never lost his sense of oneness with God, so that death was not to him a sign of separation from God's grace. It was a step in his appointed
 his vocation as Saviour. His human brethren share his exemption from the bondage of the fear of death, and of the accusing power of Satan. "He that believeth on the Son hath eternal life." "Whether we live or die we are the Lord's." *

[^146]15. Deliver ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda \dot{d}^{\prime} \xi \eta$ ). Only here in Hebrews, and besides, only L. xii. 58 ; Acts xix. 12. Tolerably often in LXX. Very common in Class. Used here absolutely, not with $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon$ éas bondage, reading deliver from bondage.

Subject to bondage (ė้o ${ }^{\text {en }}$ in and ${ }^{\prime} \chi$ ' $\chi \boldsymbol{\nu}$ to hold. Lit. holden of bondage. See on Jas. ii. 10. Comp. the verb évé $\chi \in \nu$, Mk. vi. 19 (note), and Gal. v. 1. $\Delta o v \lambda \epsilon i ́ a ~ b o n d a g e ~ o n l y ~ i n ~ H e b r e w s ~ a n d ~ P a u l . ~$
16. Verily ( $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Doubtless, as is well known.

Took not on him (oủ é $\pi \iota \lambda a \mu \beta$ ávєтal). Rend. he doth not take hold. Comp. Matt. xiv. 31; Mk. viii. 23; Acts xviii. 17. Absolutely, in the sense of help, Sir. iv. 11. The Greek and Latin fathers explained the verb in the sense of appropriating. He did not appropriate the nature of augels. Angels did not need to be delivered from the fear of death.

The nature of angels (á $\gamma \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ ). The nature is not in the Greek, and does not need to be supplied if $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{p} \nu-$ єтal is properly translated. Rend. not of angels doth he take hold. It is not angels who receive his help.

The seed of Abraham. The one family of God, consisting of believers of both dispensations, but called by its O. T. name. See Ps. cv. 6; Isa. xli. 8, and comp. Gal. iii. 29. The O. T. name is selected because the writer is addressing Jews. The entire statement in vv. 16, 17 is not a mere repetition of vv. 14, 15. It carries out the line of thought and adds to it , while at the same time it presents a parallel argument to that in vv. 14, 15. Thus: vv. 14, 15, Christ took part of flesh and blood that he might deliver the cbildren of God from the fear of death and the accusations of Satan : vv. 16, 17, Christ takes hold of the seed of Abrabam, the church of God, and is made like unto his brethren, tempted as they are, in order that he may be a faithful high priest, making reconciliation for sin, thus doing away with the fear
of death, and enabling his people to draw near to God with boldness. Comp. ch. iv. 15, 16. Christ gives that peculiar help the necessity of which was exhibited in the 0 . T. economy under which the original seed of Abraham lived. The fear of death, arising from the consciousness of sin, could be relieved only by the intervention of the priest who stood between God and the sinner, and made reconciliation for sin. Jesus steps into the place of the high priest, and perfectly fulfils the priestly office. By his actual participation in the sorrows and temptations of humanity he is fitted to be a true sympathiser with human infirmity and temptation (ch. v. 2), a merciful and faithful high priest, making reconciliation for sin, and thus abolishing the fear of death.
17. Wherefore ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \theta_{\epsilon} \nu\right)$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Often in Hebrews.

In all things to be made like unto his brethren (ratà

 men. Likeness is asserted without qualification. There was a complete and real likeness to humanity, a likeness which was closest just where the traces of the curse of $\sin$ were most apparent - in poverty, temptation, and violent and unmerited death.

It behooved ( $\omega \bar{\phi} \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ). Indicating an obligation growing out of the position which Christ assumed: something which he owed to his position as the helper of his people.

That he might be a merciful and faithful high priest
 might be compassionate, and so (in consequence of being compassionate), a faithful high priest. The keynote of the Epistle, the high-priesthood of Christ, which is intimated in ch. i. 3, is here for the first time distinctly struck. Having shown that Christ delivers from the fear of death by nullifying the accusing power of sin, he now shows that he does this in his capacity of high priest, for which office it was
necessary that he should be made like unto his human brethren. In the O. T. economy, the fear of death was especially connected with the approach to God of an impure worshipper (see Num. xviii. 3,5). This fear was mitigated or removed by the intervention of the Levitical priest, since it was the special charge of the priest so to discharge the service of the tabernacle that there might be no outbreak of divine wrath on the children of Israel (Num. xviii. 5). Гévךтal might show himself to be, or prove to be. The idea of compassion as an attribute of priests is not found in the O.T. On the contrary, the fault of the priests was their frequent lack of sympathy with the people (see Hos. iv. 4-9). In the later Jewish history, and in N. T. times, the priestly aristocracy of the Sadducees was notoriously unfeeling and cruel. The idea of a compassionate and faithful high priest would appeal powerfully to Jewish readers, who knew'the deficiency of the Aaronic priesthood in that particular. Mıनтòs faithful, as an attribute of a priest, appears in 1 Sam. ii. 35. The idea there is fidelity. He will do all that is in God's mind. Comp. Heb. iii. 2. This implies trustworthiness. The idea here is, faithful in filling out the true ideal of the priesthood (ch. v. 1, 2), by being not a mere ceremonialist but a compassionate man.
 Rom. xv. 17. A technical phrase in Jewish liturgical language to denote the functions of worship. Const. with a faithful high priest, not with compassionate.

To make reconciliation (eis tò ìáбкє $\theta a \iota$ ). See on propitiation, Rom. iii. 25. The verb only here and L. xviii. 13.
18. In that he himself hath suffered being tempted ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$
 been tempted in that which he suffered. The emphasis is on having been tempted. Christ is the succourer of the tempted because he has himself been tempted. ' $\mathrm{E} \nu \oiint$ is not inasmuch
as, but means in that which. ' $\mathrm{E} \nu \AA \pi \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ qualifies $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma-$ $\theta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}$, explaining in what the temptation consisted, namely, in suffering.*

## CHAPTER III.

1. The leading ideas of the preceding section are echoed in this verse: brethren, of whom Christ made himself the brother: holy, in virtue of the work of the sanctifier.

Wherefore ( $\left.{ }^{0} \theta \epsilon \nu\right)$. Drawing a conclusion from ch. ii. 9-18.
 фoi brethren, in address, is not found in the Gospels. In Acts mostly äp $\delta \rho \in s \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \grave{l}$ brother men. In Paul, á $\delta$. $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \eta \tau o i ́$
 and longed for (once, Philip. iv. 1), à $\delta$. $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma a \pi \eta \mu$ évoc úmò $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ and rov̂ cupíov brethren beloved of God or of the Lord, and $\dot{a} \delta . \mu o v ~ m y ~ b r e t h r e n . ~ I n ~ J a m e s ~ m o s t l y ~ a ́ d . ~ \mu o v . ~ I n ~ H e b r e w s, ~$ except here, $\dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi o i$ simply. Holy brethren (see ch. ii. 11) are worshippers of God, taking the place of God's O. T. people, as called and consecrated to ethical and spiritual service according to the Christian ideal.

Partakers of a heavenly calling ( $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ émovpavíou $\mu$ éto$\chi$ oı). Méтoхo partakers only in Hebrews except L. v. 7. See on $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ took part, ch. ii. 14. The phrase heavenly calling N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. $\tau \hat{\eta} S \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \omega \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ the upward calling, Philip. iii. 14. The expression points to the lordship of the world to be (ch. ii. 5); and the world to be is the abiding world, the place of realities as contrasted with types and

[^147]shadows. The calling comes from that world and is to that world. See ch. xiii. 14.

Consider (катаעои́батє).* Attentively, thoughtfully
 the communicative we or $u 8$ identifying himself with his readers.
 In calling Jesus apostle, the writer is thinking of Moses as one sent by God to lead Israel to Canaan. Comp. LXX, where $\dot{a} \pi o \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ to send is often used of Moses. See Ex. iii.-vii. Often of Jesus, as L. x. 16 ; J. iii. 17 ; v. 36 ; vi. 29.

Of our profession ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \bar{\jmath} \dot{\delta} \mu \lambda \lambda o \gamma i a s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Rend. confession for profession. The apostle and high priest whom we confess. Comp. 1 Tim. vi. 12.
2. Who was faithful ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ o ै \nu \tau a) . ~ R e n d . ~ " i s ~ f a i t h f u l . " ~ " ~$ A general designatiou of inherent character. He is faithful as he ever was.

To him that appointed him ( $\left.\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \sigma \iota \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau \iota ~ a \dot{u} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu\right)$. Constituted him apostle and high priest. Some render created, referring to Christ's humanity or to his eternal generation. So the Old Latin, creatori suo; but this does not suit the context. Moteìv often in Class. in the sense of institute, as sacrifices, funerals, assemblies, etc., and in the middle voice of adoption as a son. See 1 Sam. xii. 6; Mk. iii. 14; Acts ii. 36.

As also Moses ( $\grave{\omega}$ каl M $\omega v \sigma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ). The highest example of human fidelity known to the readers.
 faithful. Jesus was faithful even as Moses was faithful.

[^148]The subject of the high-priesthood of Christ, introduced in this verse, is not carried out in detail by showing the superiority of Jesus to earthly high priests. This is reserved for chs. v.-vii. Instead, the writer proceeds to show that Christ is superior to Moses, as he has already shown his superiority to angels. He will thus have shown Christ's superiority to both the agencies by which the old covenant was mediated. The subject is a delicate one to treat for Jewish readers to whom Moses was the object of the deepest veneration; but the treatment displays tact by placing Moses in the foreground beside Christ as an example of fidelity to his commission. Justice is thus done to the familiar historical record, and to God's own testimony, Num. xii. 7. The general sense of the comparison is that Moses was as faithful as any servant in a house can be, while Christ was not a servant in the house, but a son, and displayed his fidelity in that capacity.
3. Was counted worthy ( $\bar{\eta} \xi i \omega \tau a \iota$ ). Used both of reward which is due ( $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{v} .17$ ) and of punishment (Heb. x. 29).

Inasmuch as ( $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ ó óov). Rend. by so much as. The argument is based on the general principle that the founder of a house is entitled to more honour than the house and its individual servants. There is an apparent confusion in the working out, since both God and Christ appear as builders, and Moses figures both as the house and as a servant in the house. The point of the whole, however, is that Moses was a part of the O. T. system - a servant in the house; while Christ, as one with God who established all things, was the founder and establisher of both the Old and the New Testament economies.
4. He that built all things is God ( ${ }^{\dot{j}} \pi \tilde{a}^{\prime} \nu \tau a \kappa a \tau a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma a s$ $\theta \epsilon$ 's). The verb includes not only erection, but furnishing with the entire equipment. See ch. ix. 2; 1 Pet. ii. 10. The
verb ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. The application of built or established to Christ (ver. 3) is guarded against possible misapprehension. Christ is the establisher, but not by any independent will or agency. As the Son he is he that built, but it is as one with God who built all things. The special foundership of Christ does not contradict or exclude the general foundership of God.*
5. And Moses. Kai and introduces the further development of the thought of vv. 2, 3-fidelity, and the corresponding honour. It is not a second proof of the superiority of Christ to Moses. See Num. xii. 7.

A servant ( $\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu)$. N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. Apoc. xv. 3. Often in LXX, mostly as translation of שֶׁד servant, slave, bondman. Also, when coupled with the name of a deity, a worshipper, devotee. Sometimes applied to angels or prophets. Of Moses, $\theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu \kappa v \rho i o v ~ s e r v a n t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ L o r d, ~ W i s d . ~ x . ~ 16 . ~ I n ~ C l a s s . ~$ and N. T. the word emphasises the performance of a present service, without reference to the condition of the doer, whether bond or free. An ethical character attaches to it, as to the kindred verb $\theta_{\epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ : service of an affectionate, hearty character, performed with care and fidelity. Hence the relation of the $\theta_{\epsilon \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu}$ is of a nobler and freer character than that of the $\delta o \hat{\lambda}$ os or bondservant. The verb is used of a physician's tendance of the sick. Xenophon (Mem.iv. 3,9) uses it of the gods taking care of men, and, on the other hand, of men's worshipping the gods (ii, 1. 28). See Eurip. Iph. Taur. 1105 ; and on heal, Matt. viii. 7; L. v. 15, and on is worshipped, Acts xvii. 25.

For a testimony of those things which were to be spoken


[^149]preceding clause. Moses' faithful service in God's house was for a testimony, etc. The things which were to be spoken are the revelations afterward to be given in Christ. Others, however, explain of the things which Moses himself was afterward to speak to the people by God's command, referring to Num. xii. 8. According to this explanation, the fidelity hitherto exhibited by Moses ought to command respect for all that he might say in future. But (1) in the present connection that thought is insignificant. (2) It would be an exaggeration to speak of Moses's fidelity to God throughout his whole official career as a witness of the things which he was to speak to the people by God's command. (3) The future participle requires a reference to a time subsequent to Moses's ministry. The meaning is that Moses, in his entire ministry, was but a testimony to what was to be spoken in the future by another and a greater than he. Comp. Deut. xviii. 15, explained of Christ in Acts iii. 22, 23.
6. But Christ. Replacing the human name Jesus, and being the official name which marks his position over the house.

As a son ( $\dot{\omega}$ viòs). The fidelity of Moses and the fidelity of Christ are exhibited in different spheres: of Moses in that of servant; of Christ in that of son.

Over his own house (è $\pi \grave{\imath}$ тò̀ olkov aủroû). Comp. ch. x. 21, and notice $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ over his house, and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in all his house, of Moses. For "his own house " rend. "his house," referring to God. Reference to Christ would destroy the parallel. It is said by some that the matter of respective positions is irrelevant: that the main point is fidelity, and that therefore it does not matter whether Moses was a son or a servant, provided he was faithful. But the writer evidently feels that Christ's position as a son enhanced his fidelity. Comp. ch. v. 8 . The implication is that Christ's position involved peculiar difficulties and temptations.

Whose house (ovi). God's house. The church is nowhere called the house of Christ.

We ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \mathrm{~s}$ ). Even as was the house in which Moses served. The Christian community is thus emphatically designated as the house of God, implying the transitoriness of the Mosaic system. Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17; 2 Cor. vi. 16; Eph. ii. 22; 1 Pet. iv. 17.

Hold fast ( $\kappa a \tau \dot{a} \sigma \chi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). The verb is used in N. T. as here, 1 Th. v. 21 ; Philem. 13 ; of restraining or preventing, L. iv. 42 ; of holding back or holding down with an evil purpose, Rom. i. 18; 2 Th. ii. 7; of holding one's course toward, bearing doẅn for, Acts xxvii. 40.

The confidence and the rejoicing of the hope ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho$ $\rho \eta \sigma i ́ a \nu \kappa a \grave{\imath}$ тò каú $\chi \eta \mu a$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ è $\lambda \pi i ́ \delta o s)$. The combination confidence and rejoicing N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Rejoicing or boasting of hope
 on 1 Tim. iii. 13. The entire group of words, $\kappa a v ́ \chi \eta \mu a$ ground of glorying, кav́ $\eta \sigma \iota s$ act of glorying, and $\kappa a v \chi a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ t o ~ g l o r y, ~$ is peculiarly Pauline. Outside of the Pauline letters кau$\chi$ â $\theta a \iota$ occurs only Jas. i. 9; iv. 16 ; кav́ $\chi \eta \sigma \iota s$ only Jas. iv. 16 ; and $\kappa a u ́ \chi \eta \mu a$ only here. The thought here is that the condition of being and continuing the house of God is the holding fast of the hope in Christ ( $\bar{e} \lambda \pi i \delta o s$ of the object of hope) and in the consummation of God's kingdom in him ; making these the ground of boasting; exultantly confessing and proclaiming this hope. There must be, not only confidence, but. joyful confidence. Comp. Rom. v. 3; Eph. iii. 12, 13; Philip. iii. 3.

Firm unto the end ( $\mu \epsilon^{\chi} \chi \rho \iota$ тé $\lambda^{\prime} o v s \beta \epsilon \beta a i a \nu$ ). Textually, there is some doubt about these words. Westcott and Hort bracket them. Tischendorf retains, and Weiss rejects them. The latter part of this verse marks the transition to the lesson of the wilderness-life of the exodus; the writer fearing that the fate of the exodus-generation may be repeated in the experience of his readers. We are God's house if we steadfastly hold fast our Christian hope, and do not lose our faith as Israel did in the wilderness. The exhortation to faith is thrown into the form of warning against unbelief.

Faith is the condition of realising the divine promise. The section is introduced by a citation from Ps. xcv. 7, 8.

 the holy (Spirit) is common in the N. T. with the exception of the Catholic Epistles, where it does not occur. The construction of the passage is as follows: $\Delta i o ̀$ wherefore is connected with $\beta \lambda$ éт $\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ take heed, ver. 12. The point is the writer's warning, not the warning of the citation. The whole citation including the introductory formula, down to rest, ver. 11, is parenthetical.

To-day if ye will hear his voice ( $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ є่à $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \phi \omega \nu \eta ิ \varsigma$ aùтố àкov́бךтє). The Hebrew reads, $O$ that you would hear his voice to-day. To-day is prophetically interpreted by the writer as referring to the Christian present, the time of salvation inaugurated by the appearance of Christ.
8. Harden not ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho v_{\nu} \eta \tau \epsilon$ ). In N. T. mostly in this epistle. Comp. Acts xix. 9; Rom. ix. 18, see note. The group of kindred words consists of $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta$ pós hard (see on Matt. xxv. 24 ; Jude 14); $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o ́ т \eta \varsigma ~ h a r d n e s s ~(R o m . ~ i i . ~ 5) ; ~ ; ~$ $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ to harden (Acts xix. 9; Rom. ix. 18); and the compounds $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \kappa a \rho \delta i a$ hardness of heart (Matt. xix. 8; Mk. x. 5), and $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \tau \rho a ́ \chi \eta \lambda o s ~ s t i f f-n e c k e d$ (Acts vii. 5). All occur in LXX, with the addition of $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \hat{s}$ hardly, painfully (not in N. T.).

In the provocation ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a \rho a \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi})$. Only here and ver. 15. In LXX only Ps. xciv. 8. The verb $\pi a \rho a \pi \iota \kappa \rho a i v e \iota \nu$ to provoke, only in ver. 16. Often in LXX. The simple verb тєкраívelv to make bitter, Col. iii. 19; Apoc. viii. 11; х. 9, 10. From тıкрós bitter, pungent: hence to stir up to bitterness, to irritate. Comp. LXX Ezek. ii. 4.

In the day ( $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ ). $\mathrm{K} a \tau \grave{\alpha}$ in a temporal sense, as Acts xii. 1; xix. 23; Xxvii. 27. Comp. кaт' áp $\chi^{\text {ás }}$ in the beginning, ch. i. 10.

Of temptation ( $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \ell \rho a \sigma \mu o \hat{v}$ ). Rend. "of the temptation," referring to a definite event, the murmuring against Moses at Rephidim on account of the lack of water, Ex. xvii. 1-7. In that passage the LXX gives for the two proper names Massah and Meribah, тєє $\quad$ a $\mu$ òs temptation, which is correct, and $\lambda o \iota \delta o ́ p \eta \sigma \iota s$ railing or reviling, which is loose, since Meribah signifies strife.* In Ps. xciv, LXX renders Meribah таратькраг $\mu$ 's provocation, which is inexact, and Massah $\pi \epsilon t-$ pa $a \mu$ òs temptation, which is correct.
9. When (ovi). Rend. where. See ov after ëp $\rho \eta \mu a s$ wilderness, Deut. viii. 15.
 tried ( $m e$ ) in proving. The text differs from LXX, which
 phrase here means tempted by putting to the test. Comp. е́ктєє $\dot{a}^{\prime} \zeta_{\epsilon \nu}$ to tempt or try with a view to seeing how far one can go. See on 1 Cor. x. 9.
 $m y$ works with both verbs: tried and saw my works: but it is better to supply me after émeípacav tempted, to take works with saw only, and to give $\kappa a i$ the force of and yet (see on L. xviii. 7). "They tempted and yet saw my works;" although they saw my works. The Hebrew is "tried me, proved me, yea saw my works."

Forty years. In LXX this is connected with saw my works. In the Hebrew forty years begins the next clause.
10. Wherefore I was grieved ( $\delta i o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\omega} \chi \ell \iota \sigma a$ ). The Hebrew omits wherefore. It was inserted because of the transfer of forty years to the preceding clause. The verb

[^150]$\pi \rho o \sigma \omega ́ \chi \theta \iota \sigma a$ I was grieved, only here and ver. 17. In LXX for sip to spue out; to exclude, reject, abhor; to to to repudiate.
11. So I sware ( $\dot{\omega}_{\varsigma}$ ). Rend. "according as I sware": the $\omega s$ correlating the oath and the disobedience.

They shall not enter into my rest ( $\epsilon i \dot{i} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ катáтаvбıл $\mu o v$ ). Lit. if they shall enter, etc. A common Hebraistic formula in oaths. Where God is speaking, as here, the ellipsis is "may I not be Jehovah if they shall enter." Where man is speaking, "so may God punish me if"; or "God do so to me and more if." Comp. Mk. viii. 12: LXX, Gen. xiv. 23 ; Deut. i. 35 ; 1 K. i. 51 ; ii. 8. Sometimes the ellipsis is filled out, as 1 Sam . iii. 17; 2 Sam . iii. 35. Kãáтavaıv rest, only in Hebrews, and Acts vii. 49. The verb кatamav́धı to lay to rest also only in Acts and Hebrews. In Class. the verb sometimes means to kill or to depose from power. In the original citation the reference is to Canaan.

12. Note how the following exhortation is colored by the O.T. citation : evil heart; the to-day; be hardened; take heed ( $\beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). See to it. Often in warnings or admonitions: sometimes with $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o}$ from, with genitive of that against which the warning is given, as Mk. viii. 15 ; xii. 38 ; but so only in the Gospels. In construction connect with $\delta i o$, ver. 7; therefore beware.
 lest shows that with the fear that the event may occur, there is blended a suspicion that it will occur.

In any of you ( $\underset{\nu}{\nu} \tau \iota \nu \iota \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. They are appealed to individually.

An evil heart of unbelief (карбía тоעпрà àmıбтias). The whole phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Neither do the combinations evil heart voL. Iv. -27
or heart of unbelief occur elsewhere. In LXX, among nearly a thousand instances of кapסía heart, кapסía movךрà evil heart appears only five times, and in three of the five in apocryphal books. See Sir. ix. 1; Bar. i. 22; ii. 8. In LXX proper, Jer. xvi. 12; xviii. 12. 'A $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ ias of unbelief, specifies that in which the more general movnjà evil consists. An evil heart is an unbelieving heart.
 $\theta \epsilon \boldsymbol{\theta} \bar{\nu} \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \varsigma)$. The characteristic of unbelief. Faith is personal union with God. Unbelief separates from God. The phrase living God is common to both Testaments. For the bearing of the words upon the question of the Gentile destination of the Epistle, see Introduction.
 Lit. so long as the to-day is being named. The article points to the former expression - the "to-day" of ver. 7. It is the day of grace, while salvation through Christ is still attainable.

Through the deceitfulness of $\sin (\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \tau \bar{\eta} s \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i ́ a s)$. 'A $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \tau \eta$ is rather a trick, stratayem, deceit, than the quality of deceitfulness. The waruing is against being hardened by a trick which their sin may play them. Note the article, the or his sin - the sin of departing from the living God. The particular deceit in this case would be the illusion of faithfulness to the past.
14. We are made partakers of Christ ( $\mu$ éro $\chi$ oc $\gamma$ à $\rho$ tov̂ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \gamma o ́ v a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Rend. we are become fellows with Christ. For fellows see L. v. 7; Heb. i. 9. It marks even a closer relation than "brethren." See L. xxii. 30; Rom. viii. 17; Apoc. iii. 21.
 The believing confidence with which we began our Christian life. For útóotaбıs confidence see on ch. i. 3. The Greek fathers render substance; that in virtue of which we are believers.

Unto the end ( $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota$ тédous). Better, the consummation. It is more than mere termination. It is the point into which the whole life of faith finally gathers itself up. See Rom. vi. 21 ; 2 Cor. xi. 15; Philip. iii. 19 ; Heb. vi. 8; 1 Pet. i. 9.
15. While it is said ( $\dot{e} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \notin \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ). The formula by which the writer reverts to the previous citation. Connect with if we hold fast. The exhortation of ver. 12 answered to Ps. xev; so the condition of fulfilment in ver. 14 is declared to rest on the same Scripture. Only on the ground of what is said in that Psalm does the holding fast come to pass. Rend. therefore, "We are fellows of Christ if we hold the beginning of our confidence steadfast unto the end, seeing it is said," etc.
16. For some, when they had heard, did provoke (tives
 did provoke? The interrogative tives calls special attention to those who provoked God. The writer would say, "My warning against apostasy is not superfluous or irrelevant: for, consider: who were they that provoked God? They were those who had fairly begun their journey to Canaan, as you have begun your Christian course. They provoked God, so may you.

Howbeit not all (ảd入' ov $\pi$ ávtes). Wrong. The interrogation should be continued. Who were they? But (ả à') why do I ask? Were they not all who came out of Egypt by Moses? They were so numerous that they practically constituted the whole generation of the exodus. So far from its being true that a good ending necessarily follows a good beginning, a whole generation of God's chosen people failed to reach the Land of Promise because they provoked God.
17. The interrogation still continued. "With whom was he displeased forty years? Was it not with them?" etc.

Carcasses ( $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda a$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX for $\mathrm{K} \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$ properly a limb. The idea of dismemberment underlies the use of the word. Comp. Num. xiv. 29 (LXX), and 1 Cor. x. 5, of the rebellious Israelites, who $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ è $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$ were strewn down along in the wilderness.
18. To them that believed not ( $\tau 0 i \hat{s} \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta_{\dot{\eta}} \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Rend. to them that disobeyed.

## CHAPTER IV.

Christian salvation, having been presented as lordship over the world to come, and as deliverance from the fear of death, is now to be presented as participation in the rest of God. The purpose of vv. 1-11 is to confirm the hope of that rest, and to warn against forfeiting it. There is a possibility of your forfeiting it. The rest of God was proclaimed to your forefathers, but they did not enter into it because of their unbelief. It has been proclaimed to you. You may fail as did they, and for the same reason.
 neglected. It is not a reason for fearing that is given, but a circumstance connected with the thing to be avoided. As there is now left a promise, let us fear. Being left announces the thought which is afterward emphasised, and on which the whole treatment of the subject turns - that God's original promise of rest remains unchanged, and still holds good. Such being the case, he who doubts the promise itself, or thinks that it is too late for him to enjoy its fulfilment, runs a risk.

Should seem to come short ( $\delta о \kappa \hat{\eta} \hat{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \kappa$ évat). According to this rendering, the meaning is that one must avoid the appearance of having failed to enter into the rest; the perfect tense (ívтєрךкéval) placing the reader at the parousia, when judgment will be pronounced. This is forced, tame, and irrelevant to the previous discussion. Rend. lest any
one of you think he has come too late for it. This accords with the previous admonitions against unbelief. For one to think that he has come too late to inherit the promise is to disbelieve an immutable promise of God. Hence the writer may well say, "Since this promise remains, let us fear to distrust it." 'Tбтєрєì is to be behind; to come late; to come short; hence, to suffer need, as Philip. iv. 12; of material deficiency, L. xv. 14; J. ii. 3; of moral and spiritual shortcoming, Rom. iii. 23; 1 Cor. viii. 8; Heb. xii. 15.
2. For unto us was the gospel preached (кaì $\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{e} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \in \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\eta} \eta \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \iota \sigma \mu$ évo七). Lit. we have had good tidings proclaimed to us. The translation of the A. V. is unfortunate, since it conveys the technical and conventional idea of preaching the gospel, which is entirely out of place here. The reference is to the special announcement of the rest of God; the glad tidings that God has provided a rest for his people. This announcement was made to the fathers, and signified to them the promise of the rest in Canaan. It has been proclaimed to us, and to us is the announcement of the heavenly rest. The emphasis is on the entire statement, "we have had the good tidings proclaimed to us," rather than on we as contrasted with they.

The word preached (ó $\lambda$ óros $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ àкойs). Lit. the word of the message. See on 1 Th. ii. 13.

Not being mixed with faith in them that heard it ( $\mu \bar{\eta}$
 not incorporated by faith in them that heard.* A body of obedient hearers with whom the erring Israelites were not in-

[^151]corporated would be an idea foreign to the discussion. Moreover, in ch. iii. 16, the writer has declared that there were practically no believing hearers. He says that although the good tidings were announced to them, they did not profit them. The word did not profit them because it (the word) was not assimilated by faith in those that heard. They did not make the promise of rest their own. Their history was marked by continual renewals and rejections of the promise.
3. For we which have believed do enter into rest ( $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ خà $\epsilon$ єis $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \tau a ́ \pi a v \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ). I say by faith, for, we believers, who embraced the Christian faith when it was offered to us (note the aorist participle), do enter into the rest. 'E $\iota \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \chi^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, categorical ; not are entering or are on the way to, but entering into the rest is a fact which characterises us as believers.

As he said (каӨ̀̀s єil $\rho \not \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ). We enter in accordance with the saying which follows.

As I have sworn - if they shall enter. The statement is somewhat obscure. The meaning is, we (who believed) enter into rest in accordance with God's declaration that they (who did not believe) should not enter. The point is faith as the condition of entering into the rest.

Although the works were finished (каíro兀 $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}{ }^{\text {ép }} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \omega$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu)$. This is an awkward and indirect way of saying, "these unbelievers did not enter into God's rest, although he had provided that rest into which they might have entered." The providing of the rest is implied in the completion of God's works. The writer assumes the readers' acquaintance with the narrative of the creation in Genesis.
4. What was implied in the preceding verse is now stated.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\rho} \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ à̇ $\tau o \hat{v}$ ). The verb only in Hebrews and Acts xiv. 18. Works, plural, following LXX. The Hebrew has work.
5. In this place ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\nu}$ тoútç). The passage already cited, ver. 3. It is cited again to show that the rest was not entered into.
6. The rest was not appropriated by those under Moses, nor, in the full sense, by those under Joshua, nor in David's time.

It remaineth that some must enter therein ( $\dot{a} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i \pi-$
 from past times." The promise has not been appropriated. It must be appropriated in accordance with God's provision. The rest was not provided for nothing. God's provision of a rest implies and involves that some enter into it. But the appropriation is yet in the future. It remains that some enter in.

They to whom it was first preached (oi $\pi \rho o \dot{c} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ ev̉ar$\gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \theta$ év $\nu \epsilon$ ). Lit. they who were first the subjects of the announcement of the glad tidings. It is desirable to avoid the word preached. See on ver. 2. The Israelites under Moses and Joshua are meant.

Because of unbelief ( $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \epsilon\left(\theta_{\epsilon l a \nu)}\right.$. Rend. for unbelief, disobedience. Comp. ch. iii. 18. 'A $\pi \epsilon$ ' $\theta$ eta disobedience is the active manifestation of ámıбтía unbelief.
7. Again he limiteth a certain day ( $\pi a^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ v $\tau \nu d{ }_{d} \dot{\delta} \rho / \zeta_{\zeta} \epsilon$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu)$. For limiteth rend. defineth. For the verb see on declared, Rom. i.4. The meaning is, he gives another opportunity of securing the rest, and calls the period in which the opportunity is offered to-day.

In David. The date of the composition of Ps. xev is uncertain. In LXX (xciv) it is called a Psalm of David. In the words in David the writer may adopt the LXX title, or may mean simply in the Psalms. In the Hebrew the Psalm has no inscription.
 between Joshua and David. After this long interval he renews the promise in the Psalm.

As it is said ( $\kappa a \theta \hat{\omega} s ~ \pi \rho о \epsilon$ í $\rho \tau a \iota$ ). Rend. as it hath been before said; referring to the citations, ch. iii. 7, 8, 15.
8. But it might be said that under Joshua the people did enter into the promised rest. He therefore shows that Israel's rest in Canaan did not fulfil the divine ideal of the rest.

Jesus ('I $\eta \sigma o \hat{c}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ). Rend. Joshua, and see on Matt. i. 21.
After this ( $\mu \in \tau$ à $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ ). After the entrance into Canaan under Joshua.
9. There remaineth therefore a rest (äpa à àoдєíтєтal $\sigma a \beta \beta a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \mathrm{o} s)$. Remaineth, since in the days of neither Moses, Joshua, or David was the rest appropriated. He passes over the fact that the rest had not been entered into at any later period of Israel's history. Man's portion in the divine rest inaugurated at creation has never been really appropriated: but it still remaineth. This statement is justified by the new word for "rest" which enters at this point, $\sigma a \beta \beta a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ oेs
 signifies a keeping Sabbath. The Sabbath rest points back to God's original rest, and marks the ideal rest - the rest of perfect adjustment of all things to God, such as ensued upon the completion of his creative work, when he pronounced all things good. This falls in with the ground-thought of the Epistle, the restoration of all things to God's archetype. The $\sin$ and unbelief of Israel were incompatible with that rest. It must remain unappropriated until harmony with God is restored. The Sabbath-rest is the consummation of the new creation in Christ, through whose priestly mediation reconciliation with God will come to pass.

For the people of $\operatorname{God}$ ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \lambda a \hat{\omega}$ tov $\theta_{\varepsilon} o \hat{v}$ ). For the phrase see Rom. ix. 25 ; xi. 1; 1 Pet. ii. 10, and comp. Israel of

God, Gal. vi. 16. The true Israel, who inherit the promise by faith in Christ.
10. Only in such a Sabbath-rest is found the counterpart of God's rest on the seventh day.

For he that is entered into his rest (ó $\dot{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s$
 God's. The aorist marks the completeness of the appropria-tion-once and for all.

He also hath ceased from his own works (кai au̇ros
 is a general proposition : any one who has entered into God's rest has ceased from his works.
 as God (did) from his own. 'I $\delta i \omega \nu$ own signifies more than mere possession. Rather, works peculiarly his own, thus hinting at the perfect nature of the original works of creation as corresponding with God's nature and bearing his impress. The blessing of the Sabbath-rest is thus put as a cessation from labours. The basis of the conception is Jewish, the rest of the Sabbath being conceived as mere abstinence from labour, and not according to Christ's conception of the Sabbath, as a season of refreshment and beneficent activity, Mk. ii. 27 ; J. v. 17. Our writer's conception is not the rabbinical conception of cessation of work, but rather of the cessation of the weariness and pain which accompany human labor. Comp. Apoc. xiv. 13; xxi. 4; L. xi. 7; xviii. 5; Gal. vi. 17.
11. This promise of rest carries with it a special responsibility for the people of God.

Let us labour therefore ( $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{v} \nu$ ). For the verb, see on Eph. iv. 3. Give diligence, not hasten, which is the primary meaning.

[^152]That rest ( $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon і(\nu \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau a ́ \pi a v \sigma \iota \nu)$. The Sabbath-rest of God, instituted at creation, promised to the fathers, forfeited by their unbelief, remaining to us on the condition of faith.

Lest any man fall after the same example of unbelief
 fall is to be taken absolutely; not, fall into the same example. ' $\Upsilon \pi$ тó $\delta \iota \gamma \mu a$ example, mostly in Hebrews. Rejected as unclassical by the Attic rhetoricians. Originally a sign which suggests something: a partial suggestion as distinct from a complete expression. See ch. viii. 5; ix. 23. Thus Christ's washing of the disciples' feet (J. xiii. 15) was a typical suggestion of the whole field and duty of ministry. See on 1 Pet. ii. 6. It is not easy to give the exact force of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in. Strictly speaking, the "example of disobedience" is conceived as that in which the falling takes place. The fall is viewed in the sphere of example. Comp. 2 Macc. iv. 30 ; 1 Cor. ii. 7. Rend. that no man fall in the same example of disobedience: the same as that in which they fell.
12. The exhortation is enforced by reference to the character of the revelation which sets forth the rest of God. The message of God which promises the rest and urges to seek it, is no dead, formal precept, but is instinct with living energy.

The word of God (ó $\lambda$ óros $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ). That which God speaks through any medium. The primary reference is to God's declarations concerning his rest. The fathers explained it of the personal Word as in the Fourth Gospel. But in the Epistle there is no approach to any definite use of $\lambda$ óyos with reference to Christ, not even in the description of his relation to God in ch. i, where, if anywhere, it might have been expected. In ch. vi. 5 and xi. 3 we find $\dot{\rho} \eta \mu a$. Everywhere in the Epistle Clurist appears as the Son, not as the Word. In this passage, the following predicates, e่ $\nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} s$, тонө́тєроя, крєтєко̀s, would hardly be applied to the Logos, and in ver. 14 he is styled Jesus the Son of God.
 position of $\zeta \bar{\omega} \nu$ living. Living is the word of God, since it is the word of "the living God" (ch. iii. 12). Living in its essence. For $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \eta ̀ s ~ a c t i v e, ~ e n e r g i s i n g, ~ a n d ~ k i n d r e d ~ w o r d s, ~$ see on J. i. 12 ; Philip. iii. 21 ; Col. i. 29; Philem. 6. Manifesting itself actively in the world and in men's hearts. Comp. 1 Pet. i. 23.

 N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The word of God has an incisive and penetrating quality. It lays bare self-delusions and moral sophisms. For the comparison of the word of God or of men to a sword, see Ps. lvii. 4 ; lix. 7 ; lxiv. 3; Eph. vi. 17. Philo calls his Logos ó $\tau 0 \mu \epsilon$ ús the cutter, as cutting chaos into distinct things, and so creating a kosmos. 'Y $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ èp than, is literally, above. חâбav any, is every. $\Delta$ /бrouov only here and Apoc. i. 16; ii. 12, lit. two-mouthed. In LXX always of a sword. See Judg. iii. 16 ; Ps. cxlix. 6 ; Prov. v. 4 ; Sir. xxi. 3. In Class. of a cave with a twofold mouth (Soph. Philoct. 16); of double-branching roads (Soph. Oed. Col. 900); of rivers with two mouths (Polyb. xxxiv. 10, 5). $\Sigma$ ró $\mu a$ mouth, of the edge of a sword, L. xxi. 24 ; Heb. xi. 34. Often in LXX, as Gen. xxxiv. 26 ; Josh. x. 28, 33, 35, 37, 39 ; Judg. i. 8. So occasionally in Class., as Homer, Il. xv. 389. Kaver $\begin{aligned} & \text { i } \epsilon \iota \nu \text { or }\end{aligned}$ $\kappa a \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to devour is used of the sword, Deut. xxxii. 42 ; 2 Sam. ii. 26 ; Isa. xxxi. 8; Jer. ii. 30, etc. Má $\chi a \imath \rho a$ sword, in Class. a dirk or dagger: rarely, a carving knife; later, a bent sword or sabre as contrasted with a straight, thrusting sword, $\xi$ 'фos (not in N.T. but occasionally in LXX). 'Po $\mu-$ $\phi$ aía, L. ii. 35 (see note), elsewhere only in Apocalypse, very often in LXX, is a large broadsword. In LXX of Goliath's sword, 1 Sam. xvii. 51

Even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit and of

$\dot{a} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тє каì $\mu \nu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Mє $\rho \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s$ dividing, only here and ch. ii. 4 , is not to be understood of dividing soul from spirit or joints from marrow. Soul and spirit cannot be said to be separated in any such sense as this, and joints and marrow are not in contact with each other. M $\boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \mathrm{o}$ s is the act of division; not the point or line of division. Joints and marrow are not to be taken in a literal and material sense.* In rendering, construe soul, spirit, joints, marrow, as all dependent on dividing. Joints and marrow ( $\dot{a} \rho \mu \omega \hat{\nu}, \mu \nu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{T}^{\circ}$ ) are to be taken figuratively as joints and marrow of soul and spirit. This figurative sense is exemplified in classical usage, as Eurip. Hippol. 255, "to form moderate friendships, and not $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \kappa \rho o \nu ~ \mu \nu \epsilon \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \psi ~ \psi ~ \chi ~ \hat{\eta} s$ to the deep marrow of the soul." The conception of depth applied to the soul is on the same figurative line. See Aesch. Agam. 778; Eurip. Bacch. 203. Attempts to explain on any psychological basis are futile. The form of expression is poetical, and signifies that the word penetrates to the inmost recesses of our spiritual being as a sword cuts through the joints and marrow of the body. The separation is not of one part from another, but operates in each department of the spiritual nature. The expression is expanded and defined by the next clause.

A discerner (крит८кòs). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The word carries on the thought of dividing. From cpivect to divide or separate, which runs into the sense of judge, the usual meaning in N . 'T., judgment involving the sifting out and analysis of evidence. In крıтıкòs the ideas of discrimination and judgment are blended. Vulg. discretor.

Of the thoughts and intents of the heart ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \nu \kappa a i$
 $\mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ rare in N. T. See Matt. ix. 4; Acts xvii. 29. Comp. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$, Matt. i. 20 ; ix. 4. In every instance, both of the noun and of the verb, the sense is pondering or thinking

[^153]out. Rend. the reflections. "E $\nu$ vota, only here and 1 Pet. iv. 1. It is the definite conception which follows èv $\theta \dot{u} \mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ Rend. conceptions.
13. From the word of God the writer proceeds to God himself as cognisant of all things; thus giving a second ground for the exhortation of ver. 11 .

Creature ( $\kappa$ тl/ıs). See on Rom. viii. 19; 2 Cor. v. 17 ; Col. i. 15. Here in the sense of thing created.

Opened ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda \iota \sigma \mu e ́ v a)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Only later Greek. Evidently connected with $\tau \rho a \dot{\chi} \eta$ خos neck, throat. The exact metaphor, however, it is impossible to determine. The following are the principal explanations proposed : taken by the throat, as an athlete grasps an adversary; exposed, as a malefactor's neek is bent back, and his face exposed to the spectators; or, as the necks of victims at the altar are drawn back and exposed to the knife. The idea at the root seems to be the bending back of the neck, and the last explanation, better than any other, suits the previous figure of the sword. The custom of drawing back the victim's neck for sacrifice is familiar to all classical students. See Hom. Il. i. 459; ii. 422; Pindar, Ol. xiii. 114. The victim's throat bared to the sacrificial knife is a powerful figure of the complete exposure of all created intelligence to the eye of him whose word is as a two-edged sword.

With whom we have to do ( $\pi \rho$ òs $\hat{\delta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{o}$ 入óyos). Rend. with whon is our reckoning; that is to whom we have to give account.*
14. Chapter ii. 17, 18 is now resumed. This and the following verse more naturally form the conclusion of the preceding section than the introduction to the following one.

[^154]Great high priest ( $\mu$ érà). Emphasising Christ's priestly character to Jewish readers, as superior to that of the Levitical priests. He is holding up the ideal priesthood.

Passed into the heavens ( $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta$ óta $\tau o v ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \rho a \nu o u ́ s)$. Rend. "passed through the heavens." Through, and up to the throne of God of which he wields the power, and is thus able to fulfil for his followers the divine promise of rest.

Jesus the Son of God. The name Jesus applied to the high priest is forcible as recalling the historical, human person, who was tempted like his brethren. We are thus prepared for what is said in ver. 15 concerning his sympathising character.
15. We have not an high priest who cannot, etc. Whatever may be thought to the contrary; whatever contrary conclusion may be drawn from the character of the Levitical priests, or from Christ's exalted dignity and purity.

Touched with the feeling ( $\sigma v \nu \pi a \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ). Only here and ch. x .34 . This is more than knowledge of human infirmity. It is feeling it by reason of a common experience with ( $\sigma v v^{\prime}$ ) men.

Infirmities (à $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ éals). Not sufferings, but weaknesses, moral and physical, which predispose to sin and facilitate it.
 ness. ' $\mathrm{H} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ of us or our is to be understood, or, as some, $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, according to his likeness to us.

Without $\sin (\chi \omega \rho i s$ á $\mu a \rho r i a s)$. This, of course, implies that he was not led into sin by temptation, and also that no temptation aroused in him sin already present and dormant. It is not meant that temptation arising from sin external to himself was not applied to him.
16. Come-unto ( $\left.\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi{ }^{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a\right) .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$., often in Hebrews, and commonly in the same sense as here-approach to God through the O. T. sacrifices or the sacrifice of Christ. Paul's word $\pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}$ access expresses the same idea.* See Eph. ii. 18; iii. 12. The phrase come boldly expresses a thought which the Epistle emphasises - that Christianity is the religion of free access to God. Comp. 2 Cor. iii. 12, 13.

Unto the throne of grace ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \rho \rho^{\prime} \nu \omega \tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{\varsigma} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \tau o \varsigma$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Throne of glory, Matt. xix. 28; xxv. 31: of majesty, Heb. viii. 1. In Apoc. throne occurs over forty times, either the throne, or his throne, or throne of God. Once throne of the beast, xvi. 10. Throne of grace expresses grace as the gift of divine power.

Mercy-grace ( ${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \varsigma$ — $\chi$ á $\rho \iota \nu$ ). Mercy for past sins; grace for future work, trial, and resistance to temptation.
 for seasonable help, or help in good time; before it is too late; while there is still time to seek God's rest. Others, however, explain, when it is needed; or, before temptation leads to sin.

## CHAPTER V.

The doctrine of the high-priesthood of Christ.
The Hebrew Christians were not familiar with Christ under the aspect of a high priest. They did not understand the application of the title and office to him. They could not infer it from his early life, since he was not of the lineage of Aaron, nor from his ministry, since he claimed no special privilege of access to the temple, performed no priestly functions, and contradicted the whole Jewish conception of the priesthood.

[^155]1. Every high priest ( $\pi \hat{a} \varsigma$ à $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \grave{s}$ ). Every Levitical


Taken ( $\lambda a \mu \beta a \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s)$. Rend. being taken, or since he is taken: not who is taken. The point is that the high priest's efficiency for men depends on his being taken from among men.

Is ordained (кaӨíqтatal). Constituted priest. See on Tit. i. 5.

For men ( $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ). On behalf of men.
 respects his relation to God. See on ch. ii. 17.

That he may offer (ìa $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \eta) . ~ \Pi \rho o \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \ell \nu$, lit. to bring to (the altar). Comp. Matt. v. 23. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. , who, however, has the kindred noun $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi$ opà offering. Very often in LXX; nineteen times in Hebrews, and always, with one exception (ch. xii. 7), in the technical sense, as here.

Gifts-sacrifices ( $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a-\theta v \sigma i a s) . \Delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$ offerings gener-
 not constantly observed. Thus, $\theta v \sigma i ́ a u$, of unbloody offerings, Gen. iv. 3,5 ; Lev. ii. 1 ; Num. v. $15: \delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$, of bloody offerings, Gen. iv. 4 ; Lev. i. 2, 3, 10.

For sins ( $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau t \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). In this the priest's efficiency is especially called out, and he who has not genuine compassion for the sinful cannot do this efficiently. Hence the words which follow.
2. Have compassion ( $\mu \in \tau \rho \iota o \pi a \theta \epsilon i \nu)$ N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Originally of the rational regulation of the natural passions, as opposed to the Stoic $\dot{a} \pi \dot{a} \dot{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{a}$, which involved the crushing out of the passions. Often, in later Greek, of moderating anger. It is not identical with $\sigma \nu \nu \pi a \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ (ch. iv. 5),
but signifies to be moderate or tender in judgment toward another's errors. Here it denotes a state of feeling toward the ignorant and erring which is neither too severe nor too tolerant. The high priest must not be betrayed into irritation at $\sin$ and ignorance, neither must he be weakly indulgeut.

The ignorant ( $\tau 0 i ̂ \hat{s}$ à $\gamma \nu o o v ̂ \sigma \iota$ ). Comp. ả $\gamma \nu o \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ignorances, ${ }^{\text {ch. }}$ ix. 7, and Num. xv. 22-31, where the distinction is drawn between sins of ignorance and sins of presumption. Atonement for sins of ignorance was required by the Levitical law as a means of educating the moral perception, and of showing that $\sin$ and defilement might exist unsuspected: that God saw evil where men did not, and that his test of purity was stricter than theirs.

For that he himself also is compassed with infirmity
 the high-priestly office, and grows out of the sense of personal infirmity. The verb is graphic: has infirmity lying round him. Comp. ch. xii. 1, of the encompassing ( $\pi \epsilon \rho ⿺ \kappa \epsilon-$ $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu)$ cloud of witnesses. 'A $\sigma \theta$ évéay, the moral weakness which makes men capable of sin. This is denied in the case of Christ. See ch. vii. 28.
3. He ought (ódeí $\lambda \epsilon t$ ). It is his duty, growing out of the fact of his own infirmity.
4. The high priest must be divinely called. One thus compassed with infirmity would shrink from such an office unless called to it by God.

He that is called (калои́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ) . The A. V. follows T. R., ó кадои́ $\mu \in \nu 0 s$. The article should be omitted. Rend. but being called by'God (he taketh it), as did Aaron.
5. Did not glorify himself to be made high priest. 'E $\delta \dot{o} \xi a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ glorified is general, and is more specifically defined by $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \dot{a} \rho \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \in ́ a$ to be made high priest.
vol. Iv. -28

But he that said unto him, Thou art my Son, etc. Supply glorified him. He did not glorify himself, but God who styled him "son" glorified him. Thou art my Son is introduced thus in close connection with the call to the priesthood, in recognition of the fact that the priesthood of Christ had its basis in his sonship. "Christ's priestly vocation ceases to be an accident in his history, and becomes an essential characteristic of his position as Son : sonship, christhood, priestliness, inseparably interwoven" (Bruce).
6. Thou art a priest forever, etc. According to this verse Christ is prophetically pointed out in Ps. cx as an eternal priest, independent of fleshly descent, a king, and superior in dignity to the Levitical priests.

According to the order ( $\kappa a \tau \grave{a} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau a ́ \xi \iota \nu)$. According to the rank which Melchisedec held. Almost $=$ like. For Melchisedec see ch. vii.
7. He is now to show that Christ was under training for the priesthood, and describes the process of training.

Who (ôs). Nominative to ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu a \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ learned, ver. 8 , to which all the participles are preparatory.
 During his mortal life.

When he had offered up prayers and supplications
 ite requests: iкeтnpias, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, is properly an adjective, pertaining to or fit for suppliants, with $\dot{\rho} \dot{a}^{\beta} \beta \delta o u s$ staves or è̉alas olive-branches understood. The olive-branch bound round with wool was held forth by a suppliant in token of his character as such. The phrase $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ S. T.o..*

[^156]Unto him that was able to save him from death ( $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$
 and supplications, not with offered. To save him from death may mean to deliver him from the fear of death, from the anguish of death, or from remaining a prey to death. In either case, the statement connects itself with the thought of Christ's real humanity. He was under the pressure of a sore human need which required divine help, thus showing that he was like unto his brethren. He appealed to one who could answer his prayer. The purport of the prayer is not stated. It is at least suggested by Matt. xxvi. 39.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \epsilon \dot{d} \lambda a \beta \epsilon$ las). Rend. was heard on account of his godly fear.
 to act cautiously, beware, fear, only ch. xi. 7. The image in the word is that of a cautious taking hold ( $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ) and careful and respectful handling: hence piety of a devout and circumspect character, as that of Christ, who in his prayer took account of all things, not only his own desire, but his Father's will. 'Eu入áßeta is ascribed to Christ as a human trait, see ch. xii. 28. He was heard, for his prayer was answered, whatever it may have been. God was able to save him from death altogether. He did not do this. He was able to sustain him under the anguish of death, and to give him strength to suffer the Father's will: he was also able to deliver him from death by resurrection: both these he did. It is not impossible that both these may be combined in the statement he was heard.*
8. Though he were a Son (кaitep $\hat{\omega} \nu$ viós). For were rend. was. His training for the priesthood involved suffering, even though he was a son. Connect with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu a \theta \in \nu$ learned, not with the preceding clause, which would mean that his position as a son did not exempt him from the obligation to

[^157]godly fear, which is true as a fact (see ver. 7), but is not the point of emphasis here.

Learned he obedience ( $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu a \theta \varepsilon \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi a \kappa o \dot{\eta} \nu\right)$. Omit he, since the subject of $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu a \theta e \nu$ learned is ôs who, ver. 7. Jesus did not have to learn to obey, see J. viii. 29; but he required the special discipline of a severe human experience as a training for his office as a high priest who could be touched with the feeling of human infirmities. He did not need to be disciplined out of any inclination to disobedience; but, as Alford puts it, "the special course of submission by which he became perfected as our high priest was gone through in time, and was a matter of acquirement and practice." This is no more strange than his growth in wisdom, L. ii. 52. Growth in experience was an essential part of his humanity.

By the things which he suffered ( $\left.\dot{a} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \theta \epsilon \nu\right)$. Or from the things, etc. Note the word-play, ${ }^{\ddot{\epsilon}} \mu a \theta \epsilon \nu{ }_{\epsilon}{ }_{\epsilon} \pi a \theta \epsilon \nu$. So Croesus, addressing Cyrus, says, тà $\delta$ é $\mu \circ \iota \pi a \forall \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, éóvta
 have proved to be lessons" (Hdt. i. 207) : so Soph. Trach.

9. And being made perfect ( $\kappa a i ̀ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon \grave{\varsigma}$ ). Comp. ch. ii. 10. The fundamental idea in $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \hat{\nu} \nu$ is the bringing of a person or thing to the goal fixed by God. Comp. ch. vii. 11,19 ; ix. 9 ; x. 1,14 ; xi. 40 ; xii. 23 . Here of Christ's having reached the end which was contemplated in his divinely-appointed discipline for the priesthood. The consummation was attained in his death, Philip. ii. 8: his obedience extended even unto death.

The author of eternal salvation (ailzlos $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ a i \omega \nu i o u)$. 'Aítoos, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, an adjective, causing. Comp. captain of salvation, Heb. ii. 10. The phrase $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p l a ~ a i \omega v i o s ~ e t e r n a l ~ s a l v a-~$ tion N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, but see LXX, Isa. xv. 17. Not everlasting salvation, but a salvation of which all the conditions, attainments, privileges, and rewards transcend the conditions and limitations of time.

Unto all them that obey him ( $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ roîs $\dot{\cup} \pi a \kappa o v o v \sigma \iota \nu$ aù $\hat{\varphi}$ ). Obey points to obedience, ver. 8, and salvation to save, ver. 7. If the captain of salvation must learn obedience, so must his followers. Comp. 2 Th. i. 8.
 since he was addressed or saluted by God. God recognised and saluted him as that which he had become by passing through and completing his earthly discipline. Пробагорє́єє $\iota$ to address N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. A few times in LXX.
11. From this point the comparison of Christ with Melchisedec would naturally be developed; but the author digresses into a complaint of the imperfect spiritual attainment of his readers, and a remonstrance and admonition extending to the end of ch. vi.

Of whom ( $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ovi). Rend. concerning which. Not Melchisedec, but the topic that Christ is a priest after the order of Melchisedec, a topic to which great importance is attached. Can it be imagined that the discussion of such a topic would appeal to a Gentile audience as a reason for not relapsing into paganism?
 the discourse is abundant unto us. We refers to the writer himself.

Hard to be uttered ( $\left.\delta u \sigma \epsilon \rho \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \nu \tau o s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \nu\right)$. Lit. hard of interpretation to speak. The A.V. entirely misses the idea of interpretation. Rev. better, hard of interpretation. $\quad \Delta v \sigma \epsilon \rho$ -


Ye are dull of hearing ( $\nu \omega \theta$ роі̀ yєүóvaтє тaîs àкоаîs). Rend. ye have grown dull in your hearing. For áкò̀ hearing see on 2 Tim. iv. 3. The verb implies a deterioration on the hearers' part. $\mathrm{N} \omega \theta \rho o i$ only here and ch. vi. 12. From $\nu \eta$ not and
$\dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon i \nu$ to push. Hence slow, sluggish.* Mostly in later Greek, although Plato uses it much in the same sense as here. "When they have to face study they are stupid ( $\nu \omega \theta \rho o i ́)$ and cannot remember." Theaet. 144 B. In LXX, Prov. xxii. 29; Sir. iv. 29 ; xi. 12. Sometimes $=l o w$, mean, obscure. So in Proverbs, but in Sirach slack, slow.
12. When for the time ye ought to be teachers ( $\partial \phi \in(\lambda o \nu-$
 to be teachers by reason of the time. A. V. entirely obscures the true meaning, which is that, because of the time during which the readers have been under instruction, they ought to be able to instruct others.

Again ( $\pi a^{\prime} \lambda(\nu)$. Not with teach you, as A.V., but with ye have need. The position of the word is emphatic. Again ye have need of being taught the very rudiments of divine truth which ye were taught long ago.

Which be ( $\tau \iota \nu \dot{a}$ ). A.V. takes the pronoun as interrogative ( $\tau i \nu a$ ). Better indefinite as subject of $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ teach. Rend. "ye have need that some one teach you."

The first principles of the oracles ( $\tau \grave{a} \sigma \tau o l \chi \epsilon \hat{i} a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} \omega \nu\right)$. Lit. the rudiments of the beginning of the oracles. The phrase $\sigma \tau o<\chi \epsilon i a \tau \eta \hat{\rho}$ á $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. It is =primary elements. For $\sigma$ тoıұєía see on Gal. iv. 3. Lóyıò is a diminutive, meaning strictly a brief utterance, and used both in classical and biblical Greek of divine utterances. In Class. of prose oracles. Philo uses it of the O.T. prophecies, and his treatise on the Ten Commandments is entitled $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\tau}{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \in \kappa a \lambda o \gamma i \omega \nu$. In LXX often generally - "the word or words of the Lord," see Num. xxiv. 16 ; Deut. xxxiii. 9; Ps. xi. 6; xvii. 30, etc. It was used of the sayings of Jesus, see Polycarp, Ad Phil. vii. From the time of Philo, of any sacred writing, whether dis-

[^158]course or narrative. Papias and Irenaeus have $\tau \grave{a} \kappa \nu \rho \iota a \kappa \grave{a}$ $\lambda{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{y}_{\iota}$ dominical oracles.* The meaning here is the O.T. sayings, especially those pointing to Christ.

And are become (каї $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \dot{\partial} \nu a \tau \epsilon$ ). As in ver. 11, implying degeneracy. The time was when you needed the strong meat of the word.

Milk (үá入актоя). Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 2. Answering to rudiments.

Strong meat ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon a ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o \phi \eta \hat{s}$ ). Lit. solid meat. See on steadfast, 1 Pet. v. 9. More advanced doctrinal teaching. The explanation of the Melchisedec priesthood to which the writer was about to pass involved the exhibition for the first time of the opposition of the N. T. economy of salvation to that of the old, and of the imperfection and abrogation of the O. T. priesthood. To apprehend this consequence of N. T. revelation required alert and matured minds. This is why he pauses to dwell on the sluggish mental and spiritual condition of his readers.
13. Useth ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu)$. Rend. partakes of. See on ch. i. 9; ii. 14 ; iii. 1,14 .

Unskilful (äneє $\rho o s$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Rend. unskilled or inexperienced.
 phrase N. T. ${ }^{\text {o }}$. The genitive $\delta$.кaloóvins of righteousness is combined in N. T. with way, God, gift, instruments, servants, law, ministration, fruit and fruits, ministers, hope, breastplate, crown, king, preacher. It is a mistake to attempt to give the phrase here a concrete meaning. It signifies simply a word of normally right character. It is not=the Christian revelation, which would require the article. Probably, however, in the

[^159]foreground of the writer's thought was the word spoken by the Son (ch. i. 2); the salvation which at first was spoken by the Lord (ch. ii. 3).*

A babe ( $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota \circ \varsigma$ ). See on Rom. ii. 20; 1 Cor. iii. 1; Eph. iv. 14.
14. Strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \grave{d} \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime}$ ). This rendering is clumsy. Rend. solid food is for full-grown men. For $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu$ full-grown, see on 1 Cor. ii. 6. Often by Paul, as here, in contrast with $\nu \eta$ '́ $\pi \iota o \iota$ immature Christians. See 1 Cor. ii. 6; iii. 1; xiii. 11; Eph. iv. 4. Paul has the verb $\nu \eta \pi \iota a \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to be a child in 1 Cor. xiv. 20.

By reason of use ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \in \xi(\nu)$ ). For use rend. habitude. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. It is the condition produced by past exercise. Not the process, as A.V., but the result.
 ception; perceptive faculties of the mind. In LXX see Jer. iv. 19; 4 Macc. ii. 22.

Exercised ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \mu \in ́ v a)$. See on 2 Pet. ii. 14, and 1 Tim. iv. 7.

Good and evil. Not moral good and evil, but wholesome and corrupt doctrine. The implication is that the readers' condition is such as to prevent them from making this distinction.

## CHAPTER VI.

Some difficulty attaches to the first three verses, because the writer combines two thoughts: his own intention to proceed from elementary to more advanced teachings, and his

[^160]readers' advance to that higher grade of spiritual receptiveness on which the effectiveness of his teaching must depend. The mistake in interpretation has been in insisting that the three verses treat only the one or the other thought. Observe that $\delta i o$ wherefore is connected with the rebuke in ch. v. 11, 12; and that that rebuke is directly connected with the announcement of the doctrine of the Melchisedec priesthood of Christ. The course of thought is as follows : Christ is a priest after the order of Melchisedec (ch. v. 10). There is much to be said on this subject, and it is hard to explain, because you have become dull, and need elementary teaching, whereas, by reason of your long Christian standing, you ought to be teachers yourselves (ch. v. 11, 12). For you all recognise the principle that baby-food is for babes, and solid food only for men, whose powers have been trained by habitual exercise (ch. v. 13, 14). Wherefore, in order that you may be aroused from your sluggishness and have your perceptions brought up to the matured condition which befits men in Christ, and in order that I may, at the same time, complete the development of my theme, I propose that we together move forward to completion: I to the full exposition of the subject of Christ's high-priesthood, and you to that maturity of discernment which becomes you. This will require us both to leave the rudimentary stage of teaching concerning Christ.

1. Leaving the principles of the doctrines of Christ
 word of the beginning concerning Christ. 'Aфévтes leaving or dismissing does not imply ceasing to believe in elementary truths or to regard them as important, but leaving them "as a builder leaves his foundation in erecting his building" (Bruce). The word of the beginning of Christ is practically $=$ the rudiments of the beginning, ch. v. 12; that rudimentary view of Christ's person and office which unfolds into the doctrine of his priesthood. Up to this point the writer has shown only that the permanent elements of the old covenant remain and are exalted in Christ. The more difficult point,
which it will require matured perception to grasp, is that Christ's priesthood involves the entire abolition of the old covenant.

Let us go on unto perfection ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau a \phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ $\mu \in \theta a)$. Lit. let us be borne on to completeness. The participial clause, leaving, etc., is related to the verbal clause as expressing a necessary accompaniment or consequence of the latter. Let us be borne on to completeness, and, because of this, leave, etc. This sense is not given by the Rev. T $\bar{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon$ ó $\tau \eta$ s only here and Col. iii. 14. Rend. completeness. The completeness is viewed as pertaining to both the writer and the readers. He proposes to fully develop his theme : they are exhorted to strive for that full Christian manhood which will fit them to receive the fully-developed discussion.

Not laying again the foundation ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \tilde{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \lambda \iota o \nu \kappa a \tau a-$ $\left.\beta a \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 t\right)$. Not explanatory of leaving, etc. The following words, describing the elements of the foundation, - repentance, baptisms, etc., - simply illustrate in a general way the proposal to proceed to the exposition of the doctrine of Christ's priesthood. The illustrative proposition is that a building is not completed by lingering at the foundation; and so Christian maturity is not to be attained by going back to subjects which belong to the earliest stage of Christian instruction. He purposely selects for his illustration things which belong to the very initiation of Christian life.

Dead works ( $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ е́ $\rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ). The phrase only in Hebrews. Comp. ch. ix. 14. Not sinful works in the ordinary sense of the term, but works without the element of life which comes through faith in the living God. There is a sharp opposition, therefore, between dead works and faith. They are contraries. This truth must be one of the very first things expounded to a Jew embracing Christianity.
2. Doctrine of baptisms $(\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \delta a \chi \grave{\eta} \nu)$.* Not lay-

[^161]ing again as a foundation the teaching ( $\delta i \delta a \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ ) of baptisms. $\mathrm{B} a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ós only here, ch. ix. 10, and Mk. vii. 4. The common form is $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau \iota \sigma a$. Neither word in LXX or Class. The meaning here is lustral rites in general, and may include the baptism of John and Christian baptism. The teaching would cover all such rites, their relations and comparative significance, and it would be necessary in the case of a Jewish convert to Christianity who might not perceive, for example, any difference between Jewish lustrations and Christian baptism.

Laying on of hands. See on 1 Tim. iv. 14. A Jewish and a Christian practice.

Resurrection - eternal judgment. Both resurrection and future judgment were Jewish tenets requiring exposition to Jewish converts as regarded their relations to the same doctrines as taught by Christianity. The resurrection of Christ as involving the resurrection of believers would, of itself, change the whole aspect of the doctrine of resurrection as held by a Jew. 'Acoviov eternal certainly cannot here signify everlasting. It expresses rather a judgment which shall transcend all temporal judgments; which shall be conducted on principles different from those of earthly tribunals, and the decisions of which shall be according to the standards of the economy of a world beyond time. See additional note on 2 Th. i. 9. The phrase eternal judgment N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. $\kappa \rho i ́ \mu a$ тò $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda \frac{}{2}$ the judgment to come, Acts xxiv. 25.
 formula N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. 1 Cor. xvi. 7; Acts xviii. 21. Pagan parallels are $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \lambda o \dot{\partial} \tau \omega \nu$ if the gods will; $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \pi-$

[^162]óvt $\omega \nu$ the gods permitting, and $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \beta o u \lambda o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ if the gods desire. An ominous hint is conveyed that the spiritual dulness of the readers may prevent the writer from developing his theme and them from receiving his higher instruction. The issue is dependent on the power which God may impart to his teaching, but his efforts may be thwarted by the impossibility of repentance on their part. No such impossibility is imposed by God, but it may reside in a moral condition which precludes the efficient action of the agencies which work for repentance, so that God cannot permit the desired consequence to follow the word of teaching.
4. Impossible (ádúvatov). It is impossible to dilute this word into difficult.

Those who were once enlightened ( $\tau о \grave{s}$ äтa $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ фштьб $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu-$ $\tau a s)$. Rend. "once for all enlightened." "A $\pi a \xi$ is frequent in the Epistle. Comp. ch. ix. 7, 26, 27, 28; x. 2; xii. 26, 27. Indicating that the enlightenment ought to have sufficed to prevent them from falling away; not that it does not admit of repetition. Enlightened, through the revelation of God in Christ, the true light, and through the power of the Spirit. $\Phi \omega \tau i \zeta \epsilon \nu \nu$ in LXX usually to teach or instruet; see Ps. cxviii. 130; 2 K. xii. 2 ; xvii. 27. Comp. in N. T. J.i. 9 ; Eph.i. 18 ; iii. 9; Heb. x. 32. Erasmus gives the correct explanation : "Who once for all have left the darkness of their former life, having been enlightened by the gospel teaching." There is no ground for explaining the word here of baptism, although the fathers from the time of Justin Martyr used $\phi \omega \tau \zeta_{\zeta} \epsilon \nu$ and $\phi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime} s$ in that sense, and this usage continued down to the Reformation. See Just. Mart. Apol. i. 62. Chrysostom entitled his 59th Homily, addressed to candidates for baptism, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau a s ~ \phi \omega \tau i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ t o ~ t h o s e ~ w h o ~ a r e ~ a b o u t ~ t o ~ b e ~$ enlightened; and justified this name for baptism by this passage and x. 32. The Peshitto translates this passage, "who have once (for all) descended to baptism." The N. T. gives no example of this usage.*

[^163]Tasted of the heavenly gift (yєvoapévovs $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \delta \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{a} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} S$
 meaning is, have consciously partaken of. Comp. 1 Pet. ii. 3, and $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\gamma} \omega \nu$ eateth, J. vi. 56. The heavenly gift is the Holy Spirit. It is true that this is distinctly specified in the next clause, but the two clauses belong together.*

Partakers of the Holy Ghost ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{o} \chi o v s ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{u} \mu a \tau o s ~ \dot{a} \gamma l o v$ ). "Heavenly gift" emphasises the heavenly quality of the gift. The Holy Ghost is the gift itself which possesses the heavenly quality.
5. The good word of God ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{o} \nu \theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu} \hat{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a)$. The gospel of Christ as preached. Comp. ch. ii. 3. To the word are attached life (Acts v. 20); spirit and life (J. vi. 63); salvation (Acts xi. 14); cleansing (Eph. v. 26); especially the impartation of the Spirit (J. iii. 34; Acts v. 32; x. 44; Eph. vi. 17; Heb. ii. 4).

Powers of the world to come ( $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau o s ~ a i \hat{\omega} \nu o s)$. Not foretastes of heavenly bliss. The world to come is the world of men under the new order which is to enter with the fulfilment of Christ's work. See on these last days, ch. i. 2. These powers are characteristic of that period, and in so far as that dispensation is inaugurated here and now, they assert and manifest themselves.
6. If they shall fall away ( $\kappa a i$ таратєбоóvтas). Lit. and having fallen away. Comp. тé́v fall, ch. iv. 11. Пapaтím$\tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. It means to deviate, turn aside. Comp. LXX, Ezek. xiv. 13 ; xv. 8.

To renew them again ( $\pi a ́ \lambda ı \nu \dot{a} \nu a \kappa a \iota \nu(\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu)$. The verb


[^164]Seeing they crucify to themselves-afresh ( $\dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau a v \rho-$ oûvtas éavtoís). In the Roman classical use of the word, ává has only the meaning $u p$ : to nail $u p$ on the cross. Here in the sense of anew, an idea for which classical writers had no occasion in connection with crucifying.* 'Eavtoîs for themselves. So that Christ is no more available for them. They declare that Christ's crucifixion has not the meaning or the virtue which they formerly attached to it.

The Son of God. Marking the enormity of the offence.
 Rarely in LXX. Comp. Num. xxv. 4, hang them up. From mapà beside, סeıcvúval to show or point out. To put something alongside of a thing by way of commending it to imitation or avoidance. To make an example of; thence to expose to public disgrace. $\Delta \in i ̂ \gamma \mu a$ example, only Jude 7. $\Delta \in \iota \gamma \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to make a public show or example, Matt. i. 19; Col. ii. 15. See additional note at the end of this chapter.
7. The inevitableness of the punishment illustrated by a familiar fact of nature.

The earth ( $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ). Or the land. Personified. Comp. aùro$\mu a ́ \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$ the land of itself, Mk. iv. 28, see note.

Which drinketh in ( $\dot{\eta} \pi \iota o \hat{v} \sigma a$ ). Appropriates the heavenly gift of rain, the richness of which is indicated by that cometh oft upon it.


[^165]Meet for them by whom it is dressed ( $\epsilon \ddot{v} \theta \epsilon \tau о \nu$ éceivols $\delta \iota$ oùs каі̀ $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon і ̈ \tau a \iota)$. For $\epsilon \ddot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \tau о \nu$, lit. well placed, thence fit or appropriate, see L. ix. 62; xiv. 35. Гє由рүєìv to till the ground, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Rend. tilled. Dress is properly to trim. The natural result of the ground's receiving and absorbing the rains is fruitfulness, which redounds to the benefit of those who cultivate it.

Receiveth blessing from God ( $\mu \epsilon \tau а \lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota$ єủdoरias $\dot{a} \pi)_{o}$ тov̂ $\theta_{\epsilon o \hat{v}}$ ). Rend. partaketh of blessing. The blessing is increased fruitfulness. Comp. Matt. xiii. 12 ; J. xv. 2.
8. But that which beareth thorns and briers (è $\kappa \phi \in ́ \rho o v \sigma a$
 illustration throws no light on the subject. It puts the contrast as between two kinds of soil, the one well-watered and fertile, the other unwatered and sterile. This would illustrate the contrast between those who have and those who have not enjoyed gospel privileges. On the contrary the contrast is between two classes of Christians under equally favorable conditions, out of which they develop opposite results. Rend. but if it (the ground that receives the rain) bear thorns and thistles, etc. "Aкav日aı thorns, from àк' a point. Tpißoдos, from tpeīs three and $\beta$ é $\lambda_{0 s}$ a dart; having three darts or points. A ball with sharp iron spikes, on three of which it rested, while the fourth projected upward, was called tribulus or tribolus, or caltrop. These were scattered over the ground by Roman soldiers in order to impede the enemy's cavalry. A kind of thorn or thistle, a land-caltrop, was called tribulus So Virgil,

> "Subit aspera silva, Lappaeque tribulique." Georg. i. 153.

Is rejected (á $\delta o ́ \kappa \iota \mu o s)$. Lit. unapproved. See on reprobate, Rom. i. 28.
 Enhancing the idea of rejected. It is exposed to the peril of abandonment to perpetual barrenness.
 whose, of which, may be referred to cursing - the end of which cursing: but better to the main subject, $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ the land. Té $\lambda o s$ is consummation rather than termination. 'Eıs кav̂бıע, lit. unto burning. Comp. LXX, Isa. xl. 16. The consummation of the cursed land is burning. Comp. J. xv. 6. The field of thorns and thistles is burned over and abandoned to barrenness.*
9. But the writer refuses to believe that his readers will incur such a fate.
 suggests an argument. See 1 Cor. x. 14; xv. 58; 2 Cor. vii. 1.

We are persuaded ( $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon / \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). We are firmly convinced. The verb indicates a past hesitation overcome.

Better things ( $\tau$ à $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \sigma \sigma o \nu a)$. The article gives a collective force, the better state of things, the going on unto perfection (ver. 1). For крєíqбova better, see on ch. i. 4.

That accompany salvation (é $\chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a s) . ~ " E \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ with a genitive is a common Greek idiom meaning to hold one's self to a person or thing ; hence to be closely joined to it. So in a local sense, Mk. i. 38; in a temporal sense, L. xiii. 33, next. He is persuaded that they will give heed to all things which attend the work of salvation and will enjoy all that attaches to a saved condition.
10. He is encouraged in this confidence by the fact that they are still as formerly engaged in Christian ministries.

 Rend. your work and the love which ye shewed, etc.

[^166]Which ye have shewed toward his name ( $\mathfrak{\eta} s, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \delta \epsilon i \xi a \sigma \theta \epsilon$
 thing in one's self; or to show one's self in something. Similar praise is bestowed in ch. x. 32. They have shown both love and work toward God's name. That does not look like crucifying Christ. God is not unjust, as he would show himself to be if he were forgetful of this.
11. We desire ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu o \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu)$. Strongly, earnestly. Comp. Matt. xiii. 17; L. xxii. 15. The manifestations just mentioned make the writer desire that they may exhibit more of the spirit which animates their beneficent works.

Each (éeraбтov). He is concerned, not only for the body of believers, but for each member.

To the full assurance of hope unto the end ( $\pi \rho \circ{ }^{\circ}{ }^{s} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 that each of you exhibit the same diligence to develop your hope, which is in danger of failing, into full assurance, unto the end of the present season of trial with its happy consummation. Comp. Rom. viii. 24. For $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho i a$ see on 1 Th. i. 5 , and comp. Rom. iv. 21; xiv. 5. It is practically the same whether we translate full development or full assurance. The two meanings coalesce. Hope develops into full assurance.
12. Slothful ( $\nu \omega \theta \rho o i)$. See on ch. v. 11. Or sluggish, as you will become if you lose hope.

Followers ( $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau a i$ ). Rend. imitators.
Faith and patience ( $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\mu а к р о \theta v \mu i a s)$. For $p a-$ tience rend. longsuffering, and see on Jas. v. 7. Faith and longsuffering go together. Faith does not win its inheritance without persevering endurance; hence longsuffering is not only presented as an independent quality, but is predicated of faith.

$$
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Inherit ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \nu o \mu \circ \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Notice the present participle, are inheriting. Their present faith and perseverance are now making for their final inheritance. Comp. Eph. i. 14.
13. Illustration of the longsuffering of faith by the example of Abraham. The necessity for emphasising this element of faith lay in the growing discouragement of the Jewish Christians at the long delay of Christ's second coming. Comp. ch. xi. Abraham became a sojourner in the land of the promise, looking for the heavenly city (ch. xi. 9, 10). All the instances cited in that chapter illustrate the long outlook of faith, involving patient waiting and endurance. The example of Abraham shows, first, that the promise of God is sure.

Because he could swear by no greater ( $\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon i$ кat' oú $\delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s$
 by no one greater.

By himself ( $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ éautoû). Comp. Gen. xxii. 16. N. T. ${ }^{0}$, but see LXX, Am. vi. 8.
14. Surely blessing I will bless thee ( $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda o-$ $\gamma \eta \dot{\gamma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ). 'E $\iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ as a formula of swearing N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In LXX, see Num. xiv. 23, 28, 35 ; Isa. xlv. 23; Ezek. xxxiii. 27; xxxiv. 8. Blessing I will bless is a Hebraism, emphasising the idea contained in the verb. Comp. LXX, Gen. xxii. 17; Num. xxv. 10; Deut. xv. 4.*
15. After he had patiently endured ( $\mu a \kappa \rho 0 \theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma a s$ ). Pointing back to $\mu a \kappa \rho o \theta v \mu i a$ longsuffering, ver. 12.

[^167]He obtained ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in ́ \tau \cup \chi \in \nu)$. The compounded preposition $\epsilon$ èì has the force of upon: to light or hit upon. The verb indicates that Abraham did not personally receive the entire fulfilment of the promise, but only the germ of its fulfilment. It was partially fulfilled in the birth of Isaac. See Rom. iv. 18.

The security of the divine promise illustrated by the analogy of human practice.
16. And an oath for confirmation is to them an end of all strife ( $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s ~ a u ̀ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \lambda o \gamma i a s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \beta \epsilon \beta a i ́ \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ́ ~$ ӧ $\rho к о я)$. For " an oath," rend, "the oath": generic. Const. for confirmation with end. "The oath is final for confirmation." חé $\rho a s$ is the outermost point ; the point beyond which one cannot go. With this exception always in N. T. in the plural, of the ends of the earth. See Matt. xii. 42 ; Rom. x. 18. So often in LXX. 'A $\nu \tau \iota \lambda o \gamma i a$, strictly contradiction, only in Hebrews and Jude 11, on which see note.
 clause. In accordance with this universal human custom.

Willing ( $\beta o u \lambda o ́ \mu e \nu o s$ ). Rend. being minded. See on Matt. i. 19.

The immutability ( $\tau \grave{a} \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d} \theta \epsilon \tau o \nu$ ). The adjective used substantively. Only here and ver. 18.

Confirmed ( $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu)$. Rend. interposed or mediated.
 between himself and the heritors of the promise.
18. Two immutable things ( $\delta \dot{v} o ~ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \tau a \theta \in ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ). His word and his oath.

Strong consolation (i $\langle\chi \chi \rho \alpha ̀ \nu \pi a \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$. 'I $\sigma \chi \nu \rho o ̀ s ~ s t r o n g ~$ implies indwelling strength embodied or put forth either
aggressively or as an obstacle to resistance; as an army or a fortress. For consolation rend. encouragement, and see on L. vi. $24 ; 1$ Cor. xiv. 3.

Who have fled for refuge (oi катафиуóvтes). Only here and Acts xiv. 6. The compound verb is well rendered by A. V., since, as distinguished from the simple $\phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ to flee, it expresses flight to a definite place or person for safety. Hence often used in connection with an altar or a sanctuary. The distiuction between the simple and the compound verb is illustrated in Hdt. iv. 23, where, speaking of the barbarous tribe of the Iyrcae, he says, "Whoever flees ( $\phi \epsilon$ ú $\gamma \omega \nu$ ) and betakes himself for refuge ( $\kappa a \tau a \phi u ́ \gamma \eta$ ) to them, receives wrong from no one." So Xen., Hellen. 1, 6, 16: "Conon fled ( ${ }^{\mu} \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma \epsilon$ ) in swift vessels, and betakes himself for refuge (катафє̛́yєו) to Mitylene."

To lay hold upon the hope set before us (крат $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} S$
 Mk. vii. 3 ; Acts iii. 11 ; Col. ii. 19. Прокєццévクs lying before or set before; destined or appointed. Mostly in Hebrews. Comp. 2 Cor. viii. 12; Jude 7.*
19. An anchor of the soul (ä $\gamma \kappa \nu \rho a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ). The same figure is implied 1 Tim. i. 19.

Sure and steadfast ( $\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa a i \quad \beta \epsilon \beta a l a \nu$ ). The distinction between the two adjectives expresses the relation of the same object to different tests applied from without. 'A $\sigma \phi a \lambda \tilde{\eta}, \dot{a}$ not, $\sigma \phi \dot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ to make totter, and so to baffle or foil. Hence, secure against all attempts to break the hold. Beßalay sustaining one's steps in going ( $\beta$ aivelv to go): not breaking down under what steps upon it.

Which entereth into that within the veil ( $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \chi^{\mu} \mu$ év $\eta$


[^168]єi $\sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ entering with anchor. 'E $\sigma \omega$ '́т $\rho \rho 0 \nu$ only here and Acts xvi. 24. Comparative, of something farther within.
 $\pi$ е́т $a \sigma \mu a$ veil, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Commonly in N. T. of the veil of the temple or tabernacle. See Matt. xxvii. 51; Heb. ix. 3. That within the veil is the unseen, eternal reality of the heavenly world.* Two figures are combined: (a) the world a sea; the soul a ship; the hidden bottom of the deep the hidden reality of the heavenly world. (b) The present life the forecourt of the temple; the future blessedness the shrine within the veil. The soul, as a tempest-tossed ship, is held by the anchor: the soul in the outer court of the temple is fastened by faith to the blessed reality within the shrine.

 ötoo whither (not in N. T.), but more significant as indicating an abiding there. Прódpoноя forerunner, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. It expresses an entirely new idea, lying completely outside of the Levitical system. The Levitical high priest did not enter the sanctuary as a forerunner, but only as the people's representative. He entered a place into which none might follow him; in the people's stead, and not as their pioneer. The peculiarity of the new economy is that Christ as high priest goes nowhere where his people cannot follow him. He introduces man into full fellowship with God. The A. V. entirely misses this point by rendering "the forerunner," as if the idea of a high priest being a forerunner were perfectly familiar. Rend. whither as a forerunner Jesus entered. Comp. ch. x. 19.
 become a high priest, etc. Become, because his office must be inaugurated by his suffering human life and his death.

[^169]
## Additional Note on Verses 4-6.

The passage has created much discussion and much distress, as appearing to teach the impossibility of restoration after a moral and spiritual lapse. It is to be observed: (1) That the case stated is that of persons who once knew, loved, and believed Christian trath, and who experienced the saving, animating, and enlightening energy of the Holy Spirit, and who lapsed into indifference and unbelief. (2) The questions whether it is possible for those who have once experienced the power of the gospel to fall away and be lost, and whether, supposing a lapse possible, those who fall away can ever be restored by repentance - do not belong here. The possibility of a fall is clearly assumed. (3) The sin in the case supposed is the relinquishment of the spiritual gifts and powers accompanying faith in Christ, and rejecting Christ himself. (4) The significance of this $\sin$ lies in the mental and spiritnal condition which it betrays. It is the recoil of conviction from Christ and the adoption of the contrary couviction. (5) The writer does not touch the question of the possibility of God's renewing such to repentance. He merely puts his own hypothetical case, and says that, in the nature of such a case, the ordinary considerations and means which are applied to induce men to embrace the gospel no longer appeal to the subjects supposed. He contemplates nothing beyond such agencies, and asserts that these are powerless because the man has brought himself into a condition where they can no longer exert any power. Whether God will ever reclaim by ways of his own is a point which is not even touched. Destruction of the faculty of spiritual discernment is the natural outcome of deliberate and persistent sin, and the instrument of its punishment. Note, "renew unto repentance." God promises pardon on penitence, but not penitence on sin. See a powerful passage in Coleridge's Moral and Religious Aphorisms, Amer. ed., Vol. I., p. 191.

## CHAPTER VII.

The Melchisedec-priesthood of Christ. - Christ can be a priest without Aaronic descent, and his priesthood is of a higher and older type than the Levitical.

1. For this Melchisedec, etc. See Gen. xiv. 18-20; Ps. cx.
2. First being by interpretation King of righteousness

designation is the literal interpretation of the Hebrew name. Being interpreted belongs only to this designation. So Joseph.
 (the name Melchisedec) signifies righteous king."

And after that also (éध $\epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau a \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a i)$. Then follows a designation derived from his character, king of peace. Supply being; not being interpreted.

Salem. Commonly regarded as the site of Jerusalem. It has also been supposed to represent $\sum a \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\mu}$ Salim, mentioned in J. iii. 23. Jerome says that the place retained that name in his day, and that the ruins of Melchisedec's palace were shown there.* The ancient name of Jerusalem was Jebus. Others, agaiu, suppose that Salem is not the name of a place, but is merely the appellation of Melchisedec. The passage in Genesis, however, points to a place, and the writer might naturally have desired to indicate the typical meaning of the city over which Melchisedec reigned.
3. Without father, without mother, without descent
 N. T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The meaning is that there is no record concerning his parentage. This is significant as indicating a different type of priesthood from the Levitical, in which genealogy was of prime importance. No man might exercise priestly functions who was not of the lineage of Aaron.

Having neither beginning of days nor end of life. That is to say, history is silent concerning his birth and death.

But made like unto the Son of God (ảф由رotorévos $\delta \grave{e}$ $\tau \omega$ viọ tov̂ $\theta$ єov̂). The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Made like or likened, not like. "The resemblance lies in the Biblical representation, and not primarily in Melchisedec himself" (Westcott).

[^170]Son of God, not Son of man, for the likeness to Jesus as Son of man would not hold; Jesus, as man, having had both birth and death. The words likened unto the Son of God stand independently. Not to be connected with the following sentence, so as to read abideth a priest continually like the Son of God; for, as a priest, Melchisedec, chronologically, was prior to Christ; and, therefore, it is not likeness with respect to priesthood that is asserted. The likeness is in respect to the things just predicated of Melchisedec. Christ as Son of God was without father, mother, beginning or end of days; and, in these points, Melchisedec is likened in Scripture to him.
 $\Delta \iota \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime}$ s from $\delta_{\iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu}$ to bear through; borne on through ages, continuous. Only in Hebrews. There is no historical account of the termination of Melchisedec's priesthood. The tenure of his office is uninterrupted. The emphasis is on the eternal duration of the ideal priesthood, and the writer explains the Psalm as asserting eternal duration as the mark of the Melchisedec order. Accordingly, he presents the following characteristics of the ideal priesthood : royal, righteous, peace-promoting, personal and not inherited, eternal. Comp. Isa. ix. 6, 7 ; xi. 4,10 ; xxxii. 17 ; lii. 7. It is, of course, evident to the most superficial reader that such exposition of $O$. T. scripture is entirely artificial, and that it amounts to nothing as proof of the writer's position. Melchisedec is not shown to be an eternal high priest because his death-record is lost ; nor to be properly likened unto the Son of God because there is no notice of his birth and parentage.
4. The superiority of the Melchisedec priesthood to the Levitical.

Consider ( $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \bar{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ ). Only here in Hebrews and ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Except this passage, confined to the Synoptic Gospels, Acts, and Johannine writings. See on L. x. 18; J. i. 18.

How great ( $\pi \eta \lambda$ (кos). Only here and Gal. vi. 11.

The tenth ( $\delta_{\epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{c}} \boldsymbol{T} \eta \nu$ ). Properly an adjective, but used as a noun for tithe. Only in Hebrews, as is the kindred verb סєкатоиิ̀ to impose or take tithes. 'A Heb. vii. 5. Comp. Matt. xxiii. 23 ; L. xi. 42.

Of the spoils ( $\dot{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \kappa \rho o \theta_{\nu \nu}(\omega \nu)$. The noun N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, from äкpov topmost point, and $\theta i$ is a heap. The top of the pile: the "pick" of the spoil.
5. If Melchisedec was greater than Abraham, he was greater than Abraham's descendants, including the tribe of Levi.

They that are of the sons of Levi who receive, etc. (oi $\left.\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu i \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s\right)$. Those out of the sons of Levi who become priests. Not those who receive the priesthood from the sons of Levi. Not all Levites were priests, but only those of the house of Aaron.
 and L. i. 9.

A commandment ( $̇ \nu \tau \sigma \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ). A special injunction. See on Jas. ii. 8 ; Eph. ii. 15.

To take tithes (ámodeкатoî̀). See on ver. 4.
That is of their brethren, though they come out of the loins of Abraham. The people, the brethren of the Levites, are descended from their common ancestor, Abraham, yet the Levites exact tithes from them.
6. But he whose descent is not counted from them
 alogically derived from them: Melchisedec. The verb N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$.

Received tithes of Abraham. Melchisedec, who has no part in the Levitical genealogy, and therefore no legal right to exact tithes, took tithes from the patriarch himself. Hence he was greater than Abraham. The right of the Levitical priest to receive tithes was only a legal right, conferred by special statute, and therefore implied no intrinsic superiority to his brethren; but Melchisedec, though having no legal right, received tithes from Abraham as a voluntary gift, which implied Abraham's recognition of his personal greatness.

And hath blessed him that had the promises. Melchisedec accepted the position accorded to him by Abraham's gift of tithes by bestowing on Abraham his blessing, and Abraham recognised his superiority by accepting his blessing. He who had received the divine promises might have been supposed to be above being blessed by any man. The significance of this acceptance is brought out in the next verse.
 Asserting a principle which no one thinks of questioning : it is the less who is blessed, and the greater who blesses.
8. Here ( $(\bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon)$. In the Levitical economy.

Men that die receive tithes. The emphasis is on $\dot{a} \pi 00-$ $\nu \eta \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ dying. The Levites are dying men, who pass away in due course, and are succeeded by others.

But there ( $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \bar{i} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ). In the case of Melchisedec.
(He receiveth them of whom) it is witnessed that he liveth ( $\mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma$ ӧт८ $\zeta \hat{\eta}$ ). The Greek is very condensed : being attested that he liveth. The A. V. fills it out correctly. Melchisedec does not appear in Scripture as one who dies, and whose office passes to another. See on abideth continually, ver. 3.
9. Levi himself, in the person of Abraham, was tithed by Melchisedec.
 ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Introducing an unusual statement, or one which may appear paradoxical or startling to the reader, as this statement certainly is, to a modern reader at least.

In Abraham ( $\delta c^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{A} \beta \rho a \grave{a} \mu$ ). Lit. through Abraham.
10. In the loins of his father (è $\tau \tau \hat{y}$ ỏ $\left.\sigma \phi u u_{i} \tau o v ̂ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s\right)$. His own father; not of Abraham.

When Melchisedec met him. In the person of Abraham. The whole Jewish law, its ordinances and priesthood, are regarded as potentially in Abraham. When Abraham paid tithes, Levi paid tithes. When Abraham was blessed, Israel was blessed. It is a kind of reasoning which would appeal to Hebrews, who so strongly emphasised the solidarity of their race. Comp. Rom. ix. 4, 5.
11. In Christ, as the Melchisedec-priest, the ideal of the priesthood is realised.

Perfection ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \iota s$ ). Only here and L. i. 45. The act or process of consummating. By this word is signified the establishment of a perfect fellowship between God and the worshipper. See ch. ix. 9 ; x. 1.

Priesthood (iєpwov́vis). Only in Hebrews. See vv. 12, 14. It expresses the abstract notion of the priest's office; while iepatía, ver. 5 , expresses the priestly service.

For under it the people received the law ( $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{a} \dot{d} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\nu \epsilon \nu о \mu о$ Ө́́ $\eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{a l})$. Under, rather on the basis of. The verb lit. the law has been laid down. Only here and ch. viii. 6.
 that there was perfection through the Levitical priesthood.
 different kind of priest. See on Matt. vi. 24.

Should rise (ávíqta⿱日al). In Hebrews only here and ver. 15, both times in connection with priest.
12. Being changed ( $\mu \epsilon \tau a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Or transferred to another order. See on Gal. i. 6.

A change ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \theta_{\epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma) . ~ A ~ t r a n s f e r ~ t o ~ a ~ n e w ~ b a s i s . ~ O n l y ~}^{\text {a }}$ in Hebrews. See ch. xi. 5; xii. 27. The inferiority of the Levitical priesthood is inferred from the fact that another priesthood was promised. If perfection was possible at all under the Mosaic economy, it must come through the Levitical priesthood, since that priesthood was, in a sense, the basis of the law. The whole legal system centred in it. The fundamental idea of the law was that of a people united with God. Sin, the obstacle to this ideal union, was dealt with through the priesthood. If the law failed to effect complete fellowship with God, the priesthood was shown to be a failure, and must be abolished; and the change of the priesthood involved the abolition of the entire legal system.
13. As the law prescribed that the priesthood should be of the order of Aaron, a new priesthood, not of that order, must set aside the law.

Pertaineth to another tribe ( $\phi u \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ é $\tau \in ́ \rho a s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \chi \eta \eta \epsilon \nu)$. Lit. hath partaken of another tribe. Not only another, but a different tribe ; one not specially set apart to sacerdotal service.

Of which no man gave attendance at the altar ( ${ }^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta}_{5}$
 bring to; bring the mind to; attend to. See on ch. ii. 1.
 fice of victims; but used of the altar of incense, L. i. 11; Apoc. viii. 3; comp. Ex. xxx. 1. See on Acts xvii. 23. It was also used of the enclosure in which the altar stood. See Ignat. Eiph. v; Trall. vii. See Lightfoot's interesting note, Ignatius and Polycarp, Vol. II., p. 43.

14．Evident（ $\pi \rho o ́ \delta \eta \lambda o \nu$ ）．Obvious．See on 1 Tim．v． 24.
Sprang（ảvaтéta入кєע）．Rend．hath sprung．In N．T． always of the rising of a heavenly body，sun or star，except L．xii．54，of a cloud，and here．See LXX，Gen．xxxii．31； Ex．xxii．3；Num．xxiv．17；Judg．ix．33；Isa．xiv．12； lx．1；Mal．iv．2．Also of the springing up of plants， Gen．ii． 5 ；iii．18；Deut．xxix． 23 ；of the growing of the beard， 2 Sam．x． 5.

15．Evident（кaтádinдov）．N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．Thoroughly evident． Not referring to that which is declared to be $\pi \rho o o^{\circ} \eta \lambda o \nu$ evi－ dent in ver．14，viz．，that Christ sprang out of Judah，but to the general proposition－the unsatisfactory character of the Levitical priesthood．

Similitude（ó $\mu o t o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha)$ ．Better，likeness：answering to made like，ver． 3 ，and emphasising the personal resemblance to Melchisedec．

16．The law of a carnal commandment（ $\nu o ́ \mu o \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} S$ баркìns）．The phrase N．T．${ }^{\circ}$ ．Nórov the norm or standard， as Rom．vii．21，23．＇E $\nu$ тo入ĵs，the specific precept of the Mosaic law regarding Levitical priests．Comp．Eph．ii． 15. Sapкivps feshly，indicates that the conditions of the Levitical priesthood had reference to the body．Fitness for office was determined largely by physical considerations．The priest must be of proper descent，without bodily blemish，cere－ monially pure．See ch．ix．1－5，10，and comp．Rom．viii． 3. Such a priesthood cannot be eternal．
 àкатади́тоv）．$\Delta \dot{v} \nu а \mu \iota \nu ~ i n h e r e n t ~ v i r t u e . ~ R e n d . ~ f o r ~ e n d l e s s, ~$ indissoluble．Comp．кata入v $\hat{\eta}$ loosened down，of a tent， 2 Cor．v．1；of the stones of the temple，Matt．xxiv． 2. Jesus was high priest in virtue of the energy of indissoluble life which dwelt in him，unlike the priests who die，ver． 8. This truth the writer finds in the Psalm．

18,19 . The structure of the passage is as follows: The two verses contain a proposition in two parts. The verb rivetal is or comes to pass is common to both parts. 'Ouסè̀o vó $\mu$ os is parenthetical. Rend. "for there is a disannulling of a foregoing commandment, because of its weakness and unprofitableness (for the law made nothing perfect), and the bringing in thereupou of a better hope through which we draw nigh unto God."
18. There is verily a disannulling of the commandment
 Verily is superfluous. 'A $\theta$ ét $\eta \sigma \iota s$ only here and ch. ix. 26; a very few times in LXX: The fundamental idea is the doing away of something established ( $\left.\theta_{\epsilon \tau \delta o} \nu\right)$. The verb $\dot{\alpha} \theta_{\epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{L} \nu}$ to make void, do away with, is common in N. T. and in LXX, where it represents fifteen different Hebrew words, meaning to deal falsely, to make merchandise of, to abhor, to transgress, to rebel, to break an oath, etc. The noun, in a technical, legal sense, is found in a number of papyri from 98 to 271 A.D., meaning the making void of a document. It appears in the formula є’s à $\theta$ ét $\eta \sigma \iota \nu \kappa a \grave{\imath}$ àкúp $\omega \sigma \iota \nu$ for annulling and cancelling. $\Pi \rho o a \gamma o v i \sigma \eta s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, rend. of a foregoing commandment. The expression is indefinite, applying to auy commandment which might be superseded, although the commandment in ver. 16 is probably in the writer's mind. Foregoing, not emphasising mere precedence in time, but rather the preliminary character of the commandment as destined to be done away by a later ordinance. With foregoing comp. 1 Tim. i. 18; v. 24.

For the weakness and unprofitableness thereof ( $\delta i a ̀ \tau_{\dot{\sigma}}$ $a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ào $\theta \epsilon \nu$ ès $\kappa a i ̉ a ̉ \nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ s)$. Rend. "because of its weakness and unprofitableness." It could not bring men into close fellowship with God. See Rom. v. 20; viii. 3; Gal. iii. 21. 'A $\omega \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ 's unprofitable, only here and Tit. iii. 9.
19. For the law made nothing perfect (oưסè̀ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ध́ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ i$\omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ó $\nu o ́ \mu o s$ ). Parenthetical. The A.V. overlooks the parenthesis, ignores the connection of bringing in with disannulling,
translates $\delta \grave{c}$ but instead of $a n d$, and supplies did; thus making an opposition between the law which made nothing perfect and the bringing in of a better hope, which did make something perfect. What the writer means to say is that, according to the Psalm, there takes place, on the one hand, a disannulling of the preliminary commandment because it was weak and unprofitable, unable to perfect anything, and on the other hand, the introduction of a better hope.

The bringing in of a better hope ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \kappa \rho \epsilon i \neq \tau o \nu a s$ $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta o s)$. 'E $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{T} .{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$, is "a bringing in upon" ( $\epsilon \pi i$ ), upon the ground formerly occupied by the commandment. So Rev., correctly, "a bringing in thereupon." For $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau \omega \nu$ better, see on ch. i. 4. The comparison is not between the hope conveyed by the commandment, and the better hope introduced by the gospel, but between the commandment which was characteristic of the law (Eph. ii. 15) and the hope which characterised the gospel (Rom. v. 2-5; viii. 24).

By the which we draw nigh to God ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma / \zeta_{0} \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\theta \in(\underline{\varphi})$. Giving the reason why the hope is better. Christianity is the religion of good hope because by it men first enter into intimate fellowship with God. The old priesthood could not effect this.

20-24. A third argument to show the inferiority of the old priesthood. It is twofold : (a) the new priesthood was established with the oath of God; (b) it is held perpetually by one person, in contrast with the old priesthood which was adıninistered by a succession of priests.
20. Not without an oath (ou $\chi \omega \rho i s$ ó $\rho \kappa \omega \mu о \sigma l a s$ ). The A.V. is, on the whole, better than Rev. by inserting he was made priest. 'Opкшнобía only in Hebrews. In LXX see Ezek. xvii. 18; 1 Esdr. ix. 93. For an oath rend. the taking of an oath.
21. For those priests were made (oi $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \gamma$ yà $\rho$ — $\epsilon i \sigma i{ }^{\prime} \nu i \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ s$ rєyovóтes). Rend. for they have been made priests. Lit. are priests, having become such.

Without an oath. Without the taking of an oath by God. Scripture says nothing of an oath of God when he appointed Aaron and his posterity to the priesthood.

But this with an oath (ò $\delta \dot{e} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ ó $\rho \kappa \omega \mu \sigma \sigma$ (ias). Rend. but he with the taking of an oath. The taking of the oath accompanied ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ ) the inauguration into the priesthood.

That said ( $\lambda$ éyovtos). Better, saith. Still says, since the promise is realised in Christ's priesthood.
22. Was Jesus made a surety of a better testament
 N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. Sir. xxix. 15, 16; 2 Macc. x. 28. Occasionally in Class., where also occur $\begin{gathered}\gamma \gamma \gamma \\ \text { and }\end{gathered}$ to give as a pledge,
 security, and '̇yyuทrós plighted, always of a wife. The idea underlying all these words is that of putting something into one's hand ('̇̀ in, rváa testament rend. covenant and see on ch. ix. 16. The thought of a covenant is introduced for the first time, and foreshadows ch. viii. 6-13. It adds to the thought of the inferiority of the Levitical priesthood that of the inferiority of the dispensation which it represented.
 Comp. ver. 21 for the construction. Rend. have been made priests many in number.

Because they were not suffered to continue ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa \omega \omega \lambda u ́-~$ $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a \mu$ évelv). Rend. because they are hindered from continuing. Пapa $\mu$ évєц " to abide by their ministration."
 ieperv́vךv). Rend. hatl his priesthood unchangeable. The A.V.
misses the possessive force of the article, his priesthood, and the emphasis on unchangeable, ámapáßatos, N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. This may be explained either as inviolable, or which does not pass over to another. Comp. Ex. xxxii. 8 ; Sir. xxiii. 18. Usage is in favour of the former meaning, but the other falls in better with the course of thought.
 complete, only here and L. xiii. 11. Not perpetually, but perfectly.
 and in this sense only in Hebrews and 1 Pet. ii. 4. See a peculiar usage in 1 Tim. vi. 3. Comp. éryíset to draw near, Jas. iv. 8; Heb. vii. 19.
 av̇têv). The verb only here in Hebrews. Comp. ím $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \tau v \gamma-$ $\chi^{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, Rom. viii. 26, see note. See also on $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \xi \in \iota s$ supplications, 1 Tim. ii. 1. The idea is not intercession, but intervention. It includes every form of Christ's identifying himself with human interests.* The attempt has been made to trace this idea to Philo, who alludes to the $\lambda$ óyos ixét $\eta \mathrm{s}$ the suppliant Logos, and the $\lambda$ óyos тарáк $\lambda \eta$ тos the advocate-Logos. But the Logos is not treated by Philo as a divine-human personality intervening for men, but as a poetical personification allegorically considered. In one instance the suppliant Logos is the cry of the oppressed Israelites ; in another, Moses, as the allegorical representative of the universal reason of mankind. It represents certain functions of human reason and speech. Again, the suppliant is the visible Cosmos striving to realise its ideal.

26-28. Sketch of the ideal priest.
26. Became us ( $\left.\dot{\eta}_{\eta} \hat{i} \nu \stackrel{\dddot{c}}{\epsilon} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu\right)$. See on ch. ii. 10. For the verb see on Tit. ii. 1. There was an essential fitness in the gift of our great high priest. Comp. ch. ii. 17.

[^171]voL. Iv. -30

Holy (ö́cos). . See on L. i. 75. Always with a relation to God; never of moral excellence as related to men. Of Christ, Acts ii. 27 ; xiii. 35 : of a bishop, Tit. i. 8.

Harmless (ăкакоя). Rend. guileless. Free from malice and craft. Only here and Rom. xvi. 18. Undefiled (áalavтos), see on 1 Pet. i. 4.

Separate ( $\kappa \in \chi \omega \rho / \sigma \mu$ évos). Rend. separated: denoting a condition realised in Christ's exaltation. Comp. Rom. vi. 10.
 Eph. iv. 10; Heb. iv. 14.
27. Who needeth not daily ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu$ ). Apparently inconsistent with ch. ix. 7: but the sense is, " who hath no need day by day as the high priest had (year by year) to offer sacrifices," etc. The great point is repetition, whether daily or yearly.*

Once ('̇фáтa乡). Rend. once for all. Contrasted with daily.

When he offered up himself (éautò̀ à ávévкаs). A new thought. For the first time Christ appears as victim. Comp. ch. ix. 12, 14; Eph. v. 2.
28. Summarising the contents of $\mathrm{Fv} .26,27$. - The law constitutes weak men high priests. God's sworn declaration constitutes a son, perfected forevermore. 'A $\nu \theta \rho \omega$ '́rovs men,


[^172]$\theta$ évelà having infirmity, stronger than à $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{S}$ weak, which might imply only special exhibitions of weakness, while having infirmity indicates a general characteristic. See on J. xvi. 22.

A son. Again the high-priesthood is bound up with sonship, as in ch. v. 5, 6.

## CHAPTER VIII.

Christ's fulfilment of his high-priestly office as related to the Aaronic priesthood. - Christ's ministry is superior to that of the Levitical priests as he himself is superior to them.

1. Of the things which we have spoken (è $\pi \grave{\imath}$ тois $\lambda$ еуо $\mu$ évocs). The A.V. is wrong. ' $\mathrm{E} \pi i$ is in the case of, or in the consideration of: not of, nor in addition to. Toîs $\lambda \in \gamma \circ \mu$ évous "the things which are being spoken": the matters now under discussion.

The sum ( $\kappa \in \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a t o \nu)$. Rend. the chief point. It is not the sum of what precedes, but the main point of the present discussion. This point is that Christ is the minister of a better sanctuary, connected with a better covenant.

Such an high priest (тоєô̂тод). Taken up from ch. vii. 26.
Is set (éк $\alpha \dot{\theta} \ell \sigma \epsilon \nu)$. Repeating ch. i. 3. Rend. sat down.
The throne of the majesty ( $\left.\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \rho o{ }^{\prime} \nu o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu e \gamma a \lambda \omega \sigma u ́ v \eta \varsigma\right) . ~$ See on ch. i. 3. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.

In the heavens (ėv toîs oủpavoîs). Const. with sat down, not with majesty, which is complete in itself and needs no qualifying epithet.
2. A minister ( $\lambda$ ectoupyòs). Sat down as a minister. From an old adjective $\lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau o s$ or $\lambda$ éïtos (found only in this com-
pound), belonging to the people, and ${ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ inally, the service of the state in a public office. In LXX
 roupria ministry are used both of priestly service to God and of service to men. $\Lambda e \iota \tau o v p \gamma i ́ a$ in LXX rarely of the service of the priests, often of the Levites. See 1 K. i. 4 ; xix. 21 ;
 sense of servants of God.

Of the sanctuary ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\operatorname{y}} \dot{\operatorname{i}} \omega \nu$ ). The heavenly sanctuary. Tà äyıa the most holy place, Heb. ix. 8, 12, 25 ; x. 19 ; xiii. 11. Comp. äyıa áyíwd holy of holies, Heb. ix. 3. "Ayıa holy places generally, but with special reference to the innermost sanctuary, Heb. ix. 24.

The true tabernacle ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ ). Explanatory of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{a} \dot{\boldsymbol{i}} \omega \nu$. The form of expression is emphatic: the tabernacle, the genuine one, as compared with the tabernacle in the wilderness. For à $\lambda \eta \theta_{l \nu}{ }^{\prime}$ s real, genuine, see on J. i. 9. $\Sigma_{\kappa} \eta \nu \eta^{\prime}$ a tent. For different shades of meaning, comp. Matt. xvii. 4; L. xvi. 9; Acts vii. 43. In this epistle always of the tabernacle in the wilderness.
3. A priest is appointed to offer gifts and sacrifices. Therefore Christ, a high priest, must have gifts and sacrifices to offer, and a sanctuary in which to offer them.

Wherefore it is of necessity ( ${ }_{o ̈} \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \kappa a \hat{\imath} o \nu$ ). Rend. wherefore it is necessary.

Somewhat to offer (ô $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma \kappa \eta)$ ). Lit. what he may offer. The construction is unusual. Comp. Acts xxi. 16. The statement is a truism, unless it be assumed that the Hebrew Christians were ignorant of the doctrine of Christ's priesthood.
4. Rend. "Now if he were on earth he would not be a priest at all, seeing that there are those who offer the gifts
according to the law." Christ could not be a priest on earth, because there is an order of priests already established by law; and as Christ was not of the tribe of Levi (ch. vii. 13, 14) he could have nothing in common with them.
5. Who serve unto the example and shadow of heavenly
 $(\omega \nu)$. The connection is, "there are those who offer the gifts according to the law, such as (oï $\tau \nu \bar{\prime} s$ ) serve," etc. For $\lambda a \tau-$ péóovąy serve, see on 2 Tim i. 3. Omit unto. Rend. serve the copy and shadow, etc., or, as Rev., that which is a copy and shadow. For ímódєcrya copy, see on 1 Pet. v. 3; 2 Pet. ii. 6. Comp. Heb. ix. 23. T T $\hat{\nu} \nu$ émovádíal " of heavenly things.". Tà étrovpávıa in N. T. usually "heavenly places." See Eph.i.3; ii. 6; iii. 10; vi. 12: "heavenly things," J. iii. 12; Philip. ii. 10 ; Heb. ix. 23.*

As Moses was admonished ( $\kappa a \theta \grave{\omega} \kappa є \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau a \iota \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \hat{\jmath} \varsigma)$. By God. This, and the remainder of the verse, explain the words copy and shadow. For $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ see on Matt. ii. 12; L. ii. 26 ; Acts xi. 26. Comp. $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s$ answer (of God), Rom. xi. 4. In Ex. xl. 1, where Moses is commanded to make the tabernacle, God is expressly named.

To make (é $\boldsymbol{\pi} \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$ ). The margin of Rev. complete may easily convey a wrong idea. The sense is to carry out or execute the plan given to him.

For, See (ơ $\rho a$ ráp). ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho$ for is not a part of the quotation, but is argumentative. Moses was admonished, for God said "See," etc.

That thou make ( $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). A direct command. "See, thou shalt make."

Pattern ( $\tau$ útov). See on 1 Pet. v. 3. The meaning is that, in all essential features, the Levitical system of worship

[^173]was a copy of a heavenly reality. This was pressed into an absurd literalism by the Rabbins, who held that there were in heaven original models of the tabernacle and of all its appurtenances, and that these were shown to Moses in the Mount. The writer draws out of this vulgar conception the thought that the material tabernacle was an emblem of a spiritual, heavenly sanctuary. The Levitical priests, therefore, serve only a copy and shadow.
6. But now ( $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ). $N \hat{v} \nu$ is logical: as the case now stands. The statement of ver. 4 is taken up. "If he were on earth he could not be a priest," etc., but now, since Christ $i s$ a priest, and must have a sanctuary and an offering, he has a more excellent ministry.

He hath obtained a more excellent ministry ( $\delta \iota a \phi o \rho \omega-$ тépas тétuұєь $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o u p \gamma i a s)$. The ministry of the heavenly sanctuary.

He is the mediator of a better covenant (креітторós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ $\left.\delta_{\iota a} \theta_{\eta} \kappa \eta \mathrm{s} \mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}\right)$. For $\mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ mediator, see on Gal. iii. 19. Both here and in the following chapter, the ideas of the sanctuary and the covenant are closely united. God's covenant was embodied in the sanctuary. The ark was "the ark of the covenant"; the tables of the law were "the tables of the covenant." The essence of a covenant is the establishment of a relationship. The sanctuary was the meeting-place of God and man. The ritual of sacrifice adjusted the sinner's relation to a holy God. All the furniture and all the ordinances of the tabernacle assumed the covenant between God and his people. Thus the two ideas belong together. The minister of the Levitical sanctuary was the mediator of the old covenant. A new covenant implies a new ministry, a better covenant implies a better ministry. Christ's priesthood implies a sanctuary. The new sanctuary implies a new covenant. This covenant is a better covenant because it



Nouoधeteîv to enact a law, only here and ch. vii. 11. A few
 $\theta$ ét ŋ̣ lawgiver, only Jas. iv. 12. The better covenant was enacted as truly as was the law. See ver. 10. The new covenant was a new law - the perfect law, the law of liberty, Jas. i. 25.
7. The statement that a better covenant was enacted upon better promises is justified by the very existence of that second covenant. "If that first covenant had been faultless, there would no place have been sought for a second." The argument is like that in cl. vii. 11 (see note). Notice the
 search would not have been going on. This implies a sense of dissatisfaction while the old covenant was still in force, and a looking about for something better. This hint is now expanded. It is to be shown that the Levitical system answered to a covenant which was recognised as imperfect and transitory by an O. T. prophet, since he spoke of a divine purpose to establish a new covenant.
8. For finding fault with them ( $\mu \in \mu \phi \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ aùzò̀s).* Them signifies the possessors of the first covenant. The prophet says what follows by way of blame. The passage cited is Jer. xxxviii, LXX (A.V. xxxi), 31-34. The writer assumes that Jeremiah's new covenant means the Christian covenant.

I will make ( $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \in \lambda$ é $\sigma \omega$ ). Rend. I will conclude or consummate. See on L. iv. 13. Only here in Hebrews, and once in Paul, Rom. ix. 28, a citation.

With the house ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\pi} \boldsymbol{i}\right)$. The preposition marking direction toward.

[^174]A new covenant ( $\left.\delta \iota a \theta \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu \kappa a \iota \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu\right)$. Always $\kappa a \iota \nu \grave{\eta}$ in the phrase new covenant, except Heb. xii. 24, where we have עéa. For the distinction see note there, and on Matt. xxvi. 29.
 An unusual construction. Lit. in the day of me having taken hold. Comp. J. iv. 39.
10. The covenant which I will make ( $\dot{\eta} \delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \hat{\eta} \nu \delta \iota a-$ $\left.\theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o \mu a l\right)$. The noun and the verb are cognate - the arrangement which I will arrange. A covenant ( $\delta_{\iota} a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ ) is something arranged ( $\delta \iota a \tau 1 \theta_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta a \iota$ ) between two parties. See the same combination, Acts iii. 25.
 laws: const. with I will make: "the covenant which I will make by giving my laws."

Mind ( $\delta$ oávolav). The moral understanding. See on Mk. xii. 30 ; L. i. 51. Hearts, кapסías, see on Rom. i. 21; x. 10 .

A God-a people ( $\epsilon i s \theta \in \delta^{\prime} \nu$ - $\epsilon i s \lambda a o^{\prime} \nu$ ). Lit. unto a God, etc. A Hebraistic form of expression, $\epsilon i \rho$ signifying the destination of the substantive verb. The sense is, I will be to them to serve as a God; or my being as related to them will amount to my being a God to them. Comp. Matt. xix. 5; 2 Cor. vi. 18 ; Heb. i. 5.
11. His neighbour ( $\boldsymbol{\text { ò̀ }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \lambda i ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ). Lit. his citizen: his fellow-citizen.*

Know the Lord ( $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \ell \iota$ tò̀ $\kappa u ́ \rho \iota o \nu)$. As if commending God to the knowledge of one who is ignorant of him.

All shall know ( $\pi$ ávтєs $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Observe the two words for know: $\gamma \nu \bar{\omega} \theta \iota$ of the recognition of a stranger ; ciठ $\dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$

[^175]of an absolute acquaintance as of one born under God's covenant.
 $\boldsymbol{a} \dot{u} \tau \omega ิ \nu)$. Lit. from the little unto the great of them. This knowledge of God will be without distinction of age or station.
12. Merciful (" ${ }^{(\lambda \epsilon \omega s}$ ). Only bere and Matt. xvi. 22, see note.

Unrighteousness (ádıкíaıs). Unrighteousnesses. The only occurrence of the word in the plural. For áducia see on 2 Pet. ii. 13.

Their sins and their iniquities ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \omega ิ \nu a \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ). Omit and their iniquities.* For ápaptía sin, see on Matt. i. 21;

 by no means remember any more.
13. In that he saith a new covenant ( $\left.\epsilon ้ \nu \tau \hat{̣} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu \kappa a \iota \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu\right)$. Lit. "in his saying new."

He hath made the first old ( $\pi \epsilon \pi a \lambda a i \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \nu$ ). חa alov̂̀ to make old, only in Hebrews and L. xii. 33. Comp. Heb. i. 11.

Now that which decayeth and waxeth old (rò $\delta \grave{e}$ tanal-
 and waxing aged. Гпрáбкєєц (only here and J. xxi. 18) adds the idea of infirmity to that of age.

Is ready to vanish away (éryús à ádivo $\mu 0 \hat{v}$ ). Lit. is nigh unto vanishing. 'Aфave $\mu$ ós vanishing, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Often in LXX. Class. rare and late. The whole statement indicates that the writer regarded the Sinaitic covenant, even in Jeremiah's

[^176]time, as obsolete, and that Jeremiah himself so regarded it. When God announced a new covenant he proclaimed the insufficiency of the old, and the promise of a new covenant carried with it the promise of the abrogation of the old. The new covenant is so shaped as to avoid the defects of the old one, and some one has remarked that, in one aspect, it is a criticism of the Sinaitic covenant. The following are its provisions: (1) The law will no more be merely external, but a law written in the heart. Comp. 2 Cor. iii. 3. (2) The people will be on intimate and affectionate terms with God, so that the knowledge of God will be general. (3) Sin will be dealt with more radically and effectively.

## CHAPTER IX.

The new scene and conditions of Christ's high-priestly work -the higher sanctuary and the better covenant (ch. viii. 1-6) - are presented with more detail.

1. Ordinances of divine service ( $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \omega ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ a s)$. For $\delta \iota \kappa a l \omega \mu a$ ordinance, see on Rom. v. 16. For $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i a$ service, see on L. i. 74; Apoc. xxii. 3; Philip. iii. 3; 2 Tim. i. 3. The meaning is ordinances directed to or adapted for divine service.

A worldly sanctuary ( $\tau \grave{\text { à äyıov коб } \mu \kappa \kappa o ́ v) . ~ T h e ~ A . V . ~ m i s s e s ~}$ the force of the article. Rend. and its sanctuary a sanctuary of this world. Tò äroov in the sense of sanctuary only here. Elsewhere the plural tà äyıa. Koouıкóv of this world in contrast with the heavenly sanctuary to be mentioned later.*
2. Was made (катєбкєvá $\theta \theta \eta$ ). See on ch. iii. 3.

[^177]The first. The first tabernacle, that is, the first division of the tabernacle. He speaks of the two divisions as two tabernacles.

Candlestick ( $\lambda v \chi^{\nu i a}$ ). Rend. lampstand. See on Matt. v. 15 ; Apoc. i. 12. Description in Ex. xxv. 31-37. Comp. Zech. iv.

The table and the shewbread ( $\dot{\eta} \tau \rho a ́ \pi \epsilon \zeta \alpha$ каi $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \iota$, $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ à $\rho \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ ). See Ex. xxv. 23-30; xxxv. 13; 2 Chron.ii. 4; xiii. 11. The table and the loaves are treated as one item. Lit. the table and the setting forth of the loaves, that is, the table with its loaves set forth. See on Mk. ii. 26; Acts xi. 23.

Which is called the sanctuary ( $\tilde{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma-a ̆ \gamma \iota a)$. Since it was thus furnished. See on ch. viii. 2.
 According to Ex. xxvi. 31-37 there were two veils, the one before the door of the tent and the other before the sanctuary. After passing the first veil and entering the tent, the worshipper would see before him the second veil behind which was the holy of holies. The writer calls this also $a$ tabernacle, ver. 2.
4. The golden censer ( $\chi$ puooồ $\theta \nu \mu \iota a \tau \eta$ poov). The noun $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}}$. It may mean either censer or altar of incense. In LXX the altar of incense is called $\theta v \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta j^{\prime} \iota o \nu \theta v \mu \iota a ́ \mu a \tau o s$, Ex. xxx. 1, 27 ; Lev. iv. 7 : comp. L. i. $11 . ~ \Theta v \mu \iota a \tau \eta ́ p ı o \nu ~$ is used of a censer, 2 Chron. xxvi. 19; Ezek. viii. 11; 4 Macc. vii. 11. These are the only instances of the word in LXX : accordingly, never in LXX of the altar of incense. Josephus uses it for both. The golden censer is not mentioned in O. T. as a part of the furniture of the holy of holies. The facts of the case then are as follows: (a) according to Ex. xxxi the incense-altar was in the holy place, not in the holy of holies; (b) Philo and Josephus use $\theta v \mu \iota a \tau \eta$ ńpov
for the altar of incense ; * (c) there is no mention in O. T. of a censer set apart for the day of atonement; (d) the high priest was to enter with incense, so that the ark might be veiled by the smoke (Lev. xvi. 12). Hence the censer could not have been kept in the holy of holies; (e) the writer clearly speaks of an abiding-place of the $\theta v \mu \iota a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \quad \circ \quad$ in a particular division of the tabernacle. There is evidently a discrepancy, probably owing to the fact that the writer drew his information from the $O$. T. by which he might have been led into error. Thus Ex. xxvi. 35, there are mentioned in the holy place without the veil only the candlestick and the table, and not the incense-altar. Again, when the standingplace of the incense altar was mentioned, the expressions were open to misconstruction: see Ex. xxx. 6; xl. 5. On the day of atonement, the incense-altar, like the most holy place, was sprinkled with blood. This might have given rise. to the impression that it was in the holy of holies.

With gold ( $\chi \rho v \sigma i(\omega)$. Properly, wrought gold.
Wherein ( $\epsilon \nu \mathfrak{j}$ ). But according to Ex. xvi. 34; Num. xvii. 10, neither the pot of manna nor Aaron's rod was in the ark, but "before the testimony "; while in Ex. xxv. 16, Moses was commanded to put only the tables of the law into the ark; and in 1 K . viii. 9 it is said of the ark in the temple, "there was nothing in the ark save the two tables of stone." The writer follows the rabbinical tradition that the pot of manna and the rod were inside of the ark.
 times in LXX, rare in Class. Golden is an addition of the LXX. Comp. Ex. xvi. 33.
5. Cherubim of glory ( $\chi \epsilon \rho o u \beta \epsilon i \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \xi \eta s$ ). Setting forth or exhibiting the divine glory.' The word signifies living crea-

[^178]tures, and they are described as $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$. Hence usually with the neuter article $\tau \grave{d}$. See Isa. vi. 2, 3; Ezek. i. 5-10; x. 5-20, and comp. Apoc. iv. 6-8. Nothing could be more infelicitous than the A.V. rendering of $\zeta \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} a$ beasts.
 Kaтaбкıá $\epsilon \iota \nu$, N. T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{0}$ LXX, occasionally in Class. Throwing their shadow down upon the mercy-seat. For ina $\tau \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho \nu$, see on Rom. iii. 25. Used in LXX to translate reme the place of covering $\sin$, the throne of mercy above the ark.
 the twofold division of the tabernacle. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Note the completeness of the list of articles of furniture in the tabernacle, even to the inclusion of things which had no connection with worship; also the emphasis on the costliness of the articles - gold. The writer will say all that can be said for this transitory, shadowy tabernacle; but all that hecan say about the costliness of the apparatus only emphasises the inferior and unspiritual character of the worship. The vessels are superior to the service.*
6. The inferiority of the ancient system was proved by the old tabernacle itself : by its division into two parts, both of which were inaccessible to the people.

Always (סià mayròs). Rend. continually. The phrase is usually found in connection with matters involving relations to God - worship, sacrifice, etc. See Matt. xviii. 10; L. xxiv. 53 ; Acts ii. 25 ; x. 2; 2 Th. iii. 16; Heb. xiii. 5.

Accomplishing (èmıтє入oûvтєs). See on ch. viii. 5, and Gal. iii. 3. The verb is used of performing religious services by Herodotus. See i. 167; ii. 63, 122 ; iv. 186.
7. Errors (áyขoŋ $\mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ ). Lit. ignorances. See on ch. v. 2.

[^179]8. The Holy Ghost. Speaking through the appliances and forms of worship. The intimation is that God intended to emphasise, in the old economy itself, the fact of his inaccessibility, iu order to create the desire for full access and to prepare the way for this.

The way into the holiest of all ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\prime} \omega \nu \dot{o} \delta \dot{\partial} \nu)$. Lit. the way of the holies. For the construction comp. ódov $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega ิ \nu$ way of the Gentiles, Mk. х. 5. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. T $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \bar{\omega}$ as in vv. 12, 24, 25 ; x. 19.

While as the first tabernacle was yet standing (eैं $\tau \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 meant the first division. The point is that the division of the tabernacle showed the limitations of the Levitical system, and kept the people from coming directly to God. Of this limitation the holy place, just outside the second veil, was specially significant; for the holy place barred priests and people alike from the holy of holies. The priests could not pass out of it into the holy of holies; the people could uot pass through it to that sanctuary, since they were not allowed in the holy place. The priests in the holy place stood between the people and God as revealed in the shrine. 'Exov́a ${ }^{\prime}$ s
 where in N. T. except here, is used in its secondary sense of faction, sedition, insurrection. Here in its original sense. Note that the sense is not physical and local as the A.V. implies, but remained a recognised institution.
9. Which ( $\ddot{\eta} \tau \iota s$ ). The first division of the tabernacle. The double relative directs attention to the emphasis which belongs to the first tabernacle. The way into the boliest was not yet manifest while the first tabernacle continued to be a recognised institution, seeing that the first tabernacle was a parable, etc.

A figure ( $\pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \grave{\eta}$ ). Outside of the Synoptic Gospels, only here and ch. xi. 19. Here of a visible symbol or type. See on Matt. xiii. 3.
 Rend. now present, as contrasted with the "time of reformation," ver. 10. See on these last days, ch. i. 2. 'Els for ; with reference to; applying to. Kaıpòs season is used instead of ai $\omega \nu$ age, because "the time" is conceived by the writer as a critical point, -a turning-point, at which the old system is to take its departure. For évétทкóтa present, see on Gal. i. 4, and comp. Rom. viii. 38; 1 Cor. iii. 22.

In which ( $\left.\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \eta_{\eta} \nu\right)$. The A.V. wrongly assumes a reference to the tabernacle; whereas the reference is to the parable. Rend. according to which.
 Rend. "are offered" or "are being offered"; and for "could not," "cannot."

Make him that did the service perfect ( $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \sigma a l$ tò $\nu$ $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{o} 0 \nu \tau a)$. Rend. as Rev. "make the worshipper perfect." See ch. vii. 11.

As pertaining to the conscience ( $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon(\delta \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$. Having shown that the division of the tabernacle proved the imperfection of the worship, the writer will now show that the Levitical ritual did not accomplish the true end of religion. The radical defect of the Levitical system was its inability to deal with the conscience, and thus bring about the "perfection" which is the ideal of true religion. That ideal contemplated the cleansing and renewal of the inner man; not merely the removal of ceremonial uncleanness, or the formal expiation of sins. Comp. Matt. xxiii. 25, 26. For $\sigma v \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta \sigma t s ~ c o n s c i e n c e, ~$ see on 1 Pet. iii. 16.
10. The impotence of the gifts and sacrifices lay in the fact that they were only symbolic ordinances.

Which stood in (émi). The passage should be read thus: "according to which are offered gifts and sacrifices which
cannot perfect the worshipper as touching the conscience, being mere ordinances of the flesh on the ground of (e่ $\pi i$ resting upon) meats," etc.

Meats and drinks and divers washings ( $\beta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ кal
 clean meats. $\quad$ ó $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ drinks, concerning which the Levitical law laid down no prescriptions except as to abstinence in the case of a Nazarite vow, and of the priests when they were about to officiate. See Num. vi. 3; Lev. x. 9. For $\beta$ art-


And carnal ordinances (ঠıкаєш́дата барко̀ऽ). Omit and. The phrase is a general description of meats, etc. Lit. ordinances of the flesh.

Imposed ('̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \nu a)$. Some interpreters find in this the suggestion of a burden, which these ceremonial observances assuredly were. Comp. Acts xv. 10. This, however, is not probable.

Until the time of reformation ( $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota \kappa a \iota \rho o \hat{v} \delta \iota o \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ). $\Delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ N. T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ LXX, occasionally in Class. $\Delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \mu a$ correction, amendment, Acts xxiv. 2. $\Delta \iota o ́ p \theta \omega \sigma \iota s ~ l i t . ~ m a k i n g ~$ straight: used by medical writers of straightening a distorted limb. The verb $\delta \iota o p \theta o v i \nu$ (not in N. T.) in LXX of mending one's ways, Jer. vii. 3, 5; Wisd. ix. 18. Of setting up or establishing, Isa. xvi. 5; lxii. 7. "The time of reformation" is the Christian age, when God made with his people a better covenant. It was inaugurated by the death of Christ. See on ch. i. 2. The gifts and offerings were only provisional, to tide the people over to the better time.
11. The time of reformation introduces a higher sanctuary, a better offering, a more radical salvation.

Having come (тараүєขó $\mu \in \nu o s$ ). Having appeared in the world. Only here in Hebrews, and only once in Paul, 1 Cor. xvi. 3. Most frequent in Luke and Acts.

Of good things to come ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \dot{a} \gamma^{2} a \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). According to this reading the A. V. is wrong. It should be " of the good things realised," or that have come to pass. The A. V. follows the reading $\mu \mathrm{e} \lambda \lambda \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ about to $b e$. So Tischendorf and Rev. T. Weiss with Westcott and Hort read $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$. Blessings not merely prophetic or objects of hope, but actually attained ; free approach to God, the better covenant, personal communion with God, the purging of the conscience.

Through a greater and more perfect tabernacle ( $\delta c a ̀$ ). The preposition is instrumental. Comp. ver. 12. Const. with $\dot{a} \rho \chi^{\iota} \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{s} \mathrm{~s}$ high priest, and as qualifying it. "A high priest with a greater and more perfect tabernacle." It has been shown that the new high priest must have a sanctuary and an offering (ch. viii. 2-6). Accordingly, as the Levitical priests were attached to (were priests with) an inferior tabernacle, so Christ appears with a greater and more perfect tabernacle. For this use of $\delta i a ̀$ see Rom. ii. 27 ; xiv. 20 ; 2 Cor. ii. 4 ; iii. 11. Note the article with tabernacle, his greater, etc.*
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 2 Cor. v. 17; Col. i. 15. The meaning is, not belonging to this natural creation either in its materials or its maker.
12. By the blood of goats and calves ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ aí $\mu a \tau o s ~ \tau \rho a ́ y \omega \nu$ каì $\mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \chi \omega \nu)$. $\Delta \iota a ̀$ with, as ver. 11. Mó $\chi \chi$ os originally a tender shoot or sprout: then offspring generally. Everywhere in the Bible calf or bullock, and always masculine.

His own blood. The distinction is not between the different bloods, but between the victims. The difference of blood is unimportant. Regarded merely as blood, Christ's offering is not superior to the Levitical sacrifice. If Christianity gives us only the shedding of blood, even Christ's blood, it does not give us a real or an efficient atonement. Whatever significance may attach to the blood is derived from something else. See on ver. 14.

Once (ধ̇фáтаң). Rend. once for all.
Having obtained eternal redemption (aīnià $\lambda \dot{v} \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ cipáaevos). Having found and won by his act of entrance into the heavenly sauctuary. This is better than to explain " entered the sanctuary after having obtained redemption by his life, death, and resurrection "; for the work of redemption is crowned and completed by Christ's ascension to glory and his ministry in heaven (see Rom. vi). Even in the old sanctuary the rite of the Day of Atonement was not complete until the blood had been offered in the sanctuary. Eternal, see on ch. vi. 2. Not mere duration is contemplated, but quality; a redemption answering in its quality to that age when all the conditions of time shall be no more : a redemption not ritual, but profoundly ethical and spiritual. $\Lambda \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ redemption, only here, L. i. 68 ; ii. $38 . \quad$ See on might redeem, Tit. ii. 4.

[^181]13. Ashes of a heifer ( $\sigma \pi 0 \delta o ́ s ~ \delta a \mu a ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma)$. $\Sigma \pi \pi \delta o ́ s ~ a s h e s, ~$ only here, Matt. xi. 21; L. x. 13, in both instances in the phrase sackcloth and ashes. Often in LXX. $\Delta a^{\prime} \mu a \lambda \iota s$ heifer, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. The two examples selected cover the entire legal provision for removing uncleanness, whether contracted by sin or by contact with death. "The blood of bulls and goats" refers to the sin-offerings, perhaps especially to the annual atonement (Lev. xvi) ; "the ashes of a heifer" to the occasional sacrifice of the red heifer (Num. xix) for purification from uncleanness contracted by contact with the dead. The Levitical law required two remedies: the Christian economy furnishes one for all phases of defilement.
 For sprinkling see on 1 Pet. i. 2. The verb only in Hebrews, except Mk. vii. 4. For the unclean rend. them that have been defiled. The literal rendering of the participle brings out better the incidental or occasional character of the defilement.
14. Through the eternal spirit ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau o s ~ a i \omega v i ́ o v) . ~$ For the rend. an. $\Delta$ à through $=$ by virtue of. Not the Holy Spirit, who is never so designated, but Christ's own human spirit: the higher element of Christ's being in his human life, which was charged with the eternal principle of the divine life. Comp. Rom. i. 4; 1 Cor. xv. 45; 1 Pet. iii. 18; Heb. vii. 16. This is the key to the doctrine of Christ's sacrifice. The significance and value of his atonement lie in the personal quality and motive of Christ himself which are back of the sacrificial act. The offering was the offering of Christ's deepest self—his inmost personality. Therein consists the attraction of the cross ; not to the shedding of blood, but to Christ himself. This is Christ's own declaration, J. xii. 32. "I will draw all men unto me." Therein consists its potency for men : not in Christ's satisfaction of justice by suffering a legal penalty, but in that the cross is the supreme expression of a divine spirit of love, truth, mercy, brotherhood, faith, ministry, unselfishness, holiness, a spirit which goes out to men with divine intensity of pur-
pose and yearning to draw them into its own sphere, and to make them partakers of its own eternal quality. This was a fact before the foundation of the world, is a fact to-day, and will be a fact so long as any life remains unreconciled to God. Atonement is eternal in virtue of the eternal spirit of Christ through which he offered himself to God.

Offered himself without spot (éavò̀ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu \in \gamma \kappa \in \nu a ̈ \mu \omega$ $\mu o \nu)$. The two other elements which give superior validity to Christ's sacrifice. It was voluntary, a self-offering, unlike that of brute beasts who had no volition and no sense of the reason why they were offered. It was spotless. He was a perfectly righteous, sinless being, perfectly and voluntarily obedient to the Father's will, even unto the suffering of death. The legal victims were only physically unblemished according to ceremonial standards. "A $\mu \omega \mu$ s in LXX, technically, of victims, Ex. xxix. 1; Lev. i. 3, 10, etc.

Purge your conscience ( $\kappa a \theta a \rho \iota \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ). For your rend. our. The superior nature of Christ's sacrifice appears in its deeper effect. While the Levitical sacrifice accomplished only formal, ritual expiation, leaving the inner man unaffected, while it wrought externally and dealt with specific sins, the effect of Christ's sacrifice goes to the centre of the moral and spiritual life, and cleanses the very fountainhead of being, thus doing its work where only an eternal spirit can do it. Käapícel to purge is not a classical word. In Class. $\kappa a \theta a \iota \rho \epsilon i \nu(a l s o$ in LXX): but $\kappa a \theta a \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ appears in inscriptions in a ritual sense, and with àmò from, as here,* thus showing that the word was not confined to biblical and ecclesiastical Greek.
 Christ's sacrifice upon the conscience transmits itself to the works, and fills them with the living energy of the eternal

[^182]spirit. It changes the character of works by purging them of the element of death. This element belongs not only to works which are acknowledged as sinful and are committed by sinful men, but to works which go under the name of religious, yet are performed in a merely legal spirit. None the less, because it is preëminently the religion of faith, does Christianity apply the severest and most radical of tests to works. Professor Bruce truthfully says that "the severest test of Christ's power to redeem is his ability to loose the bonds springing out of a legal religion, by which many are bound who have escaped the dominion of gross, sinful habits."
15. The efficacy of Christ's sacrifice is bound up with a covenant. His priesthood involves a new and a better covenant. See ch. viii. 6-13. That covenant involves his death.

For this cause ( $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{v} \tau 0$ ). Indicating the close relation between the cleansing power of Christ's blood and the new covenant.

Mediator of the new testament ( $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \sigma \mathfrak{l} \eta \rho$ ). For the new testament rend. a new covenant. See on next verse. For $\mu \epsilon \sigma i \not \tau \eta$ s mediator, see on Gal. iii. 19, 20.

By means of death ( $\theta$ avátov $\gamma \in \nu \nu \mu$ évov). Rend. a death having taken place.

For the redemption of the transgressions ( $\epsilon \dot{i} \stackrel{\dot{a}}{\boldsymbol{a} \pi o \lambda u ́-}$ $\tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a \beta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu)$. The phrase redemption of trans-
 in N. T. mostly absolutely : the redemption, or your redemption, or simply redemption. Twice with genitive of that which is redeemed, Rom. viii. 23 ; Eph. i. 14 . Only once in LXX, Dan. iv. 32. For mapá $\beta a \sigma \iota s$ transgression, see on Rom. ii. 23.

Under the first testament ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \pi i\right)$. On the basis of : estimated according to the standard of the provisions of the first
covenant, and to be atoned for in the way which it prescribed. By this expression he emphasises the insufficiency of every other atoning provision, selecting the system which represented the most elaborate and complete atonement for sin prior to Christ. The intimation is in the same direction with that of the phrase through an eternal spirit - that the ideal redemption must be eternal.

They which are called (oi $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o u$ ). Without regard to nationality. The scope of the new covenant was wider than that of the old. Comp. Acts ii. 39. In ch. iii. 1, the readers are addressed as "partakers of a heavenly calling," which corresponds with "eternal inheritance" here. Those who obtain this inheritance are designated as "called." See Eph. i. 18; 1 Th. ii. 12; v. 24 ; 1 Pet. iii. 9.

Of eternal inheritance ( $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ aicuíov к $\lambda \eta \rho \circ \nu о \mu i a s$ ). Rend. "the eternal inheritance": something recoguised as a fact. For клпродоиía inheritance, see on 1 Pet. i. 4, and comp. Eph.i.14. The whole statement implies that the provisions of the Levitical system were inadequate to procure and insure full salvation.
16. For where a testament is (ö $\quad \pi o v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \iota a \theta \eta ́ \kappa \eta)$ ). "The English Version has involved this passage in hopeless obscurity by introducing the idea of a testament and a testator." This statement of Rendall (Epistle to the Hebrews, p. 159) is none too strong. That interpretation, however, is maintained by a very strong array of modern expositors.* It is based
 changes the whole current of thought. Hence it is said that the new covenant established by Christ is here represented

[^183]as a testamentary disposition on his part, which could become operative in putting the heirs in possession of the inheritance only through the death of Christ. See Additional Note at the end of this chapter.

There must also of necessity be the death of the tes-
 necessary that the death of the institutor (of the covenant) should be borne. With the rendering testament, $\phi$ é $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is well-nigh inexplicable. If covenant the meaning is not difficult. If he had meant to say it is necessary that the institutor die, he might better have used $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ : "it is necessary that the death of the institutor take place"; but he meant to say that it was necessary that the institutor die representatively; that death should be borne for him by an animal victim. If we render testament, it follows that the death of the testator himself is referred to, for which $\theta$ ávatov $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is a very unusual and awkward expression.
17. For a testament is of force after men are dead ( $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \eta \gamma$ д̀े $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o i ̂ s ~ \beta \epsilon \beta a i a\right)$. Rend. "for a covenant is of force (or sure) over (or upon) dead (victims)." Comp. Soph. Elect. 237; Eurip. Ion. 228; Aesch. Eumen. 316; Hdt. iv. 162. See also Lev. xxi. 5.

Otherwise it is of no strength at all while the testator
 it hath not then force when the institutor is alive": until he has been representatively slain.
18. Whereupon ( $\left.{ }^{( } \theta \epsilon \nu\right)$. Rend. wherefore, or for which reason: on the general principle that a covenant must be ratified by death.

Neither the first testament was dedicated without blood
 hath the first (covenant) been inaugurated without blood." There is surely no excuse for inserting testament here, as
A. V., since the allusion is clearly to the ratification of a covenant with blood. But further, as this and the verses immediately following are intended to furnish a historical illustration of the statements in vv. 16, 17, we seem forced either to render covenant in those verses, or to assume that the transaction here related was the ratification of a will and testament, or to find our writer guilty of using an illustration which turns on a point entirely different from the matter which he is illustrating. Thus : a testament is of force after men are dead. It has no force so long as the testator is alive. Wherefore, the first covenant was ratified by slaying victims and sprinkling their blood. For the incident see Ex. xxiv. 8. 'Еขкаıдí' $\epsilon \iota \nu$ only here and ch. x. 20. LXX, to renew, 1 Sam. xi. 14; 2 Chron. xv. 8 ; Ps. 1. 10 : to dedicate, 1 K . viii. 63; 1 Macc. iv. 36. Comp. tà èveaiva the feast of dedication, J. x. 22. Rend. ov̇סè neither, as A. V., and not not even, in which case the meaning would be, "not even the first covenant, although its ministries did not perfect the worshipper as touching the conscience," a thought which would be foreign to the point, which is merely the analogy in the matter of death.
19. The statement of verse 18 historically confirmed by the story of the establishment of the law-covenant, Ex. xxiv.

Of calves and goats ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\rho} \sigma \chi \omega \nu \kappa a i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho a ́ \gamma \omega \nu)$. Not mentioned in the O. T. account. The goat was always for a sin-offering, and the sacrifices on this occasion were oxen, and are described as burnt offerings and sacrifices of peace, Ex. xxiv. 5. In the original covenant with Abraham a she-goat and a heifer are specially mentioned, Gen. xv. 9.

Water, scarlet wool, hyssop-sprinkled the book (v̈סatos,
 of these are mentioned in the O. T. account, which the writer appears to have filled up from the details of subsequent usage. Comp. the additions in vv. 5, 10. It will also be observed that the sacrifices on the occasion of establishing the law-
covenant were not made according to the Mosaic ritual. They were offered, not by the priests, but by the young men, Ex. xxiv. 5. For ко́ккıдos scarlet, see on Matt. xxvii. 6. ${ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{\Upsilon} \sigma \sigma \omega \pi$ os hyssop appears in Ex. xii. 22 ; Lev. xiv. 4, 6, 49; Num. xix. 6, 18 ; Ps. li. 9 ; J. xix. 29. Mostly in connection with lustral ceremonies. The vexed question of the precise botanical character of the plant has never been decisively settled.*
22. The historical facts are summed up, emphasising one point-cleansing by blood.

Almost all things ( $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \partial ̀ \nu-\pi a ́ \nu \tau a$ ). The A. V. is wrong. $\Sigma \chi \in \delta o ̀ \nu$ almost or nearly is prefixed to the entire clause, and applies to both its members. Rend. "and I may almost say, it is in blood," etc. Almost provides for such exceptions as Ex. xix. 10 ; xxxii. 30-32; v. 11-13; Lev. xv. 5 ; xvi. 26-28; xxii. 6; Num. xvi. 46-48; xxxi. 23, 24 ; Ps. li. 1-17; xxxii. 1, 2.

And without shedding of blood is no remission (кai
 is covered by "I may almost say." It does not state that without shedding of blood there is no remission of sins, which "would be in conflict with the history and literature of the Old Testament." $\dagger$ See exceptions above. 'A $1 \mu a \tau \epsilon \kappa \chi \nu \sigma$ ía
 lit. remission does not take place or ensue. For äфєets see on Jas. v. 15; most frequent in Luke and Acts. In Hebrews only here and ch. x. 18. Commonly with a genitive, in the phrase remission of sins: but sometimes absolutely as here, Mk. iii. 29 ; L. iv. 18.

[^184]23. The heavenly sanctuary required a better purification than the Levitical.

The patterns of things in the heavens. The earthly tabernacle and its furniture. See on ch. viii. 5.

With these (тoútors). Things specified in ver. 19.
 be said that the heavenly things needed cleansing? It is not easy to answer. Various explanations have been proposed, which the student will find collected in Alford's note on this passage. The expression is rhetorical and figurative, and appears to be founded on that feature of the Levitical ritual according to which the high priest was required, on the Great Day of Atonement, to make an atonement for the sanctuary, "because of the uncleanness of the children of Israel." He was to do this also for the tabernacle of the congregation, and for the great altar. See Lev. xvi. 16 ff . The rite implied that even the holy of holies had contracted defilement from the people's sin. Similarly, the atoning blood of Christ is conceived as purifying the things of the heavenly sanctuary which had been defiled by the sins of men. "If the heavenly city of God, with its Holy Place, is, conformably with the promise, destined for the covenant-people, that they may there attain to perfect fellowship with God, then their guilt has defiled these holy things as well as the earthly, and they must be purified in the same way as the typical law appointed for the latter, only not by the blood of an imperfect, but of a perfect sacrifice" (Delitzsch).*
24. Under the old covenant, the bloodshedding was symbolical : the death of the institutor was by proxy. In the ratification of the new covenant, Christ himself was the cov-enant-victim, and a real cleansing power attaches to his blood as the offering of his eternal spirit.

The holy places made with hands ( $\chi$ єוpotoínta äyıa). For holy places rend. a holy place, the plural being used of the sanctuary. Christ is not entered into a hand-made sanctuary.

Figures (à $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\tau}(\tau v \pi a$ ). Or figure. Only here and 1 Pet. iii. '21, see note. Answering to the patterns in the heavens, ch. viii. 5. Rev. like in pattern.

Now to appear ( $\nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi a \nu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota)$. Now, not only in contrast with the time of the old, typical economy, but also implying a continually-present manifestation, for us, now, as at his first entrance into the heavenly sanctuary. ' $\mathrm{E} \mu \phi=\nu \sigma \sigma-$ $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a u$, rend. to be manifested. Better than to appear, because it exhibits the manifestation of Christ as something brought about as the result of a new and better economy, and distinctly contemplated in the institution of that economy. Christ is made openly manifest before the face of God. The Levitical priest was compelled to shroud the ark and the shekinah with incense-smoke, that he might not look upon God face to face.
25. Nor yet that (oủ $\delta$ 'iva). Supply did he enter. "Nor yet did he enter that he might offer," etc.
 His offering did not need repetition like the Levitical sacrifices. Offer himself refers rather to Christ's entrance into the heavenly sanctuary and presentation of himself before God, than to his offering on the cross. See on ver. 14. The sacrifice on the cross is described by $\pi a \theta \epsilon i \nu$ suffer, ver. 26 , and is introduced as a distinct thought. The point is that, being once in the heavenly sanctuary, Christ was not compelled to renew often his presentation of himself there, since, in that case, it would be necessary for him to suffer often. Each separate offering would necessitate a corresponding suffering.
26. Since the foundation of the world ( $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \kappa a \tau a \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ $\kappa o ́ \sigma \mu \sigma \nu)$. For, from the foundation of the world, sin required atonement by sacrifice ; and, therefore, if Christ had been a victim like others, which must be offered repeatedly, he would have had to suffer repeatedly from the foundation of the world. If his sacrifice, like the animal atonements, had availed for a time only, he would have been obliged to repeat his offering whenever that time expired; and, since his atonement was designed to be universal, it would have been necessary for him to appear repeatedly upon earth, and to die repeatedly from the foundation of the world. Comp. 1 Pet. i. 20 ; Apoc. xiii. 8.*

In the end of the world ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{i} \sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a q \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a i \omega \nu \nu \omega \nu)$. In N. 'T. $\sigma v \nu \tau$ é $\lambda \epsilon i a$ consummation, always with aì̀v age. With the plural aī́v$\nu \omega \nu$ only here. Everywhere else $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon a$
 of this visible world. The true sense is the consummation of the ages: that is to say, Christ appeared when the former ages had reached their moral consummation under the old Levitical economy. Comp. ch. i. 2 .

To put away $\sin$ ( $\epsilon$ is à $\dot{\theta} \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau l a s$ ). Lit. for the putting away of sin. For á $\theta$ ét $\eta \sigma \iota s$ see on ch. vii. 18. Note the singular number, sin. The sacrifice of Christ dealt with sin as a principle: the Levitical sacrifices with individual transgressions.
27. That there is no place for a repeated offering of Christ is further shown by reference to the lot of men in general. The very idea is absurd; for men die once, and judgment

[^185]follows. Christ was man, and Christ died. He will not come to earth to live and die again. Christ died, but judgment did not follow in his case. On the contrary, he became judge of all.

It is appointed (ámóкєьтal). Lit. is laid by in store. Comp. L. xix. 20 ; Col. i. 5 (see note); 2 Tim. iv. 8.
28. Christ. Emphasising him, as the figure to which the old economy pointed.

Was once offered (äaaگ $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i s)$. Lit. having been offered once for all. Note the passive in contrast with offer himself, ver. 25. He was appointed to die as truly as we. Comp. L. xxiv. 26 ; Matt. xxvi. 53, 54 ; Ps. xl. 7, 8.

To bear (à $\left.{ }^{2} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon i p\right)$. Not in the sense of bearing a $\sin -$ offering up to the cross; for $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau i a$ never means a sin-offering ; nor in the sense of putting away; but signifying to take upon himself and bear as a burden.
 Rend. await him. For the verb, see on Philip. iii. 20. This second coming with salvation is only for those who await him in faith.

Shall he appear ( $\dot{\phi} \phi \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ ). The usual verb for the appearance of Christ after his resurrection.
 in N. T., but not in Paul. The idea is, beginning from the second: the second in a series taken as the point of departure. As among men judgment follows as the second thing after death, so, when Christ shall appear for the second time, he will appear as the sinless Saviour.

Unto salvation ( $\epsilon i s \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu$ ). Not as a sinner to be judged, but as the Saviour of mankind. It is not said that
he will appear as judge, but only that he will not share the judgment which befalls all men after death. Still the phrase may imply that he will award salvation, as judge, to such as have believed on him.

## Additional Note on Verse 16.

Against the rendering testament for $\delta \omega \theta \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \eta$, and in favour of retaining covenant, are the following considerations: (a) The abruptness of the change, and its interruption of the line of reasoning. It is introduced into the middle of a continuous argument, in which the new covenant is compared and contrasted with the Mosaic covenant (ch. viii. 6-x. 18). (b) The turningpoint, both of the analogy and of the contrast, is that both covenants were inaugurated and ratified by death: not ordinary, natural death, but sacrificial, violent death, accompanied with bloodshedding as an essential feature. Such a death is plainly indicated in ver. 15. If $\delta<a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ signifies testament, $\theta$ ávarov death in ver. 16 must mean natural death without bloodshed. (c) The figure of a testament would not appeal to Hebrews in connection with an inheritance. On the contrary, the idea of the $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o-$ vouia was always associated in the Hebrew mind with the inheritance of Canaan, and that inheritance with the idea of a covenant. See Deut. iv. 20-23; 1 Chron. xvi. 15-18; Ps. ev. 8-11. (d) In LXX, from which our writer habitually quotes, $\delta<a \theta \eta$ ŋ́ $\eta$ has universally the meaning of covenant. It occurs about 350 times, mostly representing covenant. In the Apocryphal books it has the same sense, except in Sir. Xxxviii. 33, where it signifies disposition or arrangement. $\Delta ⿲ a \tau t \theta$ '́c $\sigma a l$ to dispose or arrange represents to cut off, hew, divide. The phrase בָּ וּק (i.e., make) a covenant, is very common. The verb marks a disposing by the divine will, to which man becomes a party by assent; while $\sigma v \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \in \theta a \iota$ indicates an arrangement between two equal parties. There is not a trace of the meaning testament in the Greek O. T. In the classics $\delta \alpha 0 \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ is usually testament. Philo uses the word in the sense of covenant, but also shows how it acquired that of testament (De Mutatione Nominum, § 6 ff .). The Vulgate lias testamentum, even where the sense of covenant is indisputable. See Ex. xxx. 26; Num. xiv. 44; 2 K. vi. 15; Jer. iii. 16; Mal. iii. 1; L. i. 72 ; Acts iii. 25 ; vii. 8 . Also in N.T. quotations from the O.T., where, in its translation of the O.T., it uses foedus. See Jer. xxxi. 31, cit. Heb. viii. 8. For $\delta<a \tau \iota \theta$ é $\sigma \theta a \iota$ of making a covenant, see Heb. viii. 10; Acts iii. 25 ; Heb. x. 16. (e) The ratification of a covenant by the sacrifice of a victim is attested by Gen. xv. 10; Ps. l. 5; Jer. xxxiv. 18. This is suggested also by the phrase in both Greek and 'Latin. Thus we have öpкıa тá $\mu \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to cut oaths, that is, to sacrifice a victim in attestation (Hom. Il. ii. 124; Od. xxiv. 483; Hdt. vii. 132). Similarly, otovסג̀s $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ let us cut (make) a league
(Eurip. Hel. 1235) : фídıa $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ to cement friendship by sacrificing a victim; lit. to cut friendship (Eurip. Suppl. 375). In Latin, foedus ferire to strike a league: foedus ictum a ratified league, ratified by a blow (ictus). ( $f$ ) If testament is the correct translation in vv. 16, 17, the writer is fairly chargeable with a rhetorieal blunder; for ver. 18 ff . is plainly intended as a historical illustration of the propositions in vv. 16, 17, and the illustration turns on a point entirely different from the matter illustrated. The writer is made to say, "A will is of no force until after the testator's death; therefore the first covenant was ratified with the blood of victims.

## CHAPTER X.

1-18. A summary restatement of the matters discussed from ch. viii. 1.

1. The arrangement of the verse is much disputed. Rend. "The law, with the same sacrifices which they continually renew year by year, can never make the comers thereunto perfect."*

A shadow ( $\sigma \kappa \grave{a} \nu$ ). The emphasis is on this thought. The legal system was a shadow. Exià is $^{\text {is }}$ a rude outline, an adumbration, contrasted with $\epsilon i \kappa \omega$, the archetypal or ideal pattern. इxià does not accurately exhibit the figure itself. Comp. ch. viii. 5.

Of good things to come ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda d^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). From the point of view of the law.

The very image of the things (à̇ $\bar{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon i \kappa o ́ v a \pi \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \rho a \gamma-$ $\mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu)$. For єiкळ̀ ${ }^{\text {image }}$, see on Apoc. xiii. 14 ; Philip. ii. 7. חрarرáz $\omega \nu$ things expresses a little more distinctly than $\mu \in \lambda$ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ the idea of facts and realities.

[^186]Can ( $\delta \dot{v} v a \nu \tau a \iota$ ). $\Delta$ v́vataı might be expected with $\dot{o}$ vó $\mu o s$ the law as the subject. If dóvavtat, the plural, is retained, the clause the law-image of the things must be taken absolutely, the construction of the sentence breaking off suddenly, and the subject being changed from the law to the priests: "The priests can never," etc. It is better to read ס́vivaral in the singular, with Tischendorf, Westcott and Hort, and Weiss.

Continually (eis tò $\delta$ ( $\eta \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ ès). See on ch. vii. 3 , and comp. vv. 12, 14. Const. with offer.
2. To be offered ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \rho_{\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota) . ~ T h e ~ p r e s e n t ~ p a r t i c i-~}^{\text {) }}$ ple brings out more forcibly the continuous repetition: "Ceased being offered."
3. A remembrance of sins (ả $\nu \dot{a} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \omega \hat{\omega})$. Each successive sacrifice was a fresh reminder of sins to be atoned for; so far were the sacrifices from satisfying the conscience of the worshipper. 'Avá $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$, lit. a calling to mind. Comp. ver. 17 , and see LXX, Num. v. 15.
5. Confirming the assertion of ver. 4 by a citation, Ps. xl. 7-9, the theme of which is that deliverance from sin is not obtained by animal sacrifices, but by fulfilling God's will. The quotation does not agree with either the Hebrew or the LXX, and the Hebrew and LXX do not agree. The writer supposes the words to be spoken by Messiah when he enters the world as Saviour. The obedience to the divine will, which the Psalmist contrasts with sacrifices, our writer makes to consist in Christ's offering once for all. According to him, the course of thought in the Psalm is as follows: "Thou, O God, desirest not the sacrifice of beasts, but thou hast prepared my body as a single sacrifice, and so I come to do thy will, as was predicted of me, by the sacrifice of myself." Christ did not yield to God's will as authoritative constraint. The constraint lay in his own eternal spirit. His sacrifice was no less his own will than God's will.

Sacrifice and offering ( $\theta v \sigma$ là кal $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi о \rho a ̀ \nu$ ). The animal-offering and the meal-offering.
6. Burnt offerings and sacrifices for $\sin (\delta \dot{\lambda} о к а у \tau \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a ́ \mu a \rho т i ́ a \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ b u r n t-o f f e r i n g ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ s i n-o f f e r i n g . ~$
7. In the volume of the book ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \in \phi a \lambda i \delta \iota \iota \beta \iota \beta \lambda i o v$ ). Kєфaдis, N. T. ${ }^{0}$, is a diminutive, meaning little head. Lat. capitellum or capitulum. The extremity or end, as the capital of a column. See Ex. xxvi. 32, 37. Sometimes the column itself, as Ex. xl. 18; Num. iii. 36. Said to be used of the tips or knobs of the rollers around which parchments were rolled, but no instances are cited. A roll of parchment, a book-roll, Ezek. ii. 9. Meaning here the Scriptures of the
 $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i ́ o u b o o k$, only Ezek. ii. 9; Ps. xxxix. 7. For $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ ion book, see on 2 Tim. iv. 13.
 above. Introducing a partial repetition of the quotation.
9. He taketh away the first that he may establish the second. Removes that which God does not will, the animalsacrifice, that he may establish that which God does will, the offering of an obedient will.
10. By the which will ( $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\varphi} \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota$ ). The will of God as fulfilled in Christ.
 been sanctified; that is, in a sanctified state, as having become partakers of the spirit of Christ. This is the work of the eternal spirit, whose will is the very will of God. It draws men into its own sphere, and makes them partakers of its holiness (Heb. xii. 10).

Once for all (e่ $\dot{a} \pi \pi a \xi)$. Const. with are sanctified. The sanctification of the Levitical offerings was only temporary, vol. Ir. -32
and had to be repeated. Christ's one offering "perfected forever them that are sanctified" (ver. 14).* This thought is elaborated in $\mathbf{v v}$. 11-14.
11. Every priest ( $\pi a ̂ s$ ). Suggesting many priests. Comp. ch. vii. 23.

Standeth ( $\tilde{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu)$. Servile attitude, contrasted with that of the exalted Saviour, ch. i. 3.

Daily - often - the same. The wearisome round of daily offerings, always the same, contrasted with the one offering, once for all.

Take away ( $\pi \epsilon \rho t \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$ ). Only here in connection with sin. See on 2 Cor. iii. 16. The verb literally means to strip off all round. See Gen. xli. 42 (of a ring): Gen. xxxviii. 14; Deut. xxi. 13 (of clothes). Comp. єن́тєрíттaтos, Heb. xii. 1, see note, and $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota ~ a ̀ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ i s ~ c o m p a s s e d ~ a b o u t ~ w i t h ~ w e a k-~$ ness, Heb. v. 2. See also clothed with shame, and with cursing, Ps. xxxv. 26 ; cix. 18.
12. Forever ( $\epsilon$ i's $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò $\delta \iota \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \grave{\varsigma}$ ). Const. with offered. The reason appears in ver. 14. It is according to the usage of the epistle to place this phrase after that which it qualifies. Thus one sacrifice forever is contrasted with the same sacrifices often. This agrees also with what follows. He offered one sacrifice forever, and then sat down, awaiting its eternal result. $\dagger$
14. He hath perfected forever ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ 'īкє $\epsilon i \varsigma \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \iota \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon ̀ s)$. Note the continued emphasis upon the $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon i \omega \sigma \iota s$ perfection. Comp. ch. vii. 11, 19 ; ix. 9 ; x. 1 ; xii. 2. No more sacrifices are needed. The reign of the Great High Priest is not to be interrupted by the duty of sacrifice.

[^187]15-17. Repetition of the passage already cited from Jeremiah in ch. viii. 10-12. The nerve of the citation is ver. 17.
18. There is no more offering for sin. Forgiveness of sin is the characteristic of the new covenant. In Jeremiah complete pardon of sins is promised. If the pardon is complete, there is left no place for the Levitical sacrifices under the new covenant. At this point the doctrinal portion of the epistle ends.
 Lit. for the entering of the holiest. The phrase mapploia eis boldness unto, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Пapp $\eta \sigma i a$ with $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ concerning, J. xvi. 25; with $\pi \rho$ òs with reference to, 2 Cor. vii. 4; 1 J. iii. 21; v. 14. "E $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ oסos in N. T. habitually of the aet of entering.

By the blood ( $\left.\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} a^{i}{ }^{i} \mu a \tau \iota\right)$. Lit. "in the blood": in the power or virtue of.
20. By a new and living way which he hath consecrated
 A. V. is wrong. ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{H} \nu$ which is to be construed with $\epsilon \mathrm{l} \sigma \sigma o \delta o \nu$ entrance. Thus: "having boldness for the entrance which he has inaugurated (or opened) for us - a way new and
 be opened, for every other way is closed. 'Evкaıvi'̧civ in LXX of the inauguration of a house, kingdom, temple, altar. See Deut. xx. 5 ; 1 Sam. xi. 14; 1 K. viii. 63; 2 Chron. xv. 8. Прó́ффатov new, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In LXX, see Num. vi. 3; Deut. xxxii. 17; Ps. lxxx. 9; Eccles. i. 9. The derivation appears to be mpòs near to, and фarós slain (from $\pi$ édaual, the perfect of $\phi \in ́ \nu \epsilon(\nu$ to kill). According to this the original sense would be newly-slain; and the word was used of one so recently dead as to retain the appearance of life: also, generally, of things which have not lost their character or appearance by the lapse of time; of fishes, fruits, oil, etc., which are fresh; of anger which has not had time to cool. Later the meaning
was weakened into new.* Note that the contrast is not between a new and an old way, but between a new way and no way. So long as the old division of the tabernacle existed, the way into the holiest was not opened, ch. ix. 8. Z $\omega$ a $\sigma$, living. A living way seems a strange expression, but comp. Peter's living stones, 1 Pet. ii. 5. Christ styles himself both way and life. The bold figure answers to the fact. The new way is through a life to life.

Through the veil ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \kappa a \tau a \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \mu a \tau o \varsigma)$. The veil of the holy of holies is rent. Christ's work does not stop short of the believer's complete access to God himself.

That is to say his flesh ( $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \tau^{\prime}$ é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ $\left.\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \sigma a \rho \kappa o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂\right) . ~$ Const. with veil: the veil which consisted in his flesh. His flesh was the state through which he had to pass before he entered heaven for us. See ch. ii. $9-18$; v. $7-9$; x. 5. When he put off that state, the veil of the temple was rent. He passed through humanity to glory as the forerunner of his people, ch. vi. 20.
21. A high priest (iepéa $\mu$ érà). Lit. a great priest. Comp. Lev. xxi. 10, LXX. Not merely $=\dot{a} p \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu} s h i g h$ priest, but emphasising Christ's superior greatness as high priest.

House of God (oicov $\boldsymbol{\text { oû }} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \hat{v}$ ). In the Gospels always of the temple. Not found in Paul. Once in the Pastorals, of the church, 1 Tim. iii. 15, and so 1 Pet. iv. 17. Here the whole Christian family. Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17; 2 Cor. vi. 16 ; Eph. ii. 22.
22. Let us draw near ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a)$. See on ch. iv. 16.

With a true heart ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \dot{a} \lambda \eta \eta \iota \nu \eta \hat{\varsigma} \kappa a \rho \delta i ́ a s) . \quad$ A right and genuine inward attitude toward God. For the phrase comp.

[^188]LXX, Isa. xxxviii. 3. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For ả $\lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \hat{\eta} s$ see on J. i. 9, and comp. Heb. viii. 2 ; ix. 24 . A true heart is required to enter the true sanctuary. The phrase means more than in sincerity. Sincerity is included, but with it all that enters into a right attitude toward God as revealed in our Great High Priest, - gladness, freedom, enthusiasm, bold appropriation of all the privileges of sonship.

In full assurance of faith ( ${ }^{\prime} \nu \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho / a \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Full conviction engendered by faith. See on ch. vi. 11. Faith is the basis of all right relation to God.
 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s $\pi 0 \nu \eta p a ̂ s)$. This qualitication for a right approach to God is stated typologically. As the priests were sprinkled with the sacrificial blood and washed with water before ministering, so do you who have now the privilege and standing of priests in approaching God, draw near, priestlike, as sharers in an economy which purges the conscience (ch. ix. 14), having your consciences purged. Your own hearts must experience the effects of the great sacrifice of Christ, pardon, moral renewal, deliverance from a legal spirit. On the .priesthood of believers see 1 Pet. ii. 5, 9; Ex. xix. 6; Isa. lxi. 6. This idea is dominated in our epistle by that of Christ's priesthood ; but it is not excluded, and is implied throughout. See ch. xiii. 15. For sprinkled, see on 1 Pet. i. 2.

Bodies washed ( $\lambda \in \lambda o v \sigma \mu$ évoc $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ). Also typological. Most expositors refer to baptism. The most significant passage in that direction is 1 Pet. iii. 21 ; comp. Eph. v. 26 ; Tit. iii. 5. It may be, though I doubt if the idea is emphasised. I incline, with Dr. Bruce, to think that it indicates generally the thoroughness of the cleansing process undergone by one who surrenders himself, soul, body, and spirit, to God.
 Rend. "confession of our hope." Faith does not appear among Ms. readings. It is an innovation of the translators.

Hope is the rendering of Tyndale, Coverdale, the Great Bible, the Geneva, the Bishops', and Rheims. On confession see on 2 Cor. ix. 13, and comp. notes on 1 Tim. vi. 12, 13. The phrase confession of hope N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. They are steadfastly to confess their hope in God's promise and salvation. Comp. ch. iii. 6 ; vi. 11, 18 ; vii. 19. Hope is here $=$ the object of hope.

Without wavering ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \hat{\eta}\right)$. N. T. ${ }^{0}$.
24. Let us consider one another ( $\kappa a \tau a \nu o \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Take careful note of each other's spiritual welfare. For the verb see on Jas. i. 23. It denotes attentive, continuous care. Comp. Heb. iii. 1.

To provoke (eis $\pi a \rho o \xi v \sigma \mu \partial \nu)$. Lit. with a view to incitement. Only here and Acts xv. 39. From mapo $\begin{gathered}\text { vivet } \\ \text { to sharpen. }\end{gathered}$ Hence to stimulate. In Acts xv. 39, the result of provocation; irritation or contention. Here the act of incitement. Twice in LXX, Deut. xxix. 28 (27); Jer. xxxix. (xxxii.) 3, 7; for
 vation is from ${ }^{2}$ a splinter. The new economy demands mutual care on the part of the members of the Christian community. Comp. 1 Cor. xii. 25. They must stir up each other's religious affections and ministries.
25. The assembling of ourselves together ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\varepsilon} a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$. 'E $\pi \iota \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ ' only here and 2 Th . ii. 1, see note. The act of assembling, although some explain assembly. The antithesis is, "not forsaking assembling, but exhorting in assembly." Lünemann aptly says that the idea of apostasy which would be conveyed by the rendering assembly or con-
 often recurring act on the part of the same persons.

As the manner of some is ( $\kappa a \theta \grave{\omega} s$ cै $\theta o s ~ \tau \iota \sigma i \nu)$. For manner rend. custom. Lit. as is custom unto some. "E $\theta$ os mostly in Luke and Acts. Comp. L. i. 9 ; J. xix. 40.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu)$ ．The day of Christ＇s second coming，bringing with it the judgment of Israel．He could say＂ye see，＂because they were familiar with Christ＇s prophecy concerning the destruction of the temple；and they would see this crisis approaching in the disturbances which heralded the Jewish war．
 $\sigma i \omega s$ wilfully，only here and 1 Pet．v．2．Comp．Philem．14， $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ éкои́б九оע of free will．See LXX，Num．xv．3．The wilful $\sin$ is the abandonment of Christianity for Judaism．

The knowledge（ $\mathfrak{e} \pi i ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ）．Only here in Hebrews． Very common in Paul．For the word，and the phrase knowledge of the truth，see on 1 Tim. ii．4．The truth is the revelation through Christ．

There remaineth no more sacrifice for sins（oủcét $\pi$ тepi $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ ámo入єímeтaı $\theta v \sigma$ ía）．Of course not．For the Leviti－ cal sacrifices are abolished．It is Christ＇s sacrifice or none．

27．But a certain fearful looking for（ $\phi o \beta \epsilon \rho a ̀ ~ \delta e ́ \tau \iota S ~ e ̀ k \delta o \chi \grave{\eta}$ ）． Rend．＂a kind of fearful expectation．＂＇Ек $\delta 0 \chi$ خे N．T．${ }^{\text {o }}$ ， ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$ ．

Fiery indignation（ $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ ）．For $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ see on Jas． iii．14．The radical idea of the word is ferment of spirit（弓eì to boil；see Acts xviii． 25 ；Rom．xii．11）．This idea takes on different aspects in $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ ，as indignation，Acts v．17；zeal， J．ii．17；Rom．x．2； 2 Cor．vii．7；xi．2；Philip．iii．6； envy，Rom．xiii．13； 1 Cor．iii．3；Gal．v．20．In the last sense often with épıs strife．The phrase fiery indignation，lit． indignation of fire（ $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{T}^{\circ}$ ）is an adaptation from Isa． xxvi .11.

The adversaries（ $\tau$ ov̀s ittevajtious）．Only here and Col． ii．14．Often in LXX．
28. He that despised ( $\dot{a} \theta_{\epsilon \tau \eta} \sigma a \varsigma \tau \iota s$ ). Lit. one that despised ; any transgressor. The verb only here in Hebrews. The kindred noun á $\theta$ ét $\eta \sigma \iota s$ only in Hebrews. See ch. vii. 18; ix. 26.

Died (ámo$\rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota)$. Lit. dieth. According to the ordinance as it now stands in the law.

Without mercy ( $\chi \omega \rho l_{\varsigma}$ oiктı $\rho \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ ). The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. For the noun see on 2 Cor. i. 3.
 As in LXX, Deut. xvii. 6. 'E $\pi i$ with dative signifying on condition of two or three witnesses testifying. Comp. 1 Tim. v. 17, where the same phrase occurs with the genitive, before, in the presence of. Comp. also Deut. xix. 15.
 but the whole clause: " by how much think ye shall he be thought worthy of sorer panishment."

Punishment ( $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a s)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Occasionally in LXX, frequent in Class. Originally assistance ; assistance to one who has been wronged.; punishment. With no sense of chastisement. It is purely retributive.*

Trodden under foot (кататал $\bar{\sigma} \sigma a$ ) Only here in Hebrews. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$. Frequent in LXX for spoiling, defeating, treating contemptuously. The strong term is purposely selected in order to convey the sense of the fearful outrage involved in forsaking Christ and returning to Judaism.

[^189]Hath counted an unholy thing ( $\kappa о \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 \varsigma$ ). ' ${ }^{\mathrm{H} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma-}$ $\theta a \iota$ to count or deem means a conscious judgment resting on a deliberate weighing of the facts. See Rom. xii. 10 ; Philip. ii. 3. Here it implies a deliberate, contemptuous rejection of the gifts of the new covenant. The fundamental idea of coulos is shared by all, public. Thus Acts ii. 44 ; iv. 32; Tit. i. 4 ; Jude 3. Out of this grows the idea of not sacred; not set apart for particular uses by purification, and so (ceremonially) unclean or defiled, as Mk. vii. 2, 5 ; Acts x. 14, 28 ; xi. 8 . In these cases it is not implied that the thing is defiled or filthy in itself, but only unclean through the absence of that which would set it apart. Comp. Rom. xiv. 14. Here the word admits of two explanations : (1) that Christ's blood was counted common, having no more sacred character or specific worth than the blood of any ordinary person ; (2) that in refusing to regard Christ's blood as that of an atoner and redeemer, it was implied that his blood was unclean as being that of a transgressor. The former seems preferable. There was no specific virtue in Christ's blood as blood; but a peculiar and unique virtue attached to it as the offering of his eternal spirit (ch. ix. 14), as the blood shed in ratification of a sacred covenant established by God, and as having sanctifying virtue. This view is further justified by the combination of blood and spirit, as sources of sanctification allied in the writer's mind.

Häth done despite unto the spirit of grace ( $\kappa a i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$
 simple verb $\dot{v} \beta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ in Matthew, Luke, Acts, and Pastorals. It will be observed that the work of the Holy Spirit does not receive in this epistle the emphasis which marks it in some other portions of the N. T.
 The retribution ( $\tau / \mu \omega \rho i a$ ) is certain, because assured by the word of God in Scripture.

Vengeance ( $\epsilon \kappa \delta \ell \iota \varkappa \eta \iota \varsigma)$. An unfortunate translation, since it conveys the idea of vindictiveness which does not reside in
the Greek word. It is the full meting out of justice to all parties. The quotation is an adaptation of the LXX of Deut. xxxii. 35. The second citation is literally from LXX of Deut. xxxii. 36.
31. To fall, etc. Comp. LXX, 2 Sam. xxiv. 14; Sir. ii. 18.

Of the living God. The living God, revealed in the living Christ, will not suffer his sacrificial gift and his covenant to be slighted and insulted with impunity. See on ch. iii. 12.
32. After ye were illuminated ( $\phi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \ell \tau \tau \epsilon$ ). See on ch. vi. 4.
 See on $\dot{a} \theta \lambda \hat{y}$ strive, 2 Tim . ii. 5. See Introduction, on the allusions in the epistle to persecution.
33. Whilst ye were made a gazing-stock ( $\theta \in a \tau \rho \iota \zeta_{o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota) ~ . ~}^{\text {© }}$ N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Lit. exhibited in the theatre. Comp. 1 Cor. iv. 9.
 Rend. by becoming partakers. More than companionship is implied. For coovavoi see on L. v. 10. The noun and its kindred verb in N. T. almost exclusively of ethical and spiritual relations, as 1 Tim. v. 22; 1 Pet. iv. 13; 2 J. 11; 1 Cor. x. 18; 2 Cor. i. 7; Philem. 17. Even when applied to pecuniary contributions they imply Christian fellowship as the basis of the liberality. See on Rom. xii. 13; xv. 27; Philip. iv. 15.

Of them that were so used ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oũ $\tau \omega \mathfrak{s} \dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau \rho \in \phi о \mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \nu)$. Rend. "of them that fared thus." Others render "who conducted themselves thus"; endured their persecutions so bravely. But the oữ $\omega$ s can refer only to made a gazingstock.

34．For ye had compassion of me in my bonds（кaì rà $\rho$ тoîs $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ iots $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi a \theta$ そ̇ $\sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ）．Entirely wrong，following T．R． тoîs $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu_{0} i ̂ s \mu o v$ ．Rend．＂ye had compassion on the prison－ ers．＂So Vulg．vinctis compassi estis．The corrupt reading has furnished one of the stock arguments for the Pauline authorship of the Epistle．

Took joyfully（ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \chi a \rho a \hat{\varsigma} \pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \in \xi a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ）．The verb prim－ arily to receive to one＇s self，accept，as here．Comp．L．xv．2； Philip．ii．29．Mostly，in N．T．however，to wait for，expect， as Mk．xv． 43 ；L．ii．25，38；Acts xxiii． 21.

Spoiling（ $\dot{a} \rho \pi a \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ ）．Only here，Matt．xxiii． 25 ；L．xi． 39. Allied with $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ to snatch away．

Of your goods（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi \dot{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ）．The verb $\dot{u} \pi \dot{a} \rho-$ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ means originally to begin，or begin to be；hence of anything that has begun to be，to come forth，be there；then simply to $b e$ ．Accordingly the phrase $\dot{v} \pi a^{\prime} \rho \chi \in \iota \mu \circ$ í $\tau \iota$ means there is some－ thing to me，I have something．See Acts iii． 6 ；iv． 37 ；xxviii． 7. Hence $\tau$ à $\dot{i} \pi a^{\prime} \rho \chi$ оעta things which are to one ；possessions，goods． See Matt．xix．21；xxiv．27；L．viii．3；Acts iv．32．＊

Knowing in yourselves that ye have，etc．（ $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \tau e s$ モै $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ éautoùs）．Rend．＂knowing that ye yourselves have a better，＂etc．The A．V．follows T．R．èv éavzoîs．$\dagger$ Ye your－ selves in contrast with your spoilers．

Substance（v゙ $\pi a \rho \xi \iota \nu)$ ．Only here and Acts ii．45．Occas－ ionally in LXX．Rend．possession．

[^190]35. Confidence (т $̀ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ mappクбiav). Rend. boldness. The boldness and courage which you manifested under persecution.
36. Ye might receive the promise ( $\kappa о \mu i \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma-$ $\gamma^{\prime} \in$ (av). Comp. ch. xi. 13,39 , and see on 1 Pet. i. 8. The verb implies, not mere obtaining, but receiving and carrying away for use and enjoyment.
 while. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. It is not part of the quotation, but is taken from Isa. xxvi. 20, the only instance. See Aristoph. Wasps, 213.
 "he that cometh will come." In the Hebrew (Hab. ii. 3) the subject of the sentence is the vision of the extermination of the Chaldees. "The vision - will surely come." As rendered in the LXX, either Jehovah or Messiah must be the subject. The passage was referred to Messiah by the later Jewish theologians, and is so taken by our writer, as is
 xxi. 9 ; J. xi. 27 . Similarly he refers $\eta{ }_{\eta} \xi \in$ shall come to the final coming of Messiah to judge the world.

 In the original prophecy the just man is contrasted with the haughty Chaldaean invaders, who are puffed up and not upright. Through his steadfast obedience to God he shall be kept alive in the time of confusion and destruction.
 if any man. Reud. "and if he draw back," that is, the just

[^191]man. The possibility of the lapse of even the just is assumed. See on ch. vi. 4-6. The verb only here, Acts xx. 20, 27 ; Gal. ii. 12. See on Acts xx. 20. Rare in LXX.

Shall have no pleasure (oủk єu̇ठoкєî). Rend. "hath no pleasure." "If he draw back - in him," not in the Hebrew, which reads, "behold, puffed up within him is his soul, it is not upright." The clauses of the LXX are transposed here.
39. But we are not of them who draw back ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \mathrm{~S} \delta \dot{\text { è }}$ ouk
 $\sigma \tau o \lambda \grave{\eta}$ N.T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. ${ }^{*} E \iota \nu a \iota$ with genitive marks the quality or peculiarity of a person or thing. Comp. ch. xii. 11 $\chi$ apâs cival to be of joy, joyful. We do not partake of drawing back, which is characteristic of recreants.

Unto perdition ( $\epsilon$ is à áćлєıav). Or destruction. Drawing back makes for and terminates in ( $\epsilon$ is) destruction.

Of them that believe ( $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Rend. of faith. The phrase $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{va} \boldsymbol{\pi} l \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ to be of faith, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.

Saving ( $\pi \epsilon \rho / \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$. See on 1 Th. v. 9.

## CHAPTER XI.

The concluding statement of ch. $x$ suggests the following discussion of the nature of faith and of its fruits as called out by God's revelation from the earliest time.

1. Faith ( $\pi l \sigma \pi \iota s$ ). Without the article, indicating that it is treated in its abstract conception, and not merely as Christian faith. It is important that the preliminary definition should be clearly understood, since the following examples illustrate it. The key is furnished by ver. 27, as seeing him who is invisible. Faith apprehends as a real fact what is not revealed to the senses. It rests on that fact, acts upon it,
and is upheld by it in the face of all that seems to contradict it. Faith is a real seeing. See Introduction, p. 363.

Substance (ímór $\boldsymbol{u} a \sigma \iota$ ). See on ch. i. 3 and iii. 14. On the whole, the Rev. assurance gives the true meaning. The definition has a scholastic and philosophic quality, as might be expected from a pupil of the Alexandrian schools. The meaning substance, real being, given by A. V., Vulg., and many earlier interpreters, suggests the true sense, but is philosophically inaccurate. Substance, as used by these translators, is substantial nature ; the real nature of a thing which underlies and supports its outward form or properties. In this sense it is very appropriate in ch. i. 3, in describing the nature of the Son as the image or impress of God's essential being: but in this sense it is improperly applied to faith, which is an act of the moral intelligence directed at an object; or a condition which sustains a certain relation to the object. It cannot be said that faith is substantial being. It apprehends reality : it is that to which the unseen objects of hope become real and substantial. Assurance gives the true idea. It is the firm grasp of faith on unseen fact.

Evidence (è $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi{ }^{\circ}$ ) $)$ N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Quite often in LXX for ner to reprove, rebuke, punish, blame. See Prov. i. 23; Wisd. ii. 14; Sir. xvi. 12. See especially on the kindred verb è $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota$, J. iii. 20. Rend. conviction. Observe that. $\dot{v \pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \varsigma$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi$ os are not two distinct and independent conceptions, in which case $\kappa a i$ would have been added; but they stand in apposition. "Enerरos is really included in $\dot{i} \pi \delta^{\prime} \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$, but adds to the simple idea of assurance a suggestion of influences operating to produce conviction which carry the force of demonstration. The word often signifies a process of proof or demonstration. So von Soden : "a being convinced. Therefore not a rash, feebly-grounded hypothesis, a dream of hope, the child of a wish."

Of things ( $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu)$. $\Pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ is, strictly, a thing done; an accomplished fact. It introduces a wider conception than $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \circ \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$ things hoped for ; embracing not only future real-
ities, but all that does not fall under the cognisance of the senses, whether past, present, or future.
2. For by it (e่v raúvŋ rà $\rho$ ). Lit. for in this. Rend. therein: in the sphere and exercise of faith: as believers. Comp. 1 Tim. v. 10. For introduces a proof of the preceding statement concerning the nature of faith. Faith has power to see and realise the unseen, for the experience of the fathers proves it.

The elders obtained a good report ( $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota)$. The elders for the more common the fathers: the saints of the O. 'T. dispensation, many of whose names are recorded in this chapter. 'E $\mu a \rho \tau u \rho \eta \theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \nu$, lit. were borne witness to. God bore witness to them in the victory of their faith over all obstacles, and their characters and deeds as men of faith were recorded in Scripture. For this use of $\mu a \rho t u p \in i ̀ \nu$ in the passive, see Acts vi. 3; x. 22; xvi. 12; Rom. iii. 21; Heb. vii. 8,17 . Notice that the statement in this verse does not begin the list of examples, which commences with ver. 4 , but is closely attached to the definition in ver. 1 as a comprehensive justification of it.
3. Neither does this verse belong to the list of historical instances from Genesis, in which men exercised faith. It is merely the first instance presented in O. T. history of an opportunity for the exercise of faith as the assurance and conviction of things not seen. Like ver. 2, it is closely connected with the definition. It contains the exposition of the nature of faith, by showing that in its earliest and most general expression - belief in the creation of the visible universe by God-it is a conviction of something not apprehensible by sense.*

[^192]We understand ( $\nu 00 \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Nocĩ signifies to perceive with the ${ }^{\nu} \hat{v}_{\mathrm{s}}$ or reflective intelligence. In Class. of seeing with the eyes, sometimes with o o $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i ̂ s$ expressed ; but as early as Homer it is distinguished from the mere physical act of vision, as perception of the mind consequent upon seeing. Thus, Tò̀ $\delta$ 它 iठ̀̀̀ évón $\sigma \epsilon$ and seeing him he perceived (Il. xi.
 xiii. 318). In N. T. never of the mere physical act. Here is meant the inward perception and apprehension of the visible creation as the work of God, which follows the sight of the phenomena of nature.

The worlds ( $\quad$ oùs aî̂vas). Lit. the ages. The world or worlds as the product of successive aeons. See on ch. i. 2.

Were framed (кат $\quad$ ртí $\theta a i$ ). Put together; adjusted; the parts fitted to each other. See on Gal. vi. 1; Matt. xxi. 16 ; L. vi. 40. Of the preparing and fixing in heaven of the sun and moon, LXX, Ps. lxxiii. 16; lxxxviii. 37 : of building a wall, 2 Esdr. iv. 12, 13, 16. See also Ps. xxxix. 6. Rend. have been framed. The A. V. gives the impression of one giving his assent to an account of creation; but the perfect tense exhibits the faith of one who is actually contemplating creation itself.

By the word of God ( $\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \iota \hat{\imath}$ ). Comp. Gen. i; Ps. xxxiii. 6; exviii. 5.

So that things which are seen were not made of things
 éval). For things which are seen; rend. that which is seen. For were not made rend. hath not been made. 'Eıs tò followed by the infinitive signifies result, not purpose. We perceive that the worlds have been framed by the word of God, so that (this being the case) that which is visible has not arisen out of that which is seen.* M $\grave{\eta}$ not negatives the remainder

[^193]of the clause taken as a whole. In other words, the proposition denied is, that which is seen arose out of visible things. By many early interpreters $\mu \grave{\eta}$ was transposed, and construed with $\phi a \iota \nu o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ alone, signifying "that which is seen has arisen from things which do not appear." These things were explained as chaos, the invisible creative powers of God, etc.

## 

 For the phrase see ch. ix. 14.A more excellent sacrifice ( $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} o \nu a \theta v \sigma l a \nu$ ). Greater in value in God's eyes. For $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ in this sense, see ch. iii. 3; Matt. vi. 25 ; L. xi. 31 ; xii. 23. In Paul never in this sense. Others explain a more abundant sacrifice, referring to the material character of the offerings. See Gen. iv. 4. But the difference between the offerings of Abel and Cain, considered in themselves, is largely a matter of speculation, and, as Lünemann justly remarks, such an interpretation accentuates unduly a purely external feature.*

By which he obtained witness ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\dagger} \varsigma \varsigma \notin \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ). Lit. was witnessed to, as ver. 2. The pronoun which may refer either to the sacrifice or to faith. Better the latter, as is apparent from ver. 2 , and probably from ver. 7; although the relation there is somewhat different.

[^194]Righteous ( $\delta$ iкatos). Abel is called righteous by Christ himself, Matt. xxiii. 35. Comp. 1 J. iii. 12. See on Rom. i. 17.

God testifying of his gifts ( $\mu \mathrm{a} \rho \tau \cup \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau 0$ è è $\pi \grave{\imath}$ тoîs $\delta \dot{\omega} \rho o \iota s$ aùzov̂ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ). Defining more specifically the general was witnessed to. God bore witness by his acceptance of the gifts. 'E $\pi i$ marks the fact on which the witness was based.

Yet speaketh (ët $\lambda \quad \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̂) . ~ C o m p . ~ G e n . ~ i v . ~ 10 . ~ S t i l l, ~$ although ages have passed since his death. Comp. ch. xii. 24. Not that his voice still cries to God (so Bleek and others), but that by his faith he still speaks to us in the O. T. Scriptures, though dead. Const. $\epsilon$ éc yet with $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ speaketh; not with being dead, in the logical sense, "even being dead," as Rom. iii. 7.*
5. Enoch. Gen. v. 21-24. Comp. Sir. xliv. 16 ; xlix. 14; Wisd. iv. 10.

Was translated ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ é $\eta$ ). The verb used of Enoch's translation, LXX, Gen. v. 24. In Acts vii. 16 of the transporting of the remains of Jacob and his sons to Sychem. In Gal. i. 6, of the sudden change in the religious attitude of the Galatians. In Heb. vii. 12, of the change in the priesthood.

That he should not see death (rov̂ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ îeî̀ $\theta$ ávarov). This may signify the purpose of his translation, but probably refers to the result. He was translated so that he did not see death. Comp. Matt. xxi. 32; Acts vii. 19; Rom. vii. 3. $\dagger$

Was not found because God had translated him (oủx
 Gen. v. 24. For had translated rend. translated.

He had this testimony ( $\mu \epsilon \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \tau a l$ ). Rev. properly preserves the force of the perfect tense, "he hath had witness borne to him." The testimony still stands on record.

[^195]That he pleased God. Rend. hath pleased. Comp. LXX, Gen. v. 22, 24. Faith was exhibited by Enoch in walking with God (comp. A. V. Gen. v. 22, "walked with God," and LXX, єن̉apé́ $\tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ pleased God). Faith creates close personal relation.
6. To please (ejuaf $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ ). The aorist gives the sense of at all, stating the verbal idea without time, as a universal proposition. Comp. Rom. viii. 8.

Cometh ( $\left.\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime}\right)$ ). See on ch. iv. 16. Must ( $\delta_{\epsilon i}$ ). An essential obligation. In the nature of the case. That he is (öтı eैбтıD). Faith in God involves belief in his existence although he is unseen.

Is a rewarder ( $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi o \delta o ́ \tau \eta s$ yivetal). Note the difference of the verb : not simply exists, but comes to pass as; proves to be, habitually, so that he who approaches God has, through faith, the assurance that his seeking God will result in good to himself. Mıб日atoסót $\overline{\mathrm{s}}$ rewarder, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. $\mu \iota \sigma \theta-$ aтоঠобía recompense of reward, ch. ii. 2 (note); x. 35 ; xi. 26.
 Lit. unto them that seek him out. Comp. Acts xv. 17 ; Heb. xii. 17; 1 Pet. i. 10. The verb is used of seeking God, Rom. iii. 11. God's beneficent will and attitude toward the seeker are not always apparent at the first approach. In such cases there is occasion for faith, in the face of delay, that diligent seeking will find its reward. One is reminded of Jesus' lessons on importunity in seeking God, L. xi. 5-10; xviii. 1-8.

[^196]It is not so, but so it looks; And we lose courage then;
And doubts will come if God hath kept His promises to men."

Faber.
7. Noah. Gen. vi.

Being warned of God ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ ). Of God is not in the text. See on Matt. ii. 12; L. ii. 26 ; Acts xi. 26 ; and comp. Heb. viii. 5.

Of things not seen as yet ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \pi \pi \omega \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu)$. Const. with $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \beta \eta \theta \varepsilon i s$, and rend. "by faith Noah, being warned, having reverent care concerning things not seen as yet, prepared an ark," etc. Thus $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ warned is taken absolutely.* The things not seen were the well-known contents of the revelation to Noah, Gen. vi. 13 ff ., as apprehended by Noab's faith.

Moved with fear ( $\epsilon \dot{u} \lambda a \beta \eta \theta \epsilon i s)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Often in Class. and LXX. See on $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \lambda \alpha^{\beta} \beta \iota a$ godly fear, ch. v. 7. The A. V. gives the impression that Noah acted under the influence of fright. Rev. improves on this a little by rendering godly fear. The true idea is pious care, a reverent circumspection with regard to things enjoined by God, and as yet unseen, yet coufideutly expected on the strength of God's word.

Prepared (кaтєбкєúarєv). Built and equipped. See on ch. iii. 3.

An ark ( $\kappa \iota \beta \omega \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ ). Originally, a wooden chest. Also of the ark of the covenant in the temple and tabernacle, as ch. ix. 4 ; Apoc. xi. 19. Of Noah's ark, Matt. xxiv. 38; L. xvii. 27; 1 Pet. iii. 20. ムápva $\xi$ a chest is found in Class.

[^197]in the same sense. Every classical scholar will recall the charming fragment of Simonides on Danae and her infant son Perseus exposed in an ark:
B $\rho \in \mathfrak{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \pi \nu \neq \omega \nu$ к. $\tau, \lambda$.

Also of the ark of Deucalion, the mythic Noah.
By the which ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\eta} s$ ). By faith : although some refer it to the ark.

He condemned the world (катéкрıvєข тò̀ кó⿱㇒日нор). His faith was exhibited in building the ark on the mere strength of God's declaration, while as yet there were no signs of the flood. By his faith thus manifested he announced the condemnation of the world to destruction. World is to be taken as in 2 Pet. ii. 5. It is not used in Hebrews in the ethical sense so common in John and Paul-the world as alien from God. The meaning of the statement is not that Noah condemned the conduct of his contemporaries by the contrast presented by his own faith, after the analogy of Matt. xii. 41; Rom. ii. 27.
 an independent clause, but is dependent on $\delta c^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \varsigma$ by which. It is connected by кai with the preceding clause, and the two clauses are parallel, describing the lot of Noah and his family. Became heir is practically = became partaker of. The literal sense of heir must not be pressed. Certainly not "inherited the righteousness of Abel and Enoch." But righteousness came to Noah in virtue of his intimate fellowship with God. Of him as of Enoch, it is said that "he walked with God," Gen. vi. 9. Because of this fellowship he was a son of God and an heir of righteousness.

Of the righteousness which is by faith ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \kappa \pi a \tau \grave{a} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ סıкaloov́vךs). In the O. T. Noah is the first to receive the title of סícalos righteous, Gen. vi. 9; comp. Ezek. xiv. 14, 20 ; Sir. xliv. 17. Katà míवтıv, lit. according to faith, comp.

Matt. ix. 29; Tit. i. 1, 4. Paul has סıкaıoбóvj and סiкalos from or out of faith ( $\epsilon \kappa \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), by faith ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), founded on faith ( $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ ), and of faith ( $\pi i \boldsymbol{i} \tau \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), none of which are found either in Hebrews or in the Pastorals. Kazà $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ signifies according to faith as a standard; but the conception at bottom is not essentially different from Paul's, unless there be imported into his conception the scholastic fiction of imputed righteousness. Paul, in Rom. iv, is at pains to show that the Christian conception of righteousness by faith has its parallel in Abraham, and that the doctrine of justification by faith is no new thing. Faith is the ground and the germ of righteousness. Our writer here lays down the absolute and universal standard of righteousness for the men of both dispensations-according to faith. Hence, like Paul, he cites the words of Hab. ii. 4. See ch. x. 38.
8. Paul exhibits faith as the element of personal righteousness in Abraham. In these verses (8-22) faith, according to the opening definition in this chapter, is that assurance and conviction of unseen things which caused Abraham and the patriarchs to rely confidently upon the future fulfilment of the divine promises.

When he was called to go out-obeyed ( $\kappa a \lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$
 should be construed with $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$ obeyed, and кадoú $\mu \epsilon \nu$ s being called is to be taken absolutely. Kaлov́ $\mu \in \nu o s$, the present participle, indicates Abraham's immediate obedience to the call : while he was yet being called. Rend. "when he was called obeyed to go out." The infinitive explains the more general obeyed, by specifying that in which his obedience was shown. For the construction, see Acts xv. 10; 1 Th. i. 9 ; Heb. v. 5. For the narrative, see Gen. xii. 1-6, and comp. Acts vii. 2-5.
 continued present tense, "whither he is going," as of Abraham on his journey.
9. He sojourned in ( $\pi a \rho \underline{\kappa} \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s)$. The verb lit. to dwell beside or among. Пápouкos, a foreigner dwelling in a state without rights of citizenship. In Class. only in the sense of neighbour. See on L. xxiv. 18. The verb of rest with the preposition of motion (only here) signifies that he went into the land and dwelt there. Usually with évin, but sometimes with the simple accusative, as L. xxiv. 18; Gen. xvii. 8; Ex. vi. 4.

Land of promise ( $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \bar{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ ías). Note the article, omitted in A. V., the promise: the land which was designated in the promise of God. See Gen. xii. 7; xiii. 15. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. There is no corresponding phrase in O. T.

Strange (ả à $1 o \tau \rho i a \nu$ ). Another (ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ ) land than his own. So LXX, Gen. xv. 13. Comp. Acts vii. 6.

In tabernacles ( $\bar{\nu} \nu \sigma \kappa \eta \nu a i ̂)$. Or tents, as a migratory people, without a permanent home.

The heirs with him ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ). Joint-heirs or fellow-heirs. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. See Rom. viii. 17 ; Eph. iii. 6 ; 1 Pet. iii. 7. The three, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, are mentioned because they cover the entire period of the sojourn in Canaan. Faith inspired these to endure patiently their unsettled life, since it assured them of a permanent home in the future.
10. For he looked for a city which hath foundations
 is impaired in A. V. by the omission of the articles, the city, the foundations. Passing over the immediate subject of God's promise to Abraham - his inheritance of the land in which he sojourns - the writer fastens the patriarch's faith upon the heavenly fulfilment of the promise-the perfected community of God, which, he assumes, was contained in the original promise. By the city he means the heavenly Jerusalem, and his statement is that Abraham's faith looked for-
ward to that. The idea of the new or heavenly Jerusalem was familiar to the Jews. See ch. xii. 22; xiii. 14; Gal. iv. 26 ; Apoc. iii. 12 ; xxi. 2. The Rabbins regarded it as an actual city. For the foundations comp. Apoc. xxi. 14. In ascribing to the patriarchs an assured faith in heaven as the end and reward of their wanderings, the writer oversteps the limits of history; but evidently imports into the patriarchal faith the contents of a later and more developed faith -that of himself and his readers.
 artificer, architect. Comp. Acts xix. 24 (note), 38; Apoc. xviii. 22, and LXX, 1 Chron. xxix. 5 ; Cant. vii. 1; Wisd. viii. 6 ; xiv. 2 ; Sir. ix. 17. $\Delta \eta \mu \iota o u \rho \gamma o ̀ s ~ N . ~ T . ~ o ~, ~ o r i g i n a l l y ~$ a workman for the public ( $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ ); generally, framer, builder. It is used by Xenophon and Plato of the maker of the world (Xen. Mem. i. 4, 9 ; Plato, Tim. 40 C ; Repub. 530 A). It was appropriated by the Neo-Platonists as the designation of God. To the Gnostics, the Demiurge was a limited, secondary God, who created the world ; since there was no possibility of direct contact between the supreme, incommunicable God and the visible world.
11. Sarah. Faith prevailing against natural impossibilities. See Rom. iv. 19-22. Both Abraham and Sarah doubted at first (Gen. xvii. 17 ; xviii. 12); but both became persuaded of the truthfulness of the promise.

Herself (aủt $\grave{\eta}$ ). She who at first doubted.
To conceive seed ( $\epsilon$ 's катаßо入̀̀ $\nu \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau o \varsigma$ ). In every other instance in N. T. кataßoд $\eta$ means foundation, and appears in the phrase катаßо入̀े кó $\mu_{0}$ foundation of the world. Originally it means throwing down; hence, the depositing of the male seed in the womb. The sentence may be explained either, "received strength as regarded the deposition of seed," to fructify it; or, "received strength for the foundation of a posterity," $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho \mu a$ being rendered in accord-
ance with ch. ii. 16 ; xi. 18, and $\kappa a \tau a \beta о \lambda \eta$ in the sense of foundation, as everywhere else in N. T.

And was delivered of a child when she was past age (кai тapà кaıpòv $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa l a s)$. Was delivered of a child not in the text. Kaì and that. Rend. "received strength," etc., "and that when she was past age." Пapà caıpòv $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a s, ~ l i t . ~$ past the season of age. For $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a$ see on stature, L. xii. 25.
12. As good as dead ( $\nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \mu e ́ v o v)$. Comp. Rom. iv. 19. As good as is an addition of A. V. The Greek reads and that a dead man. Comp. עéкршбь» deadness applied to Sarah, Rom. iv. 19.

Stars—sand. See Gen. xxii. 17; xxxii. 12.
By the seashore ( $\pi a \rho a ̀$ tò $\chi \epsilon i \lambda \lambda o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma) . ~ L i t . ~ b y ~$ the lip of the sea. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Very often in LXX, as Gen. xxii. 17; Ex. xiv. 30 : lip of a river, Gen. xli. 17; Ex. vii. 15: of a brook, Dent. ii. 36; iii. 12: of Jordan, 2 K. ii. 13. So in Class. The vigour thus supernaturally imparted to Abraham does not appear to have exhausted itself in the generation of Isaac ; since, according to Gen. xxv. 2, Abraham became by Keturah the father of six sons after the death of Sarah.
13. In faith (катà míбtıv). See on ver. 7.

Not having received ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \nu 0 \iota$ ). See on ch. x. 36. They died according to faith, inasmuch as they did not receive. They died under the regimen of faith, and not of sight. For the phrase $\kappa o \mu i \zeta \epsilon \ell \nu$ tàs è èravye入ías to receive the promises, comp. ch. x. 36 ; xi. 39.

Having seen them afar off ( $\pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ aủtàs ióóvтes). By faith; from afar.

Were persuaded of them and embraced them ( $\dot{a} \sigma \pi a \sigma-$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota)$. The A. V. completely destroys the beauty of this
verse. It reads were persuaded, following T. R. $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, and translates $\dot{a} \sigma \pi a \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ e m b r a c e d, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ a ~ s o r t ~ o f ~ i n f e r-~$ ential rendering of the original sense to salute or greet. Rend. "having seen them from afar and greeted them": as seamen wave their greeting to a country seen far off on the horizon, on which they cannot land. Lünemann appropriately quotes Virgil, Aen. iii. 522 :

> "Cum procul obscuros collis humilemque videmus Italiam. Italiam primus conclamat Achates, Italiam laeto socii clamore salutant.""

Confessed that they were strangers and pilgrims ( $\dot{\mu} \mu-$
 accepted the fact with the resignation of faith, and with the assurance of future rest. Comp. Gen. xxiii. 4; xxiv. 37; xxviii. 4 ; xlvii. 9 ; Ps. xxxix. 12; cxix. 19, 54. For $\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi i-$ $\delta \eta \mu o \iota$ sojourners, see on 1 Pet. i. 1. In the anonymous Epistle to Diognetus, an apologetic letter, probably of the second century, and one of the gems of early Christian literature, occur the following words concerning Christians: "They inhabit their own country, but as sojourners: they take part in all things as citizens, and endure all things as aliens : every foreign country is theirs, and every country is foreign."
 Occasionally in LXX. Rend. "make it manifest."

They seek a country ( $\pi a \tau \rho \ell \delta a \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu)$. The verb is found in LXX, chiefly in the sense of seeking after God or another deity. See 2 K. i. 3, 6; iii. 11; viii. 8; xxii. 18 ;
 after (Zion), Isa. lxii. 12. Matpís is a native country; a fatherland. Only here and in Gospels and Acts. Quite often in LXX.
15. If they had been mindful ( $\left.\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \mu \rho^{\prime} \nu \epsilon v o \nu\right)$. In N. T. habitually remember. So invariably in LXX. The meaning here is, that if, in their declaration (ver. 14) that they were
seeking a country, they had called to mind the country from which they came out, they could have returned thither, so that it is evident that they did not mean that country.*

To have returned (àvaкá $\mu \Psi a l)$. Rend. "to return." Lit. bend their way back again (áva).
16. Now they desire ( $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ó óéròtal). N $\hat{v} \nu$ now is logical : as the case now stands. For ópéróvtal desire, see on 1 Tim. iii. 1.

Is not ashamed (oùk émaloxúvetal). Because they have commended themselves to God by their faith, so that he acknowledges them as his own. Comp. ch. ii. 11; Mk. viii. 28, 38 ; Rom. i. 16; 2 Tim. i. 8, 16.

To be called their God ( $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ e ̀ m \iota \kappa а \lambda \epsilon i ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu) . ~ L i t . ~$ to be surnamed. Comp. Acts iv. 36 ; x. $5,18,32$. God was called the God of Abraham, of Isaac, and of Jacob. See Ex. iii. 6.

For he hath prepared for them a city ( $\dot{\eta} \tau o(\mu a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ y $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ aủzoîs $\pi \mathrm{o}^{\prime} \lambda(\nu)$. Comp. Matt. xxv. 34 ; J. xiv. 2; Apoc. xxi. 2. City is significant, as showing that the fulfilment of God's promise lies in introducing them into the perfection of social life. Comp. Apoc. iii. 12; xxi. 2, 10; xxii. 19.

[^198]17. When he was tried offered up ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\nu} о \chi \in \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \zeta$ ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ ). The full sense of the statement is missed in A.V. The meaning is that while the trial is yet in progress, Abraham hath already offered up his son, before the trial has come to an issue, by the act of his obedient will, through faith in God. Comp. Jas. ii. 21.

He that had received ( $\dot{a} \dot{a} \nu a \delta \epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \varsigma$ ). The verb only here and Acts xxviii. 7. It means to accept; to welcome and entertain. So Rev. gladly received.

Accounting ( $\lambda_{0 \gamma \iota \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu}$ ) ). See on 1 Pet. v. 12 ; Rom.iv. 5 ; viii. 18.

From whence ( $\left.{ }_{0} \theta \epsilon \nu\right)$. Rend. wherefore: because of his faith in God's power and truthfulness. "O $\theta \in \nu$, though occasionally in a local sense in N. T., as Matt. xii. 44 ; L. xi. 24 ; Acts xiv. 26, is much more common in the logical or causal sense, wherefore, on which account. So in every other instance in Hebrews. In the local sense it would mean from the dead.

Also he received him in a figure (aủtòv caì ėv mapaßo入ĥn éконíбато). Kai marks the receiving as answering to the faith. As Abraham believed in God's power to restore Isaac, so, because of his faith, he also received him. For '́коді́бато received see on ch. x. 36. ' ' $\nu \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi a \rho a \beta о \lambda \hat{p}$ in a parable. Since the sacrifice did not take place as a literal slaughter, there could not be a literal restoration from death. There was a real offering in Abraham's will, but not a real death of Isaac. Isaac's death took place symbolically, in the sacrifice of the ram : correspondingly, the restoration was only a symbolic restoration from the dead. Some expositors, among whom is Westcott, explain thus: Abraham accounted that God was able to raise Isaac from the dead, from which he received him at birth, in that Isaac sprung from one dead ( $\nu \in \nu є \kappa \rho \omega \mu \in ́ v o u$, ver. 12). This is extremely laboured and artificial.*

[^199]20. Blessed (củ入ór $\eta \sigma \tau \nu$ ). See on J. xii. 13.

Concerning things to come (кaì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \hat{\sigma}^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). A.V. omits $\kappa a i$ which gives an emphasis to the following words. Isaac pronounced a blessing, and that concerning things to come; things beyond the lifetime of Jacob and Esau. See Gen. xxvii. 29, 39. The blessing was an act of faith. Isaac's confidence in the power of his blessing to convey the good which it promised was "the assurance of things hoped for, the conviction of things not seen," founded on the promise of Gen. xvii. 5 .
21. When he died (ámo $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ ). Rend. "when dying." It is quite superfluous to explain this as emphasising the strength in contrast with the weakness of approaching death; or that, in the birth of Joseph's two sons before Jacob's death, Jacob discerned a monition to adopt them into the direct line of his own sons. The meaning is simply that these events took place in Jacob's last hours.

Blessed each (ě̌кабтоע єủ入ó $\gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). See Gen. xlviii. 17-20. Each son received a separate and distinct blessing, although Joseph had expected only one common blessing for both. Jacob's discernment of faith appeared in this, as in the precedence assigned to the younger son.

And worshipped leaning on the top of his staff (кai
 of Gen. xlvii. 31. It seems to have been loosely included by our writer among the incidents of Jacob's last hours (á $\boldsymbol{a} \pi 0 \theta-$ $\nu \eta \sigma \kappa \kappa \nu)$, although it belongs to a different part of the nar-

[^200]rative. The promise given by Joseph to remove his father's remains to the family sepulchre may have been regarded as preparatory to the blessing, or introduced in order to emphasise the devotional character of the entire proceeding. The words upon the head of his staff are from the LXX; the Hebrew being "Jacob bowed himself upon the head of the bed." Comp. 1 K. i. 47. According to its vowel-points the same Hebrew word signifies either staff or bed. The LXX has chosen the former, and renders by $\rho^{\prime} \beta \delta^{\prime} \delta_{o s}$ staff. According to the Hebrew, the meaning is that Jacob, having been sitting during the conversation, lay down when it was finished, probably overcome by weakness, and breathing a prayer as he fell back on his pillow.*
22. When he died ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Comp. Gen. 1. 26, LXX. The verb means to finish or close, with life understood. Always in this sense in N. T. See Matt. ii. 19; ix. 18; L. vii. 2, etc. Never used by Paul. Rend. "when near his end."

Made mention of ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i}$ - $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \mu o ́ \nu \epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). See on ver. 15. A.V. has remembered in marg. Remembered is appropriate here. Joseph on his death-bed remembered the promise of God to give the land of Canaan to the seed of Abraham (Gen. xii. 7; xiii. 15 ; xv. 7), and also the prediction to Abraham that his descendants should pass four hundred years in bondage in a strange land, and should afterward be brought out thence, Gen. xv. 13, 14.

The departing of the children of Israel ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \bar{\dagger}{ }^{\epsilon} \xi^{\prime} \delta \dot{\delta} o v \tau \hat{\omega}$


[^201]2 Pet. i. 15 (note). 'Oc vioi 'I $\sigma \rho a \grave{\eta} \lambda$ is one of several phrases in N. T. denoting the chosen people. There are also house (oiros) and people ( $\lambda$ aòs) of Israel, and Israel of God, and Israel according to the flesh.

And gave commandment (каì ėveтєìдato). Kaì and so; in consequence of his remembering the prophecy of the exodus. The verb indicates a specific injunction ('̇ $\left.\nu \tau 0 \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}\right)$. See on 1 Tim. vi. 14.
23. Of his parents (ívì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu a \dot{\tau} \tau o \hat{v})$. Lit. by his fathers. Comp. Ex. ii. 2. Пatépes fathers, according to a late Greek usage, is employed like yoveîs parents. Similarly the Lat. patres and soceri, including both parents, or father and mother in law.

Proper (á áceiov). Only here and Acts vii. 20, on which see note. Rend. "comely."

Commandment ( $\delta$ cá $\tau а \gamma \mu a) . ~ N . ~ T . ~^{\circ}$. Rend. "mandate."
24. When he was come to years ( $\mu$ '́yas $\gamma є \nu \nu^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ). Lit. having become great. Comp. LXX, Ex. ii. 11. Often in the phrase $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o i ̀ ~ \kappa a i ~ \mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda o c ~ s m a l l ~ a n d ~ g r e a t ; ~ y o u n g ~ a n d ~ o l d . ~$ See Acts xxvi. 22; Heb. viii. 11; Apoc. xi. 8; xiii. 16, etc.
25. To suffer affliction with ( $\sigma v \nu \kappa a \kappa o v \chi \epsilon i \sigma \theta a i$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$, ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. The verb кaкov $\chi \in i \nu \nu$ to treat ill, ver. 37; ch. xiii. 3; LXX, 1 K. ii. 26 ; xi. 39 . Rend. "to be evil entreated."

Than to enjoy the pleasures of $\sin$ for a season ( $\bar{\eta} \pi \rho \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \sigma$ -
 enjoyment of sin. The emphasis is first on temporary and then on sin. For ámódavaıs enjoyment, see on 1 Tim. vi. 17. Про́бкаєроs for a season, temporary, rare in N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Once in Paul, see 2 Cor. iv. 18.
26. Esteeming the reproach of Christ ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu o s$ tò
 his choice of affliction instead of sin: since he esteemed. "The reproach of Christ" is the reproach peculiar to Christ; such as he endured. The writer uses it as a current form of expression, colouring the story of Moses with a Christian tinge. Comp. Rom. xv. 3; Heb. xiii. 13; 2 Cor. i. 5 ; Col. i. 24; Philip. iii. 14; 1 Pet. iv. 14. The phrase is applied to Moses as enduring at the hands of the Egyptians and of the rebellious Israelites the reproach which any faithful servant of God will endure, and which was endured in a notable way by Christ.

He had respect unto (á $\left.\pi \epsilon^{\beta} \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s\right)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Lit. he looked away (from the treasures of Egypt, etc.) unto the recompense.
 had killed the Egyptian, Ex. ii. 15. Not in the general exodus. The historical order of events is preserved: the flight to Midian, the Passover, the Exodus, the passage of the Red Sea.

The wrath (ròv $\theta v \mu o ̀ \nu)$. Only here in Hebrews. See on J. iii. 36.

He endured (éкартép ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Occasionally in LXX. Often in Class. He was stanch and steadfast.

As seeing him who is invisible ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ ảópatov $\dot{\omega} s \delta_{\rho} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Since he saw, etc. The emphasis is on invisible, pointing back to the introductory definition of faith. The word is used of God, Col. i. 15; 1 Tim. i. 17.
 "hath instituted the passover." The perfect tense indicates the continued significance of the service down to the time of writing. The phrase $\pi o t \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu ~ \tau \grave{o ̀ ~} \pi a^{\prime} \sigma \chi \alpha$ in N. T. only here and

to eat the Passover. See Matt. xxvi. 17; Mk. xiv. 12; L. xxii. 11. Пoєєiv tò $\pi a^{\prime} \sigma \chi a$ unquestionably means to keep or celebrate the Passover, as Matt. xxvi. 18; Ex. xii. 48; Num. ix. 2, 4, 6, 10, 13 ; Deut. xvi. 1: but the verb is elastic. The corresponding Hebrew verb שָׁw, among other meanings, signifies to create (Gen. i. 7; ii. 2); to establish (Eccl. ii. $5,6,8$ ); to constitute ( 1 K . xii. 31, 32); to make reody or prepare (Judg. xiií. 15; to prepare as a sacrifice (Ps. lxvi. 15). In all these instances it is rendered in LXX by moteiv. In
 or dinner. Accordingly moteîv may properly be used here of the instituting of the Passover. Moreover the two following clauses clearly indicate that the writer is referring to the original institution.

The sprinkling of blood ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ тô $\left.a^{\prime \prime} \mu a \tau \sigma \varsigma\right)$. Прór $\chi$ vots affusion, N. T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class. From $\pi \rho o \sigma \chi \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ to pour on. In the post-Exodus legislation the blood which, in the original institution, was sprinkled on the door-posts and lintels (Ex. xii. 22), was thrown upon the altar (Deut. xvi. 6), and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \in \hat{i} \nu$ in LXX is used of this act almost without exception. See Ex. xxiv. 6; xxix. 16; Lev. i. 5, 11; iii. $2,8,13$, etc.

Lest he that destroyed the first-born should touch them
 the destroyer of the first-born should not touch them," a rendering which brings out more sharply the preventive purpose of the sprinkling of blood. 'O入oӨpev́cil to destroy, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. ' $\mathrm{O} \dot{\boldsymbol{o} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} o \theta \rho \epsilon} \mathbf{v} \omega \nu$ is used in the narrative of Ex. xi. 23 for the destroying angel. The kindred noun odo$\theta_{\rho \in u \tau} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ s destroyer ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class.) occurs in 1 Cor. x. 10 of the plague in Num. xvi. 46-50. For $\theta$ íyn should touch, see on Col. ii. 21.
29. Passed through ( $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \beta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ). Only three times in N. T. See L. xvi. 26; Acts xvi. 9. The simple $\beta$ aivel does not occur in N. T.
voL. Iv. - 34

The Red Sea ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'E $\rho v \theta \rho \grave{a} \nu$ ©á $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ ). Called by the Israelites the sea, Ex. xiv. 2, 9, 16, 21, 28, etc., and, specially, the sea of Sûph (sedge, seeds). In LXX always as here except Judg. xi. 16, where it is $\theta \dot{a} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \Sigma i \phi$, i.e. $S \hat{u}$ ph. By the Greeks the name was at first applied to the whole ocean from the coast of Ethiopia to the island of Taprobana or Ceylon. Afterward, when they learned of the existence of an Indian Ocean, they applied the name merely to the sea below Arabia, and to the Arabian and Persian gulfs.
 oi 'Aıfúttiol). The A.V. has assaying, according to the older English usage. Assay is now chiefly used of the testing of precious metals; but in the sense of try it is found in Piers Ploughman, Gower, Chaucer, Shakespeare. Lit. of which (sea) the Egyptians having taken trial. The phrase $\pi \epsilon i ̂ p a \nu \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ to take trial occurs also in LXX, Deut. xxviii. 56. In N. T. only here and ver. 36.

Were drowned (катєтd́O ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu$ ). Lit. were drunk down. See on Matt. xxiii. 24. Comp. LXX, Ex. xv. 4, and in N. T. 1 Cor. xv. 54; 2 Cor. ii. 7; v. 4.
30. Compassed about (кvк $\lambda \omega \theta$ évta). Comp. L. xxi. 20; J. x. 24. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$.
31. The harlot Rahab ( ${ }{ }^{(P a ̀ ̀} \beta$ $\left.\dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \rho \nu \eta\right)$. See Josh. ii; vi. 17, and comp. Jas. ii. 25. Rahab's occupation is stated without mincing, and the lodging of the spies at her house was probably not a matter of accident. Very amusing are the efforts of some earlier expositors to evade the fact of a harlot's faith, by rendering $\pi \delta^{\prime} \rho \nu \eta$ landlady.

Perished not with (ov̀ $\sigma v \nu a \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \tau o$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. In LXX see Num. xvi. 26 ; Ps. xxv. 9 ; xxvii. 3.

Them that believed not ( $\tau 0 i \hat{s}$ à $\pi \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Rend. "them that were disobedient." Simple disbelief is expressed by
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ a$ : disbelief as it manifests itself in disobedience, by $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu$. 'A $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ is $\dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ on its active side. See on J. iii. 36, and comp. Heb. iii. 18; iv. 6, 11; Rom. xi. 30, 32, contrasting with Rom. xi. 20, 23. 'A $\boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \nu$ here describes the failure to be persuaded that God had given the land to the Israelites, and the consequent refusal to surrender Jericho. Rahab's faith is shown Josh. ii. 9-11.

When she had received the spies ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ тov̀s катабко́тоvs). Rend. "having received." For this sense of friendly reception as a guest see L. x. 8, 10. Katáбкотоs a spy, N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, Gen. xlii. 9, 11, 14; 1 Sam , xxvi. 4.

With peace ( $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \rho \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \zeta$ ). The phrase only here and Acts xv. 33. Quite often in LXX, as Gen. xv. 15; xxvi. 29; Ex. xviii. 23; Deut. xx. 20; Judg. viii. 9. In N. T. $\boldsymbol{e ́ v}_{\nu}$
 peace (Mk.v. 34 ; L. vii. 50 ; viii. 48); both these very often in LXX. Rahab received the spies without enmity, and did not allow them to suffer harm from others. An interesting parallel is furnished by Dante, Purg. ii. 99, in the case of the pilot-angel who conveys souls to the shore of Purgatory.

> "He, sooth to say, for three months past has taken
> Whoever wished to enter, with all peace" (without interposing any obstacle.)*
32. To tell ( $\delta \iota \eta \gamma o v(\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu)$. Lit. the time will fail me telling: if I tell. See on Mk. ix. 9, and comp. Mk. v. 16 ; L. viii. 39; ix. 10 ; Acts ix. 27, and $\delta \iota \eta \eta^{\gamma} \eta \sigma \iota$ s narrative (A. V. declaration), L. i. 1 .

Gideon, etc. These names of the four judges are not enumerated in chronological order. Samuel is closely connected with David as in the history, but with $\tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{\imath}$ as introducing the new order of the prophets.

[^202]33. Through faith ( $\delta \iota a ̀$ $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). This formula is now substituted for the instrumental dative $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon$ by faith. The reason for the change cannot perhaps be accurately formulated, but will be appreciated by one who feels the Greek idiom, as better suiting the more general illustrations which follow.

Subdued kingdoms (катךүш ícavтo $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ías). The verb N. T. ${ }^{0},{ }^{\circ}$ LXX, signifies fought down; overcame by struggle, as Barak, Judg. iv; Gideon, Judg. vii ; Jephthah, Judg. xi ; David, 2 Sam. v.
 phrase comp. Acts x. 35. Referring not merely to their personal virtues, but to the public exercise of these as leaders, as 2 Sam. viii. 15; 1 Chron. xviii. 14 ; 1 Sam. xii. 4. Faith showed itself in the association of righteousness with power. Comp. Isa. ix. 7; liv. 14; 1 K. х. 9.
 vi. 15.

Stopped ( $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \rho a \xi a \nu\right)$. The verb means to fence in; block up. Rare in N. T. See Rom. iii. 19; 2 Cor. xi. 10, and comp. фрaymós a fence, Matt. xxi. 33 ; Eph. ii. 14. Occasionally in LXX, as Job xxxviii. 8; Prov. xxi. 13; Zech. xiv. 5. The reference is no doubt to Daniel, Dan. vi. 22; comp. 1 Macc. ii. 60.
34. Quenched the violence of fire ( $\left.{ }^{\ell} \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma a \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu a \mu \nu \nu \pi \nu \rho o ́ s\right)$. Rend. "the power of fire." Reference to the three Hebrews, Dan. iii ; comp. 1 Macc. ii. 59.

Edge of the sword ( $\sigma \tau о \mu a \tau a \mu a \chi a i \rho \eta$ ). Lit. mouths of the sword. See on ch. iv. 12. The plural edges indicates frequent assaults.

Out of weakness (ảmò à $\sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \epsilon$ éas). Rend. "from weakness." For the sense of ámò from, see L. v. 15. The mean-
ing is not confined to sickness, as in the case of Hezekiah ( $2 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{xx}$; Isa. xxxviii ). The main reference is probably to Samson, Judg. xvi. 28 ff.

The armies of the aliens ( $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \dot{a} s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho i ́ \omega \nu)$. Omit both the's in translation. For $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta$ o $\lambda$ às see on Acts xxi. 34. Very often in LXX. Aliens, foreign foes or invaders.
35. Women. The recorded raisings from the dead are mostly for women. See 1 K . xvii. 17 ff .; 2 K . iv. 17 ff . Comp. L. vii. 11 ff. ; J. xi ; Acts ix. The reference here is to the first two.

Raised to life again ( $\epsilon \xi \xi \dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Rend. " by a resurrection"; and for the force of $\hat{\epsilon} \xi$ comp. Rom. i. 4.*

Were tortured ( $̇$ év $\mu \pi a \nu i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX once, 1 Sam. xxi. 13. Originally to beat a drum ( $\tau$ ú $\mu \pi a \nu o \nu$ ). Hence to beat, to cudgel. The A. V. of 1 Sam . xxi. 13, describing the feigned madness of David, renders $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu \mu \pi a \dot{\nu} \iota \zeta \epsilon \nu$ "he scrabbled on the doors of the gate," meaning that he beat the doors like a madman. Tú $\mu \pi a \nu o \nu$ means $a d r u m$ or $a$ drumstick; hence a cudgel; so Aristoph. Plut. 476, where it is associated with $\kappa$ v́ $\phi \omega \nu$ a pillory. Comp. 2 Macc. vi. 19, 28. The meaning here is, were beaten to death with clubs, the word being used to represent cruel torture in general.
 $\sigma(\nu)$. For the verb, see on ch. x. 34. The ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ) deliverance offered at the price of denying their faith. See 2 Macc. vi. 21-27.

A better resurrection (крєі́ттodos à ${ }^{2} a \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Better than a resurrection like those granted to the women above mentioned, which gave merely a continuation of life on earth. Comp. 2. Macc. vii. 9, 14.

[^203]36. Of cruel mockings ( $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi a \iota \gamma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. Rare in LXX. Cruel is an insertion of A. V. Rend. "of mockings." ' $\mathrm{E} \mu \pi a \iota \gamma \mu o v \grave{\eta}$ mockery ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class.) is found 2 Pet. iii. 3 (note); and $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi a t \kappa \pi \eta$ s mocker or scoffer, 2 Pet. iii. 3; Jude 18. 'E $\mu \pi a i \zeta_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ to mock is quite frequent in the Synoptic Gospels, and occurs also in LXX.
37. They were stoned ( $\left.\grave{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \theta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu\right)$. A characteristic Jewish punishment. See 2 Chron. xxiv. 20; Matt. xxiii. 37; J. x. 31 ; Acts v. 26 ; vii. 59 ; xiv. 19. The verb $\lambda_{\iota} \theta_{o} \beta$ o $\lambda \epsilon i \bar{y}$ is also used in Matthew, Luke, and Acts, and once in this epistle, xii. 20.

Were sawn asunder ( $\epsilon \pi \rho i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. As Isaiah, according to tradition.

Were tempted ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ). If the reading is correct, which seems probable, the reference is probably to inducements offered them to abandon their loyalty to God. It has seemed to many out of place, because occurring in the midst of a list of different forms of violent death.*
38. Of whom the world was not worthy ( $\omega \nu$ oủ火 $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\nu}$ ả६ıos ó $\kappa \dot{\prime} \sigma \mu 0 \varsigma)$. This clause falls into the series of participles which precedes it; the form of the relative sentence being adopted because of the lack of a proper participial phrase to express the statement. At the same time it prepares the way for the following clause in which the participial construction is resumed. Rend. "they went about in sheepskins and goatskins, being destitute, afflicted, evil-entreated, men of whom the world was not worthy, wandering in deserts," etc. By the world ( $\kappa$ ó $\sigma \mu \circ$ ) is not meant the corrupt world, as in John and Paul (see on ver. 7), but the world considered as an economy which was unworthy of these, because ruled by sense and not by faith. Their plane of life was higher.

[^204]They wandered ( $\pi \lambda a \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ ). Lit. wandering or straying, apart from the homes and the intercourse of men.

Caves of the earth (oं $\pi a \hat{i} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ). 'O $\pi{ }^{\prime} \eta$ only here and Jas. iii. 11. It means a hole; primarily a place through which one can see (ö $\quad(\omega \pi \pi a)$. In LXX the cleft of the rock in which God placed Moses, Ex. xxxiii. 22: a window, a latticed opening, Eccl. xii. 3 : the eye-socket, Zech. xiv. 12: a hole in the wall, Ezek. viii. 7: a hole in a tree, 4 Macc. xiv. 16.
39. Having obtained a good report ( $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma)$. Rend. "having had witness borne to them." See on ver. 2.
40. Having provided ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \epsilon \Psi \uparrow a \mu e ́ v o v)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$.

For us ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). The better thing is for us. It was not for them : they lived in the assurance of a future time better than their own, and in this assurance of faith, did their work and bore their burden in their own time. It is one of the achievements of faith to be cheerfully willing to be only a stage to some better thing which we cannot share.

That they without us should not be made perfect (iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\left.\chi \omega \rho i s \dot{\eta}^{\mu} \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu\right)$. Each successive stage of history gathers up into itself the fruit of preceding stages. This passage teaches the solidarity of humanity in its work as well as in itself. The man of the present requires the work and suffering and achievement of the men of the past to complete him and his work. The future men will, in like manner, require the work and suffering and achievement of the men of to-day to complete them. The whole creation, in all its successive aeons, moves together toward

> "The one far-off, divine event."

## CHAPTER XII.

1. Therefore (rocyapoû̀). An emphatic particle, strongly affirming the facts on which the following exhortation is based.

We also are compassed (кai $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \hat{i}$ ). According to this the sense would be, those described in ch. xi were compassed with a cloud of witnesses, and we also are so compassed. Wrong. The we also should be construed with let us run. "Therefore let us also (as they did) run our appointed race with patience."

Seeing we are compassed about with so great a cloud
 pav). Lit. having so great a cloud of witnesses lying around
 the entire visible space of the heavens, and therefore without definite form, or a single large mass in which definite outlines are not emphasised or distinguished. It thus differs from $\nu \epsilon \phi e ́ \lambda \eta$, which is a detached and sharply outlined cloud. Né́ $\phi o s$ is therefore more appropriate to the author's image, which is that of a vast encompassing and overhanging mass. The use of cloud for a mass of living beings is familiar in poetry. Thus Homer, a cloud of footmen (Il. xxiii. 133): of Trojans (Il. xvi. 66). Themistocles, addressing the Athenians, says of the host of Xerxes, "we have had the fortune to save both ourselves and Greece by repelling so great a cloud of men " (Hdt. viii. 109). Spenser, F. Q. i. 1, 23 :
"A cloud of cumbrous gnattes doe him molest."
Milton, Par. L. i. 340 :

> "A pitchy cloud of locusts."

Witnesses ( $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ ) does not mean spectators, but those who have borne witness to the truth, as those enumerated in ch. xi. Yet the idea of spectators is implied, and is really the principal idea. The writer's picture is that of an arena in which the Christians whom he addresses are contending in a race, while the vast host of the heroes of faith who, after having borne witness to the truth, have entered into their heavenly rest, watches the contest from the encircling tiers of the arena, compassing and overhanging it like a cloud, filled with lively interest and sympathy, and lending heavenly aid. How striking the contrast of this conception with that of Kaul-
bach's familiar "Battle of the Huns," in which the slain warriors are depicted rising from the field and renewing the fight in the upper air with aggravated fury.
 in Class. Sometimes metaphorically of a person, dignity, importance, pretension: of a writer's style, loftiness, majesty, impressiveness. Rend. "encumbrance," according to the figure of the racer who puts away everything which may hinder his running. So the readers are exhorted to lay aside every worldly hindrance or embarrassment to their Christian career.
 татоу $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i ́ a \nu)$. Kai adds to the general encumbrance a specific encumbrance or hindrance. 'Evieplotatos N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, ${ }^{0}$ LXX, ${ }^{0}$ Class. From ev่ readily, deftly, cleverly, and $\pi \epsilon \rho t i \neq-$ $\tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$ to place itself round. Hence, of a sin which readily or easily encircles and entangles the Christian runner, like a long, loose robe clinging to his limbs. Beset is a good rendering, meaning to surround. In earlier English especially of surrounding crowns, etc., with jewels. So Gower, Conf. Am. i. 127:
"With golde and riche stones beset."
Shakespeare, Two Gent. Ver. v. 3 :
"The thicket is beset; he cannot 'scape."
The sin may be any evil propensity. The sin of unbelief naturally suggests itself here.
 passive endurance and active persistence. See on 2 Pet. i. 6, and Jas. v. 7. For this use of $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ with, see on ch. ix. 11.

The race ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \hat{\nu} a$ ). Instead of a specific word for race ( $\delta \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu о \varsigma$ ), the general term contest is used. For $\pi \rho о к е \epsilon^{\prime} \mu е \nu о \nu$ set before, see on ch. vi. 18.
2. Looking (ả $\phi$ a $\omega \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ). Only here and Philip. ii. 23. In LXX see 4 Macc. xvii. 10. Looking away from every-
thing which may distract. Comp. Philip. iii. 13, 14, and $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$ he had respect, lit. looked away, Heb. xi. 26. Wetstein cites Arrian, Epictet. ii. 19, 29 : єis тò̀ $\theta \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu$ ảфор $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 thing small and great.

Jesus. Having presented a long catalogue of witnesses under the old covenant, he now presents Jesus, the mediator of the new covenant, and the supreme witness. See Apoc. i. 5 ; iii. 14 ; 1 Tim. vi. 13 .

The author and finisher of our faith ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ á $\rho \chi \eta \gamma \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \grave{\imath} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau \tau \grave{\eta} \nu)$. The A. V. is misleading, and narrows the scope of the passage. For author, rend. leader or captain, and see on ch. ii. 10. For finisher, rend. perfecter. For our faith, rend. faith or the faith. Not our Christian faith, but faith absolutely, as exhibited in the whole range of believers from Abel to Christ. Christ cannot be called the author or originator of faith, since the faith here treated existed and worked before Christ. Christ is the leader or captain of faith, in that he is the perfecter of faith. In himself he furnished the perfect development, the supreme example of faith, and in virtue of this he is the leader of the whole believing host in all time. Notice the recurrence of the favorite idea of perfecting. Comp. ch. ii. 10; v. 9; vi. 1; vii. 11, 19, 28 ; ix. 9 ; x. 1, 14; xi. 40. T T $\lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \tau$ 'ुs perfecter, N. T. ${ }^{\mathbf{o}},{ }^{0} \mathrm{LXX},{ }^{\circ}$ Class.

For the joy that was set before him ( $\dot{a} \nu \tau i=\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о к є \iota-~$ $\mu e ́ v \eta s$ aùtê $\chi a \rho \hat{a}$ ). 'A $A \tau i$ in its usual sense, in exchange for. Прокєє $\mu$ év $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ s lying before, present. The joy was the full, divine beatitude of his preincarnate life in the bosom of the Father ; the glory which he had with God before the world was. In exchange for this he accepted the cross and the shame. The contrast is designed between the struggle which, for the present, is alone set before the readers (ver. 1), and the joy which was already present to Christ. The heroic character of his faith appears in his renouncing a joy already
in possession in exchange for shame and death. The passage thus falls in with Philip. ii. 6-8.*

The cross ( $\sigma$ тavoò $)$ ). Comp. Philip. ii. 8. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Originally an upright stake or pale. $\Sigma_{\text {tavpồv to drive down a }}$ stake; to crucify. Comp. the use of $\xi \dot{\jmath} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ wood or tree for the cross, Acts v. 30 ; x. 39 ; 1 Pet. ii. 24 . See on L. xxiii. 31.

The shame (ai $\sigma \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ) . Attendant upon a malefactor's death.

Is set down, etc. See ch.i. 3,13 ; viii. $1 ;$ x. 12. Notice the tenses: endured, aorist, completed: hath sat down, perfect, he remains seated and reigning.
3. For consider (à $\nu a \lambda a \gamma i ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho)$ ). Гàp for introduces the reason for the exhortation to look unto Jesus. Look unto him, for a comparison with him will show you how much more he had to endure than you have. 'Avadori! ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. 3 Macc. vii. 7. It means to reckon up; to consider in the way of comparison.

Contradiction of sinners (ívò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau i \lambda o \gamma i ́ a \nu)$. Contradiction or gainsaying. See on ch. vi. 16, and comp. ch. vii. 7. See on gainsaying, Jude 11. Of sinners, ímò by, at the hands of.

Against himself (eis eavzovs). According to this text we should render "against themselves." Comp. Num. xvi. 38.

[^205]The explanation will then be that Christ endured the gainsaying of sinners, who, in opposing him, were enemies of their own souls. The reading éavtoùs, however, is doubtful, and both Tischendorf and Weiss read éautò himself, which I prefer.

Lest ye be wearied and faint in your minds ( ${ }^{i} \nu a \mu{ }_{\eta}$
 weary, fainting in your minds." 'E $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \ell \nu$ is to loosen; hence, to relax, exhaust. So often in LXX. See Deut. xx. 3; Judg. viii. 15; 1 Sam. xiv. 28. Comp. Matt. xv. 32; Mk. viii. 3; Gal. vi. 9.
4. Unto blood ( $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota \varsigma a^{\prime} \mu$ Ï $\mu \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ). Your strife against sin has not entailed the shedding of your blood, as did that of many of the O. T. worthies, and of Jesus himself. See ch. xi. 35, 37. Of Jesus it is said, Philip. ii. 8, "he became obedient to the extent of death ( $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota$ Aavárov). Comp. 2 Macc. xiii. 14.

Striving against sin ( $\pi \rho o \grave{s} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i ́ a \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota)$. The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, 4 Macc. xvii. 14. Sin is personified.
5. Ye have forgotten (éc $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Common in Class., ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. The simple verb $\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ means to escape notice; to be unseen or unknown. Middle and passive, to let a thing escape; forget. Some render interrogatively, "have ye forgotten?"

Speaketh unto you ( $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \delta i a \lambda$ é $ধ \epsilon \tau a l$ ). The verb always in the sense of mutual converse or discussion. See Mk. ix. 34; Acts xvii. 2; xviii. 19. Rend. "reasoneth with you."

My son, etc. From Prov. iii. 11, 12. Comp. Job v. 17.
Despise not ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ò $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \dot{\rho} \epsilon \iota)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX only in this passage. Quite often in Class. It means to make little of (ỏ $\lambda$ íyos).

Chastening ( $\pi$ aldeias). Mostly in Hebrews. See on Eph. vi. 4, and 2 Tim. iii. 16.
6. He chasteneth ( $\pi a \downarrow \delta \epsilon v \in \iota)$. See on L. xxiii. 16.

Scourgeth ( $\mu a \sigma \tau \iota y 0 \hat{\imath}$ ). Not very common, but found in all the four Gospels. Hebrews only here. Quite often in LXX.

Receiveth ( $\pi a \rho a \delta \in ́ \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota)$. Admits to filial privileges: acknowledges as his own. Of receiving the word of God, Mk. iv. 20 : of receiving delegates from a body, Acts xv. 4 : of adopting or approving customs, Acts xvi. 21.
 Rend. "it is for chastening that ye endure." A. V. follows the reading of T. R. $\epsilon i$ if. Do not faint at affliction. Its purpose is disciplinary. חaı $\delta i i^{\prime}$ is here the end or result of discipline. In ver. 5 it is the process.

God dealeth with you as with sons ( $\dot{\omega}$ vioîs $\dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau a \iota$ o $\theta$ $\theta \grave{s}$ ). The verb means to bring to: often to bring an offering to the altar, as Matt. v. 23, 24; viii. 4. In the passive voice with the dative, to be borne toward one; hence, to attack, assail, deal with, behave toward. See Thucyd. i. 140; Eurip. Cycl. 176; Hdt. vii. 6. The afflictive dealing of God with you is an evidence that you are sons.

What son is he whom the father, etc. ( $\tau$ i's vios). Some interpreters render, "who is a son whom the father?" etc. That is, no one is a son who is without paternal chastening. The A. V. is better. The idea expressed by the other rendering appears in the next verse.
 Rend. "of which all have been made partakers." For $\mu$ éta $\chi$ o partakers see on ch. iii. 14. All, that is, all sons of God.

Bastards ( $\nu_{0} \theta_{0 \iota}$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. See Wisd. iv. 3. They might think that they would not suffer if they were really God's. sons; whereas the reverse is the case. If they did not suffer, they would not be God's sons.
9. Furthermore ( $\epsilon i \tau a)$. Everywhere else in N. T. this particle marks a succession of time or incident. See Mk. iv. 17 ; viii. 25 ; L. viii. 12 ; 1 Cor. xv. 5, 7. Here it introduces a new phase of the subject under discussion.
 Up to this point the suffering of Christians has been explained by God's fatherly relation to them. Now the emphatic point is that their fathers, with whom God is compared, were only earthly, human parents. The phrase $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma a \rho \kappa o ̀ s ~ N . T . ~ ' ~, ~, ~$ but kindred expressions are found Rom. iv. 1; ix. 3; Gal. iv. 29 ; Heb. ii. 14.
 of our flesh as chasteners." Only here and Rom. ii. 20. In LXX, Sir. xxxvii. 19 ; Hos. v. 2 ; 4 Мacc. v. 34 ; ix. 6.

Shall we not much rather be in subjection (ou mod̀̀ $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ viroтar $\eta \sigma o \partial \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). The comparison is between the respect paid to a fallible, human parent, which may grow out of the natural relation, or may be due to fear, and the complete subjection to the divine Father.

To the Father of spirits ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a \tau \rho \hat{i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu)$. Contrasted with fathers of the flesh. Their relation to us is limited; his is universal. They are related to us on the fleshly side; he is the creator of our essential life. Our relation to him is on the side of our eternal being. Comp. J. iv. 23, 24 ; Zech. xii. 1; Isa. lvii. 16. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Comp. LXX, Num. xvi. 22 ; xxvii. 16 ; Apoc. xxii. 6. Clement of Rome styles God the benefactor (єن่єคүє́т $\eta$ ऽ) of spirits, the creator and overseer ( $\kappa \tau і \sigma \tau \eta s$, є̇тібкотоs) of every spirit, and the lord ( $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ t \eta s$ ) of spirits. Ad Corinth. lix, lxiv.

And live ( $\left.\kappa a i \zeta \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu\right)$. Have true life; not limited to the future life. Comp. J. v. 26 ; vi. 57 ; 1 J. v. 11 ; Apoc. xi. 11; Acts xvi. 28; Rom. vi. 11; xiv. 8; 1 J. iv. 9 , and see on living God, Heb. iii. 12.
10. Much difficulty and confusion have attached to the interpretation of this verse, growing out of : (a) the relations of the several clauses; (b) the meaning of for a few days, and how much is covered by it. The difficulties have been aggravated by the determination of commentators to treat the verse by itself, confining the relation of its clauses within its own limits, attempting to throw them into pairs, in which attempt none of them have succeeded, and entirely overlooking relations to the preceding verse.

For a few days ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̀ \lambda i ́ \gamma a s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s) . ~ T h i s ~ c l a u s e ~ i s ~$ directly related to be in subjection to the Father of spirits and live, and points a contrast. On the one hand, subjection to the Father of spirits, the source of all life, has an eternal significance. Subjection to his fatherly discipline means, not only the everlasting life of the future, but present life, eternal in quality, developed even while the discipline is in progress. Subjection to the Father of spirits and life go together. On the other hand, the discipline of the human father is brief in duration, and its significance is confined to the present life. In other words, the offset to for a few days is in ver. 9. To read for a few days into the two latter clauses of the verse which describes the heavenly discipline, and to say that both the chastening of the earthly and of the heavenly father are of brief duration, is to introduce abruptly into a sharp contrast between the two disciplines a point of resemblance. The dominant idea in $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ s is not mere duration, but duration as related to significance: that is to say, "for a few days" means, during just that space of time in which the chastisement had force and meaning. See, for instances, L. viii. 13 ; J. v. 35 ; 1 Th. ii. 17 ; 2 Cor. vii. 8. The few days can scarcely refer to the whole lifetime, since, even from the ancient point of view of the continuance of parental author-
ity, parental discipline is not applied throughout the lifetime. It signifies rather the brief period of childhood and youth.

After their own pleasure ( $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta o \kappa o v ̂ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s) . ~ B e t t e r, ~$ as seemed good to them. The aitois has a slightly emphatic force, as contrasted with a higher intelligence. The thought links itself with maiסevtàs, in ver. 9 , and is explained by as seemed good to them, and is placed in contrast with subjection to the Father of spirits. The human parents were shortsighted, fallible, sometimes moved by passion rather than by sound judgment, and, therefore, often mistaken in their disciplinary methods. What seemed good to them was not always best for us. No such possibility of error attaches to the Father of spirits.
 trast is with what is implied in as seemed good to them. The human parent may not have dealt with us to our profit. Sumфє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota$ means to bring together: to collect or contribute in order to help: hence, to help or be profitable. Often impersonally, $\sigma \nu \mu \phi$ éfe it is expedient, as Matt. v. 29; xvii. 6; J. xi. 50. The neuter participle, as here, advantage, profit, 1 Cor. xii. 7; 2 Cor. xii. 1. There is a backward reference to live, ver. 9, the result of subjection to the Father of spirits; and this is expanded and defined in the final clause, namely :

That we might be partakers of his holiness (eis to
 his holiness. 'Eıs marks the final purpose of chastening. Holiness is life. Shall we not be subject to the Father of spirits and live? For, in contrast with the temporary, faultful chastening of the human parent, which, at best, prepares for work and success in time and in worldly things, his chastening results in holiness and eternal life.
11. No chastening for the present seemeth ( $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \mu$ è̀ тaı $\delta \in i ́ a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ тò тарò̀ ov̉ סокєî). Lit. all chastening-
doth not seem. חâбa of all sorts, divine and human. The A. V., by joining ou not to $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ all, and rendering no chastisement, weakens the emphasis on the idea every kind of chas-
 of $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ see on ver. 10 . Not merely during the present, but for the present regarded as the time in which its application is necessary and salutary. Mèv indicates that the suffering present is to be offset by a fruitful future - but ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ) afterward.

To be joyous but grievous ( $\chi$ a $\rho a ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ a ̀ ~ a \lambda a ̀ ~ \lambda u ́ n \eta \varsigma) . ~$ Lit. to be of joy but of grief.

It yieldeth the peaceable fruit of righteousness (kap-
 gestion of recompense for the longsuffering and waiting, since $\dot{a} \pi \pi o \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l ~ o f t e n ~ s i g n i f i e s ~ " t o ~ g i v e ~ b a c k . " ~ T h e ~ p h r a s e ~ a ́ m o-~$ סıסóva८ картò̀ only here and Apoc. xxii. 2. Kapтò̀ fruit
 make or produce, often in Synoptic Gospels, as Matt. iii. 8, 10 ; vii. 17 ; L. iii. 8; vi. 43 , etc. : with $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ to bear, always and only in John, J. xii. 24 ; xv. 2, 4, 5, 8, 16 : with $\beta \lambda a \sigma-$ тávєє to bring forth, Jas. v. 18. 'Eıp $\quad$ ขıкós peaceable, in N. T. only here and Jas. iii. 17, as an epithet of wisdom. Quite often in LXX of men, the heart, especially of words and sacrifices. The phrase картós єip $\quad$ vicós peaceable fruit (omit the), N. T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$. The phrase fruit of righteousness, Philip. i. 11; Jas. iii. 18, and LXX, Prov. iii. 9; xi. 30; xiii. 2; Am. vi. 13 : comp. Ps. i. 3; lvii. 11. The genitive of righteousness is explicative or appositional; fruit which consists in righteousness or is righteousness.

Unto them which are exercised thereby ( $\tau 0 i ̂ ̧ ~ \delta c$ ' aùt $\hat{\eta} s$ $\gamma \in \gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \mu$ évots). Who have been subjected to the severe discipline of suffering, and have patiently undergone it. For the verb see on 1 Tim. iv. 7. Rend. "it yieldeth peaceable fruit unto them that have been exercised thereby, even the fruit of righteousness." This preserves the Greek order, and puts righteousness in its proper, emphatic position.
voL. iv. -35
12. Wherefore ( $\delta i 0^{\circ}$ ). Because chastening is thus necessary, and serves for wholesome discipline, and issues in holiness.

Lift up (ảעo $\rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ). Found in L. xiii. 13; Acts xv. 16 (cit.). Occasionally in LXX. It signifies to set up, make, erect. In O. T. to establish, as a throne (2 Sam. vii. 13, 16); a house (2 Sam. vii. 26; 1 Chron. xvii. 24); to raise up one who is down (Ps. cxlv. 9; Sir. xi. 12). In Acts xv. 16, to build anew. By medical writers, to straighten; to set dislocated parts of the body. See L. xiii. 13.* The translation here should be more general : not lift up, which is inappropriate to paralyzed knees, but set right; brace. As falling in with the thought of this passage, comp. the LXX of Ps. xvii. 35, which, for the A. V. "thy gentleness hath made me great," gives "thy discipline hath established me or set me up." See also Ps. xix. 8.

The hands which hang down ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ s mapetuévas $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a s$ ). Rend. the slackened or weakened hands. Comp. Isa. xxxv. 3; Sir. xxv. 23; 2 Sam. iv. 1. The verb mapıévaı (only here and L. xi. 42) originally means to let pass, disregard, neglect; thence to relax, loosen. See Clem. Rom. Ad Corinth. xxxiv, who associates it with $\nu \omega \theta$ oòs slothful (comp. Heb. v. 11).
 feeble rend. palsied. See on L. v. 18.
13. Make straight paths for your feet ( $\tau \rho 0 \chi i a ̀ s ~ j o \partial a ̀ s$ тoleîte toîs mooì $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). After the LXX of Prov. iv. 26. The corresponding Hebrew means to tear, to cut into: hence to cut through as a path ; to make firm or plain. 'OpOo's N. T. only here and Acts xiv. 10; commonly straight or upright, but also right, safe, happy. Comp. Prov. viii. 6; xv. 14;

[^206]xxi. 8. Here, not in the sense of straight as distinguished from crooked, but more generally, right, plain, by implication even or smooth.* Tpoxıá N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$ is literally a wheel-track ( $\tau \rho 0 \chi^{o}{ }^{\prime}$ a wheel). Very rare in profane Greek. Toís mooiv $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ "for your feet," not with. That is, exert yourselves to make the course clear for yourselves and your fellowChristians, so that there be no stumbling and laming.

That which is lame ( $\tau \grave{o} ~ \chi \omega \lambda \grave{o} \nu$ ). $\mathrm{X} \omega \lambda$ ós lame, halting, only in Synoptic Gospels and Acts. Mostly in the literal sense. Proverbial in Isa. xxxiii. 23. Metaphorically here, and partly Matt. xviii. 8; Mk. ix. 45. The verb $\chi \omega \lambda a i \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to be lame or to make lame (not in N. T.) is used metaphorically in LXX, Ps. xviii. 45 ; 1 K . xviii. 21, where the A.V. "how long halt
 $\dot{a} \mu \phi о \tau$ épaıs taîs izvviaus how long do ye go lame on both your hams? Tò $\chi^{\omega \lambda \grave{\partial} \nu}$ here signifies the lame part or limb.

Be turned out of the way (éкт $\rho a \pi \hat{p}$ ). Rend. "be put out of joint." The A.V. is according to the more usual meaning of the verb, which, in N. T., is confined, with this exception, to the Pastoral Epistles. See 1 Tim. i. 6; v. 15 ; 2 Tim. iv. 4. LXX only Am. v. 8. But it is also used by medical writers in the passive, with the meaning to be wrenched or dislocated. $\dagger$ There is nothing strange in the use of this word in a medical sense by our writer, whose work bears the stamp of Alexandria. The Greeks received their knowledge of surgery from the Egyptians, and mural paintings and documents, and even hieroglyphic symbols, prove that that people had attained remarkable proficiency in the science. Herodotus

[^207](ch. iii. 131) mentions a medical school at Cyrene in Africa, and says that the pupils of that school were regarded as the second best physicians in all Greece. At the time of Galen ( 163 A.D.) the medical school of Alexandria was the most famous in the world, and Galen himself studied there. Celsus (first half of the first century A.D.), in the 7th book of his treatise De Artibus, treats of surgical operations according to the views of the Alexandrian schools. The commonlyaccepted rendering of the A.V., besides giving a conception which is very tame, presents two incongruities: the association of going astray with lameness, and of healing with straying. The other rendering gives a lively and consistent image. Make the paths smooth and even, so that the lame limb be not dislocated by stones or pitfalls. Do everything to avoid aggravating the weakness of a fellow-Christian. Rather try to heal it. Tò $\chi \omega \lambda \dot{\partial} \nu$ may refer either to an individual or to a section of the church which is weak and vacillating.
14. Follow peace ( $\epsilon i \rho \eta \eta_{\eta} \nu \delta(\omega \not \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ). Comp. LXX, Ps. xxiii. 14, and Rom. xiv. 19; 1 Pet. iii. 11. The verb is used of the pursuit of moral and spiritual ends, Rom. ix. 30, 31 ; xii. 13; 1 Cor. xiv. 1; Philip. iii. 12, 14; 1 Th. v. 15; 1 Tim. vi. 11 ; 2 Tim. ii. 22.

Holiness (á $\left.{ }^{\prime} \iota a \sigma \mu o ́ \nu\right)$ ). See on Rom. vi. 19.
15. Looking diligently (ėтıбкотайдтєऽ). A.V. gives diligently as the force of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{i}$; but $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad$ signifies direction rather than intensity. The idea is exercising oversight. Only here and 1 Pet. v. 2.

Fail of (ív $\tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \pi \grave{o}$ ). Rend. "fall back from," implying a previous attainment. The present participle marks something in progress: "lest any one be falling back."

Root of bitterness ( $\dot{\rho} \ell \zeta a$ mıcpías). From LXX, Deut. xxix. 18. A bad man in the church. 'P $1 \zeta a$ of a person, 1 Macc. i. 10.

Springing up (ảvo фúovad). The participle pictures the springing up in progress; the root gradually revealing its pernicious character.

Trouble ( $\dot{e} \nu o \chi \lambda \hat{y}$ ). Only here and L. vi. 18, see note.
Many be defiled ( $\mu \iota a \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i$ ). Rend. "the many": the majority of the church. For the verb see on J. xviii. 28.
16. Fornicator (róplos). In the literal sense, as always in N. T.

Profane person ( $\beta \epsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \beta \eta \lambda o s$ ). See on 1 Tim. i. 9.
As Esau. Only the epithet profane is applied to Esau, not fornicator.
 the act of eating, as 1 Cor. viii. 4; Rom. xiv. 17: "one eating of meat." Sometimes corrosion, as Matt. vi. 19. Sometimes of that which is eaten, J. vi. 27, 55.

Sold (ámé $\delta \in \tau o$ ). The word occurs in the narrative of Gen. xxv. 31, 33, LXX. In N. T. often of discharging an obligation; paying back. To sell, Acts v. 8 ; vii. 9.

His birthright (тà $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тококía). N. T. ${ }^{o},^{o}$ Class. In this form only in the later Greek translations of the O.T. Прштотокєîov, a very few times, almost all in this narrative.
 où $\chi$ evpev). The phrase place of repentance N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. This does not mean that Esaul was rendered incapable of repentance, which is clearly contradicted by what follows; nor that he was not able to persuade Isaac to change his mind and to recall the blessing already bestowed on Jacob and give it to him. This is unnatural, forced, and highly improbable. The words place of repentance mean an opportunity to repair by
repenting. He found no way to reverse by repentance what he had done. The penalty could not be reversed in the nature of the case. This is clear from Isaac's words, Gen. xxvii. 33.

Sought it carefully ( $\epsilon \kappa \zeta_{\eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~}^{1}$ Pet. i. 10. Comp. Heb. xi. 6. See also on questionings, 1 Tim. i. 4.
18. Following this allusion to Esau, and perhaps suggested by it, is a passage setting forth the privileges of the Christian birthright and of Christian citizenship in contrast with those under the old covenant.

The mount that might be touched and that burned with
 by the best texts, but should be understood.* $\Psi \eta \lambda a \phi \hat{a} \nu$ is rare in N.T. and LXX ; fairly frequent in Class. Radically, it is akin to $\psi \hat{a} \nu$ to rub, wipe; hence feeling on the surface, as Gen. xxvii. 12, 21, 22, LXX : a touch which communicates only a superficial effect. It need not imply contact with an object at all, but simply the movement of the hands feeling after something. Hence often of the groping of the blind, as Deut. xxviii. 29; Isa. lix. 10; Jobv. 14. Appropriate here as indicating mere superficial contact. The present participle that is being touched, means simply that the mountain was something material and tangible. The A. V. which might be touched, although not literally correct, conveys the true sense.
 Deut. iv. 11; v. 4 ; ix. 15. The participle is passive, set on fire ; kindled with fire: not attributive of $\pi v \rho i$, enkindled fire.

[^208]Blackness, darkness, tempest ( $\gamma \nu \delta^{\prime} \phi \varphi, \zeta$ ढó $\phi \varphi, \theta v \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ). $\Gamma \nu \dot{\prime} \phi o s\left(\mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{T}^{\circ} .^{\circ}\right.$ ) and $\zeta \mathbf{o} \phi \mathbf{\phi}$ (elsewhere only 2 Peter and Jude) belong to the same family. As distinguished from $\sigma \kappa$ óтоs darkness that conceals, as opposed to light, these words signify half-darkness, gloom, nebulousness; as the darkness of evening or the gathering gloom of death. It is a darkness which does not entirely conceal colour. Thus $\delta$ vódos, the earlier and poetic form of $\gamma \nu o{ }^{\prime} \phi o s$, is used by Homer of water which appears dark against the underlying rock, or is tinged by mire. Гvóфos and $\sigma \kappa o ́ t o s ~ a p p e a r ~ t o g e t h e r, ~ E x . ~ x . ~ 22 ; ~$
 Zó $\phi$ os only in the later version of Symmachus. See on J. i. 5. ©v́e $\lambda \lambda a$ N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, from $\theta \dot{e} \epsilon \ell \nu$ to boil or foam. It is a brief, violent, sudden, destructive blast, sometimes working upward and carrying objects into the upper air; hence found with
 It may also come from above and dash down to the ground (Hom. Il. xii. 253). Sometimes it indicates the mere force of

19. Sound of a trumpet ( $\sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \circ \stackrel{\wedge}{\eta} \chi \omega)$. See Ex. xix. 16, 19 ; xx. 18. 'H $\chi$ os a noise, almost entirely in Luke and Acts. See L. iv. 37; Acts ii. 2; comp. LXX, 1 Sam. xiv. 19. Of the roar of the waves, L. xxi. 25 ; comp. LXX, Ps. lxiv. 7; lxxvi. 17. A rumour or report, see on L. iv. 37, and comp. LXX, 1 Sam. iv. 16 ; Ps. ix. 6. It does not occur in the O.T. narrative of the giving of the law, where we have $\phi \omega \nu{ }^{\prime}$ voice ; see LXX, Ex. xix. 13, 16, 19 ; xx. 18. For $\phi \omega \nu \dot{n} \sigma a ́ \lambda-$ $\pi \iota r \gamma o s$ voice of a trumpet in N. T., see Apoc. i. 10; iv. 1; viii. 13. $\quad \sum a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi$ is a war-trumpet.

Voice of words ( $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \hat{\rho} \eta \mu \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu)$. See Ex. xix. 19; Deut. iv. 12 ; v. 22, 24, 26.

Entreated ( $\pi a \rho \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau 0) . \quad$ See on 1 Tim. iv. 7.
Be spoken to them any more ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta \bar{\eta} v a \iota ~ a u ̛ r o i ̂ s) . ~ L i t . ~$ be added. See on L. iii. 19; xx. 11; Acts xii. 3. To
them refers to the hearers, not to the things heard. Rend. "that no word more should be spoken unto them." Comp. Ex. xx. 19 ; Deut. v. 25 ; xviii. 16.
 See on Mk. vii. 36 ; Acts xv. 24.

Touch ( $\theta^{\prime}(\gamma \eta)$. Elsewhere in N. T. only ch. xi. 28 and Col. ii. 21. LXX only Ex. xix. 12. It implies a touching or grasping which affects the object (comp. ver. 18 on $\psi \eta \lambda a-$ $\phi \hat{a} \nu)$. In Class. often of touching or handling some sacred object which may be desecrated by the one who lays hands on it. See Soph. Philoct. 667; Oed. Tyr. 891, 899. So here, the touch of the mountain was profanation.

Shall be stoned ( $\lambda_{\iota} \theta_{o} \beta o \lambda_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ ). Found in Matthew, Luke, and Acts. In LXX see Ex. xix. 13. Comp. $\dot{\epsilon}_{\lambda} \iota \dot{\theta}^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta$ $\sigma a \nu$, ch. xi. 37. The correct text omits or thrust through with a dart.
21. The sight (тò фадта $\left.{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu\right)$. N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. LXX, Wisd. vi. 16 ; Sir. xxxi. 5. Rend. "the appearance": that which was made to appear.
 Lit. I am frightened away (or out) and trembling. 'Екфоßó's only here and Mk. ix. 6. Comp. LXX, Deut. ix. 19. "E $\nu$ тоо $о$ ¢, only Acts vii. 32 ; xvi. 29. Rare in LXX.
22. The heavenly Jerusalem. See on Gal. iv. 26. The spiritual mountain and city where God dwells and reigns. Comp. Dante Inf. i. 128 :

> "Quivi è la sua cittade, e l'alto seggio." *

Comp. Ps. ii. 6; xlviii. 2, 3; 1. 2; lxxviii. 68; cx. 2; Isa. xviii. 7; Joel ii. 32; Mic. iv. 1, 2; Am. i. 2.

To an innumerable company of angels ( $\mu \nu \rho \iota \dot{a} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \mathcal{q}^{\epsilon}-$ $\lambda \omega \nu)$. On this whole passage (22-24) it is to be observed that it is arranged in a series of clauses connected by $\kappa a i$. Accordingly $\mu \nu \rho \iota a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ to myriads or tens of thousands stands by itself, and $\pi a \nu \eta \gamma\langle ́ \rho \in \epsilon$ festal assembly goes with á $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$ angels. Mupıás (see L. xii. 1; Acts xix. 19; Apoc. v. 11 ; quite often in LXX) is strictly the number ten thousand. In the plural, an innumerable multitude. So A. V. here. Rend. "to an innumerable multitude," placing a comma after $\mu \nu \rho a^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$, and connecting of angels with the next clause. This use of $\mu \nu \rho \iota a \dot{\sigma} \iota \nu$ without a qualifying genitive is justified by numerous examples. See Gen. xxiv. 60; Deut. xxxii. 30; xxxiii. 2; 1 Sam. xviii. 7, 8; Ps. xc. 7; Cant. v. 10; Dan. vii. 10 ; xi. 12 ; Sir. xlvii. 6 ; 2 Macc. viii. 20 ; Jude 14. Xı $\lambda \iota a \dot{d}$ es thousands is used in the same way. See Isa. lxx. 22; Dan. vii. 10.
23. To the general assembly ( $\pi a \nu \eta \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ ). Const. with $\dot{a} \gamma \gamma \mathcal{y e}^{\lambda} \lambda \omega$ of angels, with comma after angels. Rend. "to a festal assembly of angels." This and the next clause show what the myriads consist of, - a host of angels and redeemed men. Mavj́yupıs, N. T. ${ }^{\mathbf{o}}$, is a gathering to celebrate a solemnity, as public games, etc. : a public, festal assembly. Frequently joined with éopт $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ feast. See Ezek. xlvii. 11; Hos. ii. 11; ix. 5. The verb $\pi a \nu \eta \gamma \nu \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to celebrate or attend a public festival, to keep holiday, occurs occasionally in Class. : not in N. T. : LXX once, Isa. lxvi. 10. The festal assembly of angels maintains the contrast between the old and the new dispensation. The host of angels through whose ministration the law was given (see on ch. ii. 2, and Gal. iii. 19) officiated at a scene of terror. Christian believers are now introduced to a festal host, surrounding the exalted Son of man, who has purged away sins, and is enthroned at God's right hand (ch. i. 3).

And church of the first-born which are written in


This forms a distinct clause ; "and to the church," etc. For $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ ía assembly or church, see on Matt. xvi. 18; 1 Th. i. 1. The "myriads" embrace not only angels, but redeemed men, enrolled as citizens of the heavenly commonwealth, and entitled to the rights and privileges of first-born sons. Пр токоs first-born is applied mostly to Christ in N. T. See Rom. viii. 29 ; Col. i. 15, 18; Heb. i. 6 ; Apoc. i. 5 . Comp. Heb. xi. 28, and L. ii. 7. Properly applied to Christians by virtue of their union with Christ, "the first-born of all creation," "the first-born from the dead," as sharing his sonship and heirship. See Rom. viii. 14-17, 29. The word also points to Christians as the true Israel of God. The analogy is suggested with the first-born of Israel, to whom peculiar sanctity attached, and whose consecration to himself God enjoined (Ex. xiii. 1, 11-16); and with the further application of the term first-born to Israel as a people, Ex. iv. 22. The way was thus prepared for its application to the Messiah. There seems, moreover, to be a clear reference to the case of Esau (ver. 16). Esau was the first-born of the twin sons of Isaac (Gen. xxy. 25). He sold his birthright ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ )токía), and thus forfeited the privilege of the first-born. The assembly to which Christian believers are introduced is composed of those who have not thus parted with their birthright, but have retained the privileges of the first-born. The phrase "church of the first-born" includes all who have possessed and retained their heavenly birthright, living or dead, of both dispensations: the whole Israel of God, although it is quite likely that the Christian church may have been most prominent in the writer's thought.
 oîs). 'Aтоура́ $\phi \epsilon \epsilon$, only here and L. ii. 1, 3, 5, means to write off or copy; to enter in a register the names, property, and income of men. Hence, à aroypaф' ${ }^{\prime}$ an enrolment. See on L. ii. 1, 2. Here, inscribed as members of the heavenly commonwealth; citizens of heaven; Philip. iv. 3; Apoc. iii. 5; xiii. 8, etc. See for the image, Ex. xxxii. 32 ; Ps. lxix. 28 ; Isa. iv. 3 ; Dan. xii. 1; L. x. 20.

To God the judge of all ( $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu)$. Rend. "a judge who is God of all." Comp. Dan. vii. 9 ff . God of all his first-born, of those whom he chastens, of all who are in filial relations with him under both covenants, and who, therefore, need not fear to draw near to him as judge.

Spirits of just men made perfect ( $\pi \nu \in \dot{\jmath} \mu a \sigma \iota \delta_{\iota \kappa а} \omega \nu \nu \tau є \tau \epsilon \lambda$ $\left.\epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu\right)$. The departed spirits of the righteous of both dispensations, who have completed their course after having undergone their earthly discipline. Notice again the idea of $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ íwo $\iota$, not attained under the old covenant, but only through the work of Christ, the benefits of which the disembodied saints of the O . T. share with departed Christian believers. Comp. ch. xi. 40.
24. The mediator of the new covenant ( $\delta \iota a \theta$ q́к $\quad$ s véas $\mu \in \sigma(T \eta)$. See ch. vii. 22 ; viii. $6,8,9,10$; ix. 15 . For covenant, see on ch. ix. 6 ff . For the new covenant, rend. a new covenant. Néa new, only here applied to the covenant in N. T. The word elsewhere is кa८v $\dot{\eta}$. For the distinction, see on Matt. xxvi. 29. It is better not to press the distinction, since $\nu$ éos, in certain cases, clearly has the sense of quality rather than of time, as 1 Cor. v. 7; Col. iii. 10, and probably here, where to confine the sense to recent would seem to limit it unduly. In the light of all that the writer has said respecting the better quality of the Christian covenant, superseding the old, outworn, insufficient covenant, he may naturally be supposed to have had in mind something besides its mere recentness. Moreover, all through the contrast from ver. 18, the thought of earlier and later is not once touched, but only that of inferior and better; repellency and invitation ; terrors and delights; fear and confidence. Note that the privilege of approaching the Mediator in person is emphasised.
 ling only here and 1 Pet. i. 2, see note. The phrase blood of sprinkling N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX, where we find $\tilde{\nu} \delta \omega \rho \dot{\rho} a \nu \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{v}$
water of sprinkling, Num. xix. 9, 13, 20, 21: For the verb $\dot{\rho} a \nu \tau \ \zeta \epsilon \nu$ to sprinkle, see on ch. ix. 13. The mention of blood naturally follows that of a covenant, since no covenant is ratified without blood (ch. ix. 16). The phrase is sufficiently explained by ch. ix. 16-22.

Speaketh better things (креіिттov $\lambda a \lambda o u ̂ \nu \tau \iota$ ). For "better things" rend. "better." The blood is personified, and its voice is contrasted with that of Abel, whose blood cried from the ground for vengeance upon his murderer (Gen. iv. 10). The voice of Christ's blood calls for mercy and forgiveness.

Than that of Abel ( $\pi a \rho \bar{\alpha}$ cò̀ $\nu$ " $A \beta \epsilon \lambda$ ). Rend. "than Abel." Comp. ch. xi. 4, where Abel himself speaks.
25. See—refuse ( $\beta \lambda \epsilon$ є́ $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ — $\pi a \rho a \iota \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). For $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ see, see on ch. iii. 12. For $\pi a \rho a \iota \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta \theta \epsilon$ refuse, see on 1 Tim. iv. 7

Him that speaketh ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \lambda a \lambda o v ̂ \nu \tau a)$. Through his blood. Rend. "that is speaking," the participle denoting something that is going on.

They (èreivol). The people of the Exodus. See ch. iv. 2. The words from for if they to the end of the verse are parenthetical.
 spake rend. warned, and see on ch. viii. 5. 'E $\pi \grave{\imath} \gamma \hat{\gamma} \mathrm{s}$ upon earth should not be construed with refused nor warned, but with the whole clause. "If on earth they escaped not, refusing him that warned."

If we turn away (à áoot $\rho \in \phi о \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ ). Lit. turning away. The present participle, possibly with reference to the relapse into Judaism as already in progress.

From him that speaketh from heaven ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ oủpav $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Lit. from him from the heavens. Supply as A. V. that speaketh.
'O àm' oủpavov̂ or oủpavต̂v does not occur in N. T. elsewhere. Wherever 'ám' ouj $\rho$. appears, some act or thing is always named which proceeds from heaven. See Matt. xxiv. 29; Mk. viii. 11 ; L. ix. 54 ; xvii. 29 ; xxi. 11 ; xxii. 43 ; J. vi. 38; 1 Th. i. 7. The speaker from heaven is still God, but speaking through his Son. The thought connects itself with that of Christ carrying his blood into the heavenly sanctuary, from which he exerts his power on behalf of men. See ch. ix. 12, 24. This will be the clearer if we throw out the idea of Christ presenting his blood to an angry God as a propitiation, and interceding with him to pardon sin. See note on ch. vii. 25.
26. Whose voice ( $o \hat{\tilde{v}} \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta}$ ). Connect, after the parenthesis, with speaketh better, etc., ver. 24.

Shook ( é $\sigma a ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). See on L. xxi. 26, and comp. $\sigma a ́ \lambda o s$ tossing or swell of the sea, L. xxi. 25. See Judg. v. 4; Ps. exiii. 7.

He hath promised (è $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \tau a \iota$ ). See Hag. ii. 6. The quotation is adapted from LXX, which reads: "Yet once will I shake the heaven and the earth and the sea and the dry land." The Hebrew for "yet once" reads "yet a little while." In Haggai's prophecy, he comforts the people for their sorrow that the second temple is so inferior to the first, predicting that Jehovah will move heaven and earth and sea and land, and will fill the house with his glory; and the glory of the latter house shall exceed that of the former. The discipline begun on Sinai will then have its consummation. This shaking of heaven and earth was typified by the material shaking at Sinai. The shaking predicted by the prophet is applied by our writer to the downfall of worldly powers before the kingdom of Christ, ver. 28; comp. ch. i. 8, and see Zech. xiv.
 tention is called to this phrase as specially significant, because it indicates that the shaking prophesied by Haggai is to be
final. It is to precede the new heaven and the new earth. Isa. lxv. 17 ; lxvi. 22; 2 Pet. iii. 13; Apoc. xxi. 1.

Signifieth ( $\delta \eta \lambda 0 i ̂$ ). From $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o s$ manifest, evident. To make manifest to the mind. Used of indications which lead the mind to conclusions about the origin or character of things. See Thucyd. i. 3; Aesch. Pers. 518. Comp. 1 Cor. iii. 13; Heb. ix. 8; 1 Pet. i. 11. Appropriate to prophetic revelations.

The removing ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu)$. See on ch. vii. 12. For the thought comp. 1 J. ii. 17 ; 1 Cor. vii. 31.

As of things that are made ( $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ). Made indeed by God, who also makes the new heaven and the new earth (Isa. lxv. 17 ; lxvi. 22), but made to pass away.

That the things which cannot be shaken may remain ( ${ }^{2} \nu a \quad \mu \epsilon i \nu \eta \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ ). Whether we consider the things which are shaken, the old heavens and earth which pass away, or the new heaven and earth which cannot be shaken, both are $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ made by God. The writer perceives this, and therefore adds to as of things that are made a clause stating that they were made (by God himself) to pass away. Accordingly, tiva in order that is to be connected with $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \mu \epsilon \in \omega \omega \nu$, after which the comma should be removed. Rend. "the removal of things made in order that they might await the things which are not shaken." Mévelv is used in this sense, await, Acts xx. 5, 23, and often in Class.*
28. Receiving a kingdom ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ía $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon$ ). The participle gives no note of time, but simply indicates the fact that Christians as such receive. The compounded prep-

[^209]osition $\pi \alpha a \rho$ adds to the idea of receiving that of transmission or communication. They receive from God. See Dan. vii. 18. Bacı入єla in the sense of the kingdom of Christ, in this epistle only here and ch. i. 8 (citn.). See on Matt. iii. 2; L. vi. 20.

Let us have grace ( $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \chi^{a} \rho \iota \nu\right)$. For grace rend. thankfulness. See L. xvii. 9 ; 1 Tim. i. 12• 2 Tim. i. 3. Comp. Ps. 1. 23.
 to be well pleasing, ch. xi. 5, 6; xiii. 16. For the adjective єv̇ápєбтos well pleasing, see on Tit. ii. 9.

With reverence ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ́ a s$ ). Rend. "with pious care." Reverence is translated from T. R. aioovs (see on 1 Tim. ii. 9). See on ch. v. 7 ; xi. 7.

Fear ( $\delta$ éous). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. See 2 Macc. iii. 17, 30 ; xii. 22 ; xiii. 16; xv. 23. Its fundamental idea is timid apprehension of danger; while $\phi$ ó $\beta$ os is the terror which seizes one when the danger appears. Schmidt (Synon. 139, 10) illustrates happily. In a primitive forest an undefined sense of possible danger possesses one, and makes his heart beat quickly at every rustle of a leaf. This is $\delta$ éos. When the voice and tread of a wild beast are distinctly heard close at hand, the $\delta \epsilon o s$ becomes $\phi \dot{\beta} \beta o s$. The phrase "with pious care and fear" is not explanatory of acceptably. These are to accompany ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ ) acceptable service. They do not imply a cringing or slavish feeling, but grow out of the warning in ver. 25 , which runs through the two following verses, and implies that the catastrophe of ver. 27 will be final, leaving no more opportunity to retrieve the refusal of God's invitation to the privileges of the new covenant, or the relapse into the superseded economy of Judaism.
29. For our God is a consuming fire ( $\kappa a i \grave{i}$ रà $\rho$ ó $\theta$ eòs $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \hat{v} \rho \kappa \kappa \tau a \nu a \lambda(\sigma \kappa \circ \nu)$. See Ex. xxiv. 17; Deut. iv. 24;
ix. 3 ; Mal. iii. 2; iv. 1. The verb N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, a few times in LXX. Often in Class., especially Xenophon. Originally to use up, spend, lavish, as property: thence to consume as with fire. The simple verb ávaníacєı to expend occurs L. ix. 54; Gal. v. 15; 2 Th. ii. 8. 'O $\theta \epsilon$ s̀s $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is not our God as compared with the God of the Jews. He is the God of both covenants (see ch. i. 1, 2, and notes); but thongh now revealed in Jesus Christ, and offering all the privileges of the new covenant (vv. 22-24), his anger burns against those who reject these privileges.

## CHAPTER XIII.

1. Let brotherly love continue ( $\phi \iota \lambda a \delta \in \lambda \phi i a \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{e ́ c} \omega)$. $\Phi \iota \lambda-$ a $\delta \in \lambda \phi i a$ in Paul, Rom. xii. 10; 1 Th. iv. 9. As a proper name, Apoc. i. 11; iii. 7. It is not necessary to suppose that the admonition implies signs of estrangement among those addressed. Comp. ch. iii. 13; vi. 10 ; x. 24; xii. 12-15.
2. Be not forgetful to entertain strangers ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi_{i} \lambda o-$ $\xi \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} a \varsigma \mu \eta \dot{\jmath}_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \dot{d} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). Lit. be not forgetful of hospitality. $\Phi \iota \lambda o \xi \in \nu i ́ a$ only here and Rom. xii. 13. ${ }^{\circ}$ LXX. $\Phi \iota \lambda \rho^{\prime} \xi \in \nu 0 s$ hospitable, 1 Tim. iii. 2; Tit. i. 8; 1 Pet. iv. 9. The rendering of Rev. to show love unto strangers, is affected. On the injunction comp. Rom. xii. 13; 1 Tim. iii. 2; Tit. i. 8; 1 Pet. iv. 9, and see Clem. Rom. Ad Corinth. x, xi, xii. The virtue of hospitality is not distinctively Christian. It appears with the very beginnings of history, largely as the result of nomadic conditions. It was peculiarly an Oriental virtue. In the Egyptian Book of the Dead, commendatory judgment is awarded to lim who has fed the hungry and clothed the naked. The O. T. abounds in illustrations, and the practice of hospitality among the Arabs and Bedoueen is familiar through the writings of travellers in the East.* Great stress

[^210]was laid on the duty by the Greeks, as appears constantly in Homer and elsewhere. Hospitality was regarded as a religious duty. The stranger was held to be under the special protection of Zeus, who was called $\xi \in \underline{\nu} \nu o s$, the god of the stranger. The Romans regarded any violation of the rites of hospitality as impiety. Cicero says: "It seems to me eminently becoming that the homes of distinguished men should be open to distinguished guests, and that it is an honour to the Republic that foreigners should not lack this kind of liberality in our city" (De Off. ii. 18).
 $\dot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda o u s)$. The Greek idiom is, "were not apparent as entertaining angels." The verb ë $\lambda a \theta^{\prime}$ ov were concealed represents the adverb unawares. For similar instances see Mk. xiv. 8; Acts xii. 16; Aristoph. Wasps, 517; Hdt. i. 44; Hom. Il. xiii. 273. Eevi¢ $\epsilon \iota \nu$ to receive as a guest, mostly in Acts. In LXX only in the apocryphal books. In later Greek, to surprise with a novelty; passive, to be surprised or shocked. So 1 Pet. iv. 4, 12 ; comp. 2 Ep. of Clem. of Rome (so called), xvii. To be a stranger or to be strange, once in N. T., Acts xvii. 20. Eevlo $\mu$ 's amazement, perplexity, not in N. T. LXX, Prov. xv. 17. Comp. Ignatins, Eph. xix. The allusion to the unconscious entertainment of angels is probably to Gen. xviii, xix, but the idea was familiar in Greek literature. The Greeks thought that any stranger might be a god in disguise. See Hom. Od. i. 96 ff.; iii. 329-370; xvii. 485. Comp. also the beautiful story of Baucis and Philemon as related by Ovid (Metam. viii. 626-724). The thought appears in our Lord's words, Matt. xxv. 34-46.
3. Them that are in bonds ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu l \omega \nu)$. See on ch. x. 34.

As bound with them ( $\left.\dot{\omega} \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon_{0}\right)$ ). N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$. As if you were fellow-prisoners. Comp. 1 Cor. xii. 14-26; 2 Cor. xi. 29. Public intercession for prisoners has formed a part of the service of the church from the earliest times. See the prayer at the close of Clem. Rom Ad Corinth. lix. It also occurs in the daily morning service of the synagogue.
vol. iv. -36

Which suffer adversity (какоv$\chi^{\circ} \nu \mu$ évळע). Rend. are evil entreated. See on ch. xi. 37.

As being yourselves also in the body (ís кai au̇toì övtes $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau t)$. As subject like them to bodily sufferings. Not in the body-the church, which would require the article. The expression $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$ in the sense of being still alive, only in 2 Cor. xii. 2.
 $\Gamma \dot{\mu} \mu o s$ everywhere else in N. T. a wedding or wedding feast, often in the plural, as Matt. xxii. 2, 3, 4; L. xii. 36. Tímlos honourable or held in honour. Often in N. T. precious, of gold, stones, etc., as 1 Cor. iii. 12; Apoc. xvii. 4; xviii. 12 : of life, Acts xx. 24: the fruits of the earth, Jas. v. 7; the blood of Christ, 1 Pet. i. 19 ; the divine promises, 2 Pet. i. 4. Rend. "let marriage be had in honour." The statement is hortatory, as suiting the character of the entire context, and especially the $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ for; " for whoremongers,". etc. ' $\mathrm{E} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ "in all respects," as 1 Tim. iii. 11; 2 Tim. iv. 5; Tit. ii. 9; Col. i. 18; Philip. iv. 12. If as A.V., the more natural expression would be mapà $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$, as Matt. xix. 26; Acts xxvi. 8 ; Rom. ii. 13; 2 Th. i. 6; Jas. i. $27 .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ in all things appears in this chapter, ver. 18.* There are many points in which marriage is to be honoured besides the avoidance of illicit connections. See on 1 Thess. iv. 6.

God will judge ( $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ó $\theta \epsilon o ́ s$ ). Note the emphatic position of $\dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}$. $H e$ will judge and condemn infractions of the marriage-bond, however social sentiment may condone them.
5. Let your conversation be without covetousness
 Hence way, manner, fashion; way or manner of life. In this


[^211] Matt. xxiii. 37 ; L. xiii. 34; Acts i. 11; xv. 11; xxvii. 25. The meaning here is character or moral disposition. 'A $1 \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ rvpos without covetousness, only here and 1 Tim. iii. 3 , see note.

Be content with such things as ye have (á $\rho к о и ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o \iota ~$ тois $\pi a \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu)$. Lit. being contented with the things which are at hand. For ápıcì to suffice, see L. iii. 14; J. vi. 7; 1 Tim. vi. 8. On the compounds aùтápкпяs self-sufficient and aùтápкєьa selfsufficiency, see on 2 Cor. ix. 8; Philip. iv. 11.

For he hath said (aùtòs $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ єlр $\rho \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ). Rend. for "he himself.". God himself. For $\epsilon \ell \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ hath said, see ch. i. 13; iv. 3,4 ; x. 9.

I will never leave nor forsake thee ( $o \hat{v} \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \dot{a} \nu \hat{\omega}$ oủ $\delta^{\circ}$ ov $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$ é $\gamma \kappa \alpha т a \lambda(i \pi \omega)$. Comp. Gen. xxviii. 15; Josh. i. 5; Deut. xxxi. 6. None of these, however, give the saying in the form in which it appears here. This appears to be a combination or general adaptation of those passages. For "never," rend. " by no means" or "in no wise." 'A $\nu \hat{\omega}$ from $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$. In Acts xvi. 26; xxvii. 40, to loosen: Eph. vi. 9, to give up or forbear. Somewhat in this last sense here: "I will in no wise give thee up, or let thee go." I will not relax my hold on thee. For é $\gamma \kappa a \tau a \lambda i \pi \omega$ forsake, see on $2 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{iv} .10$.
 Lit. so that, being of good courage, we say. ©appeiv to be confident or bold, only here in Hebrews. Elsewhere only in Paul. The kindred form $\theta a \rho \sigma \epsilon i \nu$ is used in N. T. only in the imperative $\theta \dot{a} \rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ or $\theta a \rho \sigma \epsilon \bar{\imath} \tau \epsilon$ take courage. See Matt. ix. 2; Mk. vi. 50 ; J. xvi. 33 ; Acts xxiii. 11.

The Lord is my helper, etc. From LXX, Ps. cxvii. 6, with slight alteration. Here, what shall man do unto me is an independent clause. LXX inserts and: "my helper and I will not fear," and connects the last clause with "fear": "I will not fear what man will do."

7-15. The following passage presents many difficulties of detail, but its general sense is clear. It sums up in a striking way the main topics of the epistle, bringing them all to bear upon the conclusion that Judaism and Christianity are mutually exclusive, and thus enforcing the warning against a relapse into Judaism. It goes to show, in connection with other features of the epistle, the absurdity of the hypothesis that the epistle was intended as a warning to Gentile Christians against a relapse into Paganism.*
7. Remember them which have the rule over you ( $\mu \nu \eta$ -
 observing their admonitions. For $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ those who lead or rule, see on $1 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{v} .13$. Used of both civil and ecclesiastical rulers. Clement of Rome, among a great variety of names for church functionaries, has both $\mathfrak{\eta}$ oov́ $\mu$ evou and $\pi \rho o \eta \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota($ see Ad Corinth. i, xxi). Comp. Acts xv. 22. In LXX frequently, of various forms of authority, and in later Greek of bishops and abbots. For "which have the rule," rend. "which had," etc.

Who have spoken (oí $\tau \iota \nu e s$ è $\lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu)$. Rend. "spake," and comp. ch. ii. 3, 4.

Follow ( $\mu l \mu \varepsilon \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}$ ). Rend. "imitate." See on ch. vi. 12.
Considering (ả $\bar{\nu} a \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon$ ). Only here and Acts xvii. 23, see note. The compound verb means to observe attentively. The simple verb $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ implies a spiritual or mental interest in the object. See on J. i. 18.

[^212] $\phi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ). "E $\kappa \beta a \sigma \iota$ s only here and 1 Cor. х. 13 (note). It means outcome or issue. See Wisd. viii. 8. In 1 Cor. x. 13, way out. Comp. Wisd. ii. 17. 'Ava $\quad$ т $\rho \circ \phi \eta$ ' is life in intercourse with men. See on 1 Pet. i. 15. Conversation, in the older sense of that word, is a good rendering, as it is also a nearly literal rendering of the Greek word. The reference is to the end of their life; what kind of an end they made; possibly, but not necessarily, with an allusion to cases of martyrdom. What, now, was the subject of these teachers' faith which is commended to imitation? It is stated in the next verse.
8. Jesus Christ the same ('I $\eta \sigma o \hat{s} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma$ tòs ó aưtớ). The A.V. is slipshod, leaving the sentence without connection, or in apparent apposition with the end of their conversation. In translation this is commonly corrected by inserting is: "Jesus Christ is the same," etc. But even thus the real point of the statement is missed. No doubt the old teachers believed in the unchangeableness of Jesus Christ; but that fact is not represented as the subject of their faith, which would be irrelevant and somewhat flat. The emphatic point of the statement is Christ. They lived and died in the faith that Jesus is The Christ - the Messiah. The readers were tempted to surrender this faith and to return to Judaism which denied Jesus's messiahship (comp. ch. x. 29). Hence the writer says, "hold fast and imitate their faith in Jesus as the Christ. He is ever the same. He must be to you, to-day, what he was to them, yesterday, and will be forever to the heavenly hosts - Christ. Rend. therefore "Jesus is Christ." Observe that our writer rarely uses the formula Jesus Christ. In ch. x. 10 it occurs in a passage in which the messianic mission of Jesus is emphasised (see vv. 5, 9), and in xiii. 21 , in a liturgical formula. The temptation to forsake Jesus as Messiah is treated in the next verse.
9. Be not carried about ( $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). A.V. follows T. R. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. Rend. "carried away." The present tense indicates a present and active danger.

With divers and strange doctrines (סıסaxaîs тонкí入aıs каĭ $\xi \in ́ v a \iota \varsigma)$. For "doctrines" rend. "teachings." These teachings represent various phases of one radical error - the denial of Jesus's messiahship and of his messianic economy as superseding Judaism and all other means of salvation. Among them the writer's mind would naturally turn to the prescriptions concerning clean and unclean meats and sacrificial festivals. See next clause. These teachings were various as contrasted with the one teaching of the gospel; they were strange as they differed from that teaching. Comp. Gal. i. 6-9. For тогкíגaıs see on 2 Tim. iii. 16.

That the heart be established ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ к а р \delta l a \nu)$. There is an emphasis on heart as well as on grace. These strange teachings all emphasised externalism, in contrast with Christianity, which insisted upon the purification of the heart and conscience. The contrast is strongly stated in ch. ix. 9 , 14, and the Epistle constantly directs the readers to the heart as the true point of contact with God, and the source of all departures from him. See ch. iii. 8, 10, 12, 15; iv. 7, 12; viii. 10 ; especially x. 22. Hence, the writer says, "it is good that the solid basis of your assurance before God be in the heart, purged from an evil conscience, so that you can draw near to God with a firmly-established confidence, with a true heart, in full assurance of faith": ch. x. 22 ; comp. 1 Th. iii. 13; 2 Tim. ii. 22.

With grace, not with meats ( $\chi^{\dot{a} \rho \iota \tau \iota ~ o v ~} \beta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ). The heart is the proper seat of the work of grace. Free grace is the motive-power of Christ's sacrifice (2 Cor. viii. 9; Gal. i. 15); it is behind the blood of the new covenant, and is the energetic principle of its saving operation. See Rom. v. 2, 15 ; 1 Cor. xv. 10 ; Eph. ii. 5, 7, 8 ; 2 Th. ii. 16 ; Heb. ii. 9 ; iv. 16; x. 29 . With meats stands for the whole system of ceremonial observances, in contrast with grace, working on the heart. 'See ch. ix. 10. This ceremonial system yielded no permanent benefit to those who lived under it. See ch. vii. 25 ; ix. $9,13,14$; x. 1, 2, 4.

Which have not profited them that have been occupied therein ( $\epsilon \nu$ ois oủk $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ). Lit. in the which they who walked were not profited. Пєритатєì to walk about is often used to express habitual practice or general conduct of life. See Rom. vi. 4; 2 Cor. x. 3; Eph. ii. 10 ; Col. iii. 7 ; iv. 5.
10. Those who persist in adhering to the Jewish economy can have no part in the blessing of the new covenant. The two are mutually exclusive. The statement is cast in the mould of the Jewish sacrificial ritual, and in the figure of eating a sacrificial meal.
 to try to find in the Christian economy some specific object answering to altar - either the cross, or the eucharistic table, or Christ himself. Rather the ideas of approach to God, sacrifice, atonement, pardon and acceptance, salvation, -are gathered up and generally represented in the figure of an altar, even as the Jewish altar was the point at which all these ideas converged. The application in this broader and more general sense is illustrated by Ignatius: "If one be not within the altar (évò̀s rov $\theta v \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i o v$, the sacred precinct), he lacketh the bread of God. . . . Whosoever, therefore, cometh not to the congregation (è $\pi i$ $\tau \grave{o}$ aù $\tau \grave{\prime}$ ), he doth thereby show his pride, and hath separated himself," Eph. v. Ignatius here uses the word, not of a literal altar, but of the church. Comp. Trall. vii. Again: "Hasten to come together as to one temple, even God; to one altar, even to one, Jesus Christ," Magn. vii.

Of which - to eat ( $\epsilon \xi$ ovi- $\boldsymbol{\theta} a \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ). The foundation of the figure is the sacrifice of the peace- or thank-offering, in which the worshippers partook of the sacrifice. See Lev. vii. $29-35$; Deut. xii. 6 ; xxvii. 7. The peace-offerings were either public or private. The two lambs offered every year at Pentecost (Lev. xxiii. 19) were a public offering, and their flesh was eaten only by the officiating priests, and within the
holy place. The other public peace-offerings, after the priests had received their share, were eaten by the offerers themselves. Jehovah thus condescended to be the guest of his worshippers. The large scale on which such festivals were sometimes celebrated is illustrated in 1 K . viii. 63. In private peace-offerings, the breast of the victim belonged to the Lord, who gave it to the priests (Lev. vii. 30), and the right shoulder was given directly to the priests by Israel (Lev. vii. 32). After the ritual of waving, the entrails were consumed, and the rest was eaten by the priest or the worshippers and their invited guests, among whom were specially included the poor and the Levites.

Right (ékovaía $)$. See on J. i. 12.
Which serve the tabernacle (oi $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda a \tau \rho \in \dot{v} о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma)$. This does not mean the priests only, but the worshippers also. इкฑ $\eta^{\prime}$ tabernacle is used figuratively for the whole ceremonial economy. A reference to the priests alone is entirely foreign to the context, and to the whole drift of the discussion which contrasts the privileges of Christians at large (we) with those of Israel at large. The writer is speaking in the present tense, of institutions in operation in his own time, to which tabernacle, in any other than a figurative sense, would be inappropriate. Moreover, $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ to serve is used throughout the N. T., with the single exception of Heb. viii. 5 , of the service of the worshipper and not of the priest.
11. The statement that the adherents of the old economy are excluded from the privileges of the new is justified by an illustrative argument drawn from the ceremonies of the Great Day of Atonement. See Lev. xvi, and comp. Heb. ix. 7. Of the victims offered on that occasion neither people nor priest were allowed to eat. The blood of the bullock and of one of the goats was carried into the sanctuary and sprinkled upon the mercy-seat, and afterward on the horns of the great altar outside ; and the bodies of the slain animals were burned in a clean place outside of the camp or city.

Beasts ( $\zeta \omega \omega \nu$ ). Lit. living oreatures. The victims for the Day of Atonement were a bullock and two young goats for sin-offerings, and two rams for burnt-offerings. Only one goat, chosen by lot, was slain; the other served as the scape-goat. Z $\omega$ ov animal is not used elsewhere of a sacrificial victim, either in N. T. or LXX. The word in N. T. mostly in Apocalypse. See on Apoc. i. 16 ; iv. 6.

Without the camp ( $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta} s\right)$. Burning without the camp was also required in the case of victims offered at the consecration of the priests, Ex. xxix. 14 ; at the sinoffering for the priest, Lev. iv. 11, 12 ; and at the sin-offering for the congregation, Lev. iv. 21. For $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \eta^{\prime}$ camp, see on Acts xxi. 34.
 $\lambda a o ́ v)$. 'Ayıá'cel to sanctify had a peculiar significance to Jews. It meant to set them apart as holy. Hence, the Israelites were called áryoo, as separated from other nations and consecrated to God. Our writer extends the application of the word to Christians. For Christ's work he claims the same efficacy which the Jew claimed for the special call of God to Israel, and for the operation of the Jewish sacrificial system. The office of his atoning work is to sanctify; to make for himself a holy nation ( $\left.{ }^{e} \theta \nu o s a ̈ \gamma \iota o \nu\right)$, a people "prepared for the Lord" (L. i. 17) ; a true Israel of God. 'O $\lambda a o{ }^{\prime} s$ the people, or $\lambda a o^{\prime}$ pov my people, occurs constantly in O. T. as a designation of Israel, and also in N. T. See, in this epistle, ch. v. 3 ; vii. $5,11,27$; ix. 7,19. The N. T. extends the title to all who, under the new dispensation, occupy the position of Israel. See 1 Pet. ii. 10 ; Matt. i. 21 ; L. ii. 10 ; Heb. iv. 9 ; viii. 10 ; x. 30 ; xi. 25.
 with the blood of animal-sacrifices. Comp. ch. ix. 12, 28.

Suffered ( $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \pi \pi a \theta \epsilon \nu\right)$. Used of Christ in Hebrews, 1st Peter, and Acts, but not in Paul, who, however, has $\pi a{ }^{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \dot{\eta}_{\mu}^{\mu} a \tau a$ тov̂ Xpıбтồ sufferings of Christ, 2 Cor. i. 5 ; Philip. iii. 10 (aùzoû).

Without the gate ( ${ }^{\xi} \xi \omega \tau \eta ิ \varsigma \pi u ́ \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). 'Gate is substituted for camp (ver. 11), as more appropriate to a city.
 The reproach of exclusion from the Jewish commonwealth.
14. For here have we no continuing city (oủ yà ${ }^{\text {é }}$ Xo $\left.\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \mu_{\epsilon ́ v o v \sigma a \nu}^{\pi \prime} \dot{o}^{\prime} \iota \nu\right)$. Here, on earth. Continuing city. Let us go forth without the gate to Jesus; for the system which has its centre in Jerusalem, the Holy City, is no more ours. We are excluded from its religious fellowship by embracing the faith of him who suffered without the gate. The city itself is not abiding. As a holy city, it is the centre and representative of a system of shadows and figures (ch. viii. 5 ; ix. $9,23,24$; x. 1), which is to be shaken and removed, even as is the city itself (xii. 27); viii. 13 ; ix. $10 ;$ x. 9,18 . If the epistle had been written after the destruction of Jerusalem a reference to that event could hardly have been avoided here.

One to come ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{e} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} o v \sigma a \nu$ ). Rend. "that which is to come." The heavenly Jerusalem. Comp. ch. xi. 10, 13-16.

The course of thought in vv. 9-14 is as follows: Be not carried away with divers and strange teachings, for example, those concerning meats and drinks and sacrificial feasts. It is good that the heart be established, rather than that the body should be ceremonially pure; and that the heart be established by the grace of God in Christ, which alone can give inward peace, a pure conscience, an established rest and security - rather than by the consciousness of having partaken of meats ceremonially clean : for those whose religious life was under the regimen of this ceremonial system derived no permanent profit from it. Not only so, the two systems exclude each other. You cannot hold by the Levitical system and enjoy the blessings of Christian salvation. It is the sacrifice of Christ through which you become partakers of grace. It is impossible to obtain grace through meats ; for meats rep-
resent the economy which denies Christ; and, by seeking establishment through meats, you exclude yourselves from the economy which is the only vehicle of grace.

Accordingly, we have an altar and a sacrifice from which the votary of Leviticalism is excluded. By the Levitical law it was forbidden to eat the flesh of the victim offered on the Great Day of Atonement; so that, if the Levitical law still holds for you, you cannot partake of the Christian's atoning victim. The law under which you are prohibits you. According to that law, there is nothing to eat of in an atoning sacrifice, since the body of the victim is burned. Neither priest nor people have anything more to do with it, and, therefore, it is carried outside of the camp or city, outside of the region of O. T. covenant-fellowship. Similarly, so long as you hold by Judaism, participation in Christ's atoning sacrifice is impossible for you. It is outside your religious sphere, like the body of the victim outside the gate. You cannot eat of our altar.

The blood of the Levitical victim was carried into the holy of holies and remained there. If you seek the benefit of that blood, it must be within the camp, at the Levitical tabernacle or temple. And you cannot have the benefit of Christ's blood, for that compels you to go outside the gate, where he suffered. According to the O. T. law, you could partake of the benefit of the blood, but you could not eat of the body. Christ's sacrifice gives you both body and blood as spiritual food; but these you must seek outside of Judaism. Thus, by means of the O. T. ritual itself, it is shown that the Jewish and the Christian systems exclude each other. Christ must be sought outside of the Jewish pale.
15. By him therefore ( $\delta c^{\prime} a \dot{u} \tau o \hat{v}$ ). Rend. "through him." Omit therefore. A. V. follows T. R. oủv. Through Jesus, and not through the Jewish ritual.

Let us offer (à ${ }^{\nu} \alpha \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Lit. bring up the offering to the altar. See Jas. ii. 21, where the full phrase occurs. For the phrase offer up through Jesus Christ, comp. 1 Pet. ii. 5.

The sacrifice of praise ( $\theta v \sigma$ ià aivé $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ ). The Levitical term for a thank-offering. See LXX, Lev. vii. 2, 3, 5; 2 Chron. xxix. 31 ; xxxiii. 16 ; Ps. xlix. 14, 23 ; cvi. 22 ; cxv. 8. "Aıveबıs praise, N.T. ${ }^{\circ}$. Often in LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. For "the sacrifice" rend. " $a$ sacrifice." The sacrifice of thanksgiving is to take the place of the animal sacrifice. For the emphasis on thanksgiving in N.T. see Eph. v. 20; Col. i. 12; 1 Th. v. 18. The Rabbins had a saying, "in the future time all sacrifices shall cease; but praises shall not cease." Philo says : "They offer the best sacrifice who glorify with hymns the saviour and benefactor, God."
 Omit our. From LXX of Hos. xiv. 3, where the Hebrew reads, "we will account our lips as calves" (offered in sacrifice). Comp. Isa. Ivii. 19.

Giving thanks to his name (í $\mu \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\lambda o} \mathrm{\gamma oúv} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\nu} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\hat{} \mathrm{\varphi}}$ óvó $\mu a \tau \iota$ aùtoû). The phrase N.T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ LXX. Rend. " of lips which make confession to his name."
16. But to do good and to communicate forget not
 not forgetful of doing good and communicating. 'Evtoilia beneficence, N. T. ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ LXX, ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. For colvшlia communication, of alms, etc., see on L. v. 10 ; Acts ii. 42. See also Rom. xv. $26 ; 2$ Cor. viii. 4 ; ix. 13. Comp. the verb $\kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \in i ̂ \nu ~ t o ~-~$ impart, Rom. xii. 13 ; xv. 27 ; Philip. iv. 15.
17. They watch (à $\gamma \rho v_{\pi} \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ). See on Mk. xiii. 33 , and comp. L. xxi. 36 ; Eph. vi. 18.

With grief ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{\jmath} \zeta о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma)$. Lit. groaning. See Rom. viii. 23; 2 Cor. v. 2, 4 ; Jas. v. 9.
 and $\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda$ 's paying for expenses. Hence, what does not pay; unprofitable.

I may be restored to you (à aкоатабта日会 $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ). Not implying imprisonment, but enforced absence through sickness or other cause.
20. The God of peace. Not an O. T. phrase, and found only in Paul and Hebrews. See Rom. xv. 33; xvi. 20 ; 1 Cor. xiv. 33 ; Philip. iv. 9; 1 Th. v. 23; 2 Th. iii. 16. The phrase signifies God who is the author and giver of peace.

Who brought again from the dead ( $\delta \dot{\alpha} \dot{a} \nu a \gamma a \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \bar{\nu} \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$. The only direct reference in the epistle to the resurrection of Christ. Ch. vi. 2 refers to the resurrection of the dead generally. 'Avá $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ of raising the dead, only Rom. x. 7. Rend. "brought up," and comp. Wisd. xvi. 13. 'Avá in this compound, never in N. T. in the sense of again. See on L. viii. 22 ; Acts xii. 4 ; xvi. 34 ; xxvii. 3. The verb often as a nautical term, to bring a vessel $u p$ from the land to the deep water ; to put to sea.

That great shepherd of the sheep ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o \not \approx \mu \in ́ v a \operatorname{\tau \omega } \nu \pi \rho o-$ $\beta \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ тò̀ $\mu$ é $\gamma a \nu$ ). The Greek order is, "the shepherd of the sheep the great (shepherd)." Comp. J. x. 2, 11, 14; 1 Pet. ii. 25, and see Isa. lxiii. 11. Of God, Ezek. xxxiv.

Through the blood of the everlasting covenant ( ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu}$ aï $\mu a \tau \iota \delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \overline{ }$ aicuióou). Rend. "in the blood of an eternal covenant." See Zech. ix. 11. The phrase eternal covenant N. T. ${ }^{0}$. Common in LXX; see Gen. ix. 16; xvii. 19 ; Lev. xxiv. 8; 2 Sam. xxiii. 5; Jer. xxxix. 40; Ezek. xvi. 60. Const. with the great shepherd of the sheep. It may be granted that the raising of Christ from the dead, viewed as the consummation of the plan of salvation, was in the sphere of the blood of the covenant; nevertheless, the covenant is nowhere in the N. T. associated with the resurrection, but frequently with death, especially in this epistle. See Matt. xxvi. 28; L. xxii. 20 ; Heb. ix. 15, 16, 17, 20. The connection of the blood of the covenant with Christ's pastoral office gives a thoroughly scriptural sense, and one which exactly fits into
the context. Christ becomes the great shepherd solely through the blood of the covenant. Comp. Acts xx. 28. Through this is brought about the new relation of the church with God described in ch. viii. 10 ff . This tallies perfectly with the conception of "the God of peace"; and the great Shepherd will assert the power of the eterual covenant of reconciliation and peace by perfecting his flock in every good work to do his will, working in them that which is well pleasing in his sight. With this agree Jer. l. 5, 19 ; Ezek. xxxiv. 25, and the entire chapter, see especially vv. 12-15, 23, 31. In these verses the Shepherd of the Covenant appears as guiding, tending his flock, and leading them into fair and safe pastures. Comp. Isa. lxiii. 11-14,
 aï $\mu a t \iota$ " in the blood," is in virtue of, or in the power of the blood.
21. Make you perfect (катартібवं兀 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma$ ). The verb is aptly chosen, since the readers are addressed as a body - the flock of Christ. The prayer is for the complete mutual adjustment of all the members of the flock into a perfected whole, fitted to do the perfect will of God. See on 1 Pet. v. 10, and comp. notes on 2 Tim. iii. 17 ; 1 Cor. i. 10; 2 Cor. xiii. 11. Ignatius uses the word of the church's being joined (кainp$\tau \iota \sigma \mu e ́ v o \iota$ ) in common subjection to the Bishops and the Presbytery (Eph. ii), and of himself as one composed or settled into union ( $\epsilon i \mathcal{S}$ ๕ $\nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ), that is, avoiding division in the church (Philad. viii); and again to the Smyrnaeans (i) "I have perceived that ye are settled or compacted in faith immovable, being, as it were, nailed on the cross of the Lord Jesus Christ in flesh and in spirit."
 épy work. Rend. "in every good thing."

To do his will ( $\epsilon$ 's tò $\pi 0 \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~$ tò $\theta$ é $\lambda \eta \mu a$ aữov̂). To the end that you do, etc.

Working in you ( $\pi o \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu$ ). Rend. "in us." A.V. follows Ṫ. R. $\dot{u} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ y o u . ~ F o r ~ " w o r k i n g " ~ r e n d . ~ " d o i n g . " ~$ The word plays on $\pi o \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ to do. "Make you perfect to do his will, he doing in us what is well-pleasing in his sight."

That which is well-pleasing in his sight (тò ev̇ápєotov
 'Evápectov usually with the simple dative, as Rom. xii. 1; xiv. 8 ; Eph. v. 10 ; Philip. iv. 18. Comp. 1 J. iii. 22.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho a \kappa \lambda \eta$ 向 $\sigma \omega$ ). For "suffer," rend. "bear with." See Acts xviii. 14; 2 Cor. xi. 1; 2 Tim. iv. 3. Do not become impatient at my counsels in this letter. The word of exhortation refers to the entire epistle which he regards as hortatory rather than didactic or consolatory. The phrase only in Acts xiii. 15.

I have written a letter unto you ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda a \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu)$. A.V. supplies a letter. Rend. "I have written unto you." The verb only here, Acts xv. 20 ; xxi. 25 . Lit. to send, not letters only. Sometimes with è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda a i$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda d{ }_{a}^{s}$ letters added, as Neh. vi. 19; 1 Macc. xii. 7. In N. T. always of sending a letter.

In a few words ( $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \beta_{p} \chi^{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ ). There is a suggestion of apology. Do not grow impatient. The letter is short. The phrase N. T. ${ }^{\circ}$, but comp. $\delta \iota^{\prime} \grave{o j}\langle\boldsymbol{y} \omega \nu, 1$ Pet. v. 12, and è̀ $\nu$ ${ }_{\partial} \lambda i \gamma \varphi \omega$ briefly, Eph. iii. 3.

 name, is to put the proper name first. See Rom. xvi. 23; 1 Cor. i. 1; xvi. 12; 2 Cor. i. 1; ii. 13 ; Philip. ii. 25.
 fact referred to. 'А $\mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$ of releasing from confinement, Matt. xxvii. 15 ; J. xix. 10 ; Acts iii. 13 ; iv. 21, 23 ; v. 40.
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 "those who are in Italy send greeting from Italy"; or, "those of Italy (Italian Christians with the writer at the time) send greeting' from the place at which the letter is being written. See Introduction. The phrase affords no reliable indication as to the residence of the persons addressed.

## WORDS WHICH OCCUR ONLY IN HEBREWS．

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ảyvó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\text { ¢ }}$ ораи | сv่ ${ }^{\text {áß́ßcua }}$ |  |
|  | $\gamma$ vó¢os |  | $\mu \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ |
| ${ }_{\alpha} \dot{\theta} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ |  |  | $\mu \eta \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\eta}$ |
| aìvetos | Sápares | єistouta | $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ |
| aiцатєкхขбía | $\delta \in \kappa a ́ t \eta$ |  | $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta a \pi$ обобía |
| aiveots | סекато́ш | $\theta \epsilon a \tau \rho i \zeta \% \mu a l$ | $\mu \nu \sigma \theta a \pi 0 \delta o ́ \tau \eta s^{\text {－}}$ |
|  | ס́esos | $\theta \dot{\theta} \lambda \lambda \bar{\prime} \iota s$ | $\mu \nu \epsilon \lambda$ о́s |
| aittos | סє́p $\mu$ а | $\theta \in \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ |  |
| வ̇ккатá入vтos |  | $\theta$ ט́e $\lambda \lambda a$ | véqos |
|  | ঠク̇́тоv |  | vóOos |
| ảkpotíviov |  |  | vouoөєтє́m |
| $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} 5$ | סıафори́тєроз | i $\quad$ р $\omega \sigma$ viv | $\nu \omega \theta \rho o ́ s$ |
|  | $\delta \iota \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \eta{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |
| $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ | סuкvéopà |  | ö้үкоя |
| ávaкаıvi＇̧ $\omega$ | סıóp $\theta \omega \sigma$ ıs | каӨаро́т ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |
|  | ঠокццаоі́а | $\kappa к к о т \chi$ ¢́оцає |  |
| avapit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ¢тоs |  | $\kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \in ́ \omega$ |  |
| àvatavpóa |  | катаушví̧одаи | оркөиобі́а |
| divtaymví＇ouat | ¢́áv | ката́d́ๆ入оs |  |
|  | E＇Y $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ | катауа入íбкш | таขท＇${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| àmajá $\beta$ atos | ¢̇к及aívo | катабк＜а́¢¢ |  |
| ánát $\omega \rho$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta{ }^{\text {a }} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ | ката́бкотоя | таратıкраíve |
| ảmav́үабرа， |  | $\kappa \alpha \hat{\tau} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ | таратıкрабно́s |
|  |  |  | $\pi а р а \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ |
|  |  | копи＇ | $\pi а \rho a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega s$ |
| áтóvтo入os（of |  | критько́s | тараре́ш |
| Christ） | е̇vкаıvíso | $\kappa \omega \hat{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ | $\pi \in \hat{¢} \rho{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| åpuós |  |  | $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \mu \iota$ |
| ả ${ }^{\text {avińs }}$ |  | 入eıточрүико́s | $\pi о \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \omega{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
|  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime}$ | 入eveıtıкós | тодขтро́т $\omega$ ¢ |
|  |  |  | $\pi \chi^{\prime} i^{\prime} \omega$ |
|  | ¢̈тоऽ |  |  |
| $\beta$ Bon $\theta$ ós | ยv̉apeatéa | $\mu$ кбьтєข́ш | т $\rho$ о́d $\rho$ оноя |
| $\beta$ ßotáv | ยv̇apéotos | $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \theta \in \sigma \iota s$ | $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \in \cup \cup \omega$ |
| vol． | －37 |  |  |
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|  | оvvס́́opal | трáyos | фаvтáלomà |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| тро́офатоs | $\boldsymbol{\sigma \nu \nu \in \pi \iota \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \epsilon ́ \omega ~}$ | трах $\eta$ 入i¢ораи | фо $\beta$ ¢ ós $^{\prime}$ |
|  | оиขкакоขхєо́рац | тоі́иךขоs |  |
| трштото́кьа |  | трохía | $\chi^{\text {аракктй }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| баßßatıб徃s | $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} 5$ |  |  |
| ота́uvos | тяцшрía | ข̇лєíк凶 |  |
| бvvamo入入úpal | тоно́s | ข์побто入ท＇ |  |

## WORDS FOUND IN HEBREWS AND ELSEWHERE, BUT NOT IN PAUL.

## [Words which occur in the Pastorals are marked *.]



| $\lambda \lambda_{0} \theta_{0} \beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda^{\prime} \omega$ | ${ }_{\text {о́ркоя }}$ |  | $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega \sigma \iota s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ 入uv́ | örıos＊ | $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́т $¢ \rho$ оs＊ |  |
|  | ȯбтย́ov | $\pi \rho о а ́ \gamma \omega *$ | $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta s$ |
| $\lambda u \chi{ }^{\text {via }}$ |  | $\pi \rho o ́ \delta \eta \lambda o s *$ |  |
|  |  | $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon ́ \rho \chi о \mu a \iota * ~}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ |
| Mávva |  | $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| $\mu а \sigma \tau \iota ү o ́ \omega$ | $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau о \theta \in \nu$ | $\pi \rho о \sigma \boldsymbol{\phi} \epsilon \rho \omega$ | vítós |
| $\mu \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \downarrow \boldsymbol{\xi}$ | $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ | $\pi v$ 的 $\eta$ | $\dot{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\mu \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega$ |
| $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda \omega \sigma$ óv $\eta$ | тараঠ́́́Xоцає＊ |  | vinajts |
| $\mu \epsilon \tau а \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega^{*}$ | $\pi а р а \iota \tau$ ́́opal＊ |  |  |
| ${ }_{\mu \text { étoxos }}$ | тара入v́opar |  | ข์тока́т ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \boldsymbol{\prime}{ }^{*}$ | $\pi а р а ф \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ |  | ข̇momódov |
| $\mu \mathrm{L} \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}^{*}$ | $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | ข゙ $\sigma \sigma \omega \pi$ os |
| $\mu$ moyevis | $\pi \alpha \rho \in \pi i ́ \delta \eta \mu 0 s$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa} \boldsymbol{\sim} \nu \dot{\prime}$ |  |
| но́бхоs | тарín¢ | $\sigma \pi \chi^{\prime} \lambda a t o \nu$ |  |
| $\mu \mathrm{p}$ ¢ ás | тароскє́ш | 大тodós |  |
| $\left.\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} v^{\prime}\right\} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ |  | oráaıs | $\phi$ ¢́w |
| ¢̇Пós |  | бтє¢ $\boldsymbol{6}$ ós＊ |  |
|  | $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$＇s | бтєфаขо́ ${ }^{\text {＊}}$＊ |  |
| \％$\theta$ cı |  | бvvavтám | $\chi \rho 0 v i \zeta \omega$ |
| ธ̇локаи́r¢นа | $\pi \epsilon \rho \kappa к а \lambda и ́ \pi т ь \omega$ | бvvтє́入єに | $\chi \rho$ v́r $¢ 0$＊＊ |
| ${ }_{\text {on }} \boldsymbol{\sim} \nu \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | $\pi \in р$ ¢́кєıцає | $\sigma \chi$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {óóv }}$ | $\chi{ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ 入ós |
| ò $\pi \underline{\eta}$ | $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os |  |  |
| о́рє́үораı＊ | тockí入os＊ | тav̂pos | $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \phi \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ |
| óp日ós | тodír $\quad$ s | тáxєıov＊（variant） |  |

INDICES.

## INDEX OF ENGLISH WORDS.

Abba, 138
Abel, 556
Abide, 202, 456
Able, 292, 295, 313, 335
Abolish, 292
Abominable, 339
Abont the law, 351
Above, 497
Abraham, 131, 406
Abstain, 245
Abundant, 211
Accept, 98, 533
Acceptable, 217, 259
Acceptably, 559
Acceptation, 212
Accompany, 448
Accomplish, 477
According to, 331
Account (verb), 115, 524
Accursed, 86
Accusation, 268
Accused, 333
Achaia, 17
Acknowledging (subst.), 308, 331
Add, 98, 120, 123
Admonish, 48, 71, 469
Admonition, 351
Adoption, 136
Adorn, 221, 343
Adversary, 265, 503
Aeon, 58, 59, 60
Afar off, 521
Affairs, 296

Affect, 146
Affection, 169
Affectionately desirous, 25
Affirm, 206, 350
Afficted, 262
Affiction, 33, 314
Afraid, 141
After, 93
Again, 140, 438
Aged men, 340
Aged women, 340
Air, 43
Alexander, 327
Alexandria, 371
Aliens, 533
All, 562
Allegory, 149
Allowed, 23
Almost, 489
Altar, 460, 567
Always, 59, 337, 477
Among, 295
Anchor, 452
Ancyra, 74
Angel, 55, 86, 123, 144, 269, 389, 393, 398, 406, 553, 561
Anoint, 391
Another, 85, 173
Answer (subst.), 328
Answer to, 151
Antioch, 74, 314
Antioch (Pisidian), 74
Apollos, 367

Apostle, 82, 201, 410
Apparel, 221
Appear, 344, 491, 493, 512
Appearance, 51
Appearing (subst.), 280, 292, 318, 323, 345
Appoiut, 33, 333, 380, 410
Appointed, 493
Approach, 281, 503
Approved, 301
Apt to teach, 229, 308
Aquila, 329
Arabia, 90
Archangel, 42
Ark, 516
Armies, 533
Array (subst.), 224
As becometh holiness, 340
Ashamed, 71, 290, 292, 343
Ashes, 483
Asia, 294
Asleep, 39
Assaying, 530
Assembling together, 502
Assurance, 17
Assure, 315
At home, 259
At sundry times, 377
At the first, 143
Augustus, 74
Author, 436, 538
Anthority, 216
Avail, 158
Avenger, 37
Avoid, 307

Babblings, 283, 302
Babe, 440
Baptisms, 442
Baptize, 129
Barnabas, 367
Bastards, 542

Be ashamed, 523
Be content, 276, 563
Be forgetful, 560
Be highminded, 281
Be in subjection, 542
Be made, 433
Be mindful, 522
Be of force, 487
Be over, 47
Be partaker of, 269
Be quiet, 38
Be rich, 275
Be sober, 46
Bear (verb), 447, 493
Bear children, 265
Bear record, 145
Bear witness, 396
Beast, 337, 569
Become (befit), 340, 401, 465
Before, 259, 268
Before the world began, 291, 332
Beggarly, 140
Begin, 112, 395
Beginning, 68, 391, 418, 441
Begotten, 387
Behave, 238
Behaviour, 340
Behoved, 407
Being (partic.), 102
Believe, 115, 127, 212, 241, 245, 265, 292, 422
Believe not, 300, 420, 530
Beloved, 285, 448
Benefit, 273
Better, 385, 448, 463, 470, 490, 533, 556
Bewitch, 111
Beyond measure, 88
Birthright, 549
Bishop, 255, 256, 334
Bite, 163
Bitterness, 548

Blackness, 551
Blamed, 101
Blameless, 228, 236
Blaspheme, 273
Blasphemers, 211, 310
Bless, 116, 450, 458, 525
Blessed, 210, 344
Blessedness, 144
Blessing (subst.), 447
Blood, 482, 487, 499, 540, 569, 573
Boasters, 310
Bodily, 248
Body, 52, 501, 562
Bold, 21
Boldly, 563
Boldness, 237
Bondage, 97, 151, 406
Bondmaid, 148
Bonds, 298
Book; 488, 497
Books, 326
Bound, 299
Bound with, 561
Brawler, 230
Break forth, 152
Breastplate, 46
Brethren, 83, 181, 247, 403, 407, 409
Briers, 447
Brightness, 66, 381
Bring, 401
Bring again, 573
Bring in, 388
Bringing in (subst.), 463
Bring into bondage, 97
Bring to light, 292
Bring np children, 261
Broidered, 224
Brother, 91, 575
Brotherly love, 560
Brought in unawares, 96
Build, 106, 411
Builder, 520

Building, 482
Burden (subst.), 172, 173, 174
Burdensome, 24
Burn, 550
Burned, 448
Burnt-offerings, 497
Busyhodies, 70, 264
But (except), 268
Calf, 482, 488
Call, 85, 89, 159, 291
Call on, 307
Called, 433, 437, 486, 518, 523
Calleth, 53
Calling, 57, 291, 409
Camp, 569
Can (verb), 496
Candlestick, 475
Canker, 303
Captain, 402
Carcase, 420
Careful, 350
Carnal, 461, 480
Carpus, 326
Carry about, 565
Cast off, 264
Caught up, 43
Caves, 535
Cease, 161, 425
Censer, 475
Cephas, 91
Certify, 87
Chain, 295
Change (subst.), 460
Change (verb), 146, 460
Charge (subst.), 214
Charge (verb), 26, 53, 202, 265, 269, 300
Chargeable, 25, 70
Charity, 314
Chasten, 541
Chastening (subst.), 541, 544

Cherisheth, 25
Cherubim, 476
Chief, 212
Child, 132, 315
Childbearing, 226
Children, $45,128,146,153,333,404$
Children of Israel, 526
Choose to be a soldier, 296
Chosen, 68
Christ, 413, 441, 528, 565
Church, 11, 554
Cilicia, 92
Circumcise, 155, 178
Circumcision, 79, 160, 335
City, 519, 523, 570
Claudia, 330
Clement (of Rome), 368, 369
Cloke or cloak, 23, 326
Cloud, 536
Come, 310, 508
Come short, 420
Come to years, 527
Come unto, 431, 465, 515
Come upon, 45
Comfort (verb), 26, 47
Coming (of Christ), 31, 66
Commanded, 552
Commandment, 201, 204, 279, 457, 461, 527
Commandments, 34,338
Commit, 214, 295
Commit to one's trust, 210, 283, 293, 294, 332
Common, 332
Communicate, 94, 174, 282, 572
Companions, 506
Company, 71, 553
Compassed, 433, 530, 536
Compel, 96, 103
Conceive, 520
Conclude, 126
Concupiscence, 36

Condemnation, 232
Condemned, 343, 517
Condemned of himself, 352
Confer, 90
Confess, 522
Coufession, 279
Confidence, 414, 418, 508
Confirm, 119, 395, 451
Confirmation, 451
Conscience, 205, 215, 235, 286, 339, 479, 484, 501
Consent (verb), 273
Consider, 171, 297, 410, 456, 502, 539, 564
Consolation, 451
Constrain, 178
Consume, 65
Consumed, 163
Consuming, 559
Consummation of the age, 379
Content, 276
Contention, 22
Contentment, 275
Continually, 456, 496
Continue, 117, 227, 254, 464, 560
Continuing, 570
Contradiction, 539
Contrary, 29, 164, 208
Contrary part, 343
Conversation, 87, 250 562, 565
Convince, 335
Corinth, 4, 7
Correct (verb), 542
Correction, 318
Corrupt, 275, 313
Corruption, 175
Costly, 224
Count, 273, 505
Count worthy, 54, 57, 411
Country, 522
Countrymen, 28
Course, 323

Covenant, 119, 363, 470, 472, 494, 555, 573
Covet, 277
Covetous, 230, 310
Covetousness, 23
Create, 245
Creature, 179, 246, 429
Creep, 312
Crescens, 324
Cretans, 337
Crete, 332
Cross, 161, 178, 539
Crown (subst.), 31, 323
Crowned, 297, 400
Crucified, 107, 112
Crucify, 169
Crucify afresh, 446
Crying, 137
Curse (subst.), 117
Cursed, 117
Cursing (subst.), 447
Cut off, 162
Daily, 466
Dalmatia, 325
Damnation, 263
Damned, 67
Darkness, 45, 551
David, 298, 423
Day, 293, 503
Day of the Lord, 43
Days, 140, 310, 378, 379, 543
Deacon, 233, 236
Dead, 260, 300, 442, 484, 487, 521, 573
Deal, 541
Death, 400, 404, 435, 485, 487, 514
Debtor, 156
Decay (verb), 473
Deceit, 22, 66
Deceitfulness, 418
Deceivableness, 66
Deceive, 63, 172, 315

Deceived, 175, 226
Deceivers, 335
Declare plainly, 522
Dedicate, 487
Defile, 339, 549
Defilers witin mankind, 208
Defraud, 37
Degree, 237
Deliver, 20, 314, 406
Deliverance, 533
Delivered of a child, 521
Delusion, 66
Demas, 324
Deny, 260, 300
Depart, 244, 305, 418
Departing (subst.), 526
Departure, 322
Derbe, 74
Derive descent, 457
Descend, 41
Desire (verb), 140, 147, 148, 206, 227, 228, 449, 523
Desiring, 287
Desirous of vainglory, 170
Desolate, 260
Despise, 37, 143, 347, 504, 540
Despisers of the good, 311
Destitute, 275
Destroy, 65, 106, 404, 529
Destruction, 61, 277
Devil, 232, 244, 309, 404
Devour, 163
Die, 107, 504, 525, 526
Diligently follow, 262
Diligently seek, 515
Direct (verb), 34
Disannul, 120
Disannulling (subst.), 462
Discerner, 428
Dishonour, 306
Disobedience, 394
Disobedient, 207

Disorderly, 70
Dissemble, 102
Dissimulation, 102
Distribute, 282
Divers, 313, 348, 396, 566
Dividing (subst.), 427
Do, 429, 574
Do despite, 505
Do diligence, 324
Do good, 176, 282, 572
Do service, 139, 479
Do the law, 156
Doctrine, 208, 244, 254, 266, 274, 317, 320, 566
Doting, 274
Double, 266
Double-tongued, 234
Doubting (subst.), 221
Draw back, 508, 509
Draw nigh, 463
Dress (verb), 447
Drink (subst.), 480
Drink (verb), 446
Drink water, 270
Drown, 277, 530
Drunken, 46
Due, 176, 332
Dull, 437
Dwell, 288

Eack, 449, 525
Earnest, 393
Earth, 446
Easily-besetting, 537
Eat, 101, 303, 567
Edge, 532
Edify, 47
Edifying, 204
Effectually worketh, 28
Egypt, 528
Elder (in the church), 254, 256, 333
Elder women, 257

Elders, 511
Elect, 269
Election, 16
Elements, 134, 399
Embrace, 521
Enable, 210
End (subst.), 419, 448, 451, 492, 565
Endless, 203, 461
Endure, 320, 450, 528, 541
Endure afflictions, 321
Endure bardness, 296
Enemy, 145
Enjoy, 282, 527
Enlightened, 444
Ensample, 17
Entangle, 155, 296
Enter, 417, 452, 499
Entering in (subst.), 19
Entertain strangers, 560
Entertained, 561
Entire, 52
Epistle, 71
Equals, 88
Erastus, 10, 330
Err, 278, 284
Errors, 477
Esau, 549
Escape, 394
Establish, 32, 497
Established, 470, 566
Esteem (verb), 48, 528
Eternal, 56, 60, 176, 213, 283, 299, 436, 443, 482, 483, 486
Eubulus, 330
Evangelist, 321
Everlasting, 56, 59, 60, 213, 573
Everywhere, 221
Evidence, 510
Evident, 461
Evil, 51, 69, 329, 343, 418, 440
Evil-doer, 298
Example, 426, 469

Exceedingly, 33
Excellent, 386, 513
Exclude, 146
Exercise (subst.), 248
Exercise (verb), 248
Exercised, 440, 545
Exhort, 319
Exhortation, 22, 251, 575
Expressly, 243
Eyes, 145
Fables, 203, 338
Face, 101
Fail, 392, 548
Faint (adj.), 540
Faint (verb), 176
Faith, 93, 105, 107, 115, 127, 168, 202, 205, 215, 220, 234, 237, 244, 260, 288, 294, 363, 422, 449, 501, 508, 509, 517, 532, 538
Faithful, 53, 117, 211, 295, 299, 300, 333, 334, 407, 410
Fall, 232, 276, 426
Fall away, 445
Fall from, 157
Falling away (subst.), 63
False accusers, 341
False brethren, 96
Falsely-called, 283
Fathers, 378, 542
Father of Spirits, 542
Fault, 170
Fear (subst.), 290, 559
Fear (verb), 269, 435, 552
Fearful, 503
Feeble, 546
Feeble-minded, 49
Fellow, 391
Fellowship, 100
Female, 130
Fidelity, 343
Fierce, 311

Fiery, 503
Fight (subst.), 278, 322, 506
Fight (verb), 278, 322
Figure, 478, 491, 524
Fill, 29
Finally, 68
Find, 105
Find fault, 471
Finished, 422
Finisher, 538
Fire, 55, 532, 550, 559
Firm, 414
First, 212, 258, 289, 297, 475
First-begotten, 388
First-born, 529, 554
Flaming, 55
Flattering, 23
Flee for refuge, 452
Flesh, 90, 105, 113, 149, 163, 175, $178,179,240,242,434,500,542$
Flesh and blood, 90, 404
Fold (verb), 392
Follow, 70, 209, 262, 272, 307, 548, 564
Follower, 17, 449
Folly, 314
Food, 276
Foolish, 110, 112, 276, 307
For a season, 527
Forbear, 32
Forbid, 245
Forefathers, 286
Forerunner, 453
Foresee, 116
Forever, 59, 84, 214, 498
Forget, 572
Forgotten, 540
Form, 294, 312, 382
Formed, 147, 225
Fornication, 34
Fornicator, 549
For nought, 70

Forsake, 324, 528, 563
Foundation, 303, 442, 492, 519
Frame (verb), 512
Free, 152
Free course, 68
Fruit, 166, 545, 572
Frustrate, 109
Fulfil, 164, 172
Fulfilled, 163, 329
Full assurance, 449, 501
Full-grown, 440
Fully known, 329
Fulness, 135
Further, 459
Furthermore, 34, 542
Gain (subst.), 275
Gainsayers, 335
Galatia, 74, 324
Gate, 570
Gathering together, 62
Gaul, 325
Gender (verb), 158, 308
Genealogies, 203
General assembly, 553
Gentiles (after the manner of), 103
Gentiles, 104, 220, 241, 365
Gentle, 24, 308
Gentleness, 168
Gideon, 531
Gift, 251, 289, 396, 445
Gifts, 432, 514
Give, 108, 122, 219, 253, 323
Give attendance, 460
Give commandment, 527
Give heed, 203, 338, 393
Give place, 97
Give thanks, 12, 572
Given to hospitality, 229
Given to wine, 230, 234, 341
Gladness, 391
Glorified, 57, 68

Glorify, 433
Glorious, 210, 345
Glory (subst.), 27, 57, 213, 299, 382, 401
Glory (verb), 54, 179
Goat, 482, 488
Go about, 264
Go before, 214, 271, 462
Go beyond, 36
Go on, 442
Go out, 518
Go up, 90
God forbid, 106, 126
Godliness, 209, 217, 225, 239, 274, 275, 331
Godly, 315
Gold, 476
Golden, 476
Good, 49, 207, 259, 261, 282, 342, 440
Goodness, 58, 168
Good pleasure, 58
Goods, 507
Gospel, 98
Gospel (our or my), 16, 298
Gospel of God, 22, 210
Governor, 132
Grace, 12, 14, 68, 83, 99, 109, 291, 295, 344, 400, 431, 505, 559, 566
Grandmother, 289
Grave (adj.), 234
Great, 345, 430
Greatest, 473
Greatly, 327
Greedy of filthy lucre, 234
Grieved, 416
Grievous, 545
Ground, 238
Guide the house, 265
Guile, 22
Hand, 124, 177, 221, 252, 546
lHang down, 546

Harden, 415
Hard of interpretation, 437
Harlot, 530
Harmless, 466
Have compassion, 432, 507
Have in subjection, 231
Have pleasure in, 509
Have respect to, 528
Have the rule, 564
Heady, 311
Heap (verb), 320
Hear, 148, 395
Heard, 393, 435
Hearing (subst.), 112, 437
Heart, 69, 205, 307, 417, 566
Heaven, 19, 556
Heavenly, 329, 409, 445, 552
Heifer, 483
Heir, 132, 138, 154, 350, 380, 517
Heirs with; joint-heirs, 519
Helmet, 46
Help (subst.), 431
Henceforth, 180, 323
Herbs, 446
Heresy, 166
Heretical, 351
Hid, 272
High, 385
High-minded, 281, 311
High Priest, 364, 366, 369, 407, 432, 453, 500
Hinder, 31, 159
Hold, 215
Hold fast, 51, 334, 414
Holily, 26
Holiest, 478, 499
Holiness, 544, 548
Holy, 409, 466
Holy place or places, 491
Holy Spirit, 38, 415, 445, 478
Home, 259
Honestly, 38

Honesty, 217
Honour (subst.), 213, 266, 306
Honour (verb), 257
Honourable, 562
Hope (subst.), 31, 40, 158, 201, 344, $350,414,449,463,501$
Hospitality, 229
House, 260, 305, 336
Household, 176
House of God, 238, 413, 500
How great, 456
How much, 504
Hurtful, 277
Husband, 228
Husbandman, 297
Hypocrisy, 244
Hyssop, 488
Iconium, 74, 314
Idols, 19
Ignorant, 38, 433
Illuminated, 506
Image, 382, 495
Immediately, 90
Immortal, 213
Immortality, 281, 292
Immutability, $\mathbf{4 5 1}$
Impose, 480
Impossible, 444
In a certain place, 397
In a few words, 575
In bonds, 561
In divers manners, 377
In like manner, 234
In season, 319
In time past, 378
Inçontinent, 311
Incorruptuess, 342
Increase, 302
Indeed, 258
Indignation, 503
Infidel, 260

Infirmities, 430
Infirmity, 143, 271, 433
Inherit, 386, 450
Inheritance, 386, 486
Iniquity, 64, 346, 391
Injure, 142
Injurious, 211
Innumerable, 553
Inspiration, 317
Instant, 319
Instruct, 308
Instruction, 318
Intent, 428
Intercession, 216
Iuterpretation, 454
Intreat, 551
Intrusted, 210
Invisible, 213, 528
Isauria, 74
lsrael, 180
Italy, 372, 576
Itching, 321
Jambres, 313
Jannes, 313
Jason, 4
Jerusalem, 151, 152, 552
Jesus, 424, 430
Jews, 335
Joints, 428
Joy, 31, 168, 538
Joyfully, 507
Joyous, 545
Judaea, 93
Judaism, 88
Judge (subst.), 323, 555
Judge (verb), 562
Judgment, 271, 443
Jnst, 117, 394, 508, 555
Justified, 350
Justify, 104, 116, 240
Justly, 26

Keep, 127, 178, 270, 323, 528
Keepers at home, 342
Kindness, 348
King, 213, 280
Kingdom, 27, 329, 559
Kingdom of God, 55
Kiss (subst.), 53
Knees, 546
Know, 35, 47, 55, 142, 245, 274, 304, 309, 314, 472
Knowledge, 218, 503
Known, 139
Labour (subst.), 15, 25
Labour (verb), 249, 266, 297, 425
Labourer, 267
Laden, 312
Lame, 547
Land of Promise, 519
Large, 177
Lasciviousness, 165
Last, 310, 378
Latter, 243
Law, 104, 126, 127, 136, 148, 163, 165, 169, 366, 461
Lawfully, 207, 297
Lawless, 207
Lay foundation, 391, 442
Lay hold, 278, 452
Lay on hands, 269, 443
Lay to the charge, 328
Lay up, 323
Lay up in store, 282
Laying on (subst.), 252, 443
Lead (one's life), 217
Lead captive, 312
Learn, 215, 264, 313, 436
Least, 473
Leave, 441, 563
Leaven, 159
Led away, 313
Left ( partic.), 32, 420

Leontopolis, 370
Lest, 94
Let (hinder), 65
Let slip, 393
Letter, 63, 177
Liars, 377
Liberty, 97, 154, 162
Lie, 67, 244
Life, 213, 283
Life that now is, 249
Lift, 221, 546
Lifted up with pride, 232
Light, 45
Like, 430
Likewise, 404
Limit (verb), 423
Linus, 330
Lion, 329
Lip, 572
Little, 248, 398
Little while, 508
Live, 108, 260, 458, 508, 543
Live in pleasure, 260
Live like a Jew, 103
Living, 19, 499
Living God, 370, 506
Lodge strangers, 261
Longsuffering, 168, 212, 320
Look diligently, 548
Look for, 344, 493, 519
Looking, 587
Looking for (subst.), 503
Lord, 132, 281, 365
Lord of peace, 71
Lost, 61
Love (subst.), 158, 163, 166, 205, 294, 348
Love (verb), 323, 324, 352
Love children, 341
Love husbands, 341
Love of money, 277
Lover of good men, 334
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Lover of hospitality, 334
Lovers of God, 311
Lovers of pleasure, 311
Lovers of self, 310
Luke, 325
Lump, 160
Lust (subst.), 36, 164, 306
Lycaonia, 74
Lying, 66
Lystra, 74, 314
Macedonia, 3, 8, 17
Made, 136,'207, 558
Made a gazing-stock, 506
Maintain, 350
Majesty, 385
Make, 106, 381, 469, 471, 546
Make a fair show, 177
Make free, 154
Make full proof, 322
Make intercession, 465
Make like, 407, 455
Make lower, 398
Make mention, 13, 15, 526
Make old, 473
Make perfect, 113, 402, 436, 462, 479, 535, 555, 574
Make reconciliation, 408
Make wise, 316
Maker, 520
Male, 130
Malice, 348
Man, 119
Man of God, 278
Man of $\sin , 63$
Manifest, 165, 240, 291, 314
Manifest token, 54
Manner, 502
Manner of life, 314
Manslayers, 208
Many, 121, 153, 549
Many more, 153

Mark ( proper name), 325
Mark (subst.), 180
Marriage, 562
Marrow, 428
Marry, 245, 263, 265
Marvel (verb), 84
Master, 273, 306
Matter, 37, 97
Meat, 439, 440, 549
Meats, 245, 480, 566
Mediator, 124, 125, 218, 470, 485, 555
Meditate, 253
Meekness, 168, 171, 278, 308
Meet (adj.), 306, 447
Menstealers, 208
Merciful, 407, 473
Mercy, 179, 202, 349, 431
Mercy-seat, 477
Milk, 439
Mind, 62, 339, 472
Minded, 160
Mindful, 287
Minister (subst.), 32, 106, 247, 467
Minister (verb), 114, 204
Ministering, 392
Ministry, 210, 325
Miracle, 115, 396
Mixed, 421
Mocked, 175
Mockings, 534
Modest, 222
Months, 141
More abundantly, 30
More excellent, 513
Morsel, 549
Mount (mountain), 550
Mouth, 329
Move, 32
Moved with fear, 516
Murderers of fathers and mothers, 208
Must, 308

Muzzle, 267
Mystery, 64, 234, 239
Name (subst.), 58, 305
Name (verb), 305
Nation, 88, 116
Nature, 139
Need not to be ashamed, 301
Neglect (verb), 251, 395
Neighbour, 472
Nephew, 258
Nevertheless, 303
New, 472, 499, 555
Nicopolis, 352
Nigh, 447
Night, 44, 45
Night and day, 287
No brawlers, 347
No effect, 156
Not a brawler, 230
Not covetous, 230
Note (verb), 71
Nourished, 247
Novice, 231
Now, 33, 470, 491
Nurse, 25
OAth, 451, 463, 464
Obedience, 436
Obedient, 342
Obey, 159, 437, 518
Obey magistrates, 347
Observe, 140, 269
Obtain, 46, 299, 451, 532
Obtain a good report, 511, 535
Obtain mercy, 211
Obtain witness, 513
Occasion, 163, 265
Occupied, 567
Of earth, 305
Of good behaviour, 229
Of wood, 305

Offence, 161
Offer, 432, 466, 468, 491, 496, 571
Offered, 322, 484, 524
Offering, 497
Office of a bishop, 227
Office of the priesthood, 457
Often (adj.), 271
Often ( $a d v$. ), 491
Oil, 391
Old-wives', 248
Once for all, 444, 466, 493, 497
Once more, 557
One, 125, 131, 402
Onesiphorus, 294, 330
Only, 162, 213
Open beforehand, 271
Opened, 429
Opportunity, 176
Oppose, 308
Oppositions, 283
Oracles, 438
Ordain, 123, 333
Ordained, 220, 432
Order (subst.), 434
Ordinance, 474, 480
Others, 268
Otherwise, 272
Ought, 433
Out of season, 319
Overtake, 45
Overtaken, 170
Own (adj.), 202, 259, 260, 272, 280, 425, 569

Parchments, 327
Parents, 259, 527
Partaker, 269, 297
Partaker of afflictions, 290
Partakers, 273, 404, 409, 418, 445, 541, 544
Partiality, 269
Particularly, 477

Pass through, 529
Passed, 430
Passover, 528
Past age, 521
Paths, 546
Patience, 15, 449, 537
Patient, 69, 230, 308
Patriarch, 457
Pattern, 212, 469, 490
Peace, 12, 51, 168, 179, 307, 531, 548, 573
Peaceable, 217, 545
Peculiar, 346
People, 346, 569
People of God, 424
Perdition, 63, 277, 509
Perfect (adj.), 318
Perfect (verb), 33, 498
Perfection, 442, 459
Perfectly, 43
Perilous, 310
Perish, 61, 530
Perjured, 208
Permit, 443
Persecute, 28, 153
Persecution, 314
Persecutor, 211
Person, 98, 382
Persuade, 86
Persuaded, 289, 448, 521
Persuasion, 159
Pertain to, 460
Pertaining to God, 408
Perverse disputings, 274
Pessinus, 74
Philippi, 21
Philo, 374
Phrygia, 74
Pierced, 278
Piercing, 427
Piety, 259
Pilgrims, 522

Pillar, 99, 238
Pisidia, 74
Place, 549
Please, 88, 515
Please well, 343
Pleasing, 23
Pleasure, 544
Pleasures, 348, 527
Pledge (subst.), 264
Pluck out, 145
Pontius Pilate, 279
Poor, 101
Possess, 35, 36
Pot, 476
Potentate, 280
Power, 17, 57, 70, 290, 312, 404, 461
Powerful, 427
Powers, 347, 445
Praise (subst.), 572
Prayer, 13
Prayers, 434
Preach, 116, 160, 241, 423
Preached, 421
Preacher, 220
Preaching (subst.), 328, 332
Preferring, 269
Prepared, 306, 516, 523
Presbytery, 252
Presence, 56
Present (adj.), 84, 324, 479, 545
Preserve, 52
Prevent, 41
Priest, 434, 498
Priesthood, 459, 464
Principalities, 347
Priaciples, 438, 441
Prisca, 329
Prisoner, 290
Privately, 94
Privily, 96
Proceed, 313
Profane, 207, 302, 549

Profess, 224, 278, 339
Profession, 278, 410, 501
Profit (subst.), 301, 544
Profit (verb), 88, 248, 567
Profitable, 317, 325, 351
Profiting (subst.), 254
Promise (subst.), 120, 125, 127, 149, $153,285,458,470,508,521$
Promise (verb), 557
Proper, 527
Prophecy, 214, 251
Prophesyings, 49
Prophets, 378
Proud, 274, $310{ }^{\prime}$
Prove, 23, 50, 173, 236
Provide, 260, 535
Provocation, 415
Provoke, 419
Provoking, 170, 502
Pudens, 330
Punish, 56
Punishment, 504
Purchase, 236
Pure, 205, 270, 286, 307, 339
Purge, 306, 384, 484
Purify, 346
Purity, 250
Purloining, 343
Purpose, 291, 314
Put, 210, 472
Put away, 492
Put in remembrance, 246, 300
Put on, 46, 130
Put to open shame, 446
Put trust in, 403
Put under or in subjection, 397, 398
Quake, 552
Quench, 49, 532
Questions, 204, 274, 307
Quick, 427
Quicken, 279

Quiet, 217
Quietness, 70
Race, 537
Rahab, 530
Raiment, 276
Raise from the dead, 83, 298
Raised to life, 533
Kansom, 219
Reading, 251
Ready, 347
Ready to distribute, 282
Rebuke (verb), 254, 268, 319
Receive, 27, 136, 268, 447, 508, 531, 541, 558
Receive the law, 459
Receive up, 241
Received, 245, 521, 524
Recompense, 394
Recover, 309
Red Sea, 530
Redeem, 118, 136, 346
Redemption, 482, 485
Reformation, 379, 480
Refresh, 294
Refuse (verb), 556
Refused, 246
Regeneration, 349
Regions, 92
Reign, 300
Reject, 143
Rejected, 447
Rejoicing (subst.), 173, 414
Relieve, 262
Remain, 41, 391, 558
Remaineth, 423, 424
Remember, 100, 298, 564
Remembrance, 33, 287, 288, 496
Remission, 489
Remove, 85
Removing (subst.), 558
Renew, 445

Renewing (subst.), 349
Repentance, 308, 365, 454, 549
Report (subst.), 233
Reported, 261
Reproach (subst.), 233, 528, 570
Reproachfully, 265
Reprobate, 313, 339
Reproof, 317
Reprove, 319
Repute (reputation), 94, 97
Requite, 259
Rest (subst.), 55, 417, 422, 424, 425, 426
Restore, 171, 573
Resurrection, 303, 365, 443, 533
Return, 523
Reveal, 89, 128
Revealed, 55
Revelation, 87, 94
Revellings, 166
Reverence, 559
Reward (verb), 327
Rewarder, 515
Rich, 281
Riches, 282
Right (authority), 568
Right hand, 392
Righteous, 207, 514
Righteousness, 158, 278, 349, 391, $439,454,517,532,545$
Rightly dividing, 301
Riot, 333
Rise, 460
Root, 277, 548
Rule (verb), 179, 231, 266
Run, 94, 158
Sacrifice (subst.), 497, 503, 513, 572
Sacrifices, 432, 490
Said, 424
Saints, 34, 261
Salem, 455

Salutation, 71
Salute, 329
Salvation, 299, 395, 402, 436, 448, 493
Same, 565
Sanctification, 37, 227
Sanctified, 306
Sanctify, 52, 246, 402, 497, 569
Sanctuary, 468, 474, 475
Sand, 521
Satan, 30, 66
Save (except), 91
Save (verb), 212, 226, 291, 435
Saving (subst.), 509
Saviour, 201, 365
Sawn, 534
Say, 121, 132, 206, 388, 459
Saying (subst.), 211, 299
Scarlet, 488
Sceptre, 391
Schoolmaster, 128
Science, 283
Scourge (verb), 541
Scripture, 116, 126, 266317
Scriptures, 315
Seal (subst.), 304
Seashore, 521
Season, 176
Seasons, 43
Second, 497
Second time, 493
Sedition, 166
Seducers, 315
Seducing, 244
See, 91, 514, 528, 556
Seed, 121, 123, 131, 406, 520
Seek, 471, 522
Seek carefully, 550
Seem, 99, 420
Seen, 241, 512, 516
Selfwilled, 334
Send forth, 135
Senses, 440

Separate (verb), 89, 466
Servant, 308, 412
Servants, 272
Serve, 122, 286, 568
Service, 474
Set at liberty, 575
Set before, 452, 538
Set forth, 111
Set in order, 333
Shadow (subst.), 469, 495
Shadow (verb), 477
Shake, 557
Shaken, 62, 558
Shame, 539
Shamefaced, 222
Shamefully entreated, 21
Sharper, 427
Sharply, 338
Shed, 349
Shedding of blood, 489
Sheep, 573
Shepherd, 573
Shew, 18, 301, 449
Shew piety, 259
Shewbread, 475
Shewing, 64, 342
Short time, 30
Shortly, 238
Shout (subst.), 42
Shun, 248, 302
Shut, 127
Sick, 330
Sight, 552
Sign, 396
Signify, 558
Silence, 225
Silly women, 312
Silvanus, 9, 10
Similitude, 461
Sin (subst.), 63, 365, 430, 473, 537, 540
Sin (verb), 268, 351

Sinai, 150, 151
Sing praise, 403
Sinners, 104, 105, 207
Sit, 384, 392, 539
Sleep (verb), 40, 47
Slothful, 449
Slow-bellies, 338
Snare, 233, 309
Sobriety, 223
Sojourn (verb), 519
Sold, 549
Soldier, 296
Some, 202, 244, 419
Something, 97, 172
Son, 379, 387, 413, 434, 435, 467, 541
Son of God, 456
Son of man, 397, 456
Sons, 401, 541
Soon, 84
Soon angry, 334
Sorrow (subst.), 278
Sorrow (verb), 39
Sort, 312
Soul, 25, 52
Sound (adj.), 208, 294, 320, 342
Sound (subst.), 551
Sound forth, 17
Sound mind, 290
Speak, 340, 378, 379, 514, 540, 556, 564
Speaking lies, 244
Spies, 531
Spirit, 52, 63, 65, 137, 153, 157, 164, $166,170,171,175,240,242,389$, 483, 505
Spirits, 555
Spiritual, 171
Spoiling (subst.), 507
Spoils, 457
Spoken any more, 551
Spread abroad, 18
Spring up, 461, 549

Sprinkle, 483, 488, 501
Sprinkling (subst.), 529, 555
Spy (verb), 96
Staff, 525
Stand, 303, 479, 498
Stand fast, 33, 155
Stand in doubt, 147
Standing (subst.), 478
Stand with (as a patron or advocate), 328
Stars, 521
Steadfast, 394, 452
Steward, 132, 334
Stir up, 289
Stomach, 270
Stone (verb), 534, 552
Stop, 532
Stop the mouth, 336
Straight, 546
Strange, 519, 566
Strangers, 261, 522
Strengthen, 328
Strife, 165, 451
Strife of words, 274
Striker, 230
Strive, 249, 296, 540
Strive about words, 301
Striving (subst.), 351
Strong, 295, 439, 440, 451
Study (verb), 38, 301
Subdued, 532
Subject, 406
Subjection, 97, 231
Substance, 382, 507, 510
Subvert, 336, 351
Subverting (subst.), 301
Suddenly, 269
Suffer, 113, 225, 300, 408, 436, 569, 575
Suffer adversity, 562
Suffer affliction with, 527
Suffer persecution, 315

Suffer trouble, 298
Suffering, 400
Sum, 467
Supplication, 216, 434
Support (verb), 49
Sure, 303, 452
Surely, 450
Surety, 464
Surmisings, 274
Swear, 422
Swerve, 205
Sword, 427, 532
Synagogue, 14
Syria, 92
Tabernacle, 468, 478, 519, 568
Table, 475
Take, 325, 472, 507
Take away, 497, 498
Take care of, 231
Take from, 30
Take heed, 254
Take into the number, 261
Take on, 406
Take part, 404
Take tithes, 457
Taken, 432
Taken captive, 309
Tarry, 238
Taste (verb), 400, 445
Tattlers, 264
Taught, 174, 344
Taught of God, 38
Tavium, 74
Teach, 341, 344
Teach other doctrine or otherwise, 202, 273
Teacher, 220, 292, 438
Teachers of good things, 341
Teachers of the law, 206
Tears, 287
Tell, 531

Tell before, 166
Tell the trath, 145
Temperance, 168
Temperate, 334
Tempest, 551
Temple, 64
Temptation, 143, 276, 416
Tempted, 408, 416, 534
Tempter, 33
Tenth, 457
Testament, 464, 485, 486, 494
Testator, 487
Testify, 514
Testified, 219, 397
Testimony, 412, 514
Thank, 285
That bringeth salvation, 344
That cannot lie, 332
Then, 161
Thessalonians, 10
Thessalonica, 3
Thief, 44, 45
Things, 495, 510
Things pertaining to God, 432
Think, 172
Thorns, 447
Thoroughly-furnished, 318
Thoughts, 428
Throne, 390, 431
Time, 64, 438, 479
Time appointed, 133
Time of need, 431
Times, 43, 141, 243, 280, 310, 332, 378
Timothy, 4, 9, 10, 575
Tithes, 458
To come, 397, 445, 481, 495, 525, 570
To-day, 415, 418
Togethex, 42
Top, 525
Tortured, 533
Touch, 529, 552

Tonched, 550
Touched with the feeling, 430
Tradition, 68, 88
Traitors, 311
Transgression, 123, 226, 394, 485
Transgressor, 106
Translate, 514
Travail (subst.), 25, 45
Travail (verb), 147
Tread out, 267
Tread under foot, 504
Tree, 118
Tribe, 460
Tribulation, 17
Tried, 524
Trophimns, 330
Trouble (verb), 85, 160, 162, 180, 549
Troubled, 62
Truce-breakers, 311
True, 19, 500
Trump, 42
Trumpet, 551
Trust (verb), 250, 260
Truth, 209, 218, 245, 275
Turn, 19, 139
Turn aside or away, 205, 294, 312, 321, 547, 556
Tutor, 132
Two-edged, 427
Tychicus, 326
Unawares, 561
Unbelief, 417, 423, 426
Unblameably, 26
Uncertain, 282
Unchangeable, 465
Uncircnmcision, 98
Unclean, 483
Uncleauness, 22, 37, 165
Under, 485, 504
Understand, 512

Understanding, 298
Unfeigned, 205, 288
Unfruitful, 352
Ungodliness, 303, 344
Ungodly, 207
Unholy, 207, 311, 505
Unlearned, 307
Unprofitable, 351, 462, 572
Unreasonable, 69
Unrighteonsness, 66, 473
Unrnly, 48
Unskilful, 439
Unthankfnl, 310
Upholding, 383
Use (subst.), 352, 440
Use (verb), 439, 506
Use the office of a deacon, 236
Usurp anthority, 225
Uttermost, 29, 465

Vain, 20, 33, 109, 114, 142, 351
Vain jangling, 205
Vain talkers, 335
Vanish, 473
Vengeance, 55, 505
Veil, 475, 500
Verily, 406
Verity, 220
Very well, 295
Vessel, 35, 305
Vesture, 392
Vigilant, 229
Violence, 532
Visit, 398
Voice, 551
Volume, 497
Wait, 19,158
Waiting, 69
Wake, 47
Walk, 26, 164, 170
Walk uprightly, 102

Wander, 535
War (verb), 215, 296
Warfare, 215
Warn, 516
Wash, 501
Wash the feet, 261
Washing (subst.), 349, 480
Waste (verb), 88
Watch (verb), 46, 321, 572
Way, 478, 499
Wax bold, 21
Wax old, 473
Wax wanton, 262
Wax worse, 315
Weakness, 462, 532
Weary, wearied, 71, 176, 540
Weight, 537
Well (adv.), 146, 158, 266
Well-doing, 71
Well-pleasing, 575
Well-reported of, 261
Went, 518
What, 397
Whence, 524
Wherefore, 289, 407, 409, 546
Whereupon, 487
Whither, 453
Wholly, 52, 253
Widow, 257, 258, 265
Wife, 228, 236
Wilfully, 503
Will (subst.), 49, 309, 396, 497
Will (verb), 218, 220, 263, 276, 314
Will of God, 285
Willing, 25
Willing to communicate, 282
Wine, 270
Winter (verb), 352
Witcheraft, 165
Withdraw, 70
With grief, 572

Withholdeth, 64
Within, 453
Without, 233, 430, 570
Without ceasing, 14, 49, 286
Without controversy, 239
Without covetousness, 562
Without father, mother, descent, 455
Without mercy, 504
Without natural affection, 311
Without spot, 279, 484
Without wavering, 502
Withstand, 327
Witness (subst.), 24, 504, 536
Witness (verb), 279, 458
Womb, 89
Women, 533
Wonders, 66, 396
Wool, 488
Word, 17, 23, 63, 174, 250, 266, $384,393,421,439,445,557$, 575
Word of God or the Lord, 18, 27, 40, 41, 246, 299, 426, 512
Words, 247, 551
Work (subst.), 15, 48, 306, 329, 448
Work (verb), 158, 575
Working, 66, 70
Workman, 301
Works, 104, 261, 349, 422, 425, 442, 484
World, 59, 84, 281, 397, 445, 492, 517, 534
Worldly, 344, 474
Worlds, 381, 512
Worship, 389
Worshipped, 64, 525
Worthy, 26, 534
Would, 164
Wrath, 165, 221, 528
Wrath to come, 20

| Write a letter, 575 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Written, 554 |  |
| Wrought, 99, 532 | , |
| Yoke, 155, 272 |  |
| Years, 141 | Younger, 262, 265 <br> Youth, 250 <br> Yet, 161 |
| Yield, 545 | Youthful, 306 |
| Zealous, 88, 347 |  |
| Zealously affect, 145, 146 |  |

,

## INDEX OF GREEK WORDS．


à $\beta \beta$ á， 138

à үа日оттоєє $\omega, 282$
ảץa0ós，33，49，176，261，282，342， 343， 574
ả $\gamma^{\alpha} \theta \omega \sigma v v^{2}, 58,168$
à $\gamma \mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda$ 入ía $\sigma \iota s, 391$
ả $\gamma a \pi a ́ \omega, 167,323,324$
аं $\gamma$ át $\eta, 158,163,166,205,448$
á $\boldsymbol{\gamma} a \pi \eta \tau$ ós，285， 448
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ Yap， 151
${ }^{a} \gamma \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o s, 34,86,123,241,269,389$ ， 393，398，406，553， 561

à $\gamma$ เá ${ }^{\circ} \omega, 52,246,306,497,569$
á $\gamma$ ıa $\sigma$ иós，6，36，227， 548


ä ${ }^{\text {²a }}$ ，468，475，478，491， 499
${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{2} \gamma \kappa \cup \rho а, 452$
á $\gamma \cup \in i ́ a, 250$
ávís $\omega, 250$

ả $\gamma \mathrm{vó} \eta \mu \alpha, 433,477$
á ${ }^{\text {ruós，}} 270$
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho v \pi \nu \epsilon \in \omega, 572$
$\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \gamma \omega, 313,401$
बं $\gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\gamma}{ }^{\prime}, 314$
а $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\omega}{ }^{2}, 22,278,537$
аं $\gamma \omega \nu$ í̧о $\alpha \iota, 249,278,296$
ả $\delta \in \lambda \phi$ ós， $247,403,409,575$
ảßך入óтŋร， 282

ảdıá入єıTтоs， 286
à $\delta \omega \alpha \lambda \epsilon \dot{i} \pi \tau \omega 5,14,49$
ả $\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \omega, 142$
ả́ıкía，66， 473

ả áv́vaтos， 444
ả $\epsilon^{\prime}, 59,337$
ả $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho, 43$
à $\theta$ aváaía， 281

дُ $\theta$＇́ $\tau \eta \sigma \iota s, 462,492$
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega, 296$
${ }_{2}^{2} \theta \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s, 506$
átiocos，59， 60
aióós，222， 223
ai $\mu \alpha, 404,487,540,555,569,573$
аі $\mu а т \epsilon к \chi$ бо＇á， 489
äveढts， 572
аірє́єцаи， 68
aípєбьs， 166
aipєтєкós， 351
aí $\theta \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota o v, 440$
ai $\sigma \chi \rho о к є \rho \delta \dot{\eta} s, 234$
ai $\sigma \chi$ v́vך，222，223， 539
aitía， 289
aïтıos， 436
aí $\chi \mu a \lambda \omega \tau i\} \omega, 312$
aíóv，58，59，84，213，214，249，281， 379，381，390，445，492， 512
aíwvos，56，58，59，60，61，213，291， $299,332,436,443,482,483,573$
а̉ка $\theta а \rho \sigma i ́ a, ~ 22, ~ 37, ~ 165 ~$
аُкаíp $\omega \mathbf{s}, 319$
äкккоs， 466
äкаข ${ }^{\text {à，}} 447$
ăкартоs， 352

а̇ката́литоя， 461

а́кой，28，112，321， 437
аُкои́ш，148，393， 395
ג́кратйs， 311
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \bar{\omega} \varsigma, 43$
а́кроßvбті́a， 98
ахк $\rho \circ \theta_{i v i o v, ~} 457$
äкроу， 525
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega \dot{\omega}, 310$
$\dot{\dot{\alpha} \lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \theta \in \iota a, 209,218,220,331,339$
$\dot{\mathrm{a}} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{v} \omega, 145$
ả $\lambda \eta \theta_{c}$ vós， $19,468,500$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, 147$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma \circ \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega, 149$
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о$ ， 85
д̉入入óтрıоя，519， 533
${ }_{a}^{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma, 272$
ả $\lambda$ oá $\omega, 267$

à $\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} s, 572$
${ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mu \mathrm{a}, 42$
а́ $\mu а \rho \tau \alpha ́ v \omega, ~ 268,351$
а́ $\mu$ артía，269， 492
ј $\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ о́s，104，105， 207

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega, 251,395$
$\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega s, 26$
$\dot{\mathbf{a} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \tau о s,} 451$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho, 455$
$\dot{\mathbf{a}} \mu \boldsymbol{\sim} \beta \dot{\eta}, 259$
$\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \omega \mu$ оя， 484

ảvaүкá̧ $\omega, 96,103,178$
м̀vaүкаîos， 352
＠̉vá $\gamma \kappa \eta, 33,487$
àváyvocıs， 251
ả ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \gamma \omega, 573$

ảvaס́́́रo $\mu a, 524$
àva ${ }^{2} \omega \pi \tau \rho \epsilon \in \omega, 289$
à $\nu a ́ \theta \in \mu a, 86$
àva $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \bar{\rho} \epsilon, 564$
ảvaıpé $\omega, 65$
аंขакаигi＇̧ $\omega$ ， 445

á $\downarrow$ ака́ $\mu \pi \tau \omega, 523$
ảva $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ áv $\omega, 241,325$
а̉vaдí́ккш， 163
ảva入oरi！̌oual， 539
ảvá入vбıs， 322
ảva $\mu$ év $v, 19$
ả $\nu a ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s, 496$
ảvavท́ $\phi \omega, 309$
ảvaплдро́ $\omega, 29,172$

ảvactarów， 162
ảva⿱宀丁兀avó́w， 446


ảvaтé̀ $\lambda \omega, 461$
ảvaтi ${ }^{\prime} \in \mu a l, 94$
ảvaтрє́т $\boldsymbol{\omega} \omega, 336$
àva申́ $\rho \omega, 493,571$
àva $\psi v \hat{\chi} \omega, 294$
ả $\nu \delta \rho a \pi 0 \delta \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s, 208$
ávסمoфóvos， 208
ávé $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau о$ ， 236




äv $v \sigma \iota$ ¢， 55

ảv ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \rho$ оs， 311
ả $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho, 228$
$\dot{\mathbf{a} v} v i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{l}, 327$
${ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ s， $82,87,119$

áví $\eta \mu t, 563$
$\dot{\mathbf{a} v \boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota}$ ， 83,460

ävoua， 314
адгоиі́a，63，64，346， 391
ävouоs， 207
ảvop $\theta_{o ́ \omega} \omega, 546$

ả．$\nu \tau \alpha \sigma \omega$ ví＇̧o $^{2} \alpha \iota, 540$
а̉ $. \tau \alpha \pi o ́ \delta о \mu \alpha, 259$
ふ̉ $\nu \tau \alpha \pi o ́ \delta o \sigma \iota \varsigma, 259$
а̉ $\nu \tau$ є́Хомає，49， 334
à $\nu \tau$＇́， 538
ả $\nu \tau \iota \delta \iota a \tau i \theta \in \mu a \iota, 308$
ảvti ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma, 283$
а๋ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ і́кєєцаи，164， 208

àvтı $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ ávo $\mu \alpha, 273$
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega, 335$
ảvт $\downarrow \lambda$ о ía，$^{2}$ 451，458， 539
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \lambda \lambda \tau \tau \rho \stackrel{\nu}{2}, 219$
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \mu \iota \sigma \theta i a, 259$
ảvтítuтоs， 491
а̀ $\downarrow v \pi$ о́крıтоs，205， 288
д̉ $\nu ข \pi$ о́тактоя，207， 398
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \in \nu, 140$
ม่ $\nu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu, 497$
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta$＇s，351， 462
ä ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ ， 534

$\dot{\alpha} \xi i \omega s, 26$
ảópatos，213， 528
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\neq} \lambda \lambda \omega, 18$
à áaíðєutos， 307
д̇дта入入а́ $\sigma \sigma \omega, 406$
$\stackrel{\pi}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi, 493,557$

ämas，212， 444
$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \tau \alpha ́ \omega, 226$
а̉ $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \eta, 66,418$
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \rho, 455$
ả $\pi \alpha$ úүaб $\mu a, 381$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon i \theta \in \omega a, 423,426$
ả $\pi \varepsilon \epsilon \theta \in \epsilon, 159,420,530$

д̀ $\pi \epsilon \kappa \delta$ е́ $\chi о \mu а \iota, 158,493$
д̇лє́рагтоs， 203
а่тє́ $\rho \chi о \mu \alpha \iota, 90$
à $\pi$ ย́ $\chi \omega, 245$
à $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \omega, 300$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ a, 418$
ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma, 260$
á $\pi$ ó， 150
аं $\pi о \beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega, 528$
á $\pi \dot{\prime} \beta \lambda \eta \tau о \varsigma, 246$
ảлоура́форац， 554
д̉ $\pi о \delta є і ́ \kappa v v \mu \iota, 64$
а̀ $\pi о \delta є к а т о ́ \omega, 457$
д̊ $\pi$ о́ס́єктоя，217， 259
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta i ́ \delta \omega \mu$ ，259，323，327，545， 549
азтобохи́， 212
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \theta_{\eta \sigma a v \rho i}{ }^{\circ} \omega, 282$
ふُ $\pi \circ \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \omega, 107,504,525$
аंтока í $^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \mu, 573$
а̀токали́пт $\omega, 89,128$
аُтока́ $\lambda \nu \psi \iota \varsigma, 55,87,94,280$
д̊ $\pi$ о́кєє $\mu \alpha, 323,493$
$\dot{\text { аंтоко́ттш，} 162}$


а่̉ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \omega, 423,424$
àmoлоүía， 328


äтотлаข＇́ $\omega, 278$
длторє́ $\omega, 147$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \phi а \nu i \zeta \zeta \omega, 30$
ג̀ $\pi о \sigma т а \sigma i ́ a, ~ 63,244 ~$
ад $\pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \omega, 275$

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \omega, 294,556$
ддтото́ишя， 338
дітотре́тонац， 312
ả $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \iota \tau о \varsigma, 281$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \theta$ є́о $\mu \iota, 215$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \kappa \alpha, 277,509$
${ }^{a}{ }^{a} \rho a, 161$
ápүós， 338
а́рє́́ $\sigma \omega, 23$

а́рио́s， 428

aip $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}, 507$
à $\rho \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \omega, 43$
ả $\rho \sigma \in v$ окоíтทs， 208
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \sigma \eta \nu, 130$
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota, 33,86$
áptios， 318
äртоs， 475
ả $\rho \chi a ́ \gamma \gamma є \lambda o s, 42$
åpхウ́，68，347，391，395，438， 441
${ }^{\text {àp }} \rho \chi \eta \gamma^{\circ}$ ऽ́，402， 538
à $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon$ र́s，432， 453
$\dot{\mathbf{a} \sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{a}^{2}$ ，303， 344
$\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{s}}, 207$

ả $\sigma$ Ө́́vย兀a，143，271，430，433， 532
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \in v \epsilon ́ \omega, 330$
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \in{ }^{2}{ }^{2} s, 462$
ả $\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta о \mu a \iota, 329,372,521$
ä̈ $\sigma \pi \iota$ ८os， 279
ä $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \mathbf{\pi} \mathbf{v \delta o s , ~} 311$
$\dot{\mathbf{a}} \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{c} 0$ ， 527
ä́тторүos， 311
а்бтохє́ $\omega, 205,284$
áซúvєтоя， 277
$\dot{\mathbf{\alpha}} \sigma \phi \lambda_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}, 452$
а̇ $\sigma \omega \tau i ́ a, 333$
ä $\sigma \omega ́ \tau \omega 5,333$
äтактоs， 48

ӓтотоя， 69
av̉Өá $\eta$ ヶ， 334
a ${ }^{3} \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \in \omega, 225$
av̉тáркєца， 275
av̉токата́крьтоя， 352
ảфаขı $\sigma \mu$ о́s， 473
äфєбıs， 489
d́ $\phi$ Oipoía， 292
äф ${ }^{2}$ Өартоя，213， 281
à $\phi$ оорía， 342
дंф＇$\eta \mu \iota, 441$

ảфı入áp ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} \rho o s, 230,562$
«̇фíaт $\eta \mu \iota, 244,305$
वंфоиоьóоиац， 455
àфорá $\omega, 537$
वंфоріً ${ }^{2}, 89,101$
азфор $\mu \dot{\eta}, 163,265$
${ }^{a} \phi \rho \omega \nu, 277$
аха́́рьттоs， 310
à $\psi$ єvờj’， 332
$\beta a \theta \mu o ́ s, 237$
$\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s, 442,480$
$\beta$ ápos，24，172， 174
ßaбıлéa，27，55，329， 559
ßaбı入єús，213，216， 280
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega, 280$
ßабкаívш， 111
ßֹ́єликто́s， 339
ßéßauos，394，452， 487
$\beta є \beta a \iota o ́ \omega, 395,566$
$\beta \epsilon \beta a i \omega \sigma t s, 451$
$\beta є ́ \beta \eta \lambda$ доя，207，302， 549
$\beta$ є́ $\lambda \tau$ тоv， 295
$\beta \iota \beta$ лiov，326， 497
ßíos， 296
$\beta \lambda a \beta є \rho o ́ s, 277$
$\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \in \omega, 273$
$\beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \phi \eta \mu о$ ，211， 310
$\beta \lambda$ є́т $\omega, 503,512,516,556$
$\beta o \eta \quad \theta \epsilon \epsilon a, 431$
ßота́⿱亠䒑䶹， 446
ßоv́лораи，220，276， 451
Bpaס́v́v， 238
Bрахи́s，398， 575
ßре́申ом， 315
$\beta$ рй $\mu \alpha, 245,480,566$
$\beta$ риิбья， 549
$\beta v \theta i \zeta \omega, 277$
үá $\gamma \gamma \rho a \iota \nu a, 303$
үáda， 439
「a入atia， 324
Гал入ía， 325
үацє́ $\omega, 245,263,265$
үа́моs， 562
үабтท́p， 338

үєขєа入оүía， 203
үєขvá $\omega, 148,151,308,387$
$\gamma^{\prime} \nu \operatorname{los}, 88$
$\gamma \in$ ข́o $\mu \alpha, 400,445$
$\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \alpha, 447$
$\gamma \in \omega \rho$ रós， 297
भ̂，446， 556
үПра́бкш， 473
үіго $\mu \alpha, 118,136,142,226,394,408$ ， $437,439,453,481,485,489,515$ ， 541
$\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega, 56,139,304,309,472$
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma \sigma$ о́коцоу， 326

خvó申os， 551
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho!彳 亍 \omega, 39,87$
$\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \iota s, 283$
үóns， 315
زоvєโ̂s， 527
үра́ $\mu \mu а, 177,315$
үрафй，116，126，266， 317
үра́ф $\omega, 177$
үраш́d̄ $\eta$ s， 248
$\gamma \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon ́ \omega, 46$
$\gamma v \mu \nu \dot{\prime} \zeta \omega, 248,440$

үขvaıкápıov， 312
$\gamma \nu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}, 228,236$
Salpóvtov， 244
$\delta \alpha ́ \kappa \nu \omega, 163$
vol．rv．－ 39
｜סáкрv， 287
هаднатía， 325
ба́ $\mu a \lambda \iota s, 483$
б́́ $\eta \sigma$ бs，216， 434
סє́̂，308，401， 515
סeidía， 290
ঠєкátə， 457
סє $\ddagger$ เós， 100,392
ס́ós， 559
ס́́́б $\mu$ гоя，220，290，507， 561
סєб $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ о́， 298
סєбто́т $\eta \mathrm{s}, 273,306$
ס́́хоцци， 531
ס́́ć， 299
бך入ów， 558
סףцıоирүós， 520

Stá， $82,93,105,295,380,400,401$ ， 481，483， 532
סıà $\beta$ рахє́ $\omega v, 575$
סıаßaívo， 529
$\delta_{\iota} \beta \epsilon \beta$ но́о $\mu \alpha \iota, 206,350$
$\delta_{\iota} \alpha \beta^{\beta}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ оя，30，31，232，309， 341
$\delta \iota \alpha ́ \gamma \omega, 217$
$\delta_{\iota}$ Өй́к $^{\prime}, 119,470,472,485,486,487$ ， 494，555， 573
биккоขє́ш， 236
סıакорі́，210，233， 325
סıäкомоs，32，233， 247
ঠцалє́үорац， 540

бкарарти́ронаи，269，300， 397
Sఁа $\alpha \in ́ v \omega, 391$
Stávoua， 472
סıà $\pi a v \tau o ́ s, ~ 477$
бцата $\alpha a \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta}, 274$
סtaбтє́ $\lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha, 552$
סıáraү $\boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha, 527$
$\delta \iota \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, 123,333$
סıaтí $\theta \in \mu \alpha$, 472，487， 494
ঠıатрофи́， 276
$\delta \iota a \phi є ́ \rho \omega, 97$

סиафөєípw， 275
бафорш́тєрор， 386
ס८סактько́s，229， 308
$\delta_{\iota} \delta_{\sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i ́ a}, 208,244,254,266$, 274
бє $\delta \dot{́} \sigma к а \lambda о я, 220,292,438$
סıסaхך́，320，334，442， 566
$\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota, 55,219,472$
סıє́pхо $\mu \boldsymbol{1}, 430$
$\delta \iota \eta \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{\imath}, 531$
$\delta_{i}{ }^{\text { }}{ }^{\eta} \nu$ aíríav， 289
ঠ七ๆレєкท́s， 456
סикиє́оцаи， 427
Síкалоя，117，207，508，514， 555
סıкаєобưvך，158，278，349，439，454， 517，532， 545
ठıкаьó $\omega, 104,116,193,240,350$
סıкаíшرа，474， 480
סıкаíws， 26
бíкп， 56
סíגoүos， 234
$\delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota s, 379,480$
$\delta_{\iota} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o ́ o s ,} 266$
סíттоцоs， 427
סıхобтабía， 166
$\delta \iota \omega \mu$ о́s， 314
б七心́ктךऽ， 211
§七́́к $\omega, 153,307,315,548$
бокє́ш，94，97，99，172，420， 544
бокцца́そш，23，50，173， 236
бокı $\mu$ аті́а， 416
бо́кццоя， 301
סólos， 22
סó $\ddagger a, 27,57,210,213,241,299,345$ ， 382， 476
סosá\} ${ }^{2} \omega, 68,433$
סov入є́́a，151， 406
סov入єv́ш， 139
סov̂גos，272， 308
סov入ó $\omega, 341$
סро́ $\mu$ оя， 323
§и́vарає，313，435， 496
§v́vapıs，17，57，115，290，312，396， 445，461， 532
סvváoттラ， 280
סvvaтós，292， 335
סибєрии́vєบтоя， 437
бшрєа́， 445
סшрєáv，70， 109

čàv $\mu \eta ̀, 104$
є́ $\alpha$ тоиิ， 173
є่ $\gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega, 463,503$


є̇үєíp $\omega, 83,298$
є $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \rho \sigma \iota \mathrm{s}, 83$
є’ $ү к а т а \lambda є i ́ \pi \omega, ~ 324, ~ 563 ~$
є’үкра́тєєа， 168

غ́ $\delta$ раи̃оя， 238
є́ $\delta$ ра́́ $\omega \mu$ а， 238
＇̇ $\theta \in ́ \lambda \omega, 147$
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu$ ик $\hat{\omega}_{s}, 103$
ैOvos，104，116，220， 241
シ月os， 502
єi， 417
єi $\mu \eta े, 85,91$
єi $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu, 450$
cíoos， 51
єiкरु，114， 142
єїк $\omega, 97$
єікш́v， 495
ci $\mu \mu^{\prime}, 253,381$
єї $\pi \epsilon \rho, 55$
єípर́ $\nu \eta, 12,51,71,168,179,307,531$, 548
сірŋитко́s， 545
cis， $99,115,127,129,142,309,388$ ， 448， 472
cis тò̀ סıๆиєкès， $456,496,498$
cis tò mavre入è̀s， 465
cis，125， 402

єібакоv́ш， 435

єйซoסos，19， 499
єỉa， 542
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa, \dot{\epsilon} \xi, 105,533$
е̇к $\delta \in ข \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \propto ั, 493$
ċ $\xi$ モ̇vavтias， 343
є́кабтоя，449， 525
єкк $\beta a \sigma \iota \varsigma, 565$
єкуо⿱亠乂⿰丿丿⿱二⿺卜丿， 258


є̇кסі́к $\eta \sigma \iota 5,55,505$
єикбькоя， 37
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega, 28$
є่кסохй， 503
є̇ке̂́， 458
є̇к $\kappa \eta \tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega, 515,550$

є́ккаӨаірю， 306

éкла⿱亠䒑日ávoual， 540
е́к $\lambda \epsilon$ є́ $\pi \omega, 392$
е̇кК兀єко́s， 269
è́клоүท́，6， 16

є́коvaí $\omega \varsigma, 503$
$\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \pi i n \pi \tau \omega, 157$
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \tau \boldsymbol{v} \omega, 143$
єєкот р́́фораь， 351
éкто̀s $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i} \mu \grave{\eta}, 268$
є̇ктре́тоцац，205，321， 547
е̇кфє́ $\rho \omega, 447$


є̇кхє́ $\omega, 349$
élauov， 391
é入аттóш， 398
е̇дє $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\prime}$ о́， 317
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}, 510$
${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \chi^{\omega}{ }^{\omega}, 268,319,335$
$\dot{\epsilon}_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega, 211$
${ }^{e} \lambda \lambda \epsilon{ }^{2} \mu \omega \nu, 407$
ё̀ $\lambda \epsilon о$ ，179，202，349， 431
е̇ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a, ~ 97, ~ 154, ~ 365 ~$
е̇ $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \frac{\rho}{}, 152,365$
е̉ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \in$ ро́ $\omega, 154,365$
є̀ $\lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, 392$
̇ं $\lambda \pi \lambda^{\prime} \zeta \omega, 250,260$
É $\lambda \pi i^{\prime}$＇s，158，201，345，414，449，463， 501
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega, 117$
еُ $\mu \pi \alpha \iota \gamma \mu$ о́s， 534
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \omega, 232,276$
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \omega, 296$

èvavtíos， 343
èvápхо $\mu \alpha, 112$
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a, 54$

ยีvঠıккоs， 394
ėvסogá̧o
ย̇vภ̊vva $\mu$ ó $\omega, 210,295$
e่v $\delta$ viv $\omega, 312$
ย่vסัvi $\omega, 46,130$
èvє́ $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a, 28,66$
е่ขєрүє́ $\omega, 28,99,158$
є̀vєрүグs， 427
е̇ขєขдоує́оцаи， 116
ėvé $\chi \omega, 155$
ย̇v $\theta \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma, 428$
$\stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{~L}, 130$
èvavotós， 141
èvíqт $\eta \mu \iota, 63,84,310,379,479$
évкаıví\} $\omega, 487,499$
є́ขкакє́ш，71， 176
évкаvұáo $\mu \alpha, 54$
є่ขко́лт $\omega, 31,159$
ยี้ขoนa， 428
ย̀ข๐เкย́ $\omega, 288$
е̇vоркі́乌 $\omega, 53$
ѐvoх入є́ $\omega, 549$
èvoхоя， 406
є่̇ขєє́ $\lambda \lambda о \mu a \iota, 527$

ยै $\downarrow \tau \epsilon \cup \xi \iota \varsigma, 216$
दُ ยуто入ท＇，279，338，457， 461
е้̇ขтрє́т $\omega, 71,343$
е̇ยт $\rho \in ́ \phi о \mu а и, 247$
${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \rho о \mu о$ ， 552

${ }^{\epsilon} v v \beta \rho i ́ s \omega, 505$
є่ขผ́тьоу，259， 575




с̇ $\xi_{\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega,} 135$
є́ $\xi$ артíc $\omega, 318$
є́ $\overline{\epsilon \gamma \epsilon i ́ \rho \omega, ~} 83$



ぞ६oסos， 526
є́Gopv́ $\sigma \sigma \omega, 145$

égovaia，70，347， 568
＊$\xi \omega, 233,569$

 249，285，519， 532
є̇таүүє́入入орац，224， 557
غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha i ́ \rho \omega, 221$
є่таибХข์voцац，290， 523
є̇такодоv日＇$\omega, 262,272$
є̇тауо́ $\theta \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma, 318$
е̇таркє́ $\omega, 262$
$\grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \dot{\prime}, 463$
モ̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha, 455$
＇ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\prime} \chi \omega, 254$
̇̇ $\pi i^{\prime}, 105,121,162,268,301,331,413$ ， $467,471,479,485,487,504$



＇่̇ $\pi \iota \delta \iota \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma о \mu a l, 120$
є̇ $\pi \iota \delta \iota o p \theta_{\text {ów，}} 333$

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta_{\eta \tau} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \omega, 522$
émí $\theta$ cots， 252
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \omega, 167,228,449$
е̇ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu i ́ a, 36,164,306$
є́ $\pi \iota к а \lambda є ́ \omega, 307,523$
є́тьката́ратоя，86， 117
є́ $\pi і$ ікєєцац， 480
é $\pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ ávo $\mu \alpha, 278,406,472$
̇̇ $\pi \iota \lambda a v \theta a ́ v o \mu a \iota, ~ 560,572$
غ̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ о \mu \alpha \iota, 231$
ѐ $\pi \iota \mu \dot{v} \nu \omega, 254$
є̇ті́орког， 208
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega, 254$
є̇ $\pi \iota \pi 00 \epsilon ́ \omega, 287$
є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa є ́ \pi т т о \mu а ц, ~ 398 ~$
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma к о \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon, \omega, 548$
є̇т८бкотй， 227
є́ $\pi$ і́бкотоя，231， 334
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \omega, 575$
є̇ $\pi$ тотто入й， 63
є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \mu i \zeta \omega, 336$
è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \notin \omega, 19,139$
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma v v a \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \dot{\eta}, 62,502$
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \omega \rho \epsilon ข ์ \omega, 320$
є̇пıтаүท́，201，285， 347

є̇тьтцца́થ， 319
є́nì тò $\chi$ єípov， 315
є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \in ́ \in \pi \omega, 225,443$
є̇піт $\rho о \pi о \mathrm{o}, 132$
غ̇ $\pi \iota \tau v \gamma \chi$ व́v $\omega, 451,532$
е̇ $\pi \iota ф а i v \omega, 280,344$

ѐ $\pi \iota \chi о \rho \eta \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega, 114$
є̇тоvрávıos，329，385，409，445， 469
є́ра́ш， 166
є́рүа́گорає，70，176， 532
є́рүа́т $\quad$ s， 301
 484
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \ell \theta_{i}{ }^{\prime}, 165$

с̈рог， 488
€ $\rho \mu \eta \nu \in \cup \cup \cup, 454$

є̈рХо $\quad$ аи，20，212，508， 518
＇$\rho \cdot \hat{\omega}, 120$

ধ̌ $\sigma \tau \eta к а, 304,498$

̇̇ $\sigma$＇́т $\tau \rho \circ \mathrm{s}, 453$


モ̇ $\tau \in ́ \rho \omega s, 161,459$
єточца́乌 $\omega, 306,523$
ётоцоя， 347

є $\mathfrak{j} a \gamma \gamma^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \lambda \iota \circ v, 22,98,210,393$

єن่арєбтє́ $\omega, 515$
єv̉á $є \epsilon \tau \tau 0$ ，343， 575

єที่ठ์кє่́ $\omega, 25,88,509$
є ׂ̇ฎoкía， 58
$\epsilon \dot{v} \in \rho \gamma \in \sigma$ ía $^{\prime}, 273$


є $\dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \tau \eta ร, 391$
єยหкацроs， 431
є взкаípшs， 319
є ง̉入á $\beta$ єıa，435， 559
є ง̉入 $\alpha \beta$ є́о $\mu \alpha, 516$

єủ入oүךтós， 286
є $่ \lambda$ оуía， 447
є ̇̇uєта́סотоs， 282
єป่тєрíataтos， 537
ยง่สоนน̆a， 572
є ่ं $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \epsilon \in, 177$
є́pí $\quad \kappa \omega, 105,549$

275， 331
$\epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \epsilon \omega, 259$
є่ง่ $\sigma \beta \hat{\omega} \varsigma, 315$
${ }^{\text {єủ }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi \eta \mu$ óv $\omega \mathbf{s}, 38$
єบ̉Харเซтє́ $\omega, 12,286$
єข̉ $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \varsigma, 306,325$
̇́фámá，466，482， 497
є̇ф＇́テт $\eta \mu \iota, 45,319$
è $\chi$ O $\rho o ́ s, 145$
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\ddot{\prime}} \chi \omega, 215,448$
そá $\omega, 19,107,108,427,500,543$
そう̂入os， 503
$\zeta \eta \lambda$ о́ $\omega, 145$
$\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}, 88,347$
$\zeta_{\eta \tau} \epsilon \omega, 471$
ऍท́т $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota, 274,307$
乌ó申os， 551
らuyós，155， 272
らúuŋ， 159
گ $\omega \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega, 309$
$\zeta \omega \eta{ }^{\prime}, 213,249,283$
そんоуоข́́ $\omega, 279$
$\zeta \omega о \nu, 569$
گшотоєє́ $\omega, 279$
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \mathcal{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \mu \mathrm{al}, 48,210,273,505,528,564$

$\ddot{\eta} \kappa \omega, 508$
ท̇入ıкía， 521
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \mathrm{a}, 43,243,293,310,378,379$, 503， 543
ทं $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho$ оs， 352
$\eta{ }_{\eta} \pi i o s, 24,308$
${ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathrm{s}, 217$
$\dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi a ́ \zeta \omega, 38$

ทั $\sigma$ ט́x＜os， 217
ที ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}, 551$
$\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \pi \omega, 25$
Oávaros，400，435，485，487， 494
$\theta$ appé $\omega, 563$
$\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega, 84$
$\theta \in a \tau \rho i ́ \zeta о \mu a t, 506$
$\theta$ Є́ $\lambda \eta \mu a, ~ 49,201,309,497$

$\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega, 30,38,140,148,164,206,218$ ， 263， 314
$\theta \epsilon \mu$ édıos，303，442， 519
$\theta \epsilon \mu \in \lambda \iota o ́ \omega, 391$
$\theta$ өодíдактоs， 38
$\theta$ єо́тлєvбтоs， 317
$\theta$ өоб́́ßєєa，209， 225
$\theta \in \rho \alpha ́ \pi \omega v, 412$
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon ́ \omega, 456$
$\theta \bar{\eta} \lambda \nu \mathrm{s}, 130$
өұрíov， 337
Oı $\gamma$ रáv $\omega$ ，529， 552
$\theta \lambda i \beta \omega, 262$
$\theta \lambda i ́ u ı s, 17$
Өроє́орац， 62
$\theta$ рóvos，390， 431
$\theta$ v́e $\lambda \lambda a, 551$
$\theta v \mu ц \tau$ ท́pıov， 475
$\theta v \mu o ́ s, 165,528$
$\theta v \sigma^{\prime} a, ~ 432, ~ 490, ~ 497, ~ 513, ~ 572 ~$
Ovota⿱二ти́рıov，460， 567
ï $\delta \omega 0$ ，176，219，259，272，280，332， 425， 569
iєратía， 457
i $\epsilon \rho \circ \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta^{\prime} s, 340$
ífós， 315
${ }^{\text {＇I }}{ }^{\prime} \rho \sigma v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu, 152$
iєp $\omega \sigma$ úvๆ， 459
＇1 1 бoov̀s， 424
iка⿱㇒木́s， 295
iкєт $\quad$ рі́a， 434
іла́бконац， 408
i入a⿱宀ти́рıov， 477
ï $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma, 473$
iцатьбцо́s， 224
iva，119，126，164， 214
＇Iovdat！$\omega, 103$
＇lovסaïкós， 338
＇Iovסä̈r $\mu$ ós， 88
iбторé $\omega, 91$
í $\chi$ रupós， 451
ioxús， 57
i＇$\sigma \chi^{v} \omega, 158,487$
＇I $\tau \alpha \lambda i ́ a, 372$
$\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega, 346,384,484$
ка $\theta$ арı $\sigma \mu o ́ s, 384$
каӨaро́s，205，235，286，307， 339
$\kappa \alpha \theta \in \dot{\delta} \delta \omega, 47$
ка́ө $\eta \mu \alpha \iota, 392$
$\kappa \alpha \theta i \zeta \omega, 384$
$\kappa а$ к＇́тт $\eta \mu$ ，333， 432
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\circ}$ ข่ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta$ ß $\lambda \grave{\eta} \nu, 88$
ка $\theta$ ஸ́s， 115
кацขós，179，472， 485
кацрós，30，43，64，141，176，219，243，
$249,280,310,379,479,480,521$
каí $\omega, 550$
какі́а， 348
какота $\theta^{\prime} \omega, 298$
како́s， 337
какойруоs， 298
какотхє́орає， 562
$\kappa а \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega, 6,53,85,89,159,291,433$ ， 486， 518
калобıס́́ $\sigma к а \lambda о \varsigma, 341$
$\kappa а \lambda о \pi о \iota \epsilon ́ \omega, 71$
ка入ós， $51,146,207,233,261,282$, 294， 445
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}, 146,158,266$
$\kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu \omega, 540$
каขш́， 179

к $\alpha \pi$ о́s，166，545， 572
картєр $\epsilon$ є， 528
ката́，153，332，415， 517
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\circ} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varphi} \rho a \nu, 466$
кат̀̀ $\mu$ є́pos， 477
$\kappa а \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega, 442$
катаßарє́ $\omega, 24$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta ́, ~ 492,520$

катаүьขш́бкш， 101
катаүшví＇онаи， 532
ката́ঠŋえоя， 461
катаסоидо́ $\omega, 97$
катакрі́vш， 517
каталац $\beta^{2} \nu \omega, 45$
ката入є́үоцац， 261
$\kappa а т а \lambda \epsilon i ́ m \omega, ~ 32, ~ 420,528 ~$
ката入ข́ш， 106
ката̀ $\mu$＇́роз， 477
катагалі́бкш， 559
катаขоє́ $\omega, 410,502$
$\kappa а \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \omega, 504$
ката́ $\pi \alpha v \sigma \iota \varsigma, 417,426$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha v ́ \omega, 422$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi$ é $\tau \sigma \mu a, 453,475,500$
катапívш， 530
ката́ра，86，117， 447
катарүє́ $\omega, 65,156,161,292,404$
катарті३ $\omega, 33,171,512,574$
катабкєvá乌 $\omega, 411,516$
катабкца́そш， 477
катабкопє́ $\omega, 96$
ката́бкотоя， 531
ката́ $\tau \tau \eta \mu a, 222,340$
катабто入и́， 221
катабтрŋขıá $\omega, 262$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}, 301$
$\kappa а \tau \alpha \phi \epsilon \cup ́ \gamma \omega, 452$
$\kappa а т а ф \theta є i ́ \rho \omega, 313$
катєє $\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\omega}, 163$
катєข $\theta$ v́v $\omega, 34$
катє́ $\chi \omega, 51,64,65,414$
кат $\eta \gamma$ орі́a，268， 333
кат $\eta$ Х $\epsilon, ~ 174$
кат＇idíav， 94
каvิซıs， 448
каvбтทрь́́そомац， 244
каvұáo $\mu \alpha, 179,414$
каи́хŋ $\mu$ ，173， 414
каv́रクбィヶ， 414
$\kappa є i ̂ \mu \alpha, 33,207$

кє́入єvб $\mu \mathrm{a}, 42$
кєvodogía， 170
кє $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ о́óo os， 170
кєvós，20，33， 301
кєขофшvía，283， 302
$\kappa є \phi$ ádaıov， 467
$\kappa є \phi а \lambda i ́ s, 497$
кท́рvү $\mu, 328,332$
кйрขگ， 220
кךри́б $\sigma \omega, 160,220,241$
кє $\beta \omega \tau$ о́s， 516
$\kappa \lambda e ́ \pi \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}, 44,45$
$\kappa \lambda \eta р о \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \omega, 154,386,450$
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \dot{́}, 486,494$
$\kappa \lambda \eta$ роขо́ $\mu$ оя，132，138，350，380， 517
$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma, 57,291,409$
$\kappa \lambda і \mu а, 92$
$\kappa \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \omega, 321$
коьлі́а， 89
коци́о $\mu$ а，39， 40
косขós，332， 505
косоóш， 483
коьขшยє́є，174，269， 404
коьv $\omega$ vía，100， 572
коьขшขıко́s， 282
коцข $\omega \nu$ о́s， 506
ко́ккıขоя， 488
кодакі́， 23
ко́лабєs， 504
комі浣，508，521， 524
котเव́ $\omega, 249,266,297$
ко́тоя，15，25， 180
коб $\mu \epsilon ́ \omega, 221,343$
коб $\mu$ ко́s， 344,474
ко́т $\mu$ ооя，222， 229
ко́т $\mu$ кs，179，212，381，517， 534
$\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega, 137$
кратє́ $\omega, 452$
кра́тоs， 404
крєі́тт $\omega \nu$ or крєíqб $\omega \nu, 385,448,470$ ， 490，533， 556
кріца，232， 263

крívш，67， 562
крі́⿱宀兀ь， 271
$\kappa \rho \iota \tau$ ク́s，323， 555
крıтıко́s， 428
$\kappa \rho$ и́тт $\omega, 272$
ктáopaц， 36
$\kappa \tau i \zeta \omega, 245$
кті́тья，179，429， 482
ктí $\sigma \mu$ ， 246
кขкло́ш， 530
кขрเєv́ш， 281
кúpıos，281， 365
кขро́ш， 119
$\kappa \omega ิ \lambda о \nu, 420$
$\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \dot{\omega}, 245$
$\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \mathbf{\mu}, 166$
$\lambda a \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega, 340,378,514,556,564$
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \omega, 395,432,457,530$
$\lambda_{\nu \nu} \theta^{\prime} \nu \omega, 561$
خaós，346，424， 569
入ат $\rho \in$ ía， 474
入атрєنं $\omega, 286,479,568$
$\lambda^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega, 121,132,206,437$
入єıтovpyía， 470
גєıточруєко́s， 392
$\lambda_{\text {еıтovp }}$ ós，220，390， 467
$\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 329$
入íav， 327
$\lambda_{l} \theta a ́ \zeta \omega, 534$
$\lambda_{\ell} \theta_{0} \beta$ одє́ $\omega, 552$
入oуíלopat，115，328， 524
入óqıov， 438
лоүомахє́ш， 301
入оуорахі́， 274
גózos，17，23，28，63，174，211，250， $266,393,426,429,437,439,441$ ， 575
גójos rov̂ кvpiov， 18
$\lambda$ óyos $\theta \epsilon o \hat{\text { or }}$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{,}, 246,299$
入ouoopía， 265


入o七тós，34，39，45，68，180， 268
入очт оóv， 349
入ov́ $\omega, 501$
$\lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \epsilon, 39$
$\lambda$ ข́ $\pi \eta, 545$
入ขтроо́раи， 346
入úт $\rho \omega \sigma \iota$ เs， 482
$\lambda v \chi \nu i ́ a, 475$
щака́рооs，210， 344
щакарьтно́s， 144
$\mu а к \rho о \theta \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \omega, 450$
дакроөvрі́a，168，212，320， 449
$\mu a ̄ \lambda \lambda_{o v}, 153$
на́ $\mu \mu \eta, 289$
щаขӨáv $\omega, 264,436$
$\mu а \rho т \cup \rho \epsilon ́ \omega, ~ 145,261,458,511,513$ ， 514， 535
марторía， 233
щарти́ріо⿱，219，233， 412
марти́роцає， 26
$\mu$ м́́prvs，24，504， 536
щабтьуо́ш， 541
матано入oүía， 205
матано入о́үоs， 335
на́таlos，20， 351

$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \omega \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta, 385$
$\mu_{\epsilon} \neq \alpha \varsigma, 345,430,473,500,527,573$
$\mu \in \theta \dot{v} \sigma к о \mu а \iota, 46$
$\mu \in \lambda \epsilon \tau a ́ \omega, 253$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega, 128,249,379,397,445,525$, 570
$\mu \epsilon \mu \beta \rho a ́ v a, 327$
нє́ $\mu$ фонаи， 471
$\mu$ évтot， 303
$\mu \dot{v} \omega, 227,558,560,570$
$\mu \in \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s, 396,428$
$\mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega, 451$
$\mu \epsilon \sigma i ́ \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}, 124,125,218,470,555$
$\mu$ é́ós， 25
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́, 252$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma, 460,558$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega, 297,447,544$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \varsigma, 245$
нєта́vo兀a，308， 549
$\mu є \tau \alpha \tau i \theta_{\eta \mu}, 85,460,514$
$\mu \in \tau \in ́ \chi \omega, 297,404,439,460$
не́тохоя，391，409，418，445， 541
$\mu \in \tau$ рьота $\theta$ є́ $\omega, 432$
не́х $\chi \iota s, 540$
$\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma^{\text {évouto，}} 106,126$
$\mu \eta \tau \rho о \lambda$ ч́аs， 208
$\mu ん i ́ v \omega, 339,549$
щıкро́s，473， 508
$\mu \iota \mu$ є́ $\mu а \iota, ~ 70,564$
$\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} s, 17,449$
$\mu \mu \nu \eta$ йкко $\alpha \iota, 287$
$\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta a \pi$ обосі́a， 394
$\mu \mu \sigma a \pi \mathbf{o \delta o ́ т \eta}, 515$
$\mu \nu \epsilon i ́ a, ~ 13, ~ 33, ~ 287 ~$
$\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \in v ์ \omega, 100,298,522,526,564$
ноvoүєレท＇s， 388
بóvov，162， 178

ноуо́о $\mu \mathrm{al}, 260$
$\mu о \rho ф \eta^{\prime}, 382$
норфо́ораи， 147
$\mu$ о́рф $\omega \sigma \iota, 312$
но́бхоя，482， 488
$\mu^{\prime}$ ó $^{\prime}$ Oоs， 25
$\mu v \in \lambda$ ós， 428
$\mu v ิ \theta_{o s,} 203,248,338$
никтпрі́Зоцаь， 175

$\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho\left\llcorner\frac{1}{}, 64,234,239\right.$
$\mu \omega \rho o ́ s, 277,307$
vava白 $\omega$ ， 215
ขєкро́s，83，442，484， 487
$\nu \in \kappa \rho o ́ \omega, 521$
vย́os， 555
ขє́or $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ， 250
vєóфขтоs， 231
vé́qos， 536
$\nu \epsilon \omega_{\tau} \tau \rho \circ \mathrm{s}, 262,265$
$\nu \in \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s, 306$
$\nu \eta \pi$＇á $^{\prime} \omega, 440$
vท́тios，24，132， 440
vๆфá入ıos， 229
．$\nu \eta \dot{\prime} \phi \omega, 46$
ขоє́ $\omega, 206,297,512$
vó̈os， 542
vo $\mu \dot{\eta}, 303$
ขоцєко́s， 351
ขоці́ $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}, 207,297$

ขо $\mu$ оєє $\epsilon \in \omega, 459,471$
vó $\mu \mathrm{os}, 104,117,126,127,148,165$ ， 169，207， 461
vöย́ $\omega, 274$
voшфі＇̧оцаи， 343
vov $\theta \in \sigma$ ía， 351
ขоv $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega, 48,71$
voûs， 62,339

ขิิข，249，281，470， 523
$\nu v ̌ \xi, 44,45$
$\nu \omega \theta \rho o ́ s, 437,449$
$\xi \in v^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega, 561$
$\xi \epsilon \nu 0 \delta 0 \chi{ }^{\epsilon} \omega, 261$
Ǵvóvs，522， 566
súlııos， 305
gúdov， 118
ö $ү к о$ к， 537
ó óós，478， 499
ó óviv $\eta, 278$
${ }^{\circ} \theta \in v, 407,409,487,524$
oida，6，35，47，55，142，472， 505
оікє̂оऽ，176，238， 260
oiкía， 305
оікодєштотє́ $\omega, 265$
оікобо $\mu$ є́ $\omega, 47,106$
oікоуоцía， 204

оікоуо́моя，132，238， 334
оіккоя，259， 336
ơ์коs $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{\text { or }}$ or $\tau$ ov̂ $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}, 238,500$
оїкоข $\mu$ ย́ $\eta, 379,381,397$
oiкочруós， 342
оіктьр $\neq$ ós， 504
oivos，234， 270
${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s, 56,61$ ；
ò $\lambda_{i ́ \gamma o s,} 248,543$
ỏ $\lambda \iota \gamma$ о́ $\psi v$ रos， 49
ї $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \omega, 540$

ธิ入окаข́т $\omega \mu$ ， 497

${ }_{\text {ö }}$ доs， 156
бोотє入ท＇s， 52
д’ $\mu є і$ ро $\mu \alpha \iota, 25$
${ }^{\circ} \mu \nu v{ }^{\circ} \omega, 450$
о́цоо́т $\eta$ s，430， 461

о̊ $\mu о \lambda о \boldsymbol{\prime} \epsilon, 278,339,522,572$
о́ $\boldsymbol{\text { одоүі́а，278，410，} 5 0 1}$

${ }_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{o}} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}, 119$
ỏvєєठuซ ${ }_{\text {ós，}}$ 233，528， 570
oैvo $\mu \mathrm{a}, 58,305$
o̊vо $\mu a ́ \zeta \omega, 305$
öv тоо́тор， 313
oै $\nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}, 258,283$
o่ $\pi \dot{\eta}, 535$
отоі̂os， 97
ัีтоv， 453
ס̊рá $\omega, 241,493,528$
óp $\rho \eta{ }^{\prime}, 20,221$
ópyídos， 334
вре́чонаи，227，277， 523
oै $\rho \in \xi \iota s, 227$
о́р $\theta_{0 \pi о \text { о }} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ， 102
ó $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ Ós， 546

бо $\grave{\prime} \omega, 423$
ӧ $\rho к о$ к， 451

อீркшцобía，463， 464
ö́cos，221， 466
${ }_{\delta} \sigma \dot{\prime} \omega \varsigma, 26$
${ }^{\text {öcov ö }}$ öov， 508
ס̈ $\sigma \tau \iota$ ，152，204， 478
ȯ $\sigma \tau$ ра́кıvos， 305
ö $\tau \iota, 136$
oṽ， 416
ov̉̊́́， 95
ov̉pavós，19，86，554， 557
óфє८ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}, 156$
óфєі́ $\lambda \omega, 401,407,433,438$
ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ s, ~ 111, ~ 145 ~$
тayís，233， 309
$\pi \alpha^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu a, 169,314,400$
$\pi a ́ \theta o s, 36,169$
тацסay $\omega$ о́s， 128
таиסєía，318，541， 544
$\pi а ル \delta є v \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}, 542$
$\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v{ }^{\prime} \omega, 215,308,344,541$
таıסióv， 404
таиі́бкך， 148
тá̀au， 378
талаıó $\omega, 473$
$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu, 139,140,147,156,388,438{ }_{2}$ 442
$\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \gamma \overline{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma} \dot{c}_{a}^{a}, 349$
та⿱ที่ $v p ı s, 553$
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda{ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime}, 465$
та́vтотє， 29
тара́，86，386， 556
тара́ßабıs，123，226，394， 485
тара ár $^{2}$ s， 106
$\pi а \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta^{\prime}, 478,524$
$\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ \alpha, 34,204,214,279$
$\pi а р а \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega, 34,202$
тараүі́vонаи，328， 480
$\pi а \rho а \delta є \iota \gamma \mu a \tau i \zeta \omega, 446$
тараঠє́хоцаи，268， 541
тарабí́ $\omega \mu$ е，108，215， 219
тарáסocıs，68， 88

тара ${ }^{\prime} к \boldsymbol{\prime}, 283,293,294$
тараıтє́о $\mu \iota, 248,307,551,556$
тарака入є́ш，47，216， 319
тара́к $\lambda \eta \sigma t \varsigma, 22,251,452,575$
таракоэ＇， 394
таракодоvӨє́ш，209，247， 314
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \omega, 27,87,558$
тарали́о $\mu \alpha, 546$
$\pi а р а \mu є ́ \nu \omega, 464$
$\pi а р а \mu v \theta є ́ о \mu \alpha є, ~ 26$
таратікрабно́s， 415
таратíтт $\omega, 445$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega s, 404$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha, 170$
тарарє́ $\omega, 393$
таратๆрє́ $\omega, 140$
таратї $\eta_{\mu}, 214,293,295$
тарафє́р $\omega, 565$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi^{\epsilon \mu \alpha ́ \zeta} \omega, 352$
та́рєєци，545， 563
тарєíवактоз， 96
тарєєбє́р $\chi о \mu а ц, 96$
тарє $\mu \beta$ од ${ }^{\prime}, 533,569$
тарєтіঠ $\eta \mu$ оя， 522
тарє́Х $\omega, 180,204,342$
тарі́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \iota, 546$

тароькє́ $\omega, 519$
тápoıvos， 230
тароگиб $\mu$ о́s， 502
тароvбía，31，66， 280
тарр $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}, 237,414,499,508$
таррךбьа́乌о $\alpha \iota, 21$
$\pi \alpha \hat{S}, 126,212,317,320,381,383,427$ ， $498,544,555,562$
$\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \alpha, 528$
та́ $\sigma \chi^{\omega}, 113,408,436,491,569$
татย́คєร тท̂ร баркòs， 542
татท́р，378， 527
$\pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho \tau \omega \nu \pi \nu \in \cup \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, 542$
татрєа́рХ $\eta$ s， 457
татрís， 522
$\pi \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \omega_{\varphi} \alpha, 208$
$\pi \epsilon \ell \neq \rho \chi \epsilon ́ \omega, 347$
$\pi \epsilon i ́ \theta \omega, 86,159,289,448$
$\pi \epsilon i \rho \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota v, 530$
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega, 33,416,524,534$
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu$ о́s，143，276， 416
$\pi є \iota \sigma \mu$ оги́， 159
тє́рая， 451
$\pi \epsilon \rho i, 83,215,499$
тєрьаıрє́ $\omega, 498$
тєрєßо́да兀оv， 392
$\pi є \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \zeta о \mu a \iota, 70,264$
тєрі́єрүоз， 264
тєрเє́ $\rho \chi$ оцає， 264
тєрєі́бт $\eta \mu, 302$
тєрі́кєєцає，433， 536
тєрเои́бtos， 346
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \omega, 26,164,567$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon і р \omega, 278$
тєрьтоєє́оцаи， 236
тєрıтоі́ $\eta \sigma \iota s, 46$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \varsigma, 30,393$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega, 96,155,178$
тєрьтои $\eta^{\prime}, 160,335$
тєрьфрорє́ $\omega, 347$
тп入і́коя，177， 456
тикрía， 548
тívш， 446
$\pi i ́ \pi \tau \omega, 426$
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \omega, 115,127,210,212,241,292$, 332
пíquıs，105，108，115，127，168，193， $202,204,205,215,220,234,235$, $260,264,285,288,323,343,449$ ， 501，509，532， 538
тьбто́оцаи， 315
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s, 53,117,211,245,295,300$ ， $333,334,408,410$
$\pi \lambda a v a ́ \omega, 175,244,315,535$
$\pi \lambda a ́ v \eta, 22,66,244$
т入ávos， 244
т入á $\sigma \sigma \omega, 225$
$\pi \lambda \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu a, 224$
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega v, 513$
$\pi \lambda$ лоขєктє́ $\omega, 37$
$\pi \lambda є о \nu$ є́кт $\eta \mathrm{s}, 231$
$\pi \lambda \epsilon о v \epsilon \xi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}, 22,23$
$\pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \tau \boldsymbol{\eta}, 230$
$\pi \lambda$ ррофорє́ $\omega$ ，322， 329
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho о ф о р і ́ a, ~ 17, ~ 449, ~ 501 ~$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega, 156,163$
$\pi \lambda \eta$ йшра， 135
$\pi \lambda$ ov́cıos， 281
$\pi \lambda о v \tau \epsilon \in \omega, 276$
$\pi \lambda$ оіттоя， 282
$\pi v \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu a, 38,52,63,65,157,164,166$ ， $170,175,240,242,290,389,415$ ， 483，505， 555
тлєขцатєко́s， 171
тоเє́ $\omega, 156,216,410,528,558,575$
тоєкі́入ом，313，348，396， 566
$\pi о \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, 573$
тólıs，379，519，523， 570
тío入т $\eta \mathrm{s}, 472$
$\pi о \lambda \nu \mu \in \rho \omega \bar{\varsigma}, 377$
то入ús，153，437， 549
$\pi 0 \lambda v \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta_{\eta} \mathrm{s}, 224$
толит $о$ о́тшя， 377
то́ $\mu a, 480$
тогทро́s，51，69，329， 418
$\pi о \rho \theta$ є́ $\omega, 88$
торит $\quad$ о́s， 275
то́р $\nu \eta, 530$
то́pros， 549
$\pi о ́ \rho \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu, 521$
то́боя， 504
тотє́， 97
пov̀， 397
тои́s， 547
$\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a, 495,510$
траүнаті́a， 296
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, 156$
траӥта日ía， 278
$\pi \rho$ aùт $\eta \mathrm{s}, 168,308$
$\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \omega, 340,401,465$
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \circ v, 252$
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{5}{}, 254,257,333,511$
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ र́ $\tau \eta \mathrm{s}, 340$
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ र̂tıs，257， 340
$\pi \rho i ́ \zeta \omega, 534$
$\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \chi \rho o ́ v \omega v ~ a i \omega v i ́ \omega v, 291$
троа́ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ，214，271， 462
тро́ßатоу， 573
$\pi \rho о \beta \lambda$ є́тодац， 535
тоо́үovos，259， 286
троүра́ф $\omega, 111$
тро́ó $\eta \lambda o s, 271,461$
тродо́т $\eta \mathrm{s}, 311$
тро́ঠ́ороя， 453
троє̂̃оv， 116
$\pi \rho о є \rho \hat{\omega}, 86$
троєvаүүє入і́＇̧ораи， 116
$\pi \rho \dot{\theta} \theta \in \sigma \iota s, 291,314,475$
$\pi \rho о \theta \in \sigma \mu \mu^{\prime} \alpha, 133$
троїбт $\eta \mu$ ，47，231，266， 350
трокалє́олаи， 170
тро́кєєцаи，452，537， 538
трокотй， 254
троко́тт $\omega, 88,302,313$
тро́крьца， 269
$\pi \rho о \lambda а \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega, 170$
$\pi \rho о \lambda є ́ \gamma \omega, 166$
$\pi \rho о \nu о є ́ \omega, 260$
$\pi \rho о \pi a ́ \sigma \chi \omega, 21$
$\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s, 311$
тоós，19， 176
$\pi$ गò̀s тò $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{v}, 545$
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma о \rho \in v ์ \omega, 437$
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}, 431$
тробаратіӨєцаи，90， 98
тробס́＇́хо $\mu \mathrm{al}, 344,507$ ， 533
тробє́ $\rho \chi о \mu а \iota, 273,431,465,500$
тробєvхй， 13
$\pi \rho о \sigma ' \chi$＇$\omega, 203,234,338,393,460$
тро́бкаироя， 527
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota s, 269$

тротколє́ $\omega, 389,525$
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu$ ย́v $\omega, 202$
$\pi \rho о \sigma о \chi \theta i \zeta \omega, 416$
тробті白 $\eta \mu, 123,551$
тоо́бфатоя， 499
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi є ́ \rho \omega, 432,434,479,484,491$, $493,496,513,524,541$
$\pi \rho о \sigma ф о р а ́, 497$
$\pi \rho о ́ \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \iota s, 529$
тро́тштор，56，98， 101
тоо́тєроя， 143
тоо́фабєs， 23
трофүтєі́，49，214， 251
трофйт $\eta \mathrm{s}, 378$
$\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau о \nu, 216,258,289$
$\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau 0 \varsigma, 212,478$
трштотокі́а， 549
тршто́токоя，388，529， 554
$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ о́s，101， 140
тикขós， 271
$\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \eta, 570$
$\pi \hat{\imath} \rho, 503,532,550$
тиро́о $\mu a, 173$
j ${ }^{2} \dot{\beta} \beta \delta \mathbf{o s}, 391,434,525,526$
рагтí\} $\omega, 483,488,501$
คаитєб $о$ о́s， 555
คं $\eta_{\gamma} \nu \nu \mu, 152$
คֹ $\uparrow \mu a, 384,445$
ค $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \omega \hat{\varsigma}, 243$
píļa，277， 548
јீонфаі́a， 427
คீข́o $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 20,314$
ба $\beta \beta a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s, 424$
－аívo $\mu$ а， 32
ба入єv́ $\omega, 62,557$
－$\alpha$ á $\pi \tau \succ \xi$ ，42， 551
－ á $\rho \kappa \iota \nu$ оs， 461
ซáp ${ }^{\text {，}} 105,149,163,169,175,178$ ，
179，240，242，404，434，480， 500
之ãavâs，30， 215

б $\beta$ évvขut， 532
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \in \beta \sigma \mu \alpha, 64$
бє $\boldsymbol{\mu} \nu o ́ s, 234$
бє $\mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta$ ， 217
$\sigma \eta \mu \in \hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{\nu}, ~ 66,396$
б $\eta \boldsymbol{\mu}$ о́о $\mu a \iota, 71$
би́нєрог， 415
Zídas， 9
Zıvá， 151
бкávסà ${ }^{2}$ ， 161
бкє́ $\pi \alpha \sigma \mu a, 276$
бкєरิoร，35， 305
$\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta{ }^{\prime}, 468,478,519,568$
бкıá，469， 495
бк $\lambda \eta \rho v ์ \nu \omega, 415$
бкотє́ $\omega, 171$
бко́тоя， 45
бофi ${ }^{\prime} \omega, 316$
блата入á $\omega, 260$

$\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a, 121,520$
бтоסós， 483
бтоvס́́ל $\omega, 301,324,425$
бтá $\mu v 0$ ， 476
бтáбıs， 478
otavpós，161，178， 539
бтаvрó $\omega, 112,169$
от $ย ์ \omega, 32$

бтє ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega, 572$
бтє́p $\gamma \omega, 166$
бтєрєо́s，303，439， 440
aré́qavos，31，297， 323
ттєфаขó $\omega, 297,400$
бт $\boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \omega, 33,155$
тт $\boldsymbol{\rho} i(\zeta \omega, 32$
$\sigma \tau i ́ \gamma \mu a, 180$
бтосхєิิov，134，399， 438
бтохх́́ $\omega, 170$
бто́да，427， 532
ттó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\chi o s ,} 270$
лттратєía， 215

бт $\alpha \tau \epsilon$ v́o $\alpha \swarrow, 215,296$
бт $\alpha \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}, 296$
бт $\alpha$ атодоүє́ $\omega, 296$
бтv́גos，99， 238
$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \dot{\varphi} \rho \omega, 544$
$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \varsigma, 28$
бv́v， 117
бvvavaцíүvvцau， 71
бvүала́ уоцац， 102
$\sigma \nu \nu \alpha \pi \circ \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega, 300$
$\sigma v \nu a \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu a \iota, 530$
$\sigma \nu \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon v \not \omega, 300$
бvvס́єо $\mu a \iota, 561$
бvvєíd $\eta \sigma t s, 205,215,235,244,286$, 339，479，484， 501
бvvєтєцартขрє́ $\omega, 396$
$\sigma \omega v \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \omega, 101$
бर์ขєбเร， 298

бvví $\tau \tau \eta \mu \iota, 106$
бvүкакота日є́ш，290， 296
бvvкакочХє́оцаи， 527
бvvкєра́vขข 1,421
$\sigma v v \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega, 126,127$
боvкえทрого́цоя， 519
бvvта日є́ $\omega, 430,507$
бvขбтаvро́ $\omega, 107$
бvvaтосхє ${ }^{\prime} \omega, 151$
бvvтé̀єє兀，379， 492
$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega, 471$
бvvvтокрі́гоцац， 102
$\sigma \phi \rho a i^{\prime}, 304$
$\sigma \chi \in \delta$ óv， 489
$\sigma \omega ́ \zeta \omega, 212,218,226,435$
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha, 52,501,562$
бшцатько́s， 248
$\sigma \omega \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \omega, 312$
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho, 201,365$
б由тทрía，299，395，436，448， 493
бшти́pıos， 344
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \omega, 223$
бшфроví $\omega, 224,341$

бшфро́vшя， 224
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma u ́ v \eta, 222,223$
б向фршу， 224
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \varsigma, 434$
тà $\pi$ тpòs тòv $\theta \epsilon o ́ v, ~ 408,432$
тара́ $\sigma \sigma \omega, 85,160$
тахє́шऽ， 84

тєкиі́оу， 146
тєкขоүоує́ $\omega, 265$
тєкvoүovía， 226
тє́кरоข，129，153，202， 333
тєкขот оофє́ $\omega, 261$

тєлєо́т ${ }^{\text {¢ }}, 442$
тє入єоо́w，402，436，462，479，498，535， 555
$\tau \in \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \sigma t s, 459$
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}, 538$
$\tau \in \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha ́ \omega, 526$
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega, 156,164$
тє́خos，29，205，419，448， 449
$\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega, 494$
тє́pas，66， 396
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta \varsigma, 520$
т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\epsilon} \omega, 52,156,270,279,323$
тї $\eta \mu \mu, 210,220,380$
$\tau ч \mu a ́ \omega, 257$
т $\iota \mu \dot{\eta}, 36,213,266$
ті́цоя， 562
тцншрía， 504
тívш， 56
$\tau i s, 419,541$
$\tau \iota \varsigma, 97,172,202,244,438$
тосүароиิv， 535
то о́s， 427
то́тоя，221， 549
тобоヘิто今， 385
т $\boldsymbol{\text { áyos，}} 482,488$
тра́тєЄа， 475

трахך入í̧оцаı， 429
тре́ $\chi \omega, 68,95,158$
т $\rho$ íßoдоs， 447
т ${ }^{\prime}$ о́тоя， $71,313,562$
трофи́，439， 440
тоофо́s， 25
т $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi^{i ́ a, 547}$
тvүХа́v $\omega, 299$

тข゙тоя，17， 469
тvфо́орац，232， 311
$\dot{v} \beta{ }^{*} i^{\prime} \zeta \omega, 21$
$\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}, 211$
ข̇үนaíy $\omega, 208$

ข̇ঠрототє́ $\omega, 270$
viöєбía， 136
viós，45，379，387， 435
viò̀s $\theta \epsilon \hat{v}, 128$
vioi＇I $\quad$ р $\alpha \eta$ ŋ＇$\lambda, 527$
$\dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \mu \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega, 403$
і̇такой，159， 436
ข่такоข์ш，437， 518
ข゙ $\pi \alpha \rho \xi \iota \varsigma, 507$
ข̇ла́ $\rho \chi^{\omega}$ ，102， 507
ขтєєขаขтíos， 503
ข̇тย́я，83，219，345，427， 432
ข่ $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha v \xi \alpha ้ \nu \omega, 54$
ข่л $\epsilon \rho \beta a i v \omega, 36$
ข่тєрєктє $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \circ \hat{1}, 33$
ข̇тєрйфаขos， 310
ข $\boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \rho \rho{ }^{\chi} \dot{\eta}, 216$
ข $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \lambda \epsilon \circ v^{\prime} \zeta \omega, 211$
ข์ $\pi$ ó， 539
і̇то́סєє $\gamma \mu a, 426,469$
บ์то́криб८я，102， 244
ข์ $\pi ⿰ \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} v \omega, 300,541$
ขтто $\mu \mu \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega, 300,347$
ขீ $\pi$ о́ $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota$ ， 288
ข์ $\pi о \mu о \nu \eta$＇，15，69， 537
ข์ $\boldsymbol{\text { óvooua，}} 274$

v̇тобтé $\lambda \lambda \omega, 101,508$


ข̇тота́ $\sigma \sigma \omega, 342,397,542$

ข่тот $\dot{\tau} \pi \omega \sigma t s, 212,294$
v゙ $\sigma \sigma \omega \pi о \varsigma, 488$
v̇бтєр $\epsilon$ ©，420， 548
v̈бтєроs， 243
í $\psi \eta \lambda$ о́s， 385
vi $\psi \eta \lambda о ф \rho o v \epsilon ́ \omega, 281$
ジ४ибтоs， 385
фаív， 512
фаvєрóo，240，280， 291
фаvта́乌о $\alpha a, 552$
фардакі́а， 165
фаи̂лos， 343
фє入óvŋs， 326
ф́є́ш，383，442，487， 570
$\phi \theta a ́ v \omega, 29$
$\phi \theta о \rho \alpha ́, 175$
фı入á $\gamma a \theta_{o s,} 334$
філа．$\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi^{\prime} a^{\prime}, 167,560$
фí入аvסpos， 341
$\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i ́ a, 167,348$
фı入арүvрía，167， 277
фi入áp $\rho v \rho o s, 310$
фíגavios， 310
$\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega, 166,352$
ф८ $\lambda$ и́ $\delta 0 v o s, 311$
$\phi \lambda \lambda o ́ \theta \in o s, 311$
$\phi ı \lambda 0 \xi \in v i ́ a, 560$
фı入ógevos，229， 334
філо́тєкขоs， 341
філотєнє́о $а ц, 38$
фцно́ш， 267
ф入v́apos， 264
фоßє́оиає， 141
фо́ßos，269， 559
фортíov， 174
$\phi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, 532$
фрєvaтатá $\omega, 172$
фрєvaта́тךร，172， 335
фроує́ $\omega, 160$
фрогтíל $\omega, 350$
фрочрє́ $\omega, 127$
$\phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, 156,178,269$
$\phi v \lambda \eta^{\prime}, 460$
фи́раца， 160
фúorıs， 139
фv́w， 549
$\phi \omega \nu \eta, 147,551$
$\phi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}, 45$
$\phi \omega \tau i \zeta \omega, 292,444$
$\chi$ хíp $\omega, 12$
$\chi^{\text {адето́s，}} 310$
Харá，31，168，538， 545
Характ $р \rho, 382$
ХарíSоцає， 122
$\chi$ व́ $\rho \iota v, 265,559$

Ха́ $\nless$ ィ，12， $99,109,211,291,295,400$ ， 431， 566
$\chi$ व́pts тои̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}, 344$
$\chi$ х́́pı $\sigma \mu a, 251,289$
$\chi \in \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \frac{5}{}, 521,572$
$\chi \epsilon i \rho, 124,177,221$
Хєцролоі́ $\eta$ тоя， 491
$\chi$ Х＇í $\omega \nu, 315$
$\chi є \rho о v \beta \epsilon i ́ v, 476$
$\chi \eta \rho а, 257,258,265$
хрєía， 352
$\chi \rho \eta \mu а т i \zeta \omega, 469,516,556$
$\chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \iota \mu о$ ， 301
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о ́ \tau \eta \varsigma, 168,348$
$\chi$ рím， 391
хрóvos，43，135，291， 438
$\chi \rho v \sigma i ́ o v, 476$
$\chi$ Х ${ }^{\text {人о́s，547，} 548}$
$\chi \omega \rho i ́ \zeta \omega, 466$
хшрі́s， 430
$\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta a ́ \delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi о \varsigma, 96$
廿єvסодо́үos， 244
$\psi \in \hat{v} \delta o s, 66,67$
廿єvס́ávvนos， 283
廿єv́бтทร， 337
$\psi \eta \lambda a \phi$ а́ $\omega, 550$
$\psi v \chi \eta ́, 25,52$
$\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\omega}$ ¢є， 458
$\dot{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \nu, 45$
む̇ठ́v$\omega, 147$
$\overleftarrow{\omega}_{\omega} \rho a, 30$


むбтє， 128,145
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \omega, 567$



[^0]:    * "Medio flexu litoris." Pliny, H. N. iv. 10.
    $\dagger$ The Cabeiri were Pelasgic deities worshipped in the islands between Euboea and the Hellespont, on the adjacent coasts of Asia Minor, and at Thebes and Andania in Greece. They were four in number, answering to Demeter, Persephone, Hades, and Hermes in the Greek mythology. Throughout the Roman period of Greek history the Cabeiric mysteries were held only second to the Eleusinian, and many Romans of high position were initiated.

[^1]:    * J. E. C. Schmidt, DeWette, Kern, Baur, Hilgenfeld, Pfleiderer, Weizsäcker, Loman, Holtzmann, Schmiedel.

[^2]:    * Comp., for instance, 2 Th. i. 1-7 ; ii. 13-17; iii. $1,3,12$, and 1 Th. i. 3, 4 f., 6,7 ; ii. $13,15,16$; iii. $3,4,5,8,9,10,11,12$.
    $\dagger$ The authenticity of the 2d Epistle is defended by Jowett, Godet, Weiss, Lünemann, Schenkel, Reuss, Bleek, Renan, Salmon, Klöpper, Jülicher, Bornemann, Zahn, McGiffert.

[^3]:    * No attempt is made to present an exhaustive catalogue of the Literature.

[^4]:    * See Rom. xvi. 7; 1 Cor. ix. 5, 6, and Bp. Lightfoot on "The Name and Office of an Apostle," Com. on Galatians, p. 92.

[^5]:    * The discussion in detail may be found in Bornemann's Thessalonicherbriefe, p. 37 f. and p. 53. See also Spitta, Urchristenthum, p. 120 f., and lightfoot, Notes on Epistles of St. Paul, p. 22.

[^6]:    * There has been some dispute as to whether it was applied to a synagogue, but the usage of Josephus and Philo seems decisive in favour of that meaning. See Jos. Vita, 54 ; Juvenal, Sat. III. 296 ; Schürer, The Jewish. People in the Time of Jesus Christ, Div. II., Vol. II., p. 73 ; Wendt, Apostelgeschichte, on Acts xvi. 13. An inscription preserved in the Berlin Egyptian Museum testifies to the meaning synagogue as early as the third century b.c. Zenobia and Vaballath, about 270 a.d., decree the restoration of an inscrip-
     dov入ò King Ptolemy Euergetes [decrees] that the place of prayer [be] an asylum. See Deissmann, Neue Bibelstudien, p. 49.

[^7]:    * See an interesting article by J. Rendel Harris, Expositor, Vol. IV., No. III., 1898, "A Study in Letter-writing." Also an article by Walter Lock, Expositor, Vol. II., No. I., 1897, "1 Corinthians viii. 1-9. A Suggestion."

[^8]:    * See the note in Vol. III., p. 133 ff.

[^9]:    * Much discussion has arisen as to the proper connection of this passage. As punctuated and rendered in A.V. and Rev. the sequence is irregular. There is a clear antithesis between a $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ but and ov $\mu b \nu o \nu$ not only; and the sentence, if regular, would have closed with in every place. As it is, a new subject and predicate (your faith-has gone forth) is introduced with in every place. The simplest and best solution of the difficulty is to accept the irregular construction as characteristically Pauline. Others place a colon after of the Lord, and begin a new clause with not only.
    $\dagger$ Dr. J. Rendel Harris offers as a conjectural reading amayزєллєтe ye report, taking the passage as an exact parallel to ch. ii. 1, ye know that our entrance was not in vain. He thinks that thus a reference would be shown to a letter from the Thessalonians to Paul, and that the difficulty would be relieved which grows out of the improbability of a newly founded church exerting so extensive an influence. Expositor, Vol. IV., No. III., 1898.

[^10]:    * See Stanley's condensation of Wetstein, in Com. on Corinthians, on 2 Cor. xii. 2.
    $\dagger$ See B. F. Westcott, Religious Thought in the West.

[^11]:    * Dr. Harris says that the expression must be understood here in the sense "ye have admitted in your letter," or "ye have testified." I do not think that this can be shown. It looks a little like a piece of special pleading.

[^12]:    * Lightfoot's view, that the word is used with a reference to the impurities of the worship of Aphrodite at Corinth and of the Cabeiri at Thessalonica, seems far-fetched.

[^13]:    * This interpretation is urged on the ground that $\dot{e}^{\pi} \iota\langle a \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, ver. 9 , and 2 Th. iii. 8, кaтє $\beta a \rho \eta \sigma a, 2$ Cor. xii. 16, and $\dot{\alpha} \beta a \rho \eta, 2$ Cor. xi. 9 , all refer to pecuniary support. Accordingly these words are connected with covetousness, ver. 5. But they are separated from $\pi$ גeove $\xi i a$ by a new idea, seeking glory, with which their connection is immediate. Moreover, it is unlikely that Paul would have attached the idea of covetousness to a rightful claim for support. Our explanation is further favoured by the contrasted $\nu^{\prime} \pi \boldsymbol{\eta}_{10}$, ver. 7.

[^14]:    * Deissmann, Neue Bibelstudien, p. 75 f.

[^15]:    * See G. A. Deissmann's excellent monograph, Die neutestamentliche: Formel "in Christo Jesu." Marburg. 1892.

[^16]:    * It has been urged that vv. 14-16 are unbecoming one who had been himself a persecutor of the Jewish-Christian churches (so Baur, Apostel Paulus), and further that this diatribe is inconsistent with the patriotism which Paul displays in Rom. ix. xi. These considerations, it is claimed, go to show that

[^17]:    the Epistle is a forgery, or at least that vv. 14-16 are interpolated. Such criticism is hardly worthy of notice. Any allusion here to Paul's part in Jewish persecutions would have been in singularly bad taste. As for Paul's patriotism, gemuine and deep though it was, it was secondary to his consuming zeal for Christ and his gospel. What he here says about the Jews he had long known, and his recent experience in Macedonia might have moved even a patriot to fierce indignation against bis own people.

[^18]:    * As Ellicott on this passage, who asserts that a denial of that doctrine "can be only compatible with a practical denial of Scripture inspiration."

[^19]:    * Also International Commentary on Philippians and Philemon, Excursus on "Bishops and Deacons."
    $\dagger$ Weiss substitutes it for $\delta<d$ короу.
    $\ddagger$ So Hesychius, who defines moved, shaken, disturbed (кıveital, бa入ev́єтaı, тард́ттєта⿱).

[^20]:    * The explanation that Paul uses the participle strictly in its present sense, and means we who are now being left, merely distinguishing himself and his readers from those who have died, is strained in the interest of a particular theory of inspiration. See Ellicott.
    $\dagger$ Professor Ropes of Harvard, Die Sprüche Jesu, holds the opposite view. He thinks that ver. 15 is not cited as the word of the Lord, but that the beginning of the citation is indicated by $\delta \tau \iota$, ver. 16 , and the end by air, ver. 17. He regards the citation as a free rendering of a logion of Jesus, akin to Matt. Exiv. 30 f.

[^21]:    * See O. Everling, Die paulinische Angelologie und Dämonologie, s. 80 ff.
    $\dagger$ Lightfoot says that the combination $\alpha_{\mu} \mu \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ together with, is too common

[^22]:    * See B. Jowett, "On the belief of the Coming of Christ in the Apostolical Age," in Commentary on the Epistles of Paul.

[^23]:    * Others join in love with esteem as forming one conception; but the phrase $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$ is not warranted by usage.

[^24]:    * See J. H. Ropes, Die Sprüche Jesu, p. 141 f.; B. F. Westcott, Introduction to the Study of the Gospels, 5th ed., p. 454 ; J. B. Lightfoot, on 1 Th. v. 21, in Notes on Epistles of St. Paul. Also the remarks of Bornemann on this passage.

[^25]:    * As Lightfoot on Philip. ii. 9.

[^26]:    * The Epistles of St. John, p. 20 .

[^27]:    * I attempt no interpretation of this passage as a whole, which I do not understand. The varieties of exposition are bewildering. Convenient summaries may be found in Lünemann's Meyer, Dr. Gloag's Introduction to the Pauline Epistles, and Bornemann's Commentary. Generally, it may he said that Paul seems to predict a great moral and religious defection which is to precede the coming of the Lord, and which is to reach its consummation in the appearance of an evil power described as the Man of Sin, the personal incorporation and concentration of wickedness. His coming is denoted by the same word as the coming of Christ (rapovala, ver. 9). He is represented as sitting in the temple of God, exhibiting himself as God, and performing miracles of falsehood according to the working of Satan. A restraining power is upon him, preventing his revelation before the proper time. The two knots of the passage are the identification of the man of sin, and of him that restraineth. The man of sin has been identified as Nero, the Pope of Rome, Luther, Mahomet, Caligula, Simon Magus, Titus. By others, as representing not an individual, but the succession of popes, the Jewish nation, and especially the Sanhedrin. The restraining power is explained as the Roman Empire ; the German Empire ; the Roman Emperor Vitellius; the Apostles ; the chiefs of the Jewish nation against Simon the son of Giora; the Emperors Claudius and Vespasian; the pious Jews living at the time of the destruction of Jerusalem; James the Just. Opinions differ as to whether the man of $\sin$ is an individual or an organisation. Romanists discover him in some representative enemy of Romanism; Protestants in the Roman church and hierarcliy. Before any approach to a sound exegesis of the passage can be made, it will be necessary to define and settle the principles of apocalyptic interpretation, a matter which is still very much in the dark. About the only valuable thing that can be fairly extracted from the passage is Paul's firm assurance that God's hand is ever on the work of cvil, and that in whatever form or with whatever power it may reveal itself, it will inevitably be snbdued and crushed by the power of Christ.

[^28]:    * For a full discussion, see Lightfoot, On a Fresh Revision of the New Testament. 3d ed. Appendix II.
    $\dagger$ So Lưnemann, Lightfoot, Weizsäcker, Ellicott, Bornemann. Schmiedel maintains the A. V. There is in N. T. no instance of $\dot{u} \pi o \mu o \nu \dot{\eta}$ in the sense of waiting for, nor is the verb intou'telv used in that sense. Waiting for or awaiting is expressed by ám $\epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ (mostly Paul), $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ (once in Paul),
     sense in Paul), and $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$ (not in Paul). In Rom. viii. 25 Paul has $\delta i$
     sense of awaiting or waiting for. See Ezra x. 2; Ps. xxxviii. 7 ; Judg. iii. 25 ; 2 K . vi. 33; Tob. v. 7, etc. Patient waiting for Christ accords with the general drift of the Epistle. On the other hand see $1 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{i} .3$, and 1 Th . i. 10, where for waiting for the Son he uses $\alpha \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu \in \epsilon \nu$.

[^29]:    * The view here given is known as the South Galatian hypothesis. The other view, which limits the application of the name Galatia to the Galatian district, the country of the Asiatic Gauls, is known as the North Galatian hypothesis, and is held by the majority of critics. The South Galatian hypothesis was propounded as early as 1825 by Mynster (Introduction to the Galatian Epistle); was maintained by the French archaeologist Perrot (De Galatia Provincia Romana, Paris, 1867), who was followed by Renan (Paulus, 1869); and has been defended by Hausrath, Weizsäcker, Zahn, Pfleiderer, Ramsay (The Church in the Roman Empire), and McGiffert (Apostolic Age). See also an article by Emilie Grace Briggs, "The Date of the Epistle to the Galatians," in the New World, March, 1900, and J. Vernon Bartlett, The Apostolic Age. Among the prominent advocates of the North Galatian hypothesis are Bishop Lightfoot (Commentary on Galatians), Lipsius (Hand-Commentar); Weiss and Jülicher (Introductions to the N. T.); Holsten (Evangelium des Paulus), Schürer (Theologische Litteraturzeitung, 1892, 1893, and Jahrbücher für protestantische Theologie, 1892), and Sieffert (Der Brief an die Galater, Meyer, 8 Aufl.).

[^30]:    * Weizsäcker.

[^31]:    * Galatians with Romans and the two Corinthian letters, were received as genuine and authentic by the Tübingen critics. Some sixteen years ago they were attacked by a small coterie of critics, chiefly in Holland. Allard Pierson and S. A. Naber ascrihed the Pauline Epistles to one Paulus Episcopus, a Christian ecclesiastic, who appropriated large portions of certain reformed Jewish writings, and christianised them by means of changes and interpolations. A. D. Loman asserted that Christianity was a messianic movement among the Jews; that Jesus had no existence, but was a purely mythical embodiment of a series of ideas and principles developed in the second century. The four chief Epistles of Paul which contradict this hypothesis are spurions and legendary. Out of the anti-Judaic and universalistic Gnosis of the early part of the second century, was developed a Paul-legend in the nterest of a universalistic Christianity. Romans, Galatians, and Corinthians, were all written in Paul's name to recommend this Christianity. These views obtained no currency, and were repudiated by even the radical critics of Germany. Rudolph Steck of Bern (1888) held that none of the four letters were the work of Paul, but were the product of a Pauline party of the second century. He revived the hypothesis of the dependence of the Pauline writings on Seneca.

[^32]:    *As, for example, Lightfoot on Philip. iii. 11.

[^33]:    * See Introduction to the Catholic Epistles, Vol. I., p. 615 f., and J. B. Mayor, The Epistle of St. James, XXXVI.-XLI.

[^34]:    * The reader who is curious about the matter may consult J. B. Mayor, The Epistle of St. James, ch. I. He holds the Helvidian theory. Also J. B. Lightfoot, St. Paul's Epistle to the Galatians, essay on "The Brethren of the Lord." He holds the Epiphanian theory.

[^35]:    * For the expression ol סokồvтes in Class., see Thucyd. i. 76; Eurip. Hec. 295; Troad. 609.

[^36]:    * This explanation is adopted by Sieffert, Meyer, and Weizsäcker. The expositors generally admit that no doubt on Paul's part is implied (so Winer, Alford, Lipsius, Ellicott, Lightfoot), but mostly insist on the first sense of $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$. The difficulty of reconciling these two positions, which is clearly recognised by Ellicott, is evaded by referring $\tau \rho \epsilon \chi \omega$ and $\frac{\varkappa}{\epsilon} \delta \alpha, \mu \nu \nu$ to the opinions of others. So Ellicott: "If others deemed Paul's past and present course fruitless, it really must, in that respect, have amounted to a loss of past and present labour."

[^37]:    * Others, as Meyer, Ellicott, Sieffert, take noct as strengthening the indefinite sense of otroioc like the Latin cunque. "Whatever in the world they were." This sense of $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon$ occurs in Class. but not in N. T.

[^38]:    * It is objected that this meaning is precluded by the middle voice, which requires us to render undertook. But the word in the middle voice is used in an active sense (see Xen. Cyr. viii. 5, 4). It may meán to lay upon another for one's own use or advantage (Xen. Anab. ii. 2, 4). So here. They imposed no obligations in their own interest as Jewish Christians.

[^39]:    * So Weizsäcker, wirksam war: and Lipsius, sich wirksam erwiesen hat.
    $\dagger$ See Pindar, Ol. ii. 146, of Hector; Eurip. Iph. T. 50,55, of the sons of a house. Hor. Carm. i. 35, 13, stantem columnam, of the public security and stability. Chaucer, of the Frere, Prol. to Canterbury Tales, 214,
    " Un-to his ordre he was a noble post."
    Milton, Par. L. ii. 302, of Beelzebub:


    ## "in bis rising seemed <br> A pillar of state."

    See also Clement, ad Corinth. V., and in N. T. 1 Tim. iii. 15 ; Apoc. iii. 12.

[^40]:    * Lightfoot says that, in patriarchal times, the outward gesture which confirmed an oath was different, and refers to Gen. xxiv. 2. But this usage is referred to in only one other place, Gen. xlvii. 29, and nothing is certainly known as to the significance of the act. See the interesting note on Gen. xxiv. 2, in Lange's Commentary.

[^41]:    * See a striking passage in Arrian's Epictetus, ii. 9.
    $\dagger$ See Thuc. iv. 18 ; vi. 86 ; Hdt. ii. 15. Comp. the meaning to be taken for granted, Plato, Symp. 198 D ; Tim. 30 C.

[^42]:    * Hdt. vii. 144 ; Thuc. ii. 64. Comp. Aesch. Agam. 961, to be in store.

[^43]:    ＊Professor C．C．Everett，The Gospel of Paul，p．147．The reader will do well to study his interesting and suggestive discussion．

[^44]:    * See International Conmentary on Philippians and Philemon, Excursus. on "Paul's Conception of Righteousness by Faith," p. 123 ff.

[^45]:    * As Lightfoot, Introduction to Commentary on Galatians, p. 15.

[^46]:    * So Aristot. Probl. 20, 34. The derivation from $\beta a j \omega, \beta a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ to speak or talk (Sieffert, Lightfoot, doubtfully, Thayer) is doubtful, as is also the connection with Lat. fascinare to bewitch. Comp. Vulg. fascinavit vos. See Curtius, Greek Etymology, Transl. 531, and Prellwitz, Etymologisches Wörterbuch der griechischen Sprache, sub $\beta$ árкavos.

[^47]:    * See Aristoph. Av. 450 ; Just. Mart. Apol. 2, 52 ; Plut. Camillus, 11. So, acc. to some, Jude 4, registered for condemnation. Comp. 1 Macc. x. 36, enrolled.
    $\dagger$ Many of the earlier interpreters, the hearing of the faith, i.e., the reception of the gospel ; but the faith is not used in the Pauline epistles as = the gospel. Others, as Lightfoot and Lipsius, hearing which comes of faith. But $\dot{\text { ánò̀ }}$ is babitually used in N. T. in a passive sense (see on 1 Th . ii. 13), and the opposition is not between doing and hearing, but between the law and faith.

[^48]:    Worketh ( $\dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). See on 1 Th. ii. 13.

[^49]:    * In Heb. x. 38, $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ after $\delta$ Lккaos is retained by Tischendorf, Weiss, and Rev. T., and is bracketed by WH. Vulg. has justus autem meus.

[^50]:    * See Clement, Ad Corinth. x., who enumerates the different forms of the promise to Abraham, citing Gen. xii. 1-3; xiii. 14-16; and Gen. xv. $6,6$. See also Gen. xv. 18; xvii. 8; xxii. 16-18.

[^51]:    * With this compare the words of Ellicott. "It may be true that similar arguments occur in rabbinical writers: it may be true that $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a$ is a collective noun, and that when the plural is used 'grains of seed ' are implied. All this may be so, - nevertheless, we have here an interpretation which the apostle, writing under the illumination of the Holy Ghost, has deliberately propounded, and which therefore, whatever difficulties may at first appear in it, is profoundly and indisputably true."

[^52]:    * The LXX of Ex. xii. 40 which Paul is assumed to follow, says that the sojourn of Israel in Egypt and in the land of Canaan was 430 years, according to one reading ; but according to another, 435 years. Lightfoot says the 5 in the Vatican Ms. is erased, but Swete retains it in his edition of the LXX. The words in the land of Canaan are not in the Hebrew. In Gen. xv. 13 (comp. Acts vii. 6) 400 years are given.

[^53]:    * See an interesting passage in Plato, Lysis, 207, 208.

[^54]:    vol. Iv. -9

[^55]:    * Ellicott and Lightfoot deny this, and say that $\underset{\epsilon}{\nu} \nu$ is the lengthened form of the adverhialised preposition $\epsilon \nu$, with which $\ell \sigma \tau \iota$ must be supplied. But both retain in their texts the accentuation $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, whereas the lengthened form of the preposition is $\epsilon \nu$. In 1 Cor. vi. 5 , and often in Class., $\epsilon \nu /$ and $\epsilon \nu$ are found together, showing that $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{e} \nu \\ \nu \text { stands independently as a compound word. }\end{gathered}$ See Xen. Anab. v. 3, 11 ; Hdt. vii. 112 ; Plato, Phaedo, 77 E.

[^56]:    * Hatch, Essays in Biblical Greek, p. 73, thinks that it means the administrator of the city lands. 'O九кovoula in papyri of the first and second centuries A.D. often signifies record, document.
    $\dagger$ The living father, Meyer, Sieffert. Alford, Ellicott, Eadie, leave it undecided. The dead father, Lipsius, Lightfoot, with the majority of older interpreters.

[^57]:    * See E. Y. Hincks, Journal of Bibl. Lit., Vol. XV., 1896, p. 183. Otto Everling, Die paulinische Angelologie und Dämonologie, p. 65 ff . H. von Soden, on Col. ii. 8, in the Hand-Commentar: A. Ritschl, Rechtfertiguing und Versöhnung, 3d ed., ii., p. 252. F. Spitta, Der zweite Brief des Petrus, etc., p. 263 ff. E. Kühl, Meyer series, On Peter and Jude. T. K. Abbott, International Commentary, on Col. ii. 8.
    $\dagger$ See T. K. Abbott on Eph. i. 23, International Commentary, and comp. Lightfoot's detached note in Commentary on Colossians, p. 323.

[^58]:    * See International Commentary on Philippians and Philemon, p. 83, and A. B. Bruce, The Humiliation of Christ, p. 431. Also W. Beyschlag, Die Christologie des Neuen Testaments, and Neutestamentliche Theologie, 2 Aufl., Vol. II., p. 77 ff.

[^59]:    * Dr. Plummer on L. i. 3 is, I think, mistaken in explaining $\alpha_{\nu} \nu \theta \theta \epsilon$ in Acts xxvi. 5 as radicitus (thorougbly).

[^60]:    * See Ewald, Antiquities of Israel (trans.), p. 342 ff. Riehm, Handw. des Bib. Alterth., Art. "Monate." Schrader, Keilinschriften, 2d ed. Wieseler, Chronologie.

[^61]:    * See Weizsäcker, Apostolische Zeitalter (trans.), i. 112. McGiffert, The Apostolic Age, p. 177 ff. Ramsay, The Church in the Roman Empire, p. 62 ff.

[^62]:    ＊The reading，however，is doubtful．Tischendorf，Weiss，Tregelles，read téкva，which Westcott and Hort give in margin．

[^63]:    ＊Others：＂Do ye not hear it as it is read in your worshipping assem－ blies？＂The reading ávayıvórкeтe read aloud，read publicly，is found in DFG，and is followed by the Vulg．，non legistis．

[^64]:    * Paul's Conception of Christianity, p. 68.
    $\dagger$ On allegorical interpretation in general see F. W. Farrar, History of Interpretation, Bampton, 1855. On the early training of Paul, Derenbourg, Histoire de la Palestine d'après les Thalmuds, chs. xxi. xxii.

[^65]:    * See Lightfoot's excursus in Commentary on Galatians, p. 190 ff .
    $\dagger$ Lipsius explains the verb from the usage of grammarians, as having letters of equal value, and says that it is an example of the Gematria of the rabbinical schools, one of the methods of esoteric interpretation by which a numerical value was given to the letters of a word, and the word was connected with any other word the letters of which had the same numerical value, as Mashiach Messiah, and nachash serpent.

[^66]:    * Lightfoot says that $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$ does not appear earlier than N. T. There are, however, three instances in LXX where it appears as a various reading: Ex. xiv. 13 ; Judg. xvi. $26 ; 1 \mathrm{~K}$. viii. 11. In the two latter passages it is the reading of $B$, and is adopted by Swete. Lightfoot also says that it is found only in Paul, with one exception, Mk. xi. 25. It occurs Mk. iii. 31 ; J. i. 26. In J. viii. 44 and Apoc. xii. 4 there is a dispute between $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$. In both cases Westcott and Hort adopt the former, and Tischendorf the latter.

[^67]:    
     Exviii. 10; 2 Macc. vii. 14.

[^68]:    * See Dr. William H. Thomson's admirable little volume, The Parables by the Lake.
    $\dagger$ So Lightfoot, Meyer, Ellicott, Eadie.

[^69]:    * Deissmann, Neue Bibelstudien, p. 26 ff., holds that the word does not originate in Biblical Greek. His remarks, however, are not conclusive.

[^70]:    * See Professor Sanday's note on the history of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$, Commentary on Romans (International), p. 374.

[^71]:    * Interpreters differ in the explanation of $\pi \rho \delta$. Lightfoot, Ellicott, Eadie, Thayer, Alford, Weiss, say caught in the fault before he can escape. But this is an unusual meaning of the verb, which is certainly not settled by Wisd. xvii. 17. Ellicott objects to the meaning given in the note, that, in that case, $\pi \rho d$ would seem to excnse, whereas кal appears to point to an aggravation of the offence. If that be true, then, on Ellicott's explanation, the aggravation of the offence would appear to be in being canght.

[^72]:    * Quite a number of high authorities read àvar $\lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, future, ye shall fulfil.
    $\dagger$ Blass, however (N.T. Gramm., p. 68, note), says that фреעazárns appears in a papyrus of the second century b.c. He refers to Grenfell, An Alexandrian Erotic Fragment, Oxford, 1896, p. 3. See also Sophocles, Greek: Lexicon of the Roman and Byzantine Periods, sub фрєvaтátクs.

[^73]:    * Still, this does not always hold. We find $\gamma \in \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \mu a, \delta \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu a, \theta \in \lambda \eta \mu a$, ta $\mu a$,
    

[^74]:    * So many ancient interpreters, and Lightfoot, Ellicott, De Wette, Eadie, Alford.

[^75]:    12. To make a fair show ( $\epsilon \dot{j} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ). N. T. ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ Class. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LXX}$.
    vol. iv. $\mathbf{- 1 2}$
[^76]:    *For the history of the word see Westcott, Canon of the N. T., Appendix A.

[^77]:    * All that can be said in favour of the reference to Spain, is said by Bp. Lightfoot, S. Clement of Rome, ad loc.

[^78]:    * This is succinctly shown by Edwin Hatch, in his article "Pastoral Epistles," in the Encyclopaedia Britannica; and more in detail by von Soden, in his introduction to the Pastorals in the Hand-Commentar. The most recent defence of the opposite view is by J. Vernon Bartlett, The Apostolic Age, 1899.

[^79]:    * Full lists of peculiarities of style and diction will be found in Holtzmann, Die Pastoralbriefe, ch. VII.

[^80]:    * See Pfleiderer, Urchristenthum, p. 801 f., and Holtzmann, Die Pastoralbriefe, ch. IX.

[^81]:    * See Ellicott.

[^82]:    ＊It is difficult to determine the meaning decisively．The kindred verb． катабт $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ means（a）to put in order or arrange．$\Sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is to put or place， and кard probahly has its distributive sense，denoting succession，relation，or－ proportion of parts．（b）To let down or lower，кard having its primary sense of down．（c）Metaphorical，derived from the preceding，to check or repress． Thus Acts xix．25，36．See also 2 Macc．iv．31； 3 Macc．vi．1．Hence $\delta$ кат $\boldsymbol{\text { a }}$－ $\tau a \lambda \mu \notin \nu o s$ the man of calm or sedate character（Diod．i．76；Arrian，Epict．iii． 23，16）．From these data two possible meanings are drawn：（1）dress， attire．So Hesychius（ $\pi \in \rho \iota \beta 0 \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$ garment）and Suidas（ $\sigma$ rohخे raiment）．It is used in Isa．lxi． 3 as the translation of ath，in every case，points to veiling．Plutarch（Pericles，5）has кaraбto入ो
     $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$ disposition and equipment of body，which phrase is explained by the following reference to details of dress．It must be admitted，how－ ever，that，with the exception of Isa．lxi．3，there is no instance of the abso－ lute use of кaraбro入ì in the sense of dress．The meaning in Plutarch is clearly arrangement，and in Josephus，$\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ may be rendered dress，while кaтarto入ो probably means the general arrangement of the person．It is． quite possible that from the use of кazaбтo入̀ in these connections，it may have come to mean dress by itself．A possible analogy is suggested by the English attire，from the old French ativier or atirer，to arrange，equip，deck， dress，cognate with Provençal atierar（à tire），to bring into rovo or order． The sense of adjusting or regulating runs into that of adorning．The context here，which refers to details of apparel，the fact that both Paul and Peter－ specifically deal with the subject of female attire，the clear usage in Isaiah， the association of кaтaбтo入̀ with dress，and the definitions of the old lexi－ cographers，all seem to point to the meaning apparel．So Holtzmann， Huther，and Weiss．

[^83]:    * Ammonius the Grammarian, fourth century. He wrote a work On the Differences of Words of Like Signification, which was appended to many of the older lexicons.
    $\dagger$ The different uses of aldós in Homer are discussed in a very interesting way in Gladstone's Homer and the Homeric Age, Vol. II., p. 431 ff. The best discussion of the word is by Schmidt, Synonymik der griechischen Sprache, Vol. III., § 140.

[^84]:    * The other rendering proposed is to retain the parenthesis, and connect
     "Adorn themselves with good works." The objection to this is that коб $\mu \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ is previously construed with $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ (" in modest apparel, not in braided hair, gold, pearls, etc."), and we should therefore expect $\epsilon \nu$ instead of $\delta i \dot{c}$ with good works. Further, it would be unsuitable to describe good works as an ornament when he is speaking of the demeanor of women in church assemblies.

[^85]:    * See Introduction, and Excursus on "Bishops and Deacons" in International Commentary on Philippians and Philemon.

[^86]:    * The reference to second marriages here is held by Holtzmann, Ellicott, von Soden, Wace, and Pfleiderer. Huther and Farrar take it as simply opposed to an immoral life, especially concubinage.

[^87]:    * See Dr. Sanday, Expositor, 3d ser., V., 98, and Réville, Les Origines de l'Épiscopat, p. 153 f .

[^88]:    * Holtzmann and Huther add 1 Pet. iv. 11; but Huther says that it is not to be limited to the official ministry of the Deacon. It may fairly be taken. in the general sense of serve. So von Soden.

[^89]:    * The reading $\tau d \chi$ ou or $\tau a \chi \epsilon i o \nu$ more quickly, is preferred by Tischendorf and Weiss. The comparative would signify sooner than these instructions presuppose.
    $\dagger$ Holczmann makes an ingenious plea for apposition with $\theta$ eô̂ God, though he does not decisively adopt it. Others explain as heginning the following clause, thus: "A pillar and stay of the truth, and confessedly great, is the mystery of godliness." This is quite inadmissible, as is the reference of the words to Timothy.

[^90]:    * Dr. Briggs, Messiah of the Apostles, p. 229, thinks that the whole church is conceived as a pillar uplifting a platform or basis ( $£ \delta \rho a l \omega \mu a)$ on which the truth rests. Besides being contrary to the true sense of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \rho a l \omega \mu a$, this explanation compels a very awkward metaphor.
    $\dagger$ Those who are interested in the details of the controversy over this reading, may consult S. P. Tregelles, An Account of the Printed Text of the Greek New Testament, p. 165 ; and F. H. A. Scrivener, Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament, 4th ed. Vol. II. 390-395.

[^91]:    * See J. B. Lightfoot, S. Clement of Rome, Vol. IL., p. 405 ff.

[^92]:    * This explanation destroys the chronological order, since the appearing to angels, the preaching among the nations, and the being believed on in the world, followed the ascension. But I am not sure that the preservation of the chronological order is absolutely essential, or that it might not have heen violated in the credal hymn. The fact of the formal use of $\alpha \nu a \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ by Luke to describe the ascension seems to me to be significant, especially in view of the numerous and striking affinities of vocabulary in Luke, Acts, and the Pastorals. Besides, there is absolutely nothing else to which the word can naturally be referred here. The explanation to which von Soden inclines, that Christ was taken up in glory by Christians, either in the ascription of glory to him or in the glory thereby imparted to men, seems most - unnatural and forced.

[^93]:    * Holtzmann, von Soden, Weiss, and Ellicott, instead of aj $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta \mu e \theta \alpha$ we strive, read bvetot广buc $\begin{gathered}\text { a we suffer reproach. }\end{gathered}$

[^94]:    * After carefully studying Holtzmann's elaborate attempt to prove that $\chi$ र́pıoцa means office conferred by ordination, I am unable to see in it anything but a most ingenious piece of special pleading. There is absolutely no instance of the use of the word in that sense. The meaning given above is confirmed by Paul's usage as well as by that of Justin Martyr, Clement of Alexandria, Tertullian, Origen, and Eusebius. 'Ey ool in thee cannot be wrenched into thy by references to 2 Tim. i. 5 and Matt. vi. 23. "The office that is in thee" is quite inadmissible.

[^95]:    * Holtzmann says that this passage in Acts is the basis of the ordinationpicture presented here.

[^96]:    * Eph. ii ; Magnes. ii, xiii ; Trall. ii, vii ; Philad. iv, $\mathbf{\nabla}$, vii ; Smyrn. viii, xii.

[^97]:    * The view that the original Christian polity was drawn from that of the Synagogue was maintained by Rothe, Baur, Lightfoot, Hatch, and others. The development of the view given above is largely due to Schürer, Geschichte des jüdischen Volkes im Zeitalter Jesı Christi, 2 Aufl., Bd. II. English trans. A History of the Jewish People in the Time of Jesus Christ, 2d divis., Vol. II., p. 56 ff. Also, Die Gemeindeverfassung der Juden in Rom in der Kaiserzeit.
    $\dagger$ For a more detailed examination of this subject I must refer the reader to my Excursus on "Bishops and Deacons" in the International Commentary on Philippians and Philemon.

[^98]:    * The late Dr. Hort, in his Ecclesia, holds that "Bishop" was not the designation of an office, but of a function. It was a description of the Elder's function. He says: "It is now pretty generally recognised . . . that we have not here (in the word $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi$ lo котos) a different office, held by one person in contrast to the plural Elders." And he adds: "It is hardly less erroneous to take $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi$ ícкотоs as merely a second title, capable of being used convertibly with $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ ú $\tau \rho \frac{1}{}$ " (p. 190).

[^99]:    * So Holtzmann, who finds in it a trace of "the Mothers of the Synagogue" in the synagogues of the Jewish dispersion. See Pastoralbriefe, p. 241.
    $\dagger$ See Ignatius, Ep. to Polycarp, IV. Polycarp, Ep. to Philippians, VI.
    $\ddagger$ On the enrolment of virgins in this order see Lightfoot, Ignatius, Vol. IL., p. 322 ff. (2d ed.).
    vol. iv. - 17

[^100]:    * Weizsäcker has it : wenn sie trotz Christus in Begierde fallen.

[^101]:    * Holtzmann adds Mk. x. 12, after the reading of T. R. $\gamma a \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \approx \lambda \lambda \varphi$ be married to another. But the correct reading there is $\gamma a \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ d $\lambda \lambda o v$ have married another.

[^102]:    * The construction is awkward at best. The most common explanation is to make ápral idle depend upon $\mu a \nu \theta a ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu$ they learn, understanding eivaı to be : they learn to be idle. Others take $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \chi$ б $\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ with $\mu a \nu \theta \alpha \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$; they learn to go about, which is not Greek. von Soden makes $\tau \dot{a} \mu \eta \eta_{\eta}$ ס́ovta what
     clearly inadmissible.

[^103]:    * Variously explained, of ordination ; of reception into the communion of the church; of the reception of heretics who, having been excluded from one congregation, should present themselves to another as candidates for membership.

[^104]:    * A temporal meaning is sometimes claimed for Heb. vii. 18 (so Holtzmann), but without sufficient reason. The commandment there is represented as an introduction to a greater and final ordinance.

[^105]:    * So Weizsäcker: sich des Wohlthuns befleissigen. Similarly, Holtzmann, von Soden, and Huther. vol. Iv. - 18

[^106]:    * The A.V. perverse disputings grew out of the reading of T. R. $\pi a \rho a \delta \iota-$ arpıßal, in which rapa was taken in the sense of neglect or violation. Some of the Greek Fathers supposed that the word involved the idea of moral or mental contagion, and illustrated it by mangy sheep, which communicate disease hy rubbing against each other. It is suggestive that $\delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta}$ a wearing away or waste of time gradually passed into the meaning of argument. Diatribe, from the sense of disputation, passed into that of invective or philippic.

[^107]:    * See Hegesippus in Eusebius, H. E. iii. 20, 32, and the Epistle of the churches of Vienne and Lyons to the churches of Asia and Pbrygia, Eusebius, H. $E$. v. 1.

[^108]:    * See W. M. Ramsay, The Church in the Roman Empire before A.D. 170, and the monograph of E. G. Hardy, Christianity and the Roman Government, the best treatise on the subject in English.

[^109]:    * Where Westcott and Hort read $\chi$ apà $\nu j o y$; Tischendorf and Weiss $\chi$ dpı $\nu$ thanks.
    $\dagger$ As $\delta i{ }^{\prime} \hat{\eta}_{\nu}$ altlav quam ob rem (2 Tim. i. 6, 12 ; Tit. i. 13): ồ $\tau \rho 6 \pi a \nu$ quemadmodum (2 Tim. iii. 8) : otovs quales (2 Tim. iii. 11). Little or nothing can be inferred from these instances as to the composition of these Epistles at Rome.

[^110]:    * Others with I have remembrance; but without ceasing would make it, superfluous. Comp. 1 Th. iii. 10.
    $\dagger$ According to Hofmann, they are epistolary tears( 1 ) - a letter of Timothy to Paul, expressing his distress at the apostle's imprisonment, and, therefore, an occasion of thanksgiving to Paul.

[^111]:    * The A. V. follows T. R. $\delta \psi_{\eta} \eta$ may (the Lord) give.

[^112]:    * Others connect with what follows : I endure - on this account, namely, that the elect may obtain salvation. For the elects' sake is not against this connection, since God's election does not do away with the faithful efforts of his servants.
    $\dagger$ Others refer faithful is the saying to what follows, and render $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ namely.

[^113]:    * In LXX, אַ palace, fortress, citadel, hall, is rendered by $\theta \in \mu \varepsilon \lambda l a$. See Hos. viii. 14 ; Amos i. 4, 7, 10, 12, 14 ; Jer. vi. 5 ; Isa. Xxv. 2.

[^114]:    * As in the Westminster Confession, where this passage is cited as a prooftext of the dogma that those "angels and men" who are "predestinated and foreordained" to everlasting life or death, "are particularly and uncbangeably designed: and their number is so certain and definite that it cannot be either increased or diminished." Ch. III., Sect. iv.

[^115]:    * Some, as A.V., make both aúrov and Exelpov refer to the devil. Others explain: "having been taken captive by him (the servant of God) to do his (God's) will." Others again, "having been taken captive by him (God) to do his (God's) will."

[^116]:     $\pi \nu$ foucap "breathing an implacable curse against her friends."

[^117]:    * Holtzmann, on Tit. iii. 3, has an apt comment: "Im Dienste eines bunten Getriebes von Begierden." Weizsäcker: "Von allerlei Begierden umgetrieben."

[^118]:    * Joseph. Ant. Proem. 3, 4 ; x. 10, 4. Philo, Vit. Mos. 3, 39 ; De Praem. et Poen. § 14 ; Leg. ad Gai. § 29.

[^119]:    * The few passages which are cited in favour of the use of $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta}$ for Scripture as a whole, as J. ii. 22 ; xvii. 12 ; Gal. iii. 22, are not decisive. They can all be assigned, with much appearance of probability, to definite O. T. passages.

[^120]:    * Weizsäcker's sich herzieht is feeble. Better von Soden, sich aufsanmeln; or Bahnsen, in Masse herbei schaffen; or Hofmann, hinzuhäufen.

[^121]:    * The word appears in different forms. T. R. фaı入ov ${ }^{2}$. Also $\phi a \iota \nu 6 \lambda \eta 5$, $\phi e \lambda \omega ́ \nu \eta s, \phi a \downarrow \lambda \omega ́ \nu \eta \xi$.
    $\dagger$ A Greek sophist of Bithynia in the second half of the third century, author of a selection of Attic verbs and nouns excluding all but the best Attic forms, and arranged alphabetically.
    

[^122]:    * Much curious and useful information on the subject of ancient bookmaking is contained in Theodor Birt's Das antike Buchwesen in seinem Verhältniss zur Litteratur, Berlin, 1882.

[^123]:    * Not with $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, nor $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi l \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, nor $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \in l a s$, neither of which rest upon hope; nor with $\tau \hat{\eta} s \times a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \xi \in \epsilon a \nu$, which is a defining clause complete in itself.

[^124]:    * Readers of Goethe will recall his "Festspiel," Des Epimenides Erwachen. Werke, Bd. XVIII.

    $$
    \text { voL. iv. }-22
    $$

[^125]:    * In accordance with this view von Soden renders priesterlich. The A.V. is better than the Rev. reverent, which is colourless.

[^126]:    * A.V. follows the T. R. olkoupoús. Even with this reading the rendering is not accurate. The meaning is not stayers at home, but keepers or guardians of the household.
    $\dagger$ Some interesting remarks on the use of dra日bs by Homer may be found in Gladstone's Homer and the Homeric Age, ii. 419 ff.
    $\ddagger$ See Aristoph. Vesp. 949 : Plato, Euthyphro, 3 D ; Protag. 312 A : Xen. Cyrop. II. i. 22 ; VIII. i. 39. Deissmann cites one instance in an inscription at Carpathus, second century n.c., àvé $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta r o \nu$ aürд̀ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \eta \tau \alpha, ~ m a y ~ s h o w ~$ himself blameless; and another from Mylasa in Caria, first century b.c.,
    

[^127]:    * For one person are urged : 1. That the two appellations $\theta \in d s$ and $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ are included under a single article. 2. That $\sigma \omega \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho$ with $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$, where there are two appellatives, has the article in every case, except $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{i} .1$; and that therefore its omission here indicates that it is taken with $\theta$ eov under the regimen of $\tau o \hat{v}$. 3. That ${ }^{2}$ s in ver. 14 is singular and refers to Christ, indicating that only one person is spoken of in ver. 13. 4. The analogy of 2 Pet. i. 1, 11 ; iii. 18. 5. The declarations concerning Christ in Col. i. 15; 2 Th . i. 7 ; 1 Pet. iv. 13 ; Col. ii. 9. For two persons are urged : 1 . The fact that
     2. The frequency with which God and Christ are presented in the N. T. as distinct from each other, as having a common relation to men in the economy of grace, makes it probable that the same kind of union is intended here, and not a presentation of Christ as God. 3. The evident reference of $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}$ in ver. 11 to God the Father. 4. The analogy of $2 \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{i} .12$.

[^128]:    * The attempts to resolve the meaning into practise are mostly suspicious. Of the four examples cited by Alford, two at least, Thucyd. viii. 75, and Soph...

[^129]:    Elect. 980, have clearly the sense of taking the lead. When Plutarch says of Aspasia oú коб $\mu l o v ~ \pi \rho о є \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma a \dot{e} \rho \gamma \operatorname{a\sigma las}$, he means that she superintended an unseemly employment; she was at the head of an establishment. Notwithstanding all criticisms to the contrary, the Vulgate was on the right track, bonis operibus praeesse.

[^130]:    * So Pfleiderer, von Soden, Jülicher, Weizsäcker, McGiffert.

[^131]:    * See Lightfoot's Clement of Rome, Vol. I., p. 59 ff . vol. 1v. - 24

[^132]:    * This temple was founded в.c. 180-145 by Onias, one of the high-priestly family. The building was a deserted temple of Pasht, the cat-goddess. A description is given by Josephus B. J. vii. 10, 3 ; Cont. Ap. ii. 2.

[^133]:    * An able defence of the Roman hypothesis may be found in Réville, Les Origines de l'Episcopat. While these sheets are going through the press, I have received the first number of Preuschen's Zeitschrift für die neutest. Wissenschaft und die Kunde des Urchristenthums, containing Harnack's

[^134]:    ingenious paper, Probabilia über die Adresse und den Verfasser des Hebräerbriefs. He holds that the epistle was addressed to a Christian community a house-church in Rome: that it was written by Aquila and Prisca, principally by the latter, and that, so far from being addressed especially to Hebrew Christians, it entirely ignores the difference between Hebrews and Gentiles.

    * The results of even this attempt vary considerably. Bleek, 68-69: Lünemann, 65-67: Riehm, 64-66: Ebrard, end of 62: De Wette, 63-67: Tholuck and Wieseler, about 64 : Alford, 68-70: Salmon, before 63: Westcott, 64-67: Jülicher, not before 70: Weiss, near 67: Harnack, 65-95: McGiffert, 81-96. One of the fairest and ablest discussions is by Harnack, Chronologie der altchristlichen Litteratur. Jülicher's discussion, in his Einleitung in das Neue Testament, will also repay study.

[^135]:    * Although critics are not unanimous on this point. It is disputed by Tholuck, Riehm, and Wieseler, and Weiss is evidently inclined to agree with them.
    $\dagger$ A valuable discussion of the subject is that of Riehm, Lehrbegriff des Hebraerbriefs, § 27.

[^136]:    * Lightfoot, on Col. i. 16.
    $\dagger$ See De Concupisc. xi : De Opif. Mund. § li : De Plant. No. § xii.
    $\ddagger$ Effulgence is the rendering of the Greek fathers and of the majority of modern interpreters. The few instances of the word elsewhere give little help toward a decision, since in most if not all of them the meaning is disputed. The reader will do well to consult Theodoret on this passage; Athanasius, Contra Arianos, Orat. ii ; Origen on John xxxii. 18, and Hom.

[^137]:    on Jer. ix. 4; Chrysostom, Hom. ii. 2. See also Riehm, Lehrbegriff des Hebräerbriefes, 278, 300, 408, 412.

[^138]:    * The A. V. follows the T. R. $\delta i^{\prime}$ eautoo, which is a gloss.

[^139]:    * Opinions differ as to the sense in which this expression is applied to the Messiah. Origen, Athanasius, Lünemann, Alford, Bleek, the eternal generation of the Son: Chrysostom, Theodoret, Eusebius, Gregory of Nyssa, the generation of the Son in time: De Wette, the manifestation of Jesus to men as the Son of God: von Soden, the establishment of the Son as heir in the world to come. The reference to the resurrection is held by Delitzsch, Westcott, Weiss, Calvin. According to these different explanations, $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu t o-d a y$ will signify eternity, the time of the incarnation, the time of the first prophetic announcement of Christ as Son, the time of the ascension.

[^140]:    * Bleek, Ebrard, Lünemann, Toy, rend. "who maketh winds his messengers and flames of fire his servants." This is defended on the ground of the previous "who maketh clouds his chariots." But in the Hebrew the order of our passage is transposed ; and according to this rendering there would be no allusion to angels.

[^141]:    * This is the reading of the LXX, and is followed by Tischendorf and Weiss. Westcott and Hort bracket $\tau 0 \hat{a}$ al̂̄̀ $\nu$ os.

[^142]:    * On this subject see T. K. Abbott, International Commentary on Col. ii. 8, and compare Lightfoot, Commentary on Colossians, ad loc. Also von Soden ad loc. in Hand-Commentar on Colossians; Professor Hincks in Journal of Biblical Literature, Vol. XV., 1896 ; Otto Everling, Die paulinische Angelologie und Daemonologie, p. 65 ff.

[^143]:    * Schmidt, Art. "Stand doppelter Christi," Herzog, Real Encyc.
    $\dagger$ Findlay, Expositor, 3d ser. ix. 229, calls attention to the fact that $\dot{\eta} \lambda a \tau-$
     cating contemporary ratber than successive states. For $\chi$ daıcı $\theta$ eov $b y$ the grace of God, some texts read $\chi$ wpis $\theta$ eov apart from God. So Weiss. On this reading interpretations differ, as, apart from divinity : forsaken by God: for all, God only excepted ( $1 /$ ).

[^144]:    * For the construction see Moulton's Winer, p. 402 ; and for similar instances, L. i. 74 ; Acts xi. 12 ; xv. 22 ; xxv. 27.
    $\dagger$ The Vulgate has "qui multos filios in gloriam adduxerct." For the construction see Burton, New Testament Moods and Tenses, § 149. vol. 1v. -26

[^145]:    * Professor Toy, Quotations in the New Testament.

[^146]:    * I desire to acknowledge my obligation in the notes on this passage to the very suggestive series of articles by Dr. W. Robertson Smith on "Christ and the Angels," Expositor, 2d ser. Vols., II., III.

[^147]:    * Dr. W. Robertson Smith objects that Jesus was in all points tempted like as we are (ch. iv. 15), and that not every temptation arises out of the painful experiences of life. But the great point is that Christ is able to succour the tempted because he has himself experienced temptation. The peculiar nature of his temptation, and the points of its correspondence with ours, are not in question. One point is selected out of the whole range of possible causes of temptation, and that the most prominent and obvious point-suffering.

[^148]:    * Some interesting data and remarks on the use of "I," "we" and "you" in the Epistle may be found in Harnack's article, "Probabilia uiber die Adresse und den Verfasser des Hebräerbriefs," in Preuschen's Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die Kunde des Urchristenthums, Heft i. s. 24.

[^149]:    * The older expositors regarded $\dot{\delta}$ өєòs as predicate, and $\dot{o} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau a \operatorname{ca\tau a\sigma \kappa \epsilon v-}$ doas as designating Christ; and explained, "now he that founded all things (Christ) must be God,' thus using the passage as a proof of Christ's deity. But this would be entirely irrelevant. The writer is not trying to show that Christ was greater than Moses because he was God, but because of his fidelity as a son instead of as a servant. This is the point which he goes on to elaborate.

[^150]:     xx. 13 ; xxvii. 14 ; Deut. xxxii. 51 ; xxxiii. 8; Ps. lxxx. 7; cv. 32. The LXX has preserved the proper names only in Ezek. xlvii. 19, Mapı $\mu \dot{\theta} \theta$, and xlviii. 28, Ba $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \theta$.

[^151]:     According to the former, the participle agrees with enclivous them: "the word did not profit them, (they) not having been united, etc.," or, "since they were not united." According to the latter, the participle agrees with $\delta \lambda$ $\quad$ ( os the worl: "the word not having heen united, etc." I prefer the second reading, although the external authority for the other is stronger. So Tischendorf and Weiss. Westcott and Hort read $\sigma v \nu \kappa \in \kappa \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu$ évous, but suspect a primitive corruption. Westcott, however, adopts the other in his commentary.

[^152]:    * Not as Ebrard and Alford, he that entered, referring to Christ, and contrasted with Joshua. Jesus is not mentioned in the entire passage, iii. 7-iv. 9.

[^153]:    * As Delitzsch, whose note on this passage is one of the curiosities of exegesis, and a specimen of literalism run mad.

[^154]:    * Not as Lünemann, Alford, Bleek, Calvin, De Wette, toward whom a relation exists for us, on which Weiss correctly remarks that this sense of $\lambda$ ofos is unbiblical.

[^155]:    * Though some, as Meyer and Ellicott, insist on the transitive senseintroduction.

[^156]:    * In his volume on Hebrews (1899) Professor Bruce abandons the view held by him in his Humiliation of Christ, p. 30, that, in the use of the sacrificial expression тробєцєүкаs, the writer conceived Christ's prayer in Gethsemane as a sacrificial offering, and found in it a parallel with the offering which the Levitical high priest presented for himself ; a view which must be regarded as fanciful. See Weiss ad loc.

[^157]:    * The rendering he was heard (and so delivered) from his fear (that which he feared) is untenable because $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \iota a$ cannot mean the object of fear. The rendering he was heard by him who was his fear is absurd.

[^158]:    * Schmidt, Synon., says it is best represented by the German nöhlen to dawdle.

[^159]:    * An interesting discussion of the word may be found in Lightfoot's Essays on Supernatural Religion, p. 172 ff.

[^160]:    * Surely not, as Lünemann, the gospel message as centring in the doctrine of justification by faith, which would be dragged in by the ears.

[^161]:    * There is a difference of reading : $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$, Westcott and Hort, Weiss : $\delta \delta \delta a \chi \hat{\eta} s$, Tischendorf, Rev. T. If the latter, the arrangement may be (a) two

[^162]:    distinct genitives, of teaching, of baptisms: (b) $\delta \delta \delta a \chi \hat{\eta} s$ dependent on $\beta a \pi-$ $\tau \iota \sigma \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, baptisms accompanied with teaching: (c) $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \hat{\eta} s$ governing $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma-$ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, teaching of baptisms. (a) is not in accord with the structure of what precedes and follows, since all the particulars of the foundation are designated by double expressions: (b) is unusual and difficult to explain with the plural $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu$, since baptism with teaching would mean Christian baptism, and $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is not limited to Christian baptism : (c) is preferable if $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \hat{\eta} s$ is to be read.

[^163]:    * See a good note by Alford, Commentary ad loc.

[^164]:    * The number and variety of explanations of the heavenly gift are bewildering: the Lord's Supper; regeneration in general, as distinguished from the special communication of the Spirit in baptism ; persuasion of eternal life ; righteousness ; forgiveness of sins; peace which results from forgiveness ; faith ; the gospel; the heavenly light which produces the enlightenment ; the abundant grace of Christianity.

[^165]:    * Principal Edwards, Expositor's Bible, explains, "they cannot be renewed after falling away if they persist in crucifying." Surely nothing could be farther from the writer's meaning. Some of the older commentators, referring renew them to baptism, take crucify and put to an open shame as explanatory of avakalvl乡elv, thus: to renew them to repentance which would be a recrucifying. They refer to Paul's declaration, Rom. vi. 3, that in baptism is signified the crucifixion of the old man with Christ. If baptism were repeated, Christ would be recrucified. But Christ is not crucified in baptism; only the old man.

[^166]:    * The reference to Sodom and Gomorrah (Linemanu, Bleek) is forced, and perhaps equally so that of Westcott to volcanic fires. There is no thought of purification by fire, which would be foreign to the subject.

[^167]:    * Bleek holds that the form $\epsilon l \mu \not \eta \nu$ arose from a confusion of the Hebraistic $\epsilon l \mu \eta$ (comp. Heb. ạ) and the Greek $\eta \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$. Thayer (Lex.) says this must be the explanation unless $\epsilon l$ came by itacism from $\tilde{\eta}$. So Winer-Schmiedel, N. T. Gramm. §5, 15. Deissmann, however (Neue Bibelstudien, Pt. II., p. 33 ff .), gives instances of $\epsilon i(\epsilon l$ ? $) \mu \gamma \nu$ from papyri before Christ, a hundred years older than this epistle, and from the same country in which the LXX was prepared. See also Blass, N. T. Gramm. Ss. 9, 60, 254.

[^168]:     è $\lambda$ r. depend on $\pi a \rho d \kappa$. $\epsilon \chi$. that we who have fled for refuge might have strong consolation to lay hold, etc.

[^169]:    * Two other arrangements are proposed : (a) d $\sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$, $\beta \in \beta a l a \nu$, el $\sigma \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu \epsilon-$ $\nu \eta \nu$ with $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \pi \delta \delta \alpha$ understood : hope, sure, steadfast, entering, etc. (b) $\dot{d} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta}$ and $\beta \epsilon \beta a l a \nu$ with $a \gamma \kappa v \rho a \nu$, and $\epsilon l \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi$. with $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi$. a hope which enters, etc. (and which is) an anchor sure and steadfast.

[^170]:    * Ep. ad Evangelium, § 7. Stanley thinks that the meeting of Abraham and Melchisedec was at Gerizim. Ewald at a point north of Jerusalem.

[^171]:    * See Westcott's note.

[^172]:    * All explanations must be rejected which seek to modify the sense of
     high priest daily feels the need." It is urged by some (as Ménégoz) that the high priest took part in the daily sacrifices; but if such participation took place it was only occasional. Bleek thinks that the ceremonies of the great Day of Atonement were throughout before the writer's mind as the archetypal features of the high priest's ministry, and that these were in some sort reproduced in the daily sacrifices.

[^173]:    * Westcott suggests "heavenly order." Lünemann supplies $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{i} \omega \nu$ sanctuary.

[^174]:    * Westcott and Hort and Tiscbendorf read aüroùs. Weiss and Rev. T. retain the T. R. aúroîs. If the latter, the more probable construction is with he saith. "he saith to them," taking $\mu є \mu \phi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \frac{s}{}$ absolutely. If aútovs, the pronoun will be governed by $\mu \epsilon \mu \phi \delta \mu \varepsilon \nu o s$, " blaming them."

[^175]:    * Codex A, LXX, has $\tau \delta \nu$ a $\delta \in \lambda \phi b \nu$ his brother, and for $\tau \delta \nu \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta \nu$ in the following clause, $\tau \delta \nu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ lo $h$ his neighbour.

[^176]:    

[^177]:    * The rendering well-ordered, seemly, is contrary to usage. Kog $\mu \mathrm{k}$ bs has three meanings : relating to the universe; of the world; worldly, with an ethical sense-having the character of the sinful world. The word for Niculy is $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu o s, 1$ Tim. ii. 9 ; iii. 2.

[^178]:    * Philo, Quis Rer. Divin., Mangey's ed., p. 504. De Vita Mos. Mangey, p. 668. Joseph. B. J. v. 6, 5; Ant. iii. 6, 8.

[^179]:    * Professor Bruce's remarks on this point are worth reading: The Epistle to the Hebrews, p. 310 ff .

[^180]:    * By some interpreters $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ is explained as local, passing through, and $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\mu \epsilon l$ fovos - $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \hat{\eta} s$ is construed with $\epsilon l \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$, ver. 12. Thus: "Christ having appeared as a high priest of the good things accomplished, entered into the holiest, passing through the greater and more perfect tabernacle." That is, as the Levitical high priest had to pass through the holy place in order to reach the holy of holies, so Christ passed through a holy place greater and more perfect than that of the ancient tahernacle, in order to reach the heavenly sanctuary. All kinds of explanations are given of this intermediate holy place; as, the lower spaces of the heavens: Christ's human nuture: his holy life : the church on earth: the world, etc. It is to be said that this local sense of $\delta \iota \dot{d}$ emphasises a subordinate point, of which nothing is made in the epistle; which is not even stated. In other words, nothing in the epistle is made to turn on the fact of the high priest's passing through one place in order to reach another. The emphatic point is Christ's entering the heavenly sanctuary. His passing throngh the heavens (ch. iv. 14) or through anything else, is a mere incident having no typical significance. The construction advocated by Rendall, Epistle to the Hebrews, should be noticed: "High priest of good things which came throngh the greater and more perfect tabernacle." But not the greater tabernacle, but Christ is everywhere represented as the agent of the good things of the new dispensation. The new sanctuary which Christ must have as high priest is an accompaniment of his position and ministry.

[^181]:    13-14. Justifying the preceding words, and answering the question, What has Christ to offer?

[^182]:    * See Deissmann Neue Bibelstudien, Pt. II., p. 43. He gives one specimen, .93 or 91 в.c.

[^183]:    * As Bleek, Alford, Lünemann, Dwight, De Wette, Weiss, Briggs, Bruce, Rev. Vers., Lightfoot (on Gal. iii. 15), Thayer (Lex.), Edwards. Weizsäcker and von Soden both render Stiftung "foundation"; a basis, formally established, on which certain benefits are insured to those who accept it. Such a basis might be of the nature either of a covenant or a testament. Bruce includes both under the word. Vaughan renders "arrangement," whether of relations (covenant) or of possessions (testament).

[^184]:    * Those who are curious about the matter will find it discussed in Riehm's Handwörterbuch des biblischen Alterthums, and in the article in Hastings's Dictionary of the Bible, by Dr. George E. Post of Beyrout, than whom there is no more competent authority.
    $\dagger$ Dr. Briggs, Messiah of the Apostles, p. 266.

[^185]:    * If the question of the retrospective value of Christ's sacrifice is raised here, some light is thrown upon it by the expression "through his eternal spirit," ver. 14. An eternal spirit is independent of time, and acts performed under its inspiration are valid for all time. Christ offered himself in spirit before the foundation of the world. Was not the act of his eternal spirit the core of the whole preparatory system of sacrifice? What I take to be the correct interpretation of Rom. iii. 25, as given in the notes on that passage, agrees with this.

[^186]:    
     they offer year by year make perfect forever" (Westcott and von Soden). Others, $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ Evauvdy with all that follows to $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ (Alford, Ebrard, Delitzsch). Others, кar' èvaurd̀ with raîs aữaîs, and єis $\tau \dot{\partial} \delta \neq \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa$ ès with $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \underline{\beta} \rho v \sigma=\nu$ : "with the same sacrifices every year which they offer continually" (Lünemann).

[^187]:    * Westcott takes $\epsilon \phi \dot{d} \pi a \xi$ with the entire sentence: Alford with offering. It is true that the writer insists on the offering of Christ being "once for all" (ch. vii. 27 ; ix. $12,26,28 ;$ x. 12, 14), but here this connection would seem to require the article $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \phi \alpha \pi \alpha \xi$.
    $\dagger$ Others construe with sat down. So Lünemann, Bleek, De Wette.

[^188]:    * The derivation from $\pi \rho d$ before and $\sigma \phi a \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to slay is more than suspicious.

[^189]:    * The distinction sometimes asserted between $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho l a$ retribution, and $\kappa^{6} \lambda$ aros chastisement for the amendment of the subject, does not hold in N. T. Neither $\kappa \delta \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota s$ nor ко入á̧el $\nu$ convey any sense of chastisement. See Actsiv. 21; 2 Pet. ii. 9 ; Matt. xxv. 46 ; $1 \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{iv} .18$; nor is there a trace of this meaning of either noun or verb in LXX. See Trench, New Testament Synonyms, § VII, and Schmidt, Synonymik, $\S 167,1,3$. The prevailing sense of $\kappa 6 \lambda a \sigma \iota s$ in Class. is a check applied to prevent excess.

[^190]:    ＊It is sometimes claimed that $\dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ as distinguished from $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$ implies a reference to an antecedent condition．That is true in some instances，but the reference is not inherent in the verb；since sometimes there is implied a reference to a future condition，and sometimes the verb is used simply in the sense of eivac to be absolutely．
    $\dagger$ Others，as Alford and Lünemann，adopt the reading eavrois for your－ selves．Westcott，all Rev．marg．，reading éautous，render it as the object of ＂̌ecv，＂knowing that ye had yourselves for a better possession，＂and refer－ ring to L．xxi．19．According to this the sense is，＂your true selves remained untouched．You saved them out of the wreck of your possessions．＂This is foreign to the tone of the epistle，and must be regarded as artificial．

[^191]:    * The Hebrew reads, " the just shall live by his constancy." LXX, "the just shall live by my faith," or (Cod. A) "my just one shall live by faith." Mou does not appear in Romans or Galatians.

[^192]:    * The assnmption that this verse furnishes the first item in the catalogue of 0 . T. examples of faith, gives rise to such explanations as that of Michaelis, which is indorsed by Bleek; that the writer thought of the earliest men as attaining only by faith the knowledge that God made the world, and that he expressed himself so generally because that fact is not expressly related of them, and because their conduct did not indicate a living faith.

[^193]:    * Some, however, insist that $\epsilon$ ls $\tau \boldsymbol{r}$, etc., indicates purpose or design. So Westcott, who says, "the worlds were made, etc., to the end that that which

[^194]:    is seen (be known) to have arisen not from things which appear." According to this, faith certifies not only the fact of creation by the word of God, but also God's design that creation should be believed to have taken place only by his word, calling the world out of nothing; and should not be believed to have arisen out of visible things. It must be allowed that $\epsilon \boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\mathrm{d}}$ followed by the infinitive, in every one of the eight other instances in this epistle, expresses purpose; and further, that such is its more frequent meaning everywhere. But (a) such is not its universal meaning. See Rom. i. 20 ; xii. 3; 2 Cor. viii. 6; Gal. iii. 17 ; 1 Th . ii. 16. (b) On this explanation something is imported into the passage which neither the sense nor the construction requires, and which is laboured and unnatural. (c) 「erovevac thus becomes excessively awkward. Alford justly says that, on this hypothesis, revopepac ought to have been some subjective word; not, as it is now, a mere record of a past fact. The sense of result is held by Bleek, Alford, Weizsäcker, Burton.

    * That some external difference was recognised is most likely. See the peculiar reading of $\operatorname{LXX}$, Gen. iv. 7.
    vol. 1v. -33

[^195]:    * T. R. reads $\lambda$ 入入eĩal is spoken about.
    $\dagger$ See Burton's New Testament Moods and Tenses, § 398.

[^196]:    " He hides himself so wondrously
    As though there were no God;
    He is least seen when all the powers
    Of ill are most abroad.
    Or he deserts us at the hour
    The fight is almost lost,
    And seems to leave us to ourselves
    Just when we need him most.

[^197]:    * The more usual interpretation is that of the A.V. But, as was long ago discerned by Grotius, and is clearly shown by Weiss and von Soden, the subjective negative $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \pi \omega$ agrees much better with the subjective quality (rever" $2+$ core) than with the announcement of an objective fact (being warned of things, ote.).

[^198]:    * Some interpreters render $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \mu b \nu \in \omega_{0 \nu}$ mentioned, citing 1 Th. i. 3, and Heb. xi. 22, where the verb is followed by $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ with the genitive. In both these cases, however, the meaning remember is quite possible. Grammatical testimony is confusing. There are instances in Class. where the verb signifies mention, as Plato, Legg. 646 B , with accusative : also with $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ and genitive. Winer says positively that verbs of making mention do not take a genitive in the N. T. (xxx, 10, c). On the other hand, the verb in the sense of remember, though mostly with the genitive, is sometimes found with the accusative, as Matt. xvi. $\theta$; 1 Th. ii. $\theta$; 2 Tim. ii. 8. So in Class. Neither Class. nor N. T. furnishes any sufficient reason why the verb in Heb. xi. 22 should not be rendered remembered. Mepl is constantly used in connection with mental operations, as фоovтľєє. The kindred verh $\mu \iota \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ is used with $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ and the genitive meaning remember; see. Tob. iv. 1, and comp. Homer, Od. vii, 192 ; Hdt. i, 36 : ix, 45 ; Plato, Plileb. 31 A. M memorial is found with $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ and genitive, Ex. xxviii. 12.

[^199]:    * The varieties of interpretation are endless. A list of the principal ones may be found in Westcott. One should be noticed, according to which ey

[^200]:    $\pi \alpha \rho a \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\eta}$ is explained in venture or risk, from $\pi a \rho a \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon c \nu$ to throw beside, to expose. The verb sometimes has this meaning in Class., and once in LXX, $2 \mathrm{Macc} . \mathrm{iv} .38$; but there is no instance of the noun mapaßodin in that sense, either in N. T., LXX, or Class. Thayer cites one or two doubtful cases in later Greek. According to this interpretation the clanse would read, "from whence he received him in risking him." Lünemann explains: "on which account he received him on the ground of the giving up," taking $\pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \eta$ in the sense of surrender.

[^201]:    * A formidable mass of hermeneutic rubbish has accumulated about this. passage : for instance, that the act of Jacob implied the worship of the staff; or that the staff was Joseph's, and that the patriarch paid formal reverence to the staff as a tribute to Joseph's position, a view common among the Fathers; or that worship was paid to some image or symbol of power on the head of the staff, from which has heen drawn the justification of imageworship.

[^202]:    * "Veramente da tre mesi egli ha tolto Chi ha voluto entrar con tutta pace."

[^203]:    * Not as Westcott: "Resurrection, which is the transition from death to life, is that out of which the departed were received."

[^204]:    * Accordingly a variety of readings has been proposed : ė $\pi v \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma a \nu, ~ \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \eta \sigma-$
    
     ,broken on the wheel: Ėт $\dot{\alpha} \dot{d} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ they were sold.

[^205]:    * The interpretation of the passage has been dominated by the assunption that the joy set before him must refer to a future prize which Christ was to receive in return for his sufferings, "without which," says Weiss, "he would not bave been able to endure them." Accordingly, davi is explained for the sake of or to obtain the joy, and the joy is defined as the heavenly reward, or the joy of being the redeemer of his brethren. This sense of $\dot{a} v \tau i$ is legitimate, although it enfolds the meaning of exchange (see, for instance, Matt. xvii. 27; xx .28 , and the formula $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega}^{\nu} \nu$ because). At any rate, its use here in that sense creates a feeling of awkwardness. We should rather expect $\notin \nu \in \kappa \alpha$, or $\dot{u} \pi \grave{\partial} \rho$ or $\delta$ da with the accusative. Moreover, Christ did not endure cross and shame for the sake of heavenly reward, and the redemption of his brethren can hardly be called something to which he looked forward with faith.

[^206]:    * A copious list of references to Hippocrates and Galen may be found in W. K. Hobart's Medical Language of St. Luke, p. 22.

[^207]:    * So von Soden, " not the direction but the surface: $\delta \rho \theta a l=$ smoath, without stones or hales."
    $\dagger$ Stephens, Thes., gives detorquere, and cites a number of instances from medical writers in which $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}_{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho 6 \pi \iota o \nu$ are used of an everted eyelid, in which the lid is turned outward. Celsus says that when the eyelids refuse to come together, the lower lid hanging and dragging, and unable to unite with the upper, the Greeks call it eкктоoт $\quad$ ov.

[^208]:    * This' is preferable to the Vulg. adopted by Westcott, ad tractabilem et accensibilem ignem "to a palpable and kindled fire," thus making $\psi \eta \lambda a \phi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \psi$ qualify $\pi \nu \rho l$. It destroys the antithesis clearly intended between the material Mount Sinai and the spiritual, heavenly Mount Zion, and leads us to expect as the antithesis of material fire, some other kind of fire. The other rendering is required by $\Sigma \iota \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota$, ver. 22. Moreover, $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \phi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \psi$ as an epithet of $\pi v \rho i$ is unnatural.

[^209]:    * Another mode of rendering is "things which are made to the end that the things which cannot be shaken may abide." To this it is justly objected that $\mu \in i \nu \eta$ is thus compelled to assume an elliptical sense: "Come into the place of the things removed and so abide"; for as Alford remarks, "things which cannot be shaken remaining merely, would be a matter of course."

[^210]:    * See Dr. W. M. Thomson, The Land and the Book; Burckhardt, Notes on the Bedouins and Wahâbys; Lane, Modern Egyptians; Palgrave, Central and Eastern Arabia; Trumbull, Oriental Social Life; etc.

[^211]:    * Calvin, taking $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ as masculine, explains that marriage is not to be denied to any class of men, as to priests. Others explain that marriage is not to be avoided on ascetic grounds by any one.

[^212]:    * The hypothesis that the letter was called out by "an amateur attachment to Levitical institutions" on the part of Gentile Christians, leading to the adoption of an eclectic system in which Jewish elements figured, is too shadowy to call for serious refutation. That Judaism became a "fad" for a time in certain circles, is a well-known fact, which has its analogy in the affectation of Buddhism by certain individuals in modern times. But there is no historical evidence that in the Gentile church this affectation of Judaism ever assumed the proportions of a movement, or afforded a menace to Gentile Christiauity sufficiently serious to call out such a production as the Epistle to the Hebrews.

